See corresponding articles on pages 18 and 73.

Protein Requirements: Are We Ready for New Recommendations?^{1,2}

Juan C Marini*

Department of Pediatrics, Section of Critical Care Medicine and USDA/Agricultural Research Service, Children's Nutrition Research Center, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX

In this issue of *The Journal of Nutrition*, 2 articles on protein requirements in women authored by the same research group are presented. The first deals with protein requirements during early and late gestation (1), and the second reports on requirements in women >65 y of age (2). Both studies conclude that the protein Estimated Average Requirements (EARs) and the RDAs are higher than the current FAO/WHO (3) and Institute of Medicine (4) recommendations (Table 1). Similar findings indicating higher protein requirements in octogenarian women (5), young men (6), and children (7) were published previously by the same research group (Table 1).

The determination of protein requirements in humans is a challenging undertaking because of the limitations inherent to all the methods available. Nitrogen balance, considered by many as the "gold standard," is not only tedious and time consuming but, even under extremely controlled conditions, fails to account for all of the nitrogen ingested (8). For this reason, alternative methods based on the oxidation of tracers have been proposed. One of these methods is indicator amino acid oxidation (IAAO), which has been used extensively to determine amino acid and protein requirements by the authors of the 2 articles published in this issue of *The Journal of Nutrition*. The main appeal of the IAAO technique is that it is minimally invasive and requires only a brief adaptation, thereby facilitating the determination of multiple intake points in the same individual. In addition, because of the short dietary interventions, it allows for the study of requirements in infants, children, and other vulnerable groups. However, there has been considerable debate on the theory behind the IAAO method and its application (9, 10). Therefore, the higher protein requirements that this method has yielded have been questioned. To their credit, the authors reanalyzed the existing nitrogen balance data and concluded that the RDA for adults should be 0.99 g protein/d or \sim 24% higher than the FAO/WHO recommendations (6).

Although current recommendations (0.8 g protein/d) appear to be sufficient to maintain nitrogen balance in healthy people >65 y old (11), some studies suggest that a higher protein intake (1.0–1.2 g protein/d) could be beneficial in order to maintain muscle mass and function, among other physiologic endpoints (12). Likewise, higher protein intakes (1.2–1.4 g protein/d) are recommended for endurance athletes (13), although college students were able to maintain nitrogen balance for 2 mo at protein intakes as low as 0.6 g/d (14). Thus, it seems that the protein requirements for "optimal" health and function are higher than the requirements

Protein malnutrition is not considered to be prevalent in the United States (15), although a small fraction of adolescent females and women >71 y old have usual protein intakes below the FAO/WHO RDA. However, a strikingly different picture emerges if RDAs estimated by using the IAAO technique are considered. For women aged >65 y the RDA estimated by Rafii et al. (2) exceeds the usual protein intake of \sim 74% of the U.S. population (15) (Table 1). Furthermore, the RDAs estimated by Stephens et al. (1) in early and late pregnancy exceed the protein intakes of 64% and >87%, respectively, of pregnant women from the same population (16). These new recommendations based on the IAAO technique carry serious policy implications and can affect programs such as the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC) (17), the National School Lunch Program (18), and the Elderly Nutrition Program (19), which serve 8, 30, and 3 million people, respectively, at a cost >\$16 billion/y. The consequences for food supplementation programs targeted toward vulnerable populations in developing countries would be substantially greater.

For these reasons I would like to echo the concluding remarks of Tang et al. (5) regarding the IAAO technique in that "the limitations of this short-term, noninvasive method underscore the need for new research that uses alternative experimental designs and measuring physiologic, morphologic, and health-related outcomes."

Acknowledgments

The sole author had responsibility for all parts of the manuscript.

References

- Stephens TV, Payne M, Ball RO, Pencharz PB, Elango R. Protein requirements of healthy pregnant women during early and late gestation are higher than current recommendations. J Nutr 2015;145:73–78.
- Rafii M, Chapman K, Owens J, Elango R, Campbell WW, Ball RO, Pencharz PB, Courtney-Martin G. Dietary protein requirement of female adults >65 years determined by the indicator amino acid oxidation technique is higher than current recommendations. J Nutr 2015;145:18–24.
- WHO/FAO. Report of a Joint Expert Consultation. Protein requirements of adults, including older people, and women during pregnancy and lactation. Geneva (Switzerland): WHO Press; 2007 (UNU report 05123054).
- Institute of Medicine Panel on Macronutrients; Standing Committee on the Scientific Evaluation of Dietary Reference Intakes. Dietary Reference Intakes for energy, carbohydrate, fiber, fat, fatty acids, cholesterol, protein, and amino acids. Washington: National Academies Press; 2005.

to maintain nitrogen and protein balance. Regardless, these "optimal" and often elusive endpoints cannot be determined with short-term protocols, such as the IAAO technique.

¹ The author reported no funding received for this study.

² Author disclosures: JC Marini, no conflicts of interest.

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: marini@bcm.edu.

TABLE 1 EARs, RDAs, and usual protein intakes for pregnant women, women >65 y, young men, and children¹

Category (reference)	Age,² y	IAAO, g/d		Current recommendations, g/d		Usual protein intake, g/d		Intake below
		EAR	RDA	EAR	RDA	Mean	SD	IAAO RDA, %
Early pregnancy ³ (1)	30.6 ± 3.9	1.22	1.66	0.884	1.10 ⁴	1.50 ⁵	0.44	64
Late pregnancy ⁶ (1)	30.3 ± 2.8	1.52	1.77	0.88^{4}	1.10 ⁴	1.30 ⁷	0.42	87
Women >65 y (2)	74.3 ± 7.4	0.96	1.29	0.668	0.80^{8}	1.10 ⁹	0.30	74
Women >80 y (5)	82.0 ± 1.0	0.85	1.15	0.668	0.80^{8}	1.00 ¹⁰	0.30	69
Young men (6)	26.8 ± 2.0	0.93	1.20	0.668	0.80^{8}	1.50 ¹¹	0.40	23
Children (7)	8.4 ± 1.4	1.30	1.55	0.768	0.95 ⁸	1.90 ¹²	0.70	31

¹ EAR, Estimated Average Requirement; IAAO, indicator amino acid oxidation.

- Tang M, McCabe GP, Elango R, Pencharz PB, Ball RO, Campbell WW. Assessment of protein requirement in octogenarian women with use of the indicator amino acid oxidation technique. Am J Clin Nutr 2014;99:891–8.
- Humayun MA, Elango R, Ball RO, Pencharz PB. Reevaluation of the protein requirement in young men with the indicator amino acid oxidation technique. Am J Clin Nutr 2007;86:995–1002.
- Elango R, Humayun MA, Ball RO, Pencharz PB. Protein requirement of healthy school-age children determined by the indicator amino acid oxidation method. Am J Clin Nutr 2011;94:1545–52.
- 8. Rasch TW, Benevenga NJ. Recovery of 15N in the body, urine, and gas phase of piglets infused intravenously with 15N L-alanine from 12–72 hours of age. J Nutr 2004;134:847–54.
- Fukagawa NK. Protein requirements: methodologic controversy amid a call for change. Am J Clin Nutr 2014;99:761–2.
- Millward DJ, Jackson AA. Protein requirements and the indicator amino acid oxidation method. Am J Clin Nutr 2012;95:1498–501.
- 11. Morse MH, Haub MD, Evans WJ, Campbell WW. Protein requirement of elderly women: nitrogen balance responses to three levels of protein intake. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2001;56:M724–30
- 12. Bauer J, Biolo G, Cederholm T, Cesari M, Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Morley JE, Phillips S, Sieber C, Stehle P, Teta D, et al. Evidence-based recommendations for optimal dietary protein intake in older people: a position

- paper from the Prot-Age Study Group. J Am Med Dir Assoc 2013;14:542–59.
- Rodriguez NR, DiMarco NM, Langley S. Position of the American Dietetic Association, Dietitians of Canada, and the American College of Sports Medicine: nutrition and athletic performance. J Am Diet Assoc 2009;109:509–27.
- Edwards CH, Booker LK, Rumph CH, Wright WG, Ganapathy SN. Utilization of wheat by adult man: nitrogen metabolism, plasma amino acids and lipids. Am J Clin Nutr 1971;24:181–93.
- Fulgoni VL. Current protein intake in America: analysis of the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 2003–2004. Am J Clin Nutr 2008;87(Suppl):1554S–7S.
- 16. Stephens TV, Woo H, Innis SM, Elango R. Healthy pregnant women in Canada are consuming more dietary protein at 16- and 36-week gestation than currently recommended by the Dietary Reference Intakes, primarily from dairy food sources. Nutr Res 2014;34:569–76.
- 17. USDA, Food and Nutrition Service. WIC racial-ethnic group enrollment data 2010 [cited 2014 Sep 30]. Available from: http://www.fns.usda.gov/wic/wic-racial-ethnic-group-enrollment-data-2010.
- USDA. Child nutrition tables [cited 2014 Sep 30]. Available from: http://www.fns.usda.gov/pd/child-nutrition-tables.
- U. S. Department of Health and Human Services. Elderly Nutrition Program [cited 2014 Sep 30]. Available from: http://www.nutrition.gov/food-assistance-programs/elderly-nutrition-program.

 $^{^2}$ Values are means \pm SDs

³ Early pregnancy = 16.5 ± 2.6 wk.

⁴ Institute of Medicine and Nutrition Board (4) requirements for second and third pregnancy trimesters.

⁵ Protein intake during pregnancy week 16 (16).

 $^{^{6}}$ Late pregnancy = 35.4 \pm 1.8 wk.

⁷ Protein intake during pregnancy week 36 (16).

⁸ FAO/WHO (3).

⁹ Protein intake in women aged 51-70 y (15).

¹⁰ Protein intake in women aged \geq 71 y (15).

¹¹ Protein intake in men aged 19–30 y (15).

¹² Protein intake in boys aged 9-13 y (15).