

Goal: Build the smallest field possible in which  $p(x) = x^3 + 3x^2 - x + 2$  is NOT irreducible.

Note that  $p(x)$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$  because it has no roots in  $\mathbb{Q}$  (why is this and why is that enough?). So let's invent a new number, call it  $\xi$ , and insist that  $\xi$  is a root of  $p(x)$ . Then consider the smallest field  $E$  larger than  $\mathbb{Q}$  that also contains  $\xi$ .

1. List five elements in  $E$  that are NOT already in  $\mathbb{Q}$ .
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
2. The element  $\xi^3$  is in  $E$ , but this can also be written using smaller powers of  $\xi$ . How?
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
3. Describe  $E$  as a set using set builder notation. In other words,  $E$  is the set of all elements of the form ...
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
4. Wait: why are we doing this? Our goal is for  $p(x)$  to factor. Does it? What would one of the factors be?
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
5. Wait again: we want  $E$  to be a field. Is it? What would we need to check?

6. List five elements in the quotient ring  $\mathbb{Q}[x]/\langle p(x) \rangle$  (using the same  $p(x)$  from the previous page). Remember, these will all be cosets.
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
7. The element  $x^3 + \langle p(x) \rangle$  is an element of  $\mathbb{Q}[x]/\langle p(x) \rangle$ , but it can also be written as a “simpler” coset. How?
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
8. Describe  $\mathbb{Q}[x]/\langle p(x) \rangle$  as a set using set builder notation. In other words, this quotient ring is the set of all cosets of the form ...
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
9. Wait: if we want to show that  $E$  is a field, and  $E$  is basically the same as  $\mathbb{Q}[x]/\langle p(x) \rangle$ , then we could just show  $\mathbb{Q}[x]/\langle p(x) \rangle$  is a field. What would this mean? What do we need to verify?