

# MATH60005/70005: Optimisation (Autumn 24-25)

## Chapter 4: exercises and solutions

Dr Dante Kalise, Dr Estefanía Loayza-Romero and Sara Bicego

Department of Mathematics

Imperial College London, United Kingdom

{dkaliseb,kloayzar,s.bicego21}@imperial.ac.uk

1. Find the exact linesearch stepsize when  $f(\mathbf{x})$  is a quadratic function  $f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} + 2\mathbf{b}^\top \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{c}$  where  $\mathbf{A}$  is an  $n \times n$  positive definite matrix,  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}$ .
2. Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be a symmetric  $n \times n$  matrix,  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then the function  $f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} + 2\mathbf{b}^\top \mathbf{x} + c$  is a  $C^{1,1}$  function. The smallest Lipschitz constant of  $f$  is  $2\|\mathbf{A}\|_2$
3. Show that  $f(\mathbf{x}) = \sqrt{1 + \mathbf{x}^2} \in C_L^{1,1}$ .
4. Give an example of a function  $f \in C_L^{1,1}(\mathbb{R})$  and a starting point  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that the problem  $\min f(x)$  has an optimal solution and the gradient method with constant stepsize  $t = \frac{2}{L}$  diverges.
5. Consider the localization problem where we are given  $m$  locations of sensors  $\mathcal{A} := \{\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_m\}$ , with each sensor in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and approximate distances between the sensors and an unknown source located at  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ :  $d_i \approx \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a}_i\|$ . We try to find the source location  $\mathbf{x}$  given the sensor locations  $\mathcal{A}$  and the approximate distances  $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m$ . For this, we write the optimization problem:

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \left\{ f(\mathbf{x}) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^m (\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a}_i\| - d_i)^2 \right\}.$$

- a) State the first-order optimality condition for this problem, and show that for  $\mathbf{x} \notin \mathcal{A}$  it is equivalent to

$$\mathbf{x} = \frac{1}{m} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{a}_i + \sum_{i=1}^m d_i \frac{\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a}_i}{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a}_i\|} \right\}$$

- b) Show that the iteration:

$$\mathbf{x}^{k+1} = \frac{1}{m} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{a}_i + \sum_{i=1}^m d_i \frac{\mathbf{x}^k - \mathbf{a}_i}{\|\mathbf{x}^k - \mathbf{a}_i\|} \right\}$$

is a gradient method, assuming that  $\mathbf{x}^k \notin \mathcal{A}$  for all  $k \geq 0$ . What is the stepsize?



c) Write an explicit Gauss-Newton iteration of the form

$$\mathbf{x}^{k+1} = \mathbf{x}^k - \mathbf{d}^k,$$

giving an expression for  $\mathbf{d}^k$  in terms of the Jacobian and vectorized cost for this problem, without computing the inverse.

6. Consider the quadratic function  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^\top Q \mathbf{x}$$

where  $Q$  is a symmetric matrix of size  $2 \times 2$  with eigenvalues  $0 < \lambda_{\min} < \lambda_{\max}$ . Suppose we apply the gradient descent method to the problem of minimizing  $f$ , with exact line search and initial point

$$\mathbf{x}_0 = \frac{1}{\lambda_{\min}} \mathbf{u}_{\min} + \frac{1}{\lambda_{\max}} \mathbf{u}_{\max}$$

where  $\mathbf{u}_{\min}$  and  $\mathbf{u}_{\max}$  are the norm one eigenvectors associated with  $\lambda_{\min}$  and  $\lambda_{\max}$ , respectively.

a) Show that after 1 iteration

$$\mathbf{x}_1 = \left( \frac{\lambda_{\max} - \lambda_{\min}}{\lambda_{\max} + \lambda_{\min}} \right) \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_{\min}} \mathbf{u}_{\min} - \frac{1}{\lambda_{\max}} \mathbf{u}_{\max} \right).$$

b) Assuming that

$$\mathbf{x}_k = \left( \frac{\lambda_{\max} - \lambda_{\min}}{\lambda_{\max} + \lambda_{\min}} \right)^k \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_{\min}} \mathbf{u}_{\min} + \frac{(-1)^k}{\lambda_{\max}} \mathbf{u}_{\max} \right) \quad \text{for } k = 0, 1, \dots,$$

show that

$$\frac{f(\mathbf{x}_{k+1})}{f(\mathbf{x}_k)} = \left( \frac{\lambda_{\max} - \lambda_{\min}}{\lambda_{\max} + \lambda_{\min}} \right)^2.$$

Using this, what can be said about the convergence of this method based on the ratio  $\kappa = \frac{\lambda_{\max}}{\lambda_{\min}}$ ?

## Quadratic Optimization Benchmark

Consider the quadratic minimization problem

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \{ \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} : \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^5 \}$$

where  $\mathbf{A}$  is the  $5 \times 5$  Hilbert matrix defined by

$$\mathbf{A}_{i,j} = \frac{1}{i+j-1}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$$

The matrix can be constructed via the MATLAB command  $\mathbf{A}=\text{hilb}(5)$ . Run the following methods and compare the number of iterations required by each of the methods when the initial vector is  $\mathbf{x}^0 = (1, 2, 3, 4, 5)^\top$  to obtain a solution  $\mathbf{x}^*$  with  $\|\nabla f(\mathbf{x})\| \leq 10^{-4}$ :



- Gradient method with backtracking stepsize rule and parameters  $\alpha = 0.5, \beta = 0.5, s = 1$
- Gradient method with backtracking stepsize rule and parameters  $\alpha = 0.1, \beta = 0.5, s = 1$
- Diagonally scaled gradient method with diagonal elements  $D_{i,i} = \frac{1}{A_{i,i}}, i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$  and exact line search;
- Diagonally scaled gradient method with diagonal elements  $D_{i,i} = \frac{1}{A_{i,i}}, i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$  and backtracking line search with parameters  $\alpha = 0.1, \beta = 0.5, s = 1$ .

## Solutions

- 1) For the quadratic function  $f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + 2\mathbf{b}^\top \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{c}$ , the gradient reads  $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = 2(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b})$ , and the gradient descend iteration is

$$\mathbf{x}^{k+1} = \mathbf{x}^k - t^k \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^k),$$

where we tune the stepsize  $t^k$  using linesearch. This amounts to solve

$$\min_{t \geq 0} \{g(t) := f(\mathbf{y} + t\mathbf{d})\}, \quad \text{with } \mathbf{d} = -\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^k), \quad \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}^k.$$

Substituting the definition of  $f(\cdot)$  and  $\nabla f(\cdot)$  into  $g(t)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g(t) &= (\mathbf{y} + t\mathbf{d})^\top \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{y} + t\mathbf{d}) + 2\mathbf{b}^\top (\mathbf{y} + t\mathbf{d}) + \mathbf{c} \\ &= t^2(\mathbf{d}^\top \mathbf{A}\mathbf{d}) + 2(\mathbf{d}^\top \mathbf{A}\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{d}^\top \mathbf{b})t + \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{A}\mathbf{y} + 2\mathbf{b}^\top \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{c} \\ &= t^2(\mathbf{d}^\top \mathbf{A}\mathbf{d}) + 2(\mathbf{d}^\top \mathbf{A}\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{d}^\top \mathbf{b})t + f(\mathbf{y}). \end{aligned}$$

To find the minimizer of  $g(t)$ , we impose the first order optimality condition for

$$g'(t) := 2t(\mathbf{d}^\top \mathbf{A}\mathbf{d}) + 2(\mathbf{d}^\top \mathbf{A}\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{d}^\top \mathbf{b}),$$

i.e. we are looking for  $t \geq 0$  such that  $g'(t) = 0$ . This leads to

$$t = -\frac{\mathbf{d}^\top 2(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{b})}{2(\mathbf{d}^\top \mathbf{A}\mathbf{d})} = -\frac{\mathbf{d}^\top (\nabla f(\mathbf{y}))}{2(\mathbf{d}^\top \mathbf{A}\mathbf{d})}$$

and substituting back  $\mathbf{d} = -\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^k)$ ,  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}^k$ , we have

$$t^k = +\frac{\|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^k)\|^2}{2\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^k)^\top \mathbf{A} \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^k)}.$$

To conclude, we need check whether the computed stepsize is positive. Under the assumption  $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^k) \neq 0$ , we have that both the numerator and the denominator (remember that  $\mathbf{A} > 0$ ) are strictly positive, hence  $t^k > 0$ , and finally

$$\mathbf{x}^{k+1} = \mathbf{x}^k + \frac{\|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^k)\|^2}{2\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^k)^\top \mathbf{A} \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^k)} \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^k).$$



2) We want to show that – for  $f$  and  $\nabla f$  as before – we have

$$\|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{y})\| \leq L\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|, \quad \text{for } L = 2\|\mathbf{A}\|_2.$$

Substituting the expression of the gradient on the left-hand side, we have

$$\|2(\mathbf{Ax} + \mathbf{b}) - 2(\mathbf{Ay} + \mathbf{b})\| = 2\|\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})\|$$

for which the Lipschitz condition becomes

$$\|\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})\| \leq \|A\|_2\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|.$$

Thus, we aim at showing  $\|\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{z})\| \leq \|A\|_2\|\mathbf{z}\|$  by using the definition of norm

$$\|\mathbf{A}\|_2 = \|\mathbf{A}\|_{2,2} = \max_{\|\mathbf{z}\|_2 \leq 1} \|\mathbf{Az}\|.$$

We proceed by contradiction: assume that  $\|\mathbf{Az}\| > \|A\|_2\|\mathbf{z}\|$ . Dividing both sides by  $\|\mathbf{z}\|$ , we obtain

$$\left\| \mathbf{A} \frac{\mathbf{z}}{\|\mathbf{z}\|} \right\| > \|A\|_2,$$

which is equivalent to  $\|\mathbf{Av}\| > \|A\|_2$  for all  $\|\mathbf{v}\| = 1$ . In particular, this holds for the maximum

$$\max_{\|\mathbf{v}\| \leq 1} \|\mathbf{Av}\| > \|A\|_2$$

which contradicts the definition of norm. Thus, we have the required inequality

$$\|\mathbf{Az}\| \leq \|A\|_2\|\mathbf{z}\|,$$

for  $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}$ .

3) We start by dealing with the one-dimensional case. If we define the function  $f$  and its derivative as

$$f(x) = \sqrt{1+x^2}, \quad f'(x) = \frac{x}{\sqrt{1+x^2}},$$

the Lipschitz condition reads

$$\left\| \frac{x}{\sqrt{1+x^2}} - \frac{y}{\sqrt{1+y^2}} \right\| \leq L\|x - y\|.$$

Since the above inequality is difficult to prove, we rely on the link between Lipschitz continuity and the norm of the Hessian: for  $f$  convex and twice differentiable (as in this case), we have

$$\|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{y})\| \leq L\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\| \iff \|\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x})\| \leq L.$$

Since we are considering  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we want to bound the absolute value of the second derivative

$$f''(x) = \frac{\sqrt{1+x^2} - \frac{\sqrt{1+x^2}}{x^2}}{1+x^2} = \frac{1}{(1+x^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}, \quad \|f''(x)\| \leq 1 \iff f \in C_1^{1,1}.$$



Moving to the multi-dimensional case, we consider

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sqrt{1 + \|\mathbf{x}\|^2}, \quad \nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\mathbf{x}}{\sqrt{1 + \|\mathbf{x}\|^2}}, \quad \mathbf{x} = [x_1, \dots, x_n]^\top \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

for which the partial derivatives read

$$\frac{\partial^2 f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = \frac{\delta_{i,j}}{\sqrt{1 + \|\mathbf{x}\|^2}} - \frac{x_i x_j}{(1 + \|\mathbf{x}\|^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n$$

where  $\delta_{i,j}$  are the Dirac deltas defined as  $\delta_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & i = j \\ 0 & i \neq j \end{cases}$ .

Moreover, we obtain the Hessian

$$\mathcal{H} := \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}) = a \mathbb{1} - a^3 \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^\top, \quad a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \|\mathbf{x}\|^2}},$$

whose norm can be computed as

$$\|\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x})\| = \sqrt{\lambda_{\max}(\mathcal{H}^\top \mathcal{H})} = \sqrt{\lambda_{\max}(\mathcal{H}^2)} = \sqrt{\lambda_{\max}(\mathcal{H})^2} = |\lambda_{\max}(\mathcal{H})|.$$

The Hessian  $\mathcal{H}$  has eigenvectors  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{x}^\perp$ , associated to eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 = (a - a^3 \|\mathbf{x}\|^2)$  and  $\lambda_2 = a$  respectively. We conclude by noticing that  $a \geq 0$ , hence  $\lambda_{\max} = \lambda_2 = a$ , and finally

$$a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \|\mathbf{x}\|^2}} \leq 1 \iff f \in C_1^{1,1}.$$

- 4) We consider the function  $f(x) = x^2$ , with first derivative  $f'(x) = 2x$ . Then,  $f$  is  $L$ -Lipschitz continuous with  $L = 2$ , since we have that

$$\|f'(x) - f'(y)\| \leq 2\|x - y\|.$$

The gradient descend iteration for  $f$  with constant stepsize  $t = \frac{2}{L} = 1$  reads

$$x^{k+1} = x^k - t2x^k = x^k - 2x^k = -x^k.$$

Hence, the method diverges for every  $x_0 \neq 0$ , as its iterations oscillate repeatedly between  $x_0$  and  $-x_0$ . It would be enough to consider  $t = \frac{2}{L} - \varepsilon$ , with  $\varepsilon > 0$  to have convergence of the gradient method for  $f$ .

- 5a) The first order optimality condition reads  $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ . Recalling that for  $g(\mathbf{x}) = \|\mathbf{x}\|$  we write (for  $\mathbf{x} \neq 0$ ) its gradient  $\nabla g(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}/\|\mathbf{x}\|$ , a direct calculation shows that

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^m (\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a}_i\| - d_i) \frac{\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a}_i}{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a}_i\|} = 2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^m (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a}_i) - \sum_{i=1}^m d_i \frac{\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a}_i}{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a}_i\|} \right).$$



Then, setting  $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0}$  leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla f(\mathbf{x}) &= \mathbf{0} \\ 2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^m (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a}_i) - \sum_{i=1}^m d_i \frac{\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a}_i}{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a}_i\|} \right) &= \mathbf{0} \\ \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{x} &= \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{a}_i + \sum_{i=1}^m d_i \frac{\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a}_i}{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a}_i\|} \\ \mathbf{x} &= \frac{1}{m} \left( \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{a}_i + \sum_{i=1}^m d_i \frac{\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a}_i}{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a}_i\|} \right). \end{aligned}$$

5b) If the iteration is a gradient method, it can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{x}^{k+1} = \frac{1}{m} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{a}_i + \sum_{i=1}^m d_i \frac{\mathbf{x}^k - \mathbf{a}_i}{\|\mathbf{x}^k - \mathbf{a}_i\|} \right\} = \mathbf{x}^k + t^k \mathbf{d}^k$$

From part a) we now that

$$\mathbf{x}^k - \frac{1}{m} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{a}_i + \sum_{i=1}^m d_i \frac{\mathbf{x}^k - \mathbf{a}_i}{\|\mathbf{x}^k - \mathbf{a}_i\|} \right\} = \frac{1}{2m} \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^k),$$

or rearranging

$$\mathbf{x}^k - \frac{1}{2m} \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^k) = \frac{1}{m} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{a}_i + \sum_{i=1}^m d_i \frac{\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{a}_i}{\|\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{a}_i\|} \right\},$$

that is, the iteration corresponds to gradient descent with constant stepsize  $t = \frac{1}{2m}$ .

5c) In the Gauss-Newton method the direction  $\mathbf{d}^k$  is given by

$$\mathbf{d}^k = (J(\mathbf{x}^k)^\top J(\mathbf{x}^k))^{-1} J(\mathbf{x}^k)^\top F(\mathbf{x}^k),$$

where  $F(\mathbf{x})$  in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  corresponds to the vector function associated to the cost

$$F(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a}_1\| - d_1 \\ \vdots \\ \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a}_m\| - d_m \end{bmatrix},$$

and  $J(\mathbf{x})$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  is the Jacobian matrix given by

$$J(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a}_1)^\top}{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a}_1\|} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a}_m)^\top}{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a}_m\|} \end{bmatrix}.$$



- 6a) For a quadratic function, one has that the stepsize when performing an exact line search at the point  $\mathbf{x}_k$  in the direction  $-\mathbf{d}_k \equiv -\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_k) = -Q\mathbf{x}_k$  is

$$\alpha_k = \frac{\mathbf{d}_k^\top \mathbf{d}_k}{\mathbf{d}_k^\top Q \mathbf{d}_k}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{d}_0 &= Q \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_{\min}} \mathbf{u}_{\min} + \frac{1}{\lambda_{\max}} \mathbf{u}_{\max} \right) = \mathbf{u}_{\min} + \mathbf{u}_{\max} \\ \mathbf{d}_0^\top \mathbf{d}_0 &= (\mathbf{u}_{\min} + \mathbf{u}_{\max})^\top (\mathbf{u}_{\min} + \mathbf{u}_{\max}) = \|\mathbf{u}_{\min}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{u}_{\max}\|^2 = 2 \\ \mathbf{d}_0^\top Q \mathbf{d}_0 &= (\mathbf{u}_{\min} + \mathbf{u}_{\max})^\top (\lambda_{\min} \mathbf{u}_{\min} + \lambda_{\max} \mathbf{u}_{\max}) = \lambda_{\min} + \lambda_{\max}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\alpha_0 = \frac{2}{\lambda_{\min} + \lambda_{\max}}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{x}_1 &= \mathbf{x}_0 - \alpha_0 \mathbf{d}_0 = \frac{1}{\lambda_{\min}} \mathbf{u}_{\min} + \frac{1}{\lambda_{\max}} \mathbf{u}_{\max} - \frac{2}{\lambda_{\min} + \lambda_{\max}} (\mathbf{u}_{\min} + \mathbf{u}_{\max}) \\ &= \frac{\lambda_{\max} - \lambda_{\min}}{\lambda_{\max} + \lambda_{\min}} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_{\min}} \mathbf{u}_{\min} - \frac{1}{\lambda_{\max}} \mathbf{u}_{\max} \right)\end{aligned}$$

- 6b) Using the expression for  $\mathbf{x}_k$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{x}_k^\top Q \mathbf{x} &= \left( \frac{\lambda_{\max} - \lambda_{\min}}{\lambda_{\max} + \lambda_{\min}} \right)^{2k} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_{\min}} \mathbf{u}_{\min} + \frac{(-1)^k}{\lambda_{\max}} \mathbf{u}_{\max} \right)^\top Q \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_{\min}} \mathbf{u}_{\min} + \frac{(-1)^k}{\lambda_{\max}} \mathbf{u}_{\max} \right) \\ &= \left( \frac{\lambda_{\max} - \lambda_{\min}}{\lambda_{\max} + \lambda_{\min}} \right)^{2k} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_{\min}} \mathbf{u}_{\min} + \frac{(-1)^k}{\lambda_{\max}} \mathbf{u}_{\max} \right)^\top (\mathbf{u}_{\min} + (-1)^k \mathbf{u}_{\max}) \\ &= \left( \frac{\lambda_{\max} - \lambda_{\min}}{\lambda_{\max} + \lambda_{\min}} \right)^{2k} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_{\min}} + \frac{(-1)^{2k}}{\lambda_{\max}} \right).\end{aligned}$$

The expression for  $f(\mathbf{x}_{k+1})$  follows analogously evaluating at  $k+1$ , and noting that  $(-1)^{2k} = (-1)^{2k+2}$ , we conclude

$$\frac{f(\mathbf{x}_{k+1})}{f(\mathbf{x}_k)} = \left( \frac{\lambda_{\max} - \lambda_{\min}}{\lambda_{\max} + \lambda_{\min}} \right)^2.$$

This indicates the value of the function decreases by a factor of

$$\left( \frac{\kappa - 1}{\kappa + 1} \right)^2,$$

where  $\kappa > 1$ . The closer  $\kappa$  gets to 1, the faster the method. As  $\kappa$  increases, the method becomes slower.

