Pending Issues to be discussed during the public comment period of GLUE 2.0

XSD Realization

- 1. consider adding extensibility based on the lax value for the processContent attribute of an xsd:any element definition
- 2. based on examples/experience, check the "usability" of the realization of ToComputingService and ToStorageService
- 3. verify the multiplicity of the assocations

Conceptual Model

- 1. what is the use case for GLUE to model services with no endpoint? (see main entities, computing and storage)
- 2. FNAL: to send detailed information about the requirements for storage reservation and example use cases
- 3. check if appendix B should be alphabetically ordered
- add more descriptive text to each element (e.g., benchmark, applicationHandle)
- 5. consider to add non-normative examples to the realization document or to a new document (e.g. GLUE 2.0 Primer)
- 6. multiplicy between Endpoint-Share Share-Resource and all the specialization in computing and storage, at the moment they are different; verify by use cases if they can be made equal (22, 42)
- 7. add CreationTime and Validity in the Inherited Properties section of each table subclassing from Entity
- 8. evaluate if the following attributes should be added to the Entity: Name, OtherInfo
- 9. evaluate if to have only global ID's (in this case, we could add ID to the Entity class) (43)
- 10. evaluate if adding OtherInfo in the Location entity is needed
- 11. improve UserManager of UserDomain and evaluate if it should be represented as assocation (31bis)
- 12. improve Member properties of UserDomain
- 13. revise the capability_t enumeration based on experience; reconsider if we want it mandatory (120)
- 14. Service.Type: refine definition and enumeration; add EGEE, OSG and NorduGrid types (121)
- 15. improve AdminDomai.Owner description
- 16. Endpoint.Interface: to be split into type and version (with Type having an enumeration?) (37)
- 17. define integrated state model for Service.QualityLevel and Endpoint.QualityLevel after experience (38)
- 18. MappingPolicy.Default: evaluate how to express default mapping;
 two options so far (53):
 - a. Add to the policy rule syntax
 - b. Add as a property of mappingPolicy; in this case we need to allow multiple policy instances with same scheme
- 19. reconsider job-related attributes and the Grid vs. local jobs in computingService/computingShare
- 20. change ExecuntionEnvironment.Homogeneity to ExecutionEnvironment.Homogeneous (64)
- 21. evaluate if to drop ComputingManager.NetworkInfo (65)
- 22. evaluate TmpDir/ScratchDir/ApplicationDir (ask JP) and their relationship to WorkingArea (66)
- 23. evaluate a better name for ApplicationEnvironment.Name (77)
- 24. improve description of ApplicationEnvironment.Repository (79)

- 25. storage: evaluate how to use access protocol in case of simple
- 26. disk server with gridftp storagendpoint; evaluate if storage endpoint can be used for listing access protocol types as well (89, 144)
- 27. evaluate the usage of the word capacity and extent in the storage context (90,91)
- 28. to make consistent association labeling across main/computing/storage entities (92, 159)
- 29. improve definition of size-related attributes in storage*capacity entities (94, 103)
- 30. improve path default when implicit and description (98)
- 31. improve tag definition (99)
- 32. improve description of storage share (101)

Manager: Type seems a slightly strange name for the attribute, things like "enstore" and "castor" aren't really types. Actually this applies to ComuptingManager too although I didn't pick it up there, are "lsf" and "pbs" types? Also since both CM and SM have a Type attribute should it anyway be defined in the parent Manager entity? (ditto Version).

- 33. evaluate if to rename storage resource to data store (108)
- 34. ToComputingService: The AccessProtocol relation is marked as multiplicity 1, but I think it should be *. One one side you could have a "close SE" relation involving several protocols (e.g. rfio and file). On the other side you may want to have the network info regardless of protocol (e.g. for gridftp).
- 35. rediscuss deny and wildcards in basic policy syntax; improve also general description (118, 119)
- 36. verify semantics of policy rules against EGEE semantics (119)
- 37. refine endpointTechnology_t enumeration
- 38. reconsider OSFamily/OSName/OSVersion to this:

http://goc.grid.sinica.edu.tw/gocwiki/How_to_publish_the_OS_name

- 39. License_t rediscuss open vs. closed and values
- 40. check capitalization of MUST, MAY, ... (129)
- 41. change all can to MAY, SHOULD (130)
- 42. check consistency in referecence styles; e.g. URI in line vs. in reference section (131)
- 43. fix appendix numeration by letters (133)
- 44. Make entity names typesetting consistent in the text; use same style of entity name in table + italics (134)
- 45. check statements about ID and URI (137)
- 46. discuss persistency of LocalID/ID (140)

http://www.nla.gov.au/padi/topics/36.html

- 47. add explicit redefinition of associations in UML (142)
- 48. recheck definition of latency: maximum under normal operating conditions vs. actual vs. maximum (147, 110)
- 49. add references to XML, LDAP, and SQL
- 50. add clarification about interoperability profiles (149)
- 51. add reference to URI/URN
- 52. improve reference to SI (154)
- 53. choose either attribute or property as a term
- 54. clean paragraph (172)
- 55. evaluate renaming of statusPage (174)
- 56. improve Supported Profile definition (177)
- 57. Policy: Neither the Scheme or Rule properties appear to be particularly well defined (182)
- 58. 185 and 186
- 59. add xrootd to access prot enum + definition (194)
- 60. improve definition of enum in storage (195)