

Instructions: Same rules as usual. Work together, write-up alone, no internet!

(6pts) 1. For any prime p , a p -group is a group of order p^n for some n .

(a) Explain why every element of a p group has order that is a power of p .

Solution: This is by Lagrange's theorem. The order of the group is a power of the prime p . Since every element must have an order that divides the order of the group, every element of the group has order a power of p .

(b) Prove that for any group G , if every element has order some power of p , then G is a p -group. Hint: apply Cauchy's theorem.

Solution: Suppose the order of G was not a power of p . Then there would be some other prime q which divides the order of G . By Cauchy's theorem, this means that there would be an element of order q , contradicting our assumption that every element has order some power of p (no prime is a power of any other prime).

(6pts) 2. Prove, using inner direct products, that $\mathbb{Z}_{mn} \cong \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_n$ if and only if $\gcd(m, n) = 1$. Note that the textbook has a proof of this using other methods, but you must use inner direct products for credit here.

Solution: Consider $\mathbb{Z}_{mn} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, mn\}$. Consider the cyclic subgroups $\langle m \rangle = \{0, m, 2m, \dots, (n-1)m\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_n$ and $\langle n \rangle = \{0, n, 2n, \dots, (m-1)n\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_m$. Is \mathbb{Z}_{mn} the inner direct product of $\langle m \rangle$ and $\langle n \rangle$?

To make this so requires that everything in \mathbb{Z}_{mn} can be written as the sum of an element from $\langle m \rangle$ and an element from $\langle n \rangle$, and that $\langle m \rangle \cap \langle n \rangle = \{0\}$. Notice that the first condition is equivalent to saying that for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{mn}$, there are integers r and s such that $rm + sn = k$. This is equivalent to saying that for some integers r and s , $rm + sn = 1$ (because then we could multiply both sides by k). By Bezout's lemma, this happens if and only if $\gcd(m, n) = 1$.

The second condition also requires that $\gcd(m, n) = 1$, since we need the least common multiple of m and n to be mn .

(6pts) 3. Consider the group $U_{35} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 16, 17, 18, 19, 22, 23, 24, 26, 27, 29, 31, 32, 33, 34\}$ under the operation of multiplication modulo 35. The orders of the elements are:

g	1	2	3	4	6	8	9	11	12	13	16	17	18	19	22	23	24	26	27	29	31	32	33	34
ord(g)	1	12	12	6	2	4	6	3	12	4	3	12	12	6	4	12	6	6	4	2	6	12	12	2

(a) Find two p -groups H and K such that U_{35} is the internal direct product of H and K . Briefly explain why your groups work.

Solution: The only primes which divide $|U_{35}|$ are 2 and 3, so we are looking for a 2-group and a 3-group. We take $G(2) = \{1, 6, 8, 13, 22, 27, 29, 34\}$ to be the group of elements whose orders are powers of 2 and $G(3) = \{1, 11, 16\}$ to be the group of

elements whose orders are powers of 3. Clearly $G(2) \cap G(3) = \{1\}$, and we can also show that $G(2) \cdot G(3) = U_{35}$ by simply multiplying out every pair of elements, one from $G(2)$ and the other from $G(3)$ (we will get exactly the elements of U_{35}).

- (b) Let H be the larger of the two groups above. Show how to decompose it as the internal direct product of $\langle a \rangle$ and H' where a is of maximal order and H' is some other subgroup of H .

Solution: $H = G(2)$. We take any element of $G(2)$ of maximal order. That is, consider $\langle 8 \rangle = \{1, 8, 29, 22\}$. For H' we need a 2-element subgroup generated by an element not in $\langle 8 \rangle$. So let $H' = \{1, 6\}$. Again, the intersection is clearly just $\{1\}$ and multiplying the elements of $\langle 8 \rangle$ by 6 gives the other elements of H .

- (c) Using the decompositions above (perhaps repeating the second step as needed), write U_{35} as the direct product of groups of the form \mathbb{Z}_{p^k} (p prime).

Solution: $G(3) \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$ and $H' \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. Since $\langle 8 \rangle$ contains an element of order 4 we have $\langle 8 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_4$. Thus

$$U_{35} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_4.$$

- (6pts) 4. Describe all abelian groups of order 200 (up to isomorphism). Explain how you know you have them all.

Solution: We have $200 = 2^3 \cdot 5^2$, so each abelian group will be isomorphic to the direct product of a 2-group of order 8 and a 5-group of order 25. There are two 5-groups of order 25: $\mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_5$ and \mathbb{Z}_{25} (the first has no element of order 25, the other does have such an element). There are three 2-groups of order 8, depending on whether there are elements of order 8, none of order 8 but some of order 4, and none of order 4: \mathbb{Z}_8 , $\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. Thus the 6 abelian groups of order 200 are:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_{25} & \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_{25} & \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_{25} \\ \mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_5 & \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_5 & \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_5 \end{array}$$

- (6pts) 5. Let G , H , and K be finite abelian groups. Suppose $G \times H \cong G \times K$. Prove that $H \cong K$.

Solution: The Fundamental Theorem of Finite Abelian Groups says that every finite abelian group can be written uniquely as the direct product of cyclic p -groups (each group in the product is \mathbb{Z}_{p^k} for a prime p positive integer k). Suppose that $H \not\cong K$. Then writing each as a direct product of cyclic p -groups would give different direct products. Now take the direct product of these decompositions with G , also written as the direct product of cyclic p -groups. This would say that the way we write $G \times H$ as the direct product of cyclic

p -groups is different than the way we write $G \times K$ is the direct product of cyclic p -groups, which says that $G \times H \not\cong G \times K$.