Real World Debugging Strategies

Janos Gabler

February 17, 2020

Introduction

Goal

- Teach and show a workflow that prevents bugs
- Teach debugging under the assumption that you did not follow the workflow
- Main insights
 - It is important to know a debugger
 - Debuggers do not solve all problems
 - Good strategies, experience and discipline are even more important
- Use real examples and live coding

Meaning of Bug and Bug Free

- User = programmer
 - Code does what docstring says for all valid inputs
 - "Design by contract" (docstring = contract)
- Users = coauthors
 - + Meaningful error messages for invalid inputs
- Many users, some unknown
 - Want to allow creative usage
 - Sensible behavior, even with invalid inputs
 - Code does what you expect from function names (nobody reads full docstring)
 - "Design bugs"

Preventing Bugs

Make Your Code Testable

- Write functions with meaningful interfaces
 - Never use global variables inside functions
 - Define valid inputs and expected outputs in docstrings
 - Define interfaces before you write the code!
- No side-effects
 - Avoid classes that modify their state
 - Never change inputs or global variables inside a function
- Do not write 1000 lines scripts and chop them into functions after some line limit



Test Your Code

- If you have good test cases:
 - Write test first, then write complete function
 - Run test with --pdb
- If you do not have test results, work in a notebook:
 - Write function call with test inputs
 - Write function interface and first line
 - Execute after each line you add and convince yourself that result is correct
 - Paste your example as doctest



Debugger

Basic Usage of a

What is a Debugger

- A program that executes a python file and
 - Stops at breakpoints
 - Lets you execute the code line by line
 - Lets you execute arbitrary Python statements within your code
- Best command line debugger is pdb++
- Works like pdb after installing with pip or conda
- Can use an IDE, but don't underestimate the power of the Terminal

Tutorial2: Example from EPP, debugger invoked via pytest

Summary of Commands

- sticky: Display the code around your current line
- Moving forward:
 - n(ext): Execute next line
 - s(tep): Execute next statement, stopping as early as possible
 - until: Execute code until a higher line than the current one is reached
 - c(ontinue): Execute code until the next breakpoint
- Moving backwards:
 - u(p): Move to an earlier frame in the call stack, i.e. move back

Summary of Commands II

- interact: start interactive interpreter with all variables is like the current scope
- !!command: execute the pdb++ command instead of a something with the same name in the current scope
 - ► Example: if n is a variable, you need !!n to execute the current line
- q(uit): Leave the debugger

Debugging in Different

Scenarios

Making Changes to Large Codebases

Debugging While Refactoring a Large Codebase

- Check if there are enough tests
- ► If not: write regression tests
 - No test should run longer than 15 seconds, test subcomponents if necessary
- Small steps:
 - Make a small change
 - Run tests / make tests pass (use --pdb)
 - Commit as soon as tests pass Video
- Bad example: Start to use estimagic in skillmodels

When It Is Too Late

- You made too large changes
 - ▶ If smaller steps are possible: git reset -hard
 - Otherwise:
 - Clone repo before change
 - Set breakpoints and run regression tests with --pdb side by side
- You have no regression test
 - Commit or stash your changes
 - Make regression tests
- Do not make more changes unless you know exactly what the problem is

Finding Bugs Caused By

Others

A Real Example

- First: I do not want to blame anybody here!
- I urgently needed new results in a project
- Needed a new estimagic feature
- After the update:
 - Optimization stopped after a few iterations, returning start params and function value of 0
 - Dashboard displayed empty plots
 - x-axis of empty plot only showed iteration 0
- Not really a case for pdb++!

Check PR history

Add dashboard gif ● #105 by roeda was merged on Dec 6, 2019 • Approved	□ 1
Refactor interfaces for minimization. #103 by tobiasraabe was merged on Dec 5, 2019 - Approved	□ 11
#102 by tobiasraabe was merged on Dec 8, 2019 - Approved #101 by tobiasraabe was merged on Dec 8, 2019 - Approved #102 by tobiasraabe was merged on Dec 8, 2019 - Approved #103 by tobiasraabe was merged on Dec 8, 2019 - Approved #104 by tobiasraabe was merged on Dec 8, 2019 - Approved #105 by tobiasraabe was merged on Dec 8	□ 25
11 implement logging ● #101 by Janosg was closed on Nov 28, 2019 • Review required □ 10 14 =================================	□ 1
Bump version ● #100 by janosg was merged on Nov 25, 2019 - Approved	□ 1
Add database functions #97 by janosg was merged on Nov 25, 2019 • Approved	□ 46
Add tests for different ways constraints may be specified. #96 by roecia was merged on Dec 6, 2019 • Approved	
Move estimagic to OSE on Anaconda and add new release.py. • #95 by tobiasraabe was merged on Nov 21, 2019 - Approved	□ 3
Miscellaneous small improvements ● #94 by roecia was merged on Nov 21, 2019 • Approved	□ 10
Add citation. #93 by tobiasraabe was merged on Nov 15, 2019 - Approved	□ 1
Adjustments Needed for Skillmodels + Bug Fixes ● #92 by janosg was merged on Nov 6, 2019 - Approved	₽ 5

Check PR history

% Add dashboard gif ● #105 by roccla was merged on Dec 6, 2019 - Approved R√ 4 of 4	□ 1
Refactor interfaces for minimization. • #103 by tobiasraabe was merged on Dec 5, 2019 • Approved	Ç 11
For Add decorators for conversions and logging. ● #102 by tobiasraabe was merged on Dec 8, 2019 • Approved ■ 101 1 1	□ 25
↑ Implement Logging • #101 by janosg was cosed on receive 2019 • Review required ↓ 1 of 4	Ç 1
Bump Version 9 #100 by Janosg was merged on Nov zo.	Ç 1
Add database functions • #97 by janosg was merged on records add - Approved	□ 46
Add tests for different ways constraints may be specified. #96 by roccia was merged on Dec or, zone of constraints.	
Move estimage to OSE on Anaconda and add new release.py. ● #95 by toblasraabe was merged on Nov 21, 2019 1991 1991	□ 3
ly Miscellaneous small improvements ● #94 by roecia was merged on Nov 21, 2019 - Approved	□ 10
Add citation 9 #93 by toblasraabe was merged on rec. 15_2019 - Approved	Ç 1
Adjustments Needed for Skillmodels + Bug Fixes #92 by Janosg was merged on Not by the Approved	□ 5

First Bug: Empty Plots

- Strategy: Fix dashboard first, it helps for rest
- Try to pin it down to one small PR ⇒ too many
- Ask Klara ⇒ I'm in Houston, she sleeps
- Generate hypothesis:
 - ▶ Plots work for ordered logit but not skillmodels
 - Could be a scaling issue
- It has worked before ⇒ need to find recent change that affected scaling

First Bug: Empty Plots II

- Search all .py files in dashboard for "scaling" (no result) and "scale" (result in convergence_tab.py)
- Check history on GitHub

First Bug: Empty Plots II

- Search all .py files in dashboard for "scaling" (no result) and "scale" (result in convergence_tab.py)
- Check history on GitHub

```
tab = Panel(
child=column(children=plots, sizing_mode="scale_width"),

title="Convergence Plots",

tab = Panel(
child=column(children=plots, sizing_mode="scale_height"),
    title="Convergence Plots",
}
```

- ► Changing it back helps
- But now I see that all datapoints are plotted at iteration=0

Second Bug: Iteration Counter

- I know how the iteration counter works
- ► It's in optimize.py. Search recent diffs for "counter"

```
c = np.zeros(1, dtype=int)

def internal_criterion(x, counter=c):
    p = reparametrize_from_internal(
        internal=x,
        fixed_values=params["_internal_fixed_value"].to_numpy(),
        pre_replacements=params["_pre_replacements"].to_numpy().astype(int),
        processed_constraints=constraints,
        post_replacements=params["_post_replacements"].to_numpy().astype(int),
        processed_params=params,
    )
c = 0
```

We increase counter by counter += 1, does not work for int

Third Bug: Weird Criterion Value

- Likelihood function works if called outside optimizer
- Likelihood always zero if called by optimizer
- Take a walk first!
- Make a list of differences between situations
 - Optimizer calls function several times
 - During optimization, function gets decorated
 - process_arguments makes a deepcopy of arguments
- Saw immediately, that the copy was the problem
- Otherwise I would have tried them one by one

Guidelines

When to use debuggers?

- Debuggers are great if
 - You get an error and want to find the source
 - You know in which function the problem is (i.e. less than 20 lines)
 - You have a theory where the problem starts
 - You debug a regression test
- Otherwise your brain might be the better debugger
- Discipline is more important than smartness!

Are you Done?

- Did you really find the bug or just a symptom?
 - If you get an error from a check, don't delete it
 - Do you understand why your solution works and the old code did not?
 - Is your fix good and in the right place?
- Why didn't you find it earlier?
 - Write a test that would have located the bug
- ▶ Did you make similar mistakes somewhere else?
 - Wrong assumptions about a function / library?
 - Talk to the person who introduced it!

Debugging Commandments

- Don't make it worse: Commit before any change
- Shorten the debug cycle: Simplify your example until it runs in a few seconds.
- ► Think!
 - Understand the problem before you try solutions
 - Formulate questions before you collect data
- Use a debugger instead of print statements
- Only stop when you are really done!

More Information

- ➤ Raymond Hettinger's Talk
- ▶ pdb++ Documentation