- Agenda Bashing
  - Consensus
- Brief Charter Review
  - Forum for communication between Grid and Networking community
    - Why is this effort part of the Data Area?
  - First Focus: Establishment of two documents:
    - Top ten things network engineers wish grid programmers knew
    - Top ten things grid programmers wish network engineers knew
  - Consensus on charter and milestones
- How to get involved
  - No Comments

- Presentation on "Top Ten Things Network Engineers wish Grid Programmers knew" by Jon Crowcroft
  - Intention: Initiate a Discussion
  - Two things to add
    - Topic Zero: Firewalls
    - OS: Enrichment of protocol APIs (better fit to protocol parameters)
  - We need the other document!
    - Port use scenarios (frequency of updates, security,...)
      - There is a data grid document describing the ports they use

- Topic 1: Congestion Control vs. QoS
  - Is about sharing capacity (Fairness)
  - IETF view is presented
  - If you break these features, some ISPs might disconned you
  - New features are coming up within TCP (ECN)
  - Reliable Multicast transport protocols for data replication follow the idea
  - Is there a difference between commercial ISPs and NRNs?
    - There is some, but link sharing problem remains
  - Will multiplexing of optical links help?
    - Is is unrealistic to assume to get more than 120 Lambdas in the next 5 years

- Topic 2: Routing
  - Fast forwarding
    - Firewalls can do better than currently deployed systems
  - Faster convergence
  - Does MPLS help?
  - Policies are hard

- Topic 3: Packet sizes
  - MSS is that of the weakest link
  - Multicast MSS is a real problem
- Topic 4: Overlays
  - Routing overlay du jour is RON from MIT
  - Basically build VPNs
  - P2P are slightly different. Problems with locality and metrics
- Topic 5: QoS
  - Would be a nice thing, even with 64 Lambdas in the core (10 GigE at cluser/farmer sites)
  - QoS is not just Policing, Scheduling, ...: it is AAA!
  - QBSS is a good idea

- Topic 6: Multicast
- Topic 7: Operating Systems
  - Semantical gap between protocol and API capabilities
  - APIs have to be improved!
- Topic 8: Layer 2 Considerations
- Topic 9: Light vs. Heavyweight Protocols
- Topic 10: Macroscopic Traffic and System Considerations
  - Phase effect in multi-stream environment do matter!

- Questions and Comments:
  - Conclusion out of those points?
    - Intention was to list ongoing efforts within the networking community
    - Engage people to join them
    - Accounting problem for QoS could be addressed by Grid techniqu
  - Is it fair that application developers can treat the network as a black box?
    - The network is not transparent
    - QoS could be a potential solution for this problem. The network would become a "normal" Grid resource
  - Why is routing import to Grid developers?
    - It is important if you want high availabilitiy
    - Networking people are working on this
  - When you ask Grid developers about their networking needs, they often do not know it
    - This is RG is a process with addresses this issue
    - We want to minimize the required knowledge about network capabilities

- Questions and Comments
  - What do the users when it goes wrong?
    - Better feedback mechanisms are needed (difference between I SI and NRNs) -> Diagnostic API
    - Latency vs. Bandwidth Bottlenecks: terminological clarification
  - We need a conclusion of the document which is understood by the Grid developers
  - Network aware people have to work with the application developers
  - Better control for modifying the behavior is needed
  - We should describe improved TCP behaviors including what NOT to do (e.g. overprovision buffers).

Volunteers for the "Top ten things grid programmers wish network engineers knew" document

- Volker Sander (Research Centre Jülich)
- Thilo Kielman (Vrije Universtaat Amsterdam)
- Mario Lauria (Ohio State University)
- George Brett (Internet 2)
- I gor Mandrichenko (Fermi Lab)

### Topics which should be in the document:

- Demand for an improved socket API (more flexibility)
- Demand for a better API for diagnostics
- latency v. bandwidth terminological clarification (should be in both)