

# **Insights From PASC / StructBehavioral Conference**

**Janoś Gabler**

June 21, 2019

# It Doesn't Have To Be Painful

- ▶ “Philipp, you are missing one aspect: where is the pain?” (John Kennan, yesterday at Alter Zoll)
- ▶ At PASC, people were open for painless solutions
  - ▶ Python vs. Fortran
  - ▶ Readable vs. absolutely fastest code
  - ▶ Minimizing development time
  - ▶ People love estimagic!
- ▶ BTW: We could convince John that writing respy is painful enough and that there is good and bad pain

# It's All About Smart Algorithms

- ▶ Economists often think its about
  - ▶ a fast language
  - ▶ using large machines
- ▶ HPC Experts seem to look at the following
  - ▶ Having “good enough” solution
  - ▶ Saving calculations
  - ▶ Smart algorithms

## An Impressive Paper

- ▶ Sam Hatfield, Doctoral Student in Oxford
- ▶ Current state of whether models
  - ▶ World = Grid of 9 x 9 km squares
  - ▶ Model has to run once per hour
  - ▶ Goal: Grid of 1 x 1 km squares
  - ▶ Observation: Most time spent in spectral transformations
- ▶ Idea: reduce numerical precision

## An Impressive Paper

- ▶ Approach 1: Use 16 bit float
- ▶ Approach 2: Use mixed precision (16 and 32 bits) with Nvidia Tensor Cores
- ▶ Approach 3: Use higher precision where it matters
- ▶ 2 and 3 are precise enough and lead to more than 50 % speed-up

## An Impressive Paper

- ▶ Approach 1: Use 16 bit float
- ▶ Approach 2: Use mixed precision (16 and 32 bits) with Nvidia Tensor Cores
- ▶ Approach 3: Use higher precision where it matters
- ▶ 2 and 3 are precise enough and lead to more than 50 % speed-up
- ▶ Who sees a useful application?

# Write Future Proof Code

- ▶ Computers become more heterogeneous:
  - ▶ CPUs, GPUs, FPGAs, ARM Processors, ...
- ▶ Meteorologists rewrote a lot of code:
  - ▶ Frontend written by scientist
  - ▶ Backend written by computer scientists
- ▶ Seems like physicists are not there yet

# How Do We Write Future Proof Code?

- ▶ Rely popular existing libraries as much as possible
- ▶ Future technologies will provide drop in replacements
  - ▶ cudf, dask, ...
- ▶ Use llvm based compilers (numba!)
- ▶ Don't micro-optimize



## New Initiatives

- ▶ Chris Carroll wants to extend HARK
- ▶ Money is not an issue
- ▶ Biggest challenge: Finding good developers
- ▶ This is your chance!
- ▶ He might join the next hackathon