LATEX Author Guidelines for ICCV Proceedings

Anonymous ICCV submission

Paper ID *****

Abstract

The ABSTRACT is to be in fully justified italicized text, at the top of the left-hand column, below the author and affiliation information. Use the word "Abstract" as the title, in 12-point Times, boldface type, centered relative to the column, initially capitalized. The abstract is to be in 10-point, single-spaced type. Leave two blank lines after the Abstract, then begin the main text. Look at previous ICCVabstracts to get a feel for style and length.

1. Introduction

Please follow the steps outlined below when submitting your manuscript to the IEEE Computer Society Press. This style guide now has several important modifications (for example, you are no longer warned against the use of sticky tape to attach your artwork to the paper), so all authors should read this new version.

1.1. Language

All manuscripts must be in English.

1.2. Dual submission

Please refer to the author guidelines on the ICCV 2025 web page for a discussion of the policy on dual submissions.

1.3. Paper length

Papers, excluding the references section, must be no longer than eight pages in length. The references section will not be included in the page count, and there is no limit on the length of the references section. For example, a paper of eight pages with two pages of references would have a total length of 10 pages. There will be no extra page charges for ICCV 2025.

Overlength papers will simply not be reviewed. This includes papers where the margins and formatting are deemed to have been significantly altered from those laid down by this style guide. Note that this LATEX guide already sets figure captions and references in a smaller font. The reason

such papers will not be reviewed is that there is no provision for supervised revisions of manuscripts. The reviewing process cannot determine the suitability of the paper for presentation in eight pages if it is reviewed in eleven.

1.4. The ruler

The LATEX style defines a printed ruler which should be present in the version submitted for review. The ruler is provided in order that reviewers may comment on particular lines in the paper without circumlocution. If you are preparing a document using a non-LATEX document preparation system, please arrange for an equivalent ruler to appear on the final output pages. The presence or absence of the ruler should not change the appearance of any other content on the page. The camera-ready copy should not contain a ruler. (LATEX users may use options of iccv.sty to switch between different versions.)

Reviewers: note that the ruler measurements do not align well with lines in the paper — this turns out to be very difficult to do well when the paper contains many figures and equations, and, when done, looks ugly. Just use fractional references (*e.g.*, this line is 087.5), although in most cases one would expect that the approximate location will be adequate.

1.5. Paper ID

Make sure that the Paper ID from the submission system is visible in the version submitted for review (replacing the "*****" you see in this document). If you are using the LATEX template, make sure to update paper ID in the appropriate place in the tex file.

1.6. Mathematics

Please number all of your sections and displayed equations as in these examples:

$$E = m \cdot c^2 \tag{1}$$

and

$$v = a \cdot t. \tag{2}$$

It is important for readers to be able to refer to any particular equation. Just because you did not refer to it in the text

does not mean some future reader might not need to refer to it. It is cumbersome to have to use circumlocutions like "the equation second from the top of page 3 column 1". (Note that the ruler will not be present in the final copy, so is not an alternative to equation numbers). All authors will benefit from reading Mermin's description of how to write mathematics: http://www.pamitc.org/documents/mermin.pdf.

1.7. Blind review

Many authors misunderstand the concept of anonymizing for blind review. Blind review does not mean that one must remove citations to one's own work—in fact it is often impossible to review a paper unless the previous citations are known and available.

Blind review means that you do not use the words "my" or "our" when citing previous work. That is all. (But see below for tech reports.)

Saying "this builds on the work of Lucy Smith [1]" does not say that you are Lucy Smith; it says that you are building on her work. If you are Smith and Jones, do not say "as we show in [7]", say "as Smith and Jones show in [7]" and at the end of the paper, include reference 7 as you would any other cited work.

An example of a bad paper just asking to be rejected:

An analysis of the frobnicatable foo filter.

In this paper we present a performance analysis of our previous paper [1], and show it to be inferior to all previously known methods. Why the previous paper was accepted without this analysis is beyond me.

[1] Removed for blind review

An example of an acceptable paper:

An analysis of the frobnicatable foo filter.

In this paper we present a performance analysis of the paper of Smith *et al*. [1], and show it to be inferior to all previously known methods. Why the previous paper was accepted without this analysis is beyond me.

[1] Smith, L and Jones, C. "The frobnicatable foo filter, a fundamental contribution to human knowledge". Nature 381(12), 1-213.

If you are making a submission to another conference at the same time, which covers similar or overlapping material, you may need to refer to that submission in order to explain the differences, just as you would if you had previously published related work. In such cases, include the anonymized parallel submission [5] as supplemental material and cite it as [1] Authors. "The frobnicatable foo filter", F&G 2014 Submission ID 324, Supplied as supplemental material fq324.pdf.

Finally, you may feel you need to tell the reader that more details can be found elsewhere, and refer them to a technical report. For conference submissions, the paper must stand on its own, and not *require* the reviewer to go to a tech report for further details. Thus, you may say in the body of the paper "further details may be found in [6]". Then submit the tech report as supplemental material. Again, you may not assume the reviewers will read this material.

Sometimes your paper is about a problem which you tested using a tool that is widely known to be restricted to a single institution. For example, let's say it's 1969, you have solved a key problem on the Apollo lander, and you believe that the 1970 audience would like to hear about your solution. The work is a development of your celebrated 1968 paper entitled "Zero-g frobnication: How being the only people in the world with access to the Apollo lander source code makes us a wow at parties", by Zeus *et al*.

You can handle this paper like any other. Do not write "We show how to improve our previous work [Anonymous, 1968]. This time we tested the algorithm on a lunar lander [name of lander removed for blind review]". That would be silly, and would immediately identify the authors. Instead write the following:

We describe a system for zero-g frobnication. This system is new because it handles the following cases: A, B. Previous systems [Zeus et al. 1968] did not handle case B properly. Ours handles it by including a foo term in the bar integral.

The proposed system was integrated with the Apollo lunar lander, and went all the way to the moon, don't you know. It displayed the following behaviours, which show how well we solved cases A and B: ...

As you can see, the above text follows standard scientific convention, reads better than the first version, and does not explicitly name you as the authors. A reviewer might think it likely that the new paper was written by Zeus *et al.*, but cannot make any decision based on that guess. He or she would have to be sure that no other authors could have been contracted to solve problem B.

FAQ 164

Q: Are acknowledgements OK?

A: No. Leave them for the final copy.

165

Q: How do I cite my results reported in open challenges?

A: To conform with the double-blind review policy, you

168



Figure 1. Example of caption. It is set in Roman so that mathematics (always set in Roman: $B \sin A = A \sin B$) may be included without an ugly clash.

can report results of other challenge participants together with your results in your paper. For your results, however, you should not identify yourself and should not mention your participation in the challenge. Instead present your results referring to the method proposed in your paper and draw conclusions based on the experimental comparison to other results.

1.8. Miscellaneous

Compare the following:

```
 \begin{array}{lll} & & conf_a \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ &
```

The space after e.g., meaning "for example", should not be a sentence-ending space. So e.g. is correct, e.g. is not. The provided \eq macro takes care of this.

When citing a multi-author paper, you may save space by using "et alia", shortened to "et al." (not "et. al." as "et" is a complete word). If you use the \etal macro provided, then you need not worry about double periods when used at the end of a sentence as in Alpher et al. However, use it only when there are three or more authors. Thus, the following is correct: "Frobnication has been trendy lately. It was introduced by Alpher [1], and subsequently developed by Alpher and Fotheringham-Smythe [2], and Alpher et al. [4]."

This is incorrect: "... subsequently developed by Alpher *et al.* [2] ..." because reference [2] has just two authors.

2. Related Work

We have summarized research work on different aspects of DT

2.1. Vehicle Detection

DT mainly uses LiDAR and cameras for vehicle object detection. Several recent studies have explored the fusion of LiDAR and camera data for vehicle detection, demonstrating significant improvements in both accuracy and robustness. For instance, MVDNet (2018) utilizes a multi-view fusion approach, where LiDAR point clouds are projected to different views (such as bird's-eye view and front view) and matched with camera images, combining depth information from LiDAR and texture information from the camera. This method improves detection performance, especially in complex environments. Building on this, PointPillars (2019) introduces a fast encoder for Li-DAR data, using a grid-based encoding approach that could potentially be integrated with camera data in future fusion methods to enhance detection speed and efficiency. Another study (2020) combines LiDAR and camera data by feeding them into separate convolutional neural networks, where the features extracted from both modalities are fused to perform object detection. This approach has shown significant improvements in detection performance, particularly in dynamic environments with occlusions or sparse LiDAR data. Lastly, ST-MVDNet (2021) introduces a self-training framework using a teacher-student mutual learning mechanism, where the teacher network is trained on the fused LiDAR and camera data, while the student network is exposed to strong data augmentation simulating missing sensor modalities.

This approach enhances the model's robustness against sensor failures by ensuring consistency between the teacher and student models, allowing the system to better handle missing or noisy data during inference. These methods collectively highlight the importance of sensor fusion and advanced learning techniques in achieving robust and accurate vehicle detection, even in challenging conditions. We use the PointPillars deep learning method which has shown good performance.

2.2. Data association

Most existing algorithms, with a few exceptions, can be seen as special cases of the multi-modal fusion problem. These methods organize the input data using a graph structure, where edges represent relationships between modalities, and nodes represent different targets or states. Algorithms that can be solved in polynomial time typically handle specific modalities or time-continuous edges, with some also utilizing maximum flow or matching algorithms. Methods that leverage global information (beyond just time continuity or modality constraints) can significantly improve performance, but they are usually NP-hard due to the involvement of combinatorial optimization. In some cases, marginal terms or local constraints are added to ensure completeness. To enhance model expressiveness, some studies



(a) An example of a subfigure.

(b) Another example of a subfigure.

Figure 2. Example of a short caption, which should be centered.

have employed higher-order relations, although the gains diminish significantly as complexity increases. Joint optimization and iterative optimization strategies have also been widely used to improve performance. We use Joint Integrated Probabilistic Data Association (JIPDA), which combines data from multiple sensors and optimizes probabilistic associations to effectively handle data uncertainty and missing information in target tracking, improving tracking accuracy and robustness in complex environments.

2.3. appearance

The appearance of vehicles can be described through color, texture, and shape features. While color and texture features are commonly used for identifying the vehicle's appearance, shape features provide important information about the vehicle's structure. The impact of lighting changes is typically adjusted through color normalization, samplebased techniques, or luminance transfer functions, which can be optimized via supervised or unsupervised learning. To enhance distinguishability, salient information about the vehicle or features related to specific body parts are often leveraged. These features can be extracted directly from images or mapped onto 3D vehicle models for improved identification accuracy. Shape features, particularly the contours and structure of the vehicle body, can effectively differentiate between different types of vehicles and provide additional distinguishing information, especially in cases of significant viewpoint variation.

The most advanced technologies in the field of vehicle re-identification currently include the combination of deep convolutional neural networks (CNN) for feature extraction and metric learning, particularly with the integration of cross-view and cross-domain learning techniques, the use of Generative Adversarial Networks (GAN) for image enhancement, and the fusion of multi-sensor data (such as cameras, radar, and LiDAR) to improve the model's robust-

ness and accuracy in complex environments.

We designed a ResNet-50 network for vehicle reidentification by drawing inspiration from Re-ID. The network is capable of performing this task effectively.

2.4. Cross-references

For the benefit of author(s) and readers, please use the

\cref{...}

command for cross-referencing to figures, tables, equations, or sections. This will automatically insert the appropriate label alongside the cross-reference as in this example:

To see how our method outperforms previous work, please see Fig. 1 and Tab. 1. It is also possible to refer to multiple targets as once, *e.g.* to Figs. 1 and 2a. You may also return to Sec. 2 or look at Eq. (2).

If you do not wish to abbreviate the label, for example at the beginning of the sentence, you can use the

\Cref{...}

command. Here is an example:

Figure 1 is also quite important.

2.5. References

List and number all bibliographical references in 9-point Times, single-spaced, at the end of your paper. When referenced in the text, enclose the citation number in square brackets, for example [5]. Where appropriate, include page numbers and the name(s) of editors of referenced books. When you cite multiple papers at once, please make sure that you cite them in numerical order like this [1–3, 5, 6]. If you use the template as advised, this will be taken care of automatically.

Method	Frobnability
Theirs	Frumpy
Yours	Frobbly
Ours	Makes one's heart Frob

Table 1. Results. Ours is better.

2.6. Illustrations, graphs, and photographs

All graphics should be centered. In LATEX, avoid using the center environment for this purpose, as this adds potentially unwanted whitespace. Instead use

\centering

at the beginning of your figure. Please ensure that any point you wish to make is resolvable in a printed copy of the paper. Resize fonts in figures to match the font in the body text, and choose line widths that render effectively in print. Readers (and reviewers), even of an electronic copy, may choose to print your paper in order to read it. You cannot insist that they do otherwise, and therefore must not assume that they can zoom in to see tiny details on a graphic.

When placing figures in LaTeX, it's almost always best to use \includegraphics, and to specify the figure width as a multiple of the line width as in the example below

2.7. Color

Please refer to the author guidelines on the ICCV 2025 web page for a discussion of the use of color in your document.

If you use color in your plots, please keep in mind that a significant subset of reviewers and readers may have a color vision deficiency; red-green blindness is the most frequent kind. Hence avoid relying only on color as the discriminative feature in plots (such as red *vs.* green lines), but add a second discriminative feature to ease disambiguation.

3. Final copy

You must include your signed IEEE copyright release form when you submit your finished paper. We MUST have this form before your paper can be published in the proceedings.

Please direct any questions to the production editor in charge of these proceedings at the IEEE Computer Society Press: https://www.computer.org/about/contact.

References

[1] FirstName Alpher. Frobnication. *IEEE TPAMI*, 12(1):234–778, 2002. 3, 4

[2]	FirstName	Alpher	and	FirstName	Fotheringham-Smythe.
	Frobnicatio	n revisite	ed. <i>Ja</i>	ournal of Fo	o, 13(1):234–778, 2003.
	3				

- [3] FirstName Alpher and FirstName Gamow. Can a computer frobnicate? In ICCV, pages 234–778, 2005. 4
- [4] FirstName Alpher, FirstName Fotheringham-Smythe, and FirstName Gamow. Can a machine frobnicate? *Journal of Foo*, 14(1):234–778, 2004. 3
- [5] FirstName LastName. The frobnicatable foo filter, 2014. Face and Gesture submission ID 324. Supplied as supplemental material fg324.pdf. 2, 4
- [6] FirstName LastName. Frobnication tutorial, 2014. Supplied as supplemental material tr.pdf. 2, 4