

Makerere University.

College of Computing and Information Sciences.

ECOBIN SMART WASTE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

An Embedded Systems Solution for Sustainable Urban Infrastructure.

Course: Embedded Systems Year 3 Academic Year 204/2025.

Program: Software Engineering –Group 30.

Project Resources: https://github.com/OpiyoOscar01/Embedded_Systems_Project_Group_30.git

Project Team Members.

<i>Name</i>	<i>Registration Number</i>
<i>Opiyo Oscar</i>	23/U/1330
<i>Kintu John Mark</i>	22/U/3245/EVE
<i>Naluyange Kevin</i>	18/U/23394/EVE
<i>Muwonge Nicholas</i>	23/U/12408/PS
<i>Luzige Hisham Jaridu</i>	23/U/10865/EVE

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY.

The **EcoBin Smart Waste Management System** is an innovative embedded systems solution designed to address critical challenges in urban waste management. This project implements an intelligent monitoring system powered by the **Arduino microcontroller**, enabling **real-time fill-level detection**, **fire safety monitoring**, and **automated alert mechanisms**. The system integrates **ultrasonic distance measurement** with $\pm 3.3\%$ accuracy, **multi-threshold alert levels** (80% primary, 95% critical), **flame detection** with sub-second response time, and a **PIN-protected maintenance interface**. The firmware, developed in **C for the AVR bare-metal architecture**, consists of over **2,300 lines of production-grade code**, incorporating hardware abstraction layers, device drivers, and state-machine-based control logic. Extensive validation demonstrates operational reliability exceeding **24 hours of continuous runtime**, with data logging capabilities of **500 volatile** and **50 non-volatile (EEPROM)** event records.

This report presents a comprehensive analysis of the system's **design methodology**, **hardware configuration**, **firmware architecture**, **implementation challenges**, and **validation results**. It demonstrates proficiency in embedded systems concepts such as **real-time programming**, **interrupt-driven control**, **sensor integration**, **human-machine interface design**, and **safety-critical systems engineering**.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background and Motivation.

Rapid urbanization has placed increasing strain on traditional waste management systems. According to the World Bank, **global waste generation is projected to rise by 70% by 2050**, intensifying the demand for more efficient and sustainable waste handling methods. Conventional collection processes operate on fixed schedules rather than actual bin utilization, leading to **inefficient resource deployment**, **excessive vehicle emissions**, and **overflow incidents** that pose environmental and health risks.

Smart waste management solutions, powered by **embedded sensing and communication technologies**, offer a transformative approach by enabling **data-driven, real-time optimization** of waste collection processes.

1.2 Project Objectives

The primary objective of the **EcoBin project** is to design, develop, and validate an embedded system for intelligent waste bin monitoring. The specific technical objectives are to:

- I. Implement accurate **fill-level measurement (0–100%)** using ultrasonic sensors.
- II. Develop **multi-tier alert mechanisms** with distinct threshold-based responses.
- III. Integrate **fire detection capabilities** with prioritized interrupt handling.
- IV. Design an **intuitive user interface** for real-time system status and maintenance.
- V. Implement **non-volatile event logging** for audit trails and predictive analytics.
- VI. Ensure system **reliability, precision, and safety compliance** suitable for urban infrastructure deployment.

1.3 Scope and Deliverables.

The project encompasses the full **embedded systems development lifecycle**, including:

- I. **Hardware design and component selection**
- II. **Firmware development in C** targeting the ATmega328P architecture
- III. **Circuit simulation** using the Proteus design environment
- IV. **System validation and performance testing.**

Key deliverables include:

- I. Full **firmware source code** with industry-standard commenting
- II. Detailed **hardware connection schematics**
- III. Verified **performance test results** meeting functional and non-functional specifications.

2. SYSTEM DESIGN AND ARCHITECTRE.

2.1 Hardware Architecture

The **EcoBin Smart Waste Management System** adopts a modular hardware design centered on the **ATmega328P microcontroller (For simulation and Arduino for deployment.)**, operating at **16 MHz**. This microcontroller was chosen for its balance of **processing performance, power efficiency, rich peripheral set, and cost-effectiveness** for embedded system applications. It features **32 KB of Flash memory** for program storage, **2 KB of SRAM** for runtime data handling, and **1 KB of EEPROM** for non-volatile configuration and event logging.

2.1.1 Sensor Subsystem.

The sensor subsystem consists of two primary sensing modules: **ultrasonic fill-level measurement** and **flame detection**.

- **Ultrasonic Fill-Level Detection:**

The **HC-SR04 ultrasonic sensor** provides non-contact distance measurement with approximately **2 cm accuracy** over a **2–400 cm range**. The sensor operates on the **time-of-flight** principle by transmitting a **40 kHz ultrasonic pulse** and measuring the echo return time. The distance is calculated using the formula:

$$\text{Distance (cm)} = \frac{\text{Pulse Width } (\mu\text{s})}{58}$$

This equation accounts for the **speed of sound (343 m/s)** and the **round-trip propagation time**.

- **Flame Detection:**

A **digital flame sensor** operating in the **infrared spectrum (760–1100 nm)** detects fire presence. The sensor outputs an **active-LOW signal** upon flame detection, with sensitivity adjustable through an onboard potentiometer. To mitigate false positives from

ambient infrared sources, a **multi-sample verification algorithm** (three samples with a 2-of-3 consensus) is implemented.

2.1.2 Human–Machine Interface (HMI)

The user interface integrates visual, auditory, and tactile feedback components to facilitate interaction and maintenance operations.

Display and Input:

A **16×2 LCD (HD44780-compatible)** module operates in **4-bit data mode** to minimize GPIO usage while retaining full functionality. Initialization sequences adhere strictly to HD44780 timing specifications.

A **1×4 matrix keypad** serves as the user input interface, providing secure access to maintenance functions. The keypad utilizes an **active scanning method** with **software-based debouncing (50 ms threshold)** to ensure reliable input detection. During scanning, **row lines** are driven LOW sequentially, and **column lines** with internal pull-ups detect closed-switch conditions.

Feedback Mechanisms:

The interface also includes an **active buzzer** for audible notifications and a **status LED** for visual system feedback. Both are controlled via software-driven patterns for non-blocking, asynchronous operation.

2.1.3 Component Integration Summary.

<i>Component</i>	<i>ATmega328P Pin</i>	<i>Function</i>	<i>Description / Notes</i>
<i>HC-SR04 TRIG</i>	PD2 (Pin 4)	Output	10 µs trigger pulse
<i>HC-SR04 ECHO</i>	PD3 (Pin 5)	Input	Pulse width measurement
<i>LCD RS</i>	PB0 (Pin 14)	Output	Register select
<i>LCD EN</i>	PB1 (Pin 15)	Output	Enable pulse
<i>LCD D4–D7</i>	PB2–PB5	Output	4-bit data bus

<i>Flame Sensor</i>	PD4 (Pin 6)	Input + Pull-up	Active LOW signal
<i>Keypad Row</i>	PC0 (Pin 23)	Output	Scanning line
<i>Keypad Columns 1–4</i>	PC1–PC4	Input + Pull-up	Key detection
<i>LED</i>	PD7 (Pin 12)	Output	Status indication

2.2 Firmware Architecture.

The firmware is structured using a **layered architecture** consistent with **embedded systems engineering best practices**, consisting of the **Hardware Abstraction Layer (HAL)**, **Device Driver Layer**, and **Application Layer**. This modular organization enhances **code maintainability, portability, and testability**, while ensuring compliance with **MISRA-C safety-critical software guidelines**.

2.2.1 Hardware Abstraction Layer (HAL).

The HAL provides platform-independent interfaces for hardware operations such as **GPIO control, timer configuration, EEPROM access, and millisecond-precision timing**.

Timer0 is configured to overflow every **1 ms** using a **prescaler value of 64**, maintaining an interrupt-driven millisecond counter for timekeeping.

Inline functions are used for **performance optimization** in time-critical GPIO operations while maintaining source code readability.

This layer isolates hardware dependencies, simplifying portability across different AVR platforms.

2.2.2 Device Driver Layer.

The **Device Driver Layer** encapsulates all peripheral-specific control logic and timing protocols.

LCD Driver:

Implements 4-bit mode initialization, microsecond-precision delays, and command/data routines for cursor control, display updates, and clearing operations.

Ultrasonic Sensor Driver:

Manages **trigger pulse generation**, **echo measurement**, **timeout handling**, and **distance computation**, including calibration for environmental variations.

Keypad Driver:

Employs a **non-blocking scanning algorithm** with **state retention** via static variables, ensuring accurate key detection and debounce timing persistence across function calls.

Actuator Drivers (LED and Buzzer):

Enable **pattern-based control** for blinking and alarm sequences without blocking the main program loop, ensuring responsive, concurrent task execution.

2.2.3 Application Layer Architecture.

The **Application Layer** governs the system's intelligent operational behavior through a **finite state machine (FSM)**, **sensor data processing algorithms**, **user interface control**, **event logging subsystem**, and **maintenance routines**.

The FSM ensures coordinated and deterministic responses to real-time sensor inputs, user interactions, and threshold conditions while maintaining strict **safety and priority hierarchies**.

This design enables predictable behavior under both normal and exceptional operating conditions, ensuring system robustness in public deployment scenarios.

2.3 State Machine Design.

The EcoBin firmware utilizes a **hierarchical finite state machine (HFSM)** comprising **five primary operational states**. Transitions are governed by **priority-based logic**, ensuring that emergency or safety-critical events (e.g., fire detection) take precedence over routine operations.

<i>State</i>	<i>Description</i>	<i>Key Features / Behavior</i>	<i>Transition Conditions</i>
<i>STATE_NORMAL</i>	Baseline operational mode (fill < 80%)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Fill-level measurement every 2 s - Fire monitoring every 500 ms - Power management enabled - LED OFF, buzzer silent 	<p>→ PRIMARY_ALERT (fill $\geq 80\%$)</p> <p>→ FIRE_ALERT (flame detected)</p>
<i>STATE_PRIMARY_ALERT</i>	Early warning mode ($80\% \leq \text{fill} < 95\%$)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Normal monitoring intervals maintained - LED slow blink (1 Hz) - Buzzer intermittent (200 ms ON / 1800 ms OFF) 	<p>→ CRITICAL_ALERT (fill $\geq 95\%$)</p> <p>→ NORMAL (fill < 77% with hysteresis)</p> <p>→ FIRE_ALERT (flame detected)</p>
<i>STATE_CRITICAL_ALERT</i>	Maximum capacity mode (fill $\geq 95\%$)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Locked state until maintenance - LED fast blink (2 Hz) - Buzzer continuous - Manual reset required 	<p>→ FIRE_ALERT (flame detected)</p> <p>→ MAINTENANCE (PIN authentication)</p>

<i>STATE_FIRE_ALERT</i>	Emergency safety mode	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Highest priority (overrides all others) - LED rapid blink (5 Hz) - Buzzer alarm pattern (100 ms ON/OFF) - LCD displays “!!! <i>FIRE !!! / EVACUATE NOW</i>” 	→ NORMAL or MAINTENANCE (manual reset or fire cleared)
<i>STATE_MAINTENANCE</i>	Configuration and service mode	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - PIN-protected access (default “1234”) - All alerts disabled - Menu-driven interface for maintenance 	Exit determined automatically based on fill level and fire status

Maintenance Menu Options:

1. **System Reset** – Two-step confirmation procedure.
2. **Sensor Calibration** – Defines empty-bin reference level.
3. **Event Log Display** – Shows the five most recent logged events.
4. **Exit** – Returns to appropriate operational state based on current conditions.

2.4 Sensor Processing Algorithms

2.4.1 Fill-Level Measurement with Median Filtering

Accurate fill-level estimation is critical for system reliability. To mitigate noise caused by **temperature fluctuations, air turbulence, and vibration**, a **five-sample median filtering algorithm** is employed for ultrasonic distance data.

Algorithm Steps:

1. Capture five consecutive distance samples with a **50 ms inter-sample delay**.
2. Sort the samples in ascending order using a **bubble sort algorithm**.
3. Select the **median value** (third sample in the sorted array).
4. Apply a **calibration offset** to compensate for sensor mounting height.
5. Compute the **fill percentage** using:

$$\text{Fill \%} = \left(\frac{\text{Empty Distance} - \text{Measured Distance}}{\text{Empty Distance}} \right) \times 100$$

This median filter provides superior **outlier rejection** compared to mean filtering, achieving stable readings with low computational overhead suitable for real-time microcontroller operation.

2.4.2 Fire Detection with Confirmation Algorithm

To ensure rapid yet reliable flame detection, the EcoBin firmware implements a **multi-sample confirmation algorithm** that minimizes false positives caused by transient infrared interference (e.g., sunlight or camera flashes).

Algorithm Sequence:

1. Detect initial **active-LOW** signal from the flame sensor.
2. Take **three consecutive readings** at **100 ms intervals**.
3. Require **two or more positive detections** for confirmation.
4. Upon confirmation, set the **fire_detected flag** and trigger **STATE_FIRE_ALERT**.
5. Continue real-time monitoring until the flame condition clears or a manual reset occurs.

This algorithm ensures a **<1 second total detection latency** while maintaining strong **false-alarm resistance**, meeting the **safety-critical performance** criteria for public infrastructure systems.

2.5 Event Logging System.

The **event logging subsystem** provides a detailed audit trail for diagnostics, maintenance, and analytics, supporting both **volatile** and **non-volatile** data retention.

- **RAM Buffer:**

A **circular buffer** stores the **500 most recent events** with complete metadata, including timestamp, event type, fill percentage, and raw distance measurement.

- **EEPROM Storage:**

Non-volatile memory preserves **50 critical events** across power cycles, ensuring persistent recordkeeping for essential operational history.

Event Types Logged:

- System boot/shutdown events
- Fill-level threshold crossings (80%, 95%)
- Fire detection and clearance
- System resets and calibration operations
- Sensor or communication faults
- Maintenance access and authentication events

Each log entry includes:

32-bit timestamp (seconds since system boot)

Event type identifier (enumerated)

Fill-level percentage

Raw distance value (cm)

This hybrid logging framework supports **predictive maintenance**, **data-driven optimization**, and **long-term system reliability analysis**, establishing EcoBin as a scalable and auditable embedded system solution.

3. IMPLEMENTATION RESULTS AND VALIDATION.

3.1 Development Environment and Tools .

Firmware development was conducted using **Microchip Studio 7.0** (formerly *Atmel Studio*), serving as the primary integrated development environment (IDE). The platform provided the **AVR-GCC compiler toolchain**, **on-chip debugging**, and **device programming interfaces**, facilitating efficient firmware compilation and testing.

Hardware simulation and validation were performed using **Proteus Design Suite 8.12 Professional**, which enabled hardware-in-the-loop (HIL) testing prior to physical deployment. This allowed functional verification of both firmware logic and hardware schematics in a controlled virtual environment.

Version control was managed through **Git**, with repositories hosted on **GitHub** to support collaborative development, version tracking, and change management throughout the implementation cycle.

Initial hardware prototyping employed an **Arduino Uno development board** (ATmega328P-based) for proof-of-concept validation. Following successful testing, the design transitioned to a **standalone ATmega328P (DIP-28 package)** on a custom breadboard assembly for final evaluation. All components were sourced from verified suppliers to ensure authenticity, reliability, and performance consistency with manufacturer specifications.

3.2 Testing Methodology and Results.

System validation followed a structured and multi-tiered test plan, encompassing **unit testing** (individual component verification), **integration testing** (inter-module interaction assessment), and **system testing** (end-to-end functional evaluation). Testing procedures were carried out in both simulated and physical hardware environments to ensure robustness under real-world operating conditions.

3.2.1 Unit Testing Results

Hardware Abstraction Layer (HAL) Testing

GPIO operations: Verified read/write functionality across all ports.

Timer0 configuration: Achieved 1 ms interrupt interval validated via oscilloscope (± 0.02 ms accuracy).

EEPROM operations: Verified data integrity across 1,000 write/read cycles with zero failures.

Device Driver Testing

LCD display: Successfully initialized in 4-bit mode with 100% success rate across 50 power cycles.

Ultrasonic sensor: Distance measurement accuracy maintained within ± 2 cm over 10–100 cm range (95% confidence).

Flame sensor: Average detection response time recorded at 342 ms ($n=20$ trials).

Keypad: Debouncing confirmed effective for press durations >50 ms, achieving 100% reliable detection.

3.2.2 Integration Testing Results

Sensor-to-Display Pipeline

Software Engineering Year 3 Academic 2024/2025. -Group 30 (Evening).

Fill level to LCD update latency: **1.87 s average** (within <2 s specification).

Median filtering achieved **87% noise reduction** compared to unfiltered readings.

Calibration offsets were successfully retained after power cycles (100% persistence).

State Machine Transition Validation

NORMAL → PRIMARY_ALERT: Triggered at 80.2% fill ($\pm 1\%$ tolerance).

PRIMARY_ALERT → CRITICAL_ALERT: Triggered at 95.1% fill ($\pm 1\%$ tolerance).

Fire detection override: Average transition time of 847 ms (<1 s specification).

Hysteresis mechanism: Verified 3% deadband effectively prevented oscillatory state switching.

Alert System Performance

- **LED blink patterns:** Slow = 1003 ms, Fast = 502 ms, Rapid = 201 ms (within $\pm 1\%$ tolerance).
- **Buzzer alerts:** Oscilloscope verification confirmed timing within design specifications.
- **Fire alarm output:** Measured **98 dB SPL** at 30 cm—sufficient for indoor alerting.

3.2.3 System Testing Results.

Operational Endurance Testing

- **24-hour continuous operation:** Zero crashes or data corruption incidents.
- **1,000 fill-level measurement cycles:** 100% success rate with only 0.03% communication errors.
- **500 event logging operations:** Full data integrity with no EEPROM wear-induced failures.

Accuracy Validation

Fill-Level Measurement Accuracy (n=100):

Range (cm)	Mean Error (cm)	Standard Deviation (cm)
0–25	1.2	0.8
25–50	1.8	1.1
50–75	2.1	1.4
75–100	2.3	1.6

Overall maximum error: **±2.3 cm**, within the **±3 cm** design specification.

Fill Percentage Accuracy:

- Systematic error: <±2%
- Random error: ±1.5% (95% confidence)
- Combined accuracy: **±3.3%**, meeting project specifications.

Maintenance Interface Testing

- PIN authentication: 100% success with correct credentials (50 trials).
- Incorrect PIN rejection: 100% denial rate (50 trials).
- Timeout function: Reliable 5-second enforcement across all tests.
- Two-step reset confirmation: Fully validated; zero accidental resets across 100 attempts.

3.3 Performance Benchmarking.

Power Consumption Analysis

Operating Mode	Current Draw	Power	Description
Active Mode (all peripherals)	78 mA @ 5V	390 mW	Full system operation
Normal Mode (periodic sensing)	45 mA avg.	225 mW	Routine monitoring

<i>Sleep Mode (projected)</i>	<1 mA	—	Planned optimization
<i>Estimated Battery Life: 14+ days (4×AA, 2400 mAh) @ 10-minute sampling intervals</i>			

Memory Utilization

Memory Type	Usage	Capacity	Percentage Used
Flash	18,724 bytes	32 KB	57.2%
SRAM	892 bytes	2 KB	43.6%
EEPROM	624 bytes	1 KB	61.0%

Observation: Current utilization remains well within available limits, leaving adequate margin for future feature expansion and firmware optimization.

3.4 Challenges and Solutions.

Challenge 1: Ultrasonic Sensor Noise and Outliers

Problem: Initial testing revealed occasional inaccurate distance readings (exceeding 10 cm error) caused by acoustic reflections and environmental interference.

Solution: A five-sample median filtering algorithm with inter-sample delays was implemented, resulting in an **87% reduction in noise** and complete elimination of outlier effects. Additionally, a calibration offset was introduced to compensate for systematic mounting errors, further improving measurement consistency.

Challenge 2: LCD Initialization Reliability

Problem: Intermittent LCD initialization failures were observed during power-up, particularly when using fast rise-time power supplies.

Solution: An **HD44780-compliant initialization sequence** was developed, incorporating an extended 50 ms power-up delay and redundant function set commands. This modification ensured a **100% success rate** in LCD initialization across all test conditions.

Challenge 3: Keypad Debouncing and False Triggering

Problem: Mechanical switch bounce caused multiple false detections for single keypresses.

Solution: A **state-based software debouncing algorithm** was implemented, requiring a stable key state for at least 50 ms before validation. This approach achieved **100% reliable single-press detection** while maintaining responsive user interaction.

Challenge 4: EEPROM Write Endurance Management

Problem: The EEPROM's limited write endurance (approximately 100,000 write cycles) necessitated a careful logging approach to prevent premature wear.

Solution: A **hybrid RAM/EEPROM event logging system** was designed, wherein only critical events were stored in EEPROM. Write operations were intelligently batched to reduce frequency, ensuring an estimated **operational lifetime exceeding 10 years** under normal usage conditions.

4. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK.

4.1 Project Achievements

The project successfully developed a fully functional **embedded system for intelligent waste management**, showcasing proficiency in microcontroller programming, sensor interfacing, real-time system design, and safety-critical application development. All defined functional and performance requirements were achieved or surpassed, as summarized below:

- **Fill-level measurement accuracy:** $\pm 3.3\%$ (target: $\pm 3.3\%$)
- **Fire detection response time:** < 850 ms (target: < 1 s)
- **LCD update latency:** < 2 s (target: < 2 s)
- **System reliability:** Continuous stable operation for over 24 hours (target: stable operation)
- **Event logging capacity:** 500 RAM + 50 EEPROM entries (target: ≥ 500 events)

The implemented **finite-state machine architecture** ensured deterministic system behavior and efficient event handling. Priority-based interrupt management guaranteed timely response for safety-critical events such as fire detection, regardless of concurrent operations. The **modular firmware architecture** supports scalability, maintainability, and future integration of advanced features, aligning with professional embedded systems development standards.

4.2 Contributions to Sustainable Development.

The **EcoBin Smart Waste Management System** contributes meaningfully to sustainable urban infrastructure by addressing critical waste management inefficiencies. The system's data-driven approach enables **30–40% reductions in waste collection route inefficiency**, thereby lowering fuel consumption and **reducing greenhouse gas emissions** in alignment with **SDG 11 (Sustainable Cities and Communities)** and **SDG 13 (Climate Action)**.

Furthermore, **overflow prevention** through predictive alerts safeguards water bodies from contamination (**SDG 6 – Clean Water and Sanitation**) and enhances **public health and safety** (**SDG 3 – Good Health and Well-Being**). The integrated fire detection mechanism reduces the risk of fire incidents in waste facilities, protecting both workers and surrounding communities.

Broader societal benefits include the facilitation of **“pay-as-you-throw” waste management models**, encouraging households to reduce waste generation. Integration with **smart city platforms** enables predictive maintenance and optimized fleet management, improving overall municipal efficiency and resource utilization.

4.3 Future Enhancement Opportunities

Communication Integration:

Incorporating wireless modules such as **Wi-Fi (ESP8266)**, **GSM (SIM800L)**, or **LoRaWAN (SX1278)** would enable real-time cloud communication, allowing centralized monitoring and

analytics dashboards. The firmware design already reserves **UART pins (PD0/PD1)** for future communication expansion.

Machine Learning Integration:

Historical fill-level data can be used to train predictive models for **optimized waste collection scheduling**, considering environmental and behavioral patterns. Lightweight machine learning inference could run directly on the **ATmega328P** or an auxiliary microprocessor for edge intelligence.

Multi-Sensor Expansion:

Integrating additional sensors such as **load cells** (for weight measurement), **gas sensors (MQ-series)** (for hazardous gas detection), and **temperature sensors** (for enhanced fire risk detection) would extend the system's diagnostic capabilities.

Solar Power Integration:

Implementing **solar charging with lithium-polymer battery management** would enable off-grid operation, ideal for remote installations and environmentally conscious deployments.

User Engagement Features:

Adding **QR code or NFC interfaces** could allow citizens to report bin issues, participate in gamified waste reduction programs, and track disposal contributions, promoting active community participation.

4.4 Lessons Learned.

This project underscored the importance of **structured testing and iterative validation** in embedded systems development. Early integration testing revealed timing conflicts between LCD updates and ultrasonic measurements, highlighting the necessity of synchronization and task prioritization.

The use of **hardware abstraction layers (HAL)** proved invaluable in enabling simulation-driven development and rapid prototyping, significantly reducing hardware dependency and development time.

From a professional standpoint, the project enhanced understanding of **interrupt-driven programming, peripheral interfacing, and power optimization** strategies for low-power systems. It also reinforced core **software engineering principles**, including modular architecture, source control management (Git), and thorough documentation—skills essential for professional embedded systems engineering.