

[LSF/MM/BPF TOPIC] SMDK inspired MM changes for CXL

Kyungsan Kim / Samsung Electronics



On behalf of SMDK* team

- We appreciate LSF/MM/BPF program committee for inviting and giving us the discussion opportunity.
- Also, we sincerely appreciate all the experts here for the advices, comments, interests on this topic.

SMDK*: Scalable Memory Development Kit, Samsung CXL SW for CXL Memory

Agenda Today

- Background
- CXL Requirement and SMDK Proposal

Background - SMDK

- CXL is a promising technology that leads to fundamental changes in computing architecture.
- As CXL DRAM provider, Samsung has developed both CXL DRAM HW and SW over last couple of years. To facilitate adoption and widespread of CXL DRAM, we have been developing a CXL SW development Kit, SMDK[1], since 2021 March working with industry and academic partners. Meantime, we gained some kernel requirements from the works and customized SMDK kernel.
- Also, CXL technology has been evolving thanks to many industry's efforts. As a result, CXL adoption stage is gradually moving forward from basic enablement to real-world memory tiering usecases. Around the stage, we would like to discuss CXL requirements and introduce some of SMDK's kernel changes to kernel maintainers/contributors here.
- But, please do not get us wrong. We want to explain our thoughts and approaches, but never force the approach. Personally, I majored OS and experienced kernel development since v2.4 around 2004.
 I respect kernel experts and strongly believe OS should be changed for a rationale reason and public use.

Background - Memory Tiering Solution

- A system with CXL DRAM would consider a memory tiering solution.
 In terms of a memory tiering solution, it is typical that the solution attempts to
 locate hot data on near memory, and cold data on far memory as accurately as possible.
- The hot/coldness of data is determined by a memory consumer while near/far memory is determined by a memory provider. Thus, memory consumer needs an identifier to determine near/far memory.
- As memory vendor, SMDK put more weight on near/far memory determinism rather than hot/cold determinism, offering memory tiering interfaces for a memory consumer context at user/kernelspace.
- The following 5 requirements and 2 proposals are originated from the backgrounds.



Memory Tiering Abstraction

CXL Requirements (1)

1. CXL DRAM identifier (API and ABI)

Issue: a user/kernel context has to use the node id of a CXL memorynode to access CXL DRAM.

Thought: Node id would be ephemeral information that can be changed. In addition, it does not present a near/far attribute of the node. A userspace and kernelspace memory tiering solution need API and/or ABI to identify near/far memory node.

2. Prevention of unintended CXL page migration

Issue: In order to store swapped-out page on far memory(CXL DRAM), a page on near memory(DIMM DRAM) is allocated while zswap works. **Thought**: On the swap flow, a context that was employed a far memory should not be promoted to employ near memory accidentally.





LSF/MM – SMDK Proposal (1)

- We provide userspace/kernelspace programming interfaces to explicitly (de)allocate memory out of DIMM DRAM and CXL DRAM.
 - Syscall mmap(), mbind(), set_mempolicy()
 - Kernelspace alloc_page()
- Currently, only a userspace context is able to allocate CXL DRAM **implicitly**.
 - Kernelspace has to request CXL memory explicitly to avoid unpluggable condition by chance.



CXL Requirements (2)

3. CXL DRAM pluggability

Issue: a random unmovable allocation can make a CXL DRAM unpluggable.

It happened out of kernelspace - pinning for metadata such as struct task_struct, page, zone, etc - or even rarely userspace - pinning for DMA buffer.

By the way, we should separately think logical memory on/offline and physical memory add/remove for this issue.

Thought: a CXL DRAM should be able to be used in a selective manner, pluggable or unpluggable.

I apology for confusion while discussion. Don't get this wrong. Those are mutual-exclusive, so it cannot happen at the same time on a single CXL DRAM channel.



CXL Requirements (2)

4. Too many CXL nodes appearing in userland

Issue: many CXL memory nodes would be appeared to userland along with development of a CXL capable server, switch, and fabric topology. Currently, a userland needs to be aware and manage the nodes using a 3rd party SW such as numactl and libnuma. e.g.) lead to aggregated bandwidth among the CXL nodes.

Thought: Kernel would provide an abstraction layer to deal with the node seamlessly.

Traditionally a node implies multiple memory channels from the same distance, so we thought that multiple CXL DRAMs can be appeared as a single node as well as separated nodes.

By the way, node is the largest management unit in MM. i.e.) Node - Zone – Page. Also, historically a new zone has been added to properly deal with a new different HW and SW algorithm. What if the management dimension for a single CXL DRAM would be smaller than node? or do we need a superset node?

9



CXL Requirements (2)

 5. Flexible ways to use CXL DRAM to allow a variety of potential usecases Issue : -

Thought:

- CXL channel interleaving(HW), DDR/CXL channel interleaving(BIOS) \rightarrow +software interleaving
- − HDM grouping(BIOS) \rightarrow +after OS boot

LSF/MM – SMDK Proposal (2)

A new zone, ZONE EXMEM as a separated logical management dimension for physical CXL DRAM device.

zon

11

- What ZONE EXMEM concern?
- 1. Pluggability
 - Not confine movable or unmovable attribute
 - It is the same with ZONE NORMAL in that aspect, but it works on CXL DRAM
- 2. CXL Identifier for
 - Beneath the Syscall and kernel allocator
 - MAP EXMEM and GFP EXMEM flag traverse free pagelist of ZONE EXMEM
- 3. Node Abstraction
 - Node Zone EXMEM(1:CXL N) Subzone(1:CXL 1) Buddy list
 - Capacity/Bandwidth and Aggregation/Isolation
 - ✓ e.g.) mdadm --create /dev/md0 --level=0 --raid-devices=2 /dev/sdb1 /dev/sdc1
 - ✓ e.g.) cxl group-add --target node 1 --dev cxl1 cxl2
- 4. Zone level Algorithm (potential)
 - Logical Management for CXL Compaction, Reclaim watermark
 - Error handling RAS, Switch/Fabric connection error
 - Async operation Background (FW Update, Sanitize, etc)



Thank you !