

# April 20, 2004 CMM-WG teleconference minutes

## 1 Early discussion

- (1) Note taker assignment: Fred
- (2) Roll call (including e-mail)
  - Fred Maciel
  - Jem Treadwell
  - Latha Srinivasan
- (3) Approve minutes:
  - March 2, 2004 minutes: no comments, approved
  - GGF10 minutes: no comments, approved

## 2 Plans for OGSA-WG face-to-face and GGF11

- Reserve a room in Argonne on the day before the OGSA face-to-face meeting to review the CMM-WG contents?
  - Not sure if necessary, but useful
  - Fred to send e-mail to Ian Foster to reserve room
- Document submission plans:
  - GGF11 working draft deadline is in May 14 (right after OGSA-WG face-to-face). Probably no time to add feedback from OGSA-WG face-to-face meeting.
  - Fred's proposal:
    - ♦ Submit what we have in May 14 (hopefully mostly complete version).
    - ♦ Re-factor contents based on OGSA-WG face-to-face meeting discussions and do final polishing on contents, have it reviewed by OGSA-WG (slot in teleconference), GMA authors, WSDM participants, etc. Result is final version for GGF11.
    - ♦ After GGF11 wait for comments for a couple of weeks and submit to GGF editor.
  - Jem and Latha will be traveling in the next week, will send contributions to the document before departing.
- Fred: reserve two sessions in GGF11 (one for a WSDM presentation, one for CMM-WG gap analysis results and to discuss what to do next)? Consensus that it's a good plan.

## 3 Review of the latest version of gap analysis document

- Fred: main changes from last version are:

- Added contents to section 4
- Re-structured section 5
- Improvements throughout the text
- Fred: is section 4 (models) getting too inflated (too much text and not many issues)?  
Jem, Latha: contents are good, should keep.
  - Revised section 4 contents; many comments from Jem and Latha.
- Fred asks about the structure of section 5
  - Fred: what to do about the functional interfaces? Jem, Latha: agree that it's OGSA-WG's work, so we skip the analysis of the functional interfaces.
  - Fred: section 5.3 is now based on capabilities, not services. Jem, Latha: makes sense.
- On functional versus manageability interfaces:
  - Jem: not sure whether “start” is functional or manageability
  - Latha: in WSMF, start and stop would fall in control, no distinction between what is managing and what it represents. To make it simpler to us don't divide in functional and manageability, think of the manageability aspect and talk about it in the document.

## 4 Next teleconference

- To be decided later, based on the progress on the document and schedule for discussions on the OGSA-WG.