

OGSA-WG interim meeting #14 — April 6th 2006 — Day 3 afternoon

Participants

Hiro Kishimoto (Fujitsu)
Andreas Savva (Fujitsu)
Michel Drescher (Fujitsu)
Fred Maciel (Hitachi)
Jay Unger (IBM)
Allen Luniewski (IBM)
Stephen McGough (Imperial)
Frank Siebenlist (ANL)
Takuya Mori (NEC) (phone)
Ravi Subramaniam (Intel) (phone)

Minutes: Michel Drescher

Frank Siebenlist presents news on the OGSA Security efforts

- Takuya cannot continue his work as he has been re-assigned
- Currently Frank will be the only person and he can only probably give 1/10 of his time

General discussion about security in grid applications and specific problems for OGSA

Jay: WS-Security paves the way in some way, but not completely. He is frightened that OGSA Security Design team is not inclined to finish that for WS-Security either

Jay suggests to communicate the results of the OGSA Sec design team back to WS-Security as there is no evidence at the moment that this communication has happened yet.

The group discusses deficiencies of WS-Addressing.

The group discusses the different semantics and requirements of names, identities and addresses.

The OGSA Security Profile will go to GGF Public Comment. This may be a good time to ask for comments from a broader audience (outside GGF).

Frank commits as a Globus representative that Globus will implement OGSA Security Profile.

Frank presents OGSA-Authz efforts

- XACML is moving very slowly

Jay: In the plain Security community inter-domain authorisation has been low important enough to be not addressed. As we start to build Grids, inter-domain authorisation becomes a necessity so that the Grid community **must** pick up the ball because inter-domain authorisation is very important to Grids.

Jay: It looks like we do not have the resources (“arms and legs”) to have the spec(s) written. What are the consequences? Do we punt this, and depend on XACML in OASIS, overpower it and get some progress done?

Frank: The third option is to implement whatever works for us individually, and gain experience with that for a couple of years, and come back later to restart the effort?

Proposal: (Jay) If OGSA WG punts security out, OGSA requires this WG to clearly and crisply document its requirements and dependencies derived from implementations of the WG standards

