

May 7, 2004 CMM-WG teleconference minutes

1 Early discussion

- (1) Note taker assignment: Fred
- (2) Roll call (including e-mail)
 - Fred Maciel
 - Jem Treadwell
 - Latha Srinivasan
- (3) Approve last week's minutes: no comments, approved

2 Plans for face-to-face and GGF11

- GGF11: sessions were scheduled on the 7th (Monday) and 8th (Tuesday). However plans for the OGSA-WG are changing, and the day of the first session might change. The final schedule should be decided on Monday.
- CMM-WG face-to-face:
 - Room number is B146 in building 221 in Argonne; starting time will be 2 PM.

3 Review of the document

- Fred: things to discuss on the face-to-face
 - Manageability versus functional interfaces: as Latha mentioned before that these interfaces are getting blurred, and this needs to be reflected in the text. David was also asking for the same common interfaces (start/stop) in both functional and manageability interfaces.
 - Text is makes a distinction between the platform and OGSA capabilities levels. However, need to make clearer the distinctions (and commonality) between them.
 - ◆ Fred: is it correct to say that at platform capabilities level we will access the native manageability of the resources (e.g., jobs), and at the OGSA level there are higher-level interfaces and functionality (e.g., re-start and re-schedule of jobs on failure). Jem, Latha: agree.
 - ◆ Latha: agreement is OGSA capabilities level? Fred, Jem: yes. Latha likes to see platform level as enabler.
 - What to do after GGF11
 - ◆ Fred: we are perhaps back at the same situation of January, and the solution might be the same. Jem: what if we are told that there is a lot of work to be done and that we need to continue? Fred: we need to think who continues the work

and how. [The CMM-WG is working outside the charter and doing interim work in an interim shape (design team), changes are needed anyway].

- Need to change text from OGSi to WSRF, and to add WSRF to the gap analysis.
 - Fred: mention WSRF in section 1 together with WSDM? Jem: WSRF is implied by both WSDM and OGSA, don't need to mention.
- Fred will work on the section for Program Execution. Proposal: review contents on Monday, and present to the OGSA-WG on the face-to-face. Need to have a good agreement on our position towards the interfaces to explain to the OGSA-WG.
- Review of the requirements (section 3.1)
 - Consensus to review the section and have it discussed at the OGSA-WG face-to-face.
 - Fred: Hiro said in the OGSA-WG teleconference that “we don't mention UDDI in OGSA”. Latha: it's there just as an example.
 - Fred: is the new text under “reliability” really related to reliability? Latha: it has a reliability aspect, but the text is too terse and so it's not visible, need to improve the explanation.
 - Fred: “peer-to-peer” has very good contents; it's interesting that became a different item (it was supposed to go under “scalability”).
- Discussion of Jem's comments
 - Comment JT1: “management architecture” instead. Same for the beginning of “scalability”.
 - Comment JT2: interoperability doesn't avoid stovepipes, standardized / broad interoperability does. Fred agrees.
 - Comment JT3: Latha: the work support is OK.

4 Next teleconference

- To be decided later, based on the progress on the document and schedule for discussions on the OGSA-WG.