

Additional Information ICTAI 2018

1 Metrics and Objective Functions

The objective function COE evaluates the cohesion of PLA design in terms of internal relationship of the classes of the PLA design measured by the metric H (Equation 1). ACLASS measures class coupling by the number of architectural elements that depend on other classes of the design ($CDepIn$), added to the elements number in which each class depends ($CDepOut$) according to Equation 2. For Equations 1 and 2, c is the number of classes.

FM provides indicator about feature modularization by the sum of feature scattering ($CDAC, CDAO, CDAI$), feature interlacing ($CIBC, IIBC, OOBC$) and feature-driven cohesion (LCC) metrics [1]. It evaluates the feature modularization of the PLA design, being formed by metrics driven to SPL features [2] according to Equation 3, where given a PLA design pla , c is the number of components and f is the number of features of pla .

$$COE(pla) = \sum_{i=1}^c H \quad (1)$$

$$ACLASS(pla) = \sum_{i=1}^c CDepIn + \sum_{i=1}^c CDepOut \quad (2)$$

$$FM(pla) = \sum_{i=1}^c LCC + \sum_{i=1}^f CDAC + \sum_{i=1}^f CDAI + \sum_{i=1}^f CDAO + \sum_{i=1}^f CIBC + \sum_{i=1}^f IIBC + \sum_{i=1}^f OOBC \quad (3)$$

2 PLAs Informations

The four PLA designs used in this study are briefly described below. Arcade Game Maker (AGM) is a SPL that includes three arcade games: Brickles, Bowling and Pong, developed by SEI [3]. Banking System (BANK) [4] is a SPL created to manage banking systems. Mobile Media (MOM) was designed for media control on mobile devices [5]. And, the real SPL Electronic Tickets in Urban Transportation (BET) [6] was developed to manage urban transport. Information regarding the PLA designs is presented in Table 1.

Table 1: PLA design architectural elements number

PLAS	#Components	#Interfaces	#Classes	#Mandatory Features	#Variables Features
AGM	9	14	30	6	5
BANK	4	5	25	13	3
MOM	8	15	14	7	7
BET	56	30	115	8	10

References

- [1] Y. D. Verdecia, T. E. Colanzi, S. R. Vergilio, and M. C. B. Santos, “An enhanced evaluation model for search-based product line architecture design,” in *XX Ibero-American Conference on Software Engineering (CIBSE - ICSE 2017)*, 2017.
- [2] C. Nunes, U. Kulesza, C. Sant’Anna, I. Nunes, A. Garcia, and C. Lucena, “Assessment of the design modularity and stability of multi-agent system product lines,” *Journal of Universal Computer Science*, vol. 15, no. 11, pp. 2254–2283, jun 2009.
- [3] P. C. Clements and L. M. Northrop, “A framework for software product line practice, version 5.0,” 2016. [Online]. Available: <http://www.sei.cmu.edu/productlines/tools/framework/>
- [4] H. Gomaa, *Software modeling and design: UML, use cases, patterns, and software architectures*. Cambridge University Press, 2011.
- [5] A. C. Contieri Jr, G. G. Correia, T. E. Colanzi, I. M. Gimenes, E. A. Oliveira Jr, S. Ferrari, P. C. Masiero, and A. F. Garcia, “Extending uml components to develop software product-line architectures: Lessons learned,” in *European Conference on Software Architecture*. Springer, 2011, pp. 130–138.
- [6] P. M. Donegan and P. C. Masiero, “Design issues in a component-based software product line.” in *SBCARS*, 2007, pp. 3–16.