

Global Grid Forum: Proposed Policy-RG

Meeting Minutes

August 18, 2003

Minutes from 2nd post-GGF8 conference call of the Proposed Policy RG, Monday, August 18, 2003, Noon Eastern / 9am Pacific, duration: 30 minutes.

Attendees:

Darren Pulsipher (DP), Cadence, Co-President, Co-Chair
Rob Strehay (RJS), Sandial, Co-President, Co-Chair
John Moores (JDM), Sandial, Secretary

1. Preliminaries.

- Announcement that the conference call is being recorded (JDM)
- Intellectual Property Statement (RJS)

2. Status of the Proposed Policy-RG and Related Documents

- GFSG's "**7 Questions**" document was completed by RJS, who posted the completed document on the reflector, and has received no feedback from Cees de Laat (on vacation), and no negative feedback from anyone on the reflector. DP believes it is good and should not be changed.
- RJS would like to include DP's **bio** in Proposed Policy RG documents, and asked DP to send a bio. DP agreed.
- RJS will ask for a **time slot** for the Proposed Policy RG at **GGF9**. DP recommends preparing an agenda and going through Bill Johnston. DP points out that the deadline is rapidly approaching: 8/22/2003. RJS warns that he may not be able to attend the meeting at GGF9. DP plans to attend.
- RJS will be on the West Coast soon and will try to meet with Bill Johnston to discuss Proposed Policy RG documents. While out there, RJS may also try to meet with Rebekah Lepro (RSL) at NASA Ames to discuss NASA use cases, if RSL is available.
- RJS pointed out that the Proposed Policy RG is on the hook to have material to review at **GGF9**. DP suggested that the group could collect all of its use cases into one document. RJS suggested submitting this document as a **draft**, a working document. DP affirmed. RJS and DP agree this could spark more interest.

3. Interaction with Organizations Outside the GGF

- AW, although unable to participate in this meeting, did forward **DMTF and IETF material** as requested at the last meeting. This material was discussed briefly during this meeting. **DMTF**: RJS and DP agree the Proposed Policy RG should be at a metalayer above the layer addressed by the DMTF. RJS points out that many of the necessary pieces are already included in the CIM model, and we just need to understand/decide how to present this material from a grid perspective. **IETF**: RJS commented that the IETF policy framework draft contains a lot of applicable material. Everyone on the call wondered why the IETF policy framework draft never "graduated" to RFC status. Concern was expressed that the document might receive the same pushback in GGF that it received in IETF. RJS also expressed his view that the GGF security experts may appreciate the format of the IETF document, with its flexible notion of decision points and enforcement points. The draft is written at a sufficiently high level that there are no restrictions as to whether certain actions need to be performed by a DRM (distributed resource manager) or appliance, for example.

- **Use and modification of IETF materials.** It is believed that as long as IETF materials are properly referenced, it should be OK to borrow liberally. RJS plans to check on this, probably with Cees de Laat who is a liaison between the GGF and IETF. The DMTF and GGF have a mutual intellectual property agreement in place.
- **DMTF-GGF Policy Unofficial Liaison.** DP spoke with the person at Cadence Design Systems whom he thought might be an appropriate person to sit on both the DMTF and the Proposed Policy RG, but concluded that this person is too busy. Thus, an unofficial liaison is still needed. RJS suggested that an unspecified Sandial engineer is also a candidate. An engineer may be a good candidate because it is surmised that the DMTF is working out details, not just high-level issues. DP and RJS discussed the possibility of trading the DMTF unofficial liaison responsibility over time, perhaps on a monthly basis, with DP taking August, 2003.

4. Completed Action Items from 8/4/2003 Meeting:

- ❖ Send charter to Cees de Laat. (RJS). RJS also sent to Bill Johnston and Jeff Nick, Area Directors of the Grid Architecture Area.
- ❖ Seven Questions: complete, post on reflector, modify based on feedback, send to Cees de Laat / GFSG. (RJS)
- ❖ Distribute notes from January DMTF F2F meeting. (AW)
- ❖ Send DMTF conference call connection information to DP. (AW)
- ❖ Distribute old IETF framework draft discussing the distribution of policies to resources, decision points, enforcement points. (AW)

5. Action Items/Next Steps:

- ❖ DP send biographical sketch to RJS (DP)
- ❖ Collect all use cases into one document (DP, others)
- ❖ Please tell us why the IETF policy framework draft never made it to RFC status. Might the document face the same pushback in GGF? How should it be modified to increase the likelihood of acceptance? (AW)
- ❖ Take one use case from the IETF policy framework draft and map it to a grid perspective (RJS)
- ❖ Revise "Policy Use Cases for Grid Systems" based on feedback from this meeting, including superactors/roles. Send to JDM. (DP)
- ❖ After receiving revised "Policy Use Cases for Grid Systems" from DP, post to reflector (JDM)
- ❖ Request time slot for Proposed Policy-RG at GGF9 as if Policy-RG already chartered. (RJS)
- ❖ Identify candidate to sit on both Proposed Policy-RG and DMTF (DP, RJS, anyone else)
- ❖ (Optional) Describe use cases relevant to NASA. (RSL)
- ❖ Discuss with Bill Johnston the submission of the collected use cases as draft by GGF9 (RJS)
- ❖ Decide whether to submit all use cases as a draft to GFSL for formal review (Group)
- ❖ Continue discussion of modifications to "Policy Use Cases for Grid Systems." (Group)

Regards,

Dr. John D. Moores
 Chief Architect
 Sandial™ Systems, Inc.
 222 International Dr., Suite 125
 Portsmouth, NH 03801
 P: 603-766-2700
 F: 603-766-2793
 jmooresNOSPAM@sandialNOSPAM.com