DFDL WG Call Agenda

This OPEN document will not be filed. It is being kept active. **Meeting about Meetings\OGF**

Project	DFDL 1.0
Meeting Date	03-Oct-17 (Tues)
Meeting Time	15:00 - 16:00

Created by Steve Hanson on 09-Mar-11 Last Modified by Steve Hanson on 03-Oct-17

OGF DFDL Working Group Call, 3rd October 2017

Agenda

Prepare for your meeting by describing the objectives (both immediate and long-term, if appropriate) of the meeting; and o planning details.

1. Daffodil Open Source Project

Daffodil is transitioning to become an Apache group project and has been accepted into the Apache Incubator program. Requires clarification of licensing for all parts of Daffodil. IBM needs to check that there is no problem with its contributions to the code-base that were provided per the OGF contributions guidelines.

2. Fully handle formats like syslogd

For formats that contain sequences of space-separated fields like xxx="yyy zzz", you need to model the quotes using escape block, otherwise the space in the field value is interpreted as a separator for the sequence. But that also permits xxx=yyy or xxx="yy"y so allows malformed fields. Need either a way of insisting that escape block is always present, or a way of switching off parent delimiters when all children have a terminator.

3. dfdl:choiceDispatchKey clarification

Spec needs to add that the expression can only look backwards.

4. New enum needed for dfdl:binaryNumberRep?

Daffodil team have encountered a format that uses 'offset-binary' (aka 'excess-k' or 'biased') format for fixed length signed binary integers. Example:

bits	unsigned	twos-comp	offset-binary
000	Θ	Θ	- 4
001	1	1	- 3
010	2	2	-2
011	3	3	-1
100	4	- 4	Θ
101	5	- 3	1
110	6	-2	2
111	7	-1	3

Minutes

Meeting Minutes

Reflect on your meeting as you record all topics and issues discussed, and any tabled conversations. What went well, or what would you do differently next time? Document those so others can take advantage of your learning.

Attendees

Apologies

Minutes

IPR Statement

"I acknowledge that participation in this meeting is subject to the OGF Intellectual Property Policy."

Meeting closed

Next regular call 17th October @ 15:00 UK

Create Action Items

Record the to-do's and individuals assigned by entering the appropriate information in the form below. Press the "Create Action Items" button to create specific to do's that can be tracked in the assignee's Work for Me views. " All Action Items will be tracked in the Action Items and Other Meeting Documents tab.

Subject	Document Type	Created	Modifie
Action Items and Other Meeting Documents			

Actions raised at this meeting

No	Action

٦

Current Actions:

No	Action
228	Review set of tutorial lessons (All)
220	17/9/13: Lesson 1 proposes a set of lessons, needs reviewing as over 2 years old.
	22/10: No progress 31/10: Becoming a focus for Tresys. Steve to send his 'Modeling Data Formats using DFDL' powerpoint.
	 19/11: No further progress 26/11: Possibility of help from MITRE high-school student, and from Marisa at IBM.
	11/3/14: No further progress 25/3: MITRE have produced a couple of new tutorials under the guidance of James Gariss. Jonathan to forward for review.
	Mike observed that an html tutorial could be generated from a tdml file using XSLT. 11/4: Not discussed
	15/4: Jonathan will send 4 new mini-tutorials. Need to figure out best way to incorporate into the tutorial structure.
	29/4: Tutorials received. Mark has taken a quick read. Mark & Steve to review and report back.
	6/5: Still with Mark and Steve 20/5: Mark has reviewed. Will ask IBM information development to recommend a way to portray the existing and new lessons, preferably web-based. Find somewhere to host them. OGF? GitHub? developerWorks? NCSA? 3/6: Steve has also reviewed.
	 17/6: No further progress on tutorials. Tim is looking into the creation of some DFDL how-to videos using the IBM Integration Studio.
	 31/3/15: No further progress 14/4: Agreed that the need for better tutorials has become pressing for Daffodil users who aren't using IBM's tools and material. Discussed creating tutorials based on a tdml file with comments that is processed to produce html. Mike to investigate. 28/4: Mike has sent an example tdml file which embeds instances of a new 'tutorial' element in various places. These elements contain html which can be extracted and formatted in a browser. Suggest future DFDL tutorials are created using this technology. 12/5: Not discussed
	 22/9: No further progress 3/11: Daffodil team has someone working on the new 'tutorial' element in tdml files. In time this should result in some new tutorials and re-working of existing tutorials. 5/1/16: Mike has started a bitOrder tutorial using the tdml file approach (uses stylesheets to render html). 16/2: The bitOrder tutorial is available on the web @

	https://opensource.ncsa.illinois.edu/bamboo/artifact/DFDL-MASTER21/JOB1/build-				
	132/Tutorials/bitorder.tutorial.tdml.xml				
	1/3: Awaiting review. Web-based try out facility under development at Tresys.				
	25/7/17: No further progress				
242	2 Standardise on a single tdml format for DFDL tests (All) 5/2/14: Steve has requested permission for IBM to view / use the Daffodil tdml files as a precursor to trying to standardise on a common tdml format. Was formerly par of action 066.				
	18/2: No further progress 11/3: Mike and Steve discussing the best way to share and cooperate on tdml format. 25/3: Discussed the creation of an OGF document that will own and define a				
	standardised tdml format. 11/4: Proposal is for the OGF document to define a tdml format without Tresys or IBM copyright statement. 15/4: Draft document on Redmine				
	 6/5: No further progress 20/5: Mark has read through the document. Particularly concerned with how namespaces are handled in the infoset.				
	17/6: No further progress 25/6: Mike has added bit order capability as per action 233.				
	9/12: No further progress 6/1/15: Mike to resurrect this as Tresys would like to run their tdml suite against both Daffodil and IBM DFDL.				
	 10/2: No further progress 24/2: Mike updating the Daffodil TDML test runner to handle unparser (ie, serializer) tests				
	 14/4: No further progress 28/4: Tresys have enhanced their tdml runner to allow unparser tests and round-trip tests (parser->unparser->parser) as well as the new tutorial tag (see action 228) 12/5: Not discussed				
	 3/11: No progress 5/1/16: No progress. Needs more interoperability between implementations to be really useful.				
	 25/7/17: No further progress				
250	Public comment: dfdl:valueLength and dfdl:contentLength descriptions (Mike) 19/11/14: http://redmine.ogf.org/boards/15/topics/63. Agreed that the function names were ok as per errata 3.18, and that the spec is clear that they refer to the				
	grammar regions. However the grammar regions mentioned do not fully include literal nil values. Discussed what happens when parsing - remember the length or re-parse? What about lengthUnits 'characters' when the data is binary? Also the 'Notes' that follow the table need to be reworked. 26/11: Needs wording to handle all the issues found, assigned to Mike.				
	 11/3/15: Still with Mike 25/3: Mike has sent out revised wording, reviewed by Mark and Steve. Noted that				

the words need to explain the concept of building a complex element from the bottom up, and these words are equally applicable to several places in section 12.3. Mike to revise accordingly.

11/4: More revised wording sent by Mike. Started to review but realised it needed some off-line preparation and thought. Review for next call.

15/4: Review comments from Steve and Tim. The functions need to be clear that they work off the infoset value. The detailed wording is needed but should be removed to a new sub-section of 12.3, probably at end. Most sub-sections of 12.3, and the functions in 23.5.3 will refer to this new sub-section. 23.5.3 should limit itself to behaviour specific to the functions, such as not potentially represented, the effect of the \$lengthUnits argument. Also discussed what happens if \$path argument returns a nodeset > 1; should be a processing error, can always use a predicate to select one node of an array.

29/4: See various email discussions. Several things noted by Mike, and he recommends a rewrite of some of section 12.3. Then the description of the two functions becomes much simpler. Deferring for now, and will resurrect after current spec revision is finalised.

6/5: Mike is working on a mind map for the length section. Deferring until needed.

23/9: Rewrite should be postponed to future 1.1. Still need to answer the original questions about the functions though...

25/4/2016: Undeferring action as some of these issues are now impacting Daffodil team as they write their unparser. Steve has sent the email threads on this action to Mike. Mike will combine with his issues and distill into a single thread. 7/6: Thread to include use of a variable with dfdl:outputValueCalc. May be undefined at point of evaluation.

5/7: Mike has been looking at this. Two main points:

1) Computing the content length of a complex element with internal alignment.

2) Computing length in chars of a complex element which is not 100% text Mike will send out a discussion via email. Noted that rules should also apply to prefixed length calculation.

(Aside: IBM DFDL unparser does not support a prefixed length complex element with length units chars and variable width encoding).

2/8: Mike has sent out several emails.

#1: Proposes that term ComplexValue is added to the grammar to better handle ElementUnused. Knock-on effect on the wording of dfdl:valueLength(). Agreed on the change. **Issue https://redmine.ogf.org/issues/316 created.**

Spec says escapeCharacter, escapeBlockStart, escapeBlockEnd,

escapeEscapeCharacter contribute to the content length of an element. This is not correct, they are part of the value length. **Issue**

https://redmine.ogf.org/issues/317 created.

#2: Gives some options for computing DFDL length functions when target complex element has interior alignment. Agreed that the DFDL processor should detect this and give runtime SDE. This is an example of expression forward reference deadlock. Need new paragraph in section 23 of spec to cover this. **Issue https://redmine.ogf.org/issues/318 created.**

#3: Argues that DFDL should only encode/decode when it needs to when computing DFDL length functions, to allow for performance. Agreed that this behaviour was ok. **Issue https://redmine.ogf.org/issues/319 created.**

13/9: Review issues created. Need to come up with the revised descriptions for dfdl:valueLength and dfdl:contentLength functions before action can be closed.

25/7/17: No further progress

279 Improve defaulting description to explicitly cover local groups (Mike) 28/4/15: Only talks about elements, should mention local sequence and choice.

branch does not action 280 below ating to say what ds as above. sion (All) path step.
path step.
path step. a path that has a
path step. a path that has a
path step.
).
reply to DFDL4S. ameterizing the L variables. ored the
om DFDL4S.
alc which refers
restrictions. ngth element using Calc. So although is unavoidable.
o avoid using which will bring
ngs. Steve to nplexity.
char properties of other al complexity for the case when

	surrogate pairs are involved.			
	10/1/17: Mike believes there are issues. Steve to do some testing with IBM DFDL to see if the implementation works.			
	25/7: No progress			
292	Write up proposal for allowing hexBinary elements to have dfdl:lengthUnits='bits' (Mike)			
	7/2/17: Mike will create a proposal for evaluation.			
	21/2: No progress			
	4/4: Daffodil has experimental implementation, will be evaluated and written up.			
	25/7: Daffodil to write up.			
293	Investigate solutions to enabling choices in hidden groups to be unparsed			
	(AII) 7/2/17: Study of problem needed in order to best evaluate any proposals.			
	21/2: Mike has circulated a proposal internally within Daffodil.			
	4/4: No progress but immediate need has gone away. On hold for now.			
	25/7: On hold.			
294	Converting integer enumerations to meaningful strings in infoset (Mike)			
	18/4/17: Requirement from Daffodil user for parser to convert an integer enum to a			
	meaningful string value in infoset.			
	Daffodil has put forward a proposal but it relies on [unionMemberSchema] which is			
	a validation-only property. https://opensource.ncsa.illinois.edu/confluence/display/DFDL/Enumerations+and+R			
	ange+Tables+via+Simple+Type+Unions			
	Mike to re-think the approach, and also consider whether this kind of transformation			
	is really a post-DFDL step.			
	Steve to check how XQuery would approach the same problem.			
	 25/7: No progress			
295	Convert existing issues into errata (Steve)			
	27/6/17: The current set of resolved issues in Redmine need writing up as errata in			
	experience document 4, in preparation for incorporation into the next rev of the			
	DFDL 1.0 spec.			
	25/7/17: Next step is to triage the set of open issues which are in either 'submitted'			
	or 'accepted' status and varying % completions. Turns out that many can be 'closed' as they are already in experience document 4 (most issues up to #264).			
	Any that are not in experience 4 but are complete and can just be transposed will			
	be marked 'accepted'. Any that need work to get them into shape will be marked			
	'submitted'. There are 27 issues in the latter two states. Mike to take the 'accepted'			
	issues and transpose into experience 4, marking them as 'final review' so we can			
	go over them on next call.			

Closed actions

No	Action

Deferred actions

No	Action				
242	Public comment: Bi-di properties placement in precedence section (All)				
	7/11: This looks deliberate but the asymmetry between parsing and unparsing is unclear. Really needs Daffodil or IBM DFDL to implement these properties, which				

Work items:

No	Item	Owner	Target	Status