August 13, 2004 CMM-WG teleconference minutes

1 Early discussion

(1) Note taker assignment: Fred

(2) Roll call

- Fred Maciel
- Jem Treadwell
- Latha Srinivasan

(3) Approve minutes

- GGF11 minutes: no comments, approved
- last teleconference's minutes: no comments, approved

2 Correction of comments

All corrections by Jem will be accepted, so the discussion on the teleconference focused on the comments in the file. The items below correspond to the resolution corresponding to each comment, in order.

- "A management architecture should not force a single point of failure. In order to do this, managers must be allowed to manage multiple manageable resources, and a manageable resource must be allowed to be managed by multiple managers."
- Add some text
- Agreed with comment
- "This classification allows manageability interfaces to be functional interfaces as well, and it also enables access control policies to be set up at the interface/category level, based on roles and privileges."
- Agreed with comment
- "Two kinds of resource models exist:
    - IT system management, used for …
    - Resource descriptions used mostly for brokering…"
- "a utopia"
- OK
- Change to 5.3.7
- No dash
- Agreed with comment
- Cut out WSRF
- WSDM allows this functionality, but it needs to be verified if it is necessary.
- OK

- "Management functionality should indicate…" or "manageability should provide a way to"
- Already reworded, OK like that
- "are shown as services" becomes "can be accessed through WSDM-compliant interfaces"
- Leave just attributes
- Fred to think about this one
- Fred: find something that's less "gray zone" between manageability and functionality.
- Fred to improve text. Jem additionally points out that this sentence contradicts the previous sentence.
- Write a paragraph for the data container
- OK
- Turn into two bullet points
- EPR -> reference
- "should expose"
- "may not be"
- "improve" -> "provide"
- OK
- "occurs at"
- remove "potentially"
- make "command" plural to make unambiguous
- Move paragraph down to 5.3.7.2. Change text to make it an open issue.
- Make it a "should"
- Add Jay

3 Other corrections

In addition to the comments, the following mistakes were found in the text:
- 5.3.7.2: change heading to "Gaps and Open Issues"
- "not gap analysis" -> "no gap analysis"
- Second bullet point in Section 3.2: "(e.g., … etc.)" is redundant

4 Next teleconference

- To be decided later, based on the progress on the document.