

Part I – Solutions to Problem Sheet 2: Functions

1. First consider the sets $X = \{1\}$, $Y = \{2, 3\}$ and $Z = \{4\}$, and consider $f : X \rightarrow Y$ defined by $f(1) = 2$ and $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ defined by $g(2) = g(3) = 4$ (in fact this is the only function from Y to Z). Then $g \circ f$ is the bijection from X to Z sending 1 to 4, so $g \circ f$ is both injective and surjective. Because f isn't surjective and g isn't injective, this gives counterexamples to (b) and (c), so if you thought you had proved these two then I would recommend comparing your proof with this counterexample and trying to figure out what went wrong.

However (a) and (d) are true, and here are the proofs.

(a) Say $x_1, x_2 \in X$ and $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$. We want to prove $x_1 = x_2$. We know $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$ so by applying g we deduce $g(f(x_1)) = g(f(x_2))$ or, in other words, $(g \circ f)(x_1) = (g \circ f)(x_2)$. By injectivity of $g \circ f$ we deduce that $x_1 = x_2$, which is what we wanted to prove.

(d) We want to prove that for all $z \in Z$ there exists $y \in Y$ with $g(y) = z$, so let $z \in Z$ be arbitrary. By surjectivity of $g \circ f$, there exists $x \in X$ with $(g \circ f)(x) = z$, or equivalently $g(f(x)) = z$. Setting $y = f(x)$ this implies $g(y) = z$, which is what we had to show.

2. (a) This function is bijective. Perhaps the easiest way of checking this is to observe that for all x , $f(f(x)) = x$ (or in other words, $f \circ f$ is the identity function). Indeed, if $x \neq 0$ then $1/x \neq 0$ and so $f(f(x)) = 1/(1/x) = x$, and if $x = 0$ then $f(f(0)) = f(0) = 0$. This means that f is its own two-sided inverse, and any function with a two-sided inverse is a bijection.
- (b) Define $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $g(y) = \frac{y-1}{2}$. Then for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $g(f(x)) = \frac{(2x+1)-1}{2} = x$, and for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $f(g(y)) = 2(\frac{y-1}{2}) + 1 = y$. This means that g is a two-sided inverse for f and hence f is a bijection. Note that we really do have to do both of these calculations, they feel similar but they're different – remember that \sin^{-1} is only a one-sided inverse for $\sin : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [-1, 1]$.
- (c) The function from \mathbb{Z} to \mathbb{Z} defined by $f(x) = 2x+1$ is injective, because if $2x_1+1 = 2x_2+1$ then subtracting one and dividing by 2 we deduce $x_1 = x_2$. However it is not surjective, as if n is an integer then $f(n) = 2n+1$ is always odd, so if y is, for example, the even integer 2 then there is no solution to $f(x) = y$ with x in our codomain.
- (d) $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(x) = 3-x$ if the Riemann hypothesis is true, and $f(x) = 2+x$ if not. This function is bijective, and the two-sided inverse function is g defined by $g(y) = 3-y$ if the Riemann hypothesis is true, and $g(y) = y-2$ if it is false. A case by case check shows that whether or not the Riemann Hypothesis is true, $f \circ g$ and $g \circ f$ are both the identity function.
- (e) The function $f(n) = n^3 - 2n^2 + 2n - 1$ is injective but not surjective. Indeed, $f(n+1) - f(n) = 3n^2 + 3n + 1 - 4n - 2 + 2 = 3n^2 - n + 1 = 3(n - \frac{1}{6})^2 + \frac{11}{12} > 0$ for every integer n , and hence $f(n+1) > f(n)$ for every integer n . Now an easy induction on m implies that $f(n+m) > f(n)$ for every positive integer m . As a consequence we deduce that if p, q are integers with $p < q$ then, setting $n = p$ and $m = q - p > 0$, we deduce $f(p) < f(q)$. In particular if $a \neq b$ then we can prove $f(a) \neq f(b)$, because without loss of generality $a < b$ and then writing $a = n$ and $b = n+m$ with $m > 0$ we deduce $f(a) < f(b)$. This does injectivity.

Surjectivity is not true. One checks easily that $f(1) = 0$ and $f(2) = 3$, and now I claim that there cannot exist any integer n with $f(n) = 2$. Indeed, we've just seen that $n = 1$ and $n = 2$ don't work, and if $n > 2$ then $f(n) > f(2) = 3 > 2$, and similarly if $n < 1$ then $f(n) < f(1) = 0 < 2$, so no cases can work.

3. (a) f is not defined at zero, as $1/0$ is not a real number.
- (b) The question specifies that the domain and codomain are both \mathbb{R} , but if the input x is negative then \sqrt{x} is not a real number.
- (c) $f(0) = 1/2$ which is not in the codomain.
- (d) We don't say which solution, and there are sometimes more than one (for example $y^3 - y = 0$ has three solutions for y). If we were to give a careful recipe saying exactly which one we always choose when there is a choice, then we could make this into a function, but as it stands there's not enough information.
- (e) You might think $1+x+x^2+x^3+\dots=1/(1-x)$, but this is only true for $|x| < 1$. If $x = 10$, for example, then this function is not well-defined, because the sum diverges to infinity (which is not a real number).
4. The meaning of " g is a two-sided inverse for f " is $(\forall x \in X, g(f(x)) = x) \wedge (\forall y \in Y, f(g(y)) = y)$. The meaning of " f is a two-sided inverse for g " is $(\forall y \in Y, f(g(y)) = y) \wedge (\forall x \in X, g(f(x)) = x)$, so the result follows because $P \wedge Q \iff Q \wedge P$;)

From the lecture notes we know that a function is a bijection if and only if it has a two-sided inverse. Hence if f is a bijection, and g is a two-sided inverse, then f is a two-sided inverse for g , and hence g is a bijection.

5. This question is an \iff question so we have to prove both implications. Note: this question is very long.

Let's first prove that if f is friends with g then the ranges $\text{range}(f)$ and $\text{range}(g)$ of f and g are equal. Recall that $\text{range}(f)$ is defined to be $\{z \in Z \mid \exists x \in X, f(x) = z\}$, and that some people call this the image of f . To prove that $\text{range}(f) = \text{range}(g)$ we need to show $\forall z \in Z, z \in \text{range}(f) \iff t \in \text{range}(g)$, which is again an \iff question, so we again have two jobs to do. We are assuming that f is friends with g , so let's choose a bijection $h : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $f = g \circ h$.

Let $z \in Z$ be arbitrary. First we prove that $z \in \text{range}(f) \implies z \in \text{range}(g)$ (assuming that f is friends with g). Well, $z \in \text{range}(f)$ implies that there exists $x \in X$ with $f(x) = z$. Define $y = h(x)$. It's now a straightforward calculation to see that $z = g(y)$, because $g(y) = g(h(x)) = (g \circ h)(x) = f(x) = z$. In particular, this means $z \in \text{range}(g)$, which was what we wanted.

The other way is a bit trickier. We know that h is a bijection, so it has a two-sided inverse function $h^{-1} : Y \rightarrow X$. We have $z \in Z$ arbitrary, and we want to prove $z \in \text{range}(g) \implies z \in \text{range}(f)$. So let's assume $z \in \text{range}(g)$, and let's choose $y \in Y$ with $g(y) = z$. Now let's set $x = h^{-1}(y)$. Then $h(x) = h(h^{-1}(y)) = y$ because of the definition of two-sided inverse, and applying g we deduce that $g(h(x)) = g(y) = z$. Because $f = g \circ h$ we deduce $f(x) = z$, and hence z is in the image of f , which is what we wanted.

We are now half way through the question. We now need to go the other way, and prove that if $\text{range}(f) = \text{range}(g)$ then f is friends with g . To do this we are going to have to do more than prove something, we are going to have to construct a function $h : X \rightarrow Y$ and then prove that it is a bijection and that $f = g \circ h$. So let's start by constructing this function from X to Y . Let $x \in X$ be arbitrary. We need to construct some element $h(x) \in Y$. First we observe that $f(x)$ is in the image of f , and hence, by assumption, in the image of g . This means that there exists some $y \in Y$ such that $g(y) = f(x)$. Furthermore, such a y is unique, because g is injective; if $g(y_1) = g(y_2) = f(x)$ then $y_1 = y_2$. So we can define $h(x) = y$.

We now need to prove that h is a bijection, and that $f = g \circ h$. Let's first prove that $f = g \circ h$. Say $x \in X$ is arbitrary. By definition of $h(x)$, we know that $g(h(x)) = f(x)$. But this just says that $(g \circ h)(x) = f(x)$. Because x was arbitrary, we have proved that $g \circ h = f$.

Finally we need to prove that h is a bijection. Injectivity is not so hard – if $x_1, x_2 \in X$ and $h(x_1) = h(x_2)$, then applying g we deduce that $g(h(x_1)) = g(h(x_2))$ and hence $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$. But f is assumed injective, and hence $x_1 = x_2$.

The only thing left is surjectivity of h . So say $y \in Y$ is arbitrary. Then $g(y)$ is in the image of g , which by assumption is the image of f . Hence there exists some $x \in X$ with $f(x) = g(y)$. I claim that $h(x) = y$. How do we know this? Well, g is injective, so it suffices to prove that $g(h(x)) = g(y)$, but $g(h(x)) = f(x)$, and we know that $f(x) = g(y)$ is true, so we are done.

6. One way of thinking about it: imagine building a subset of T . for each of the n elements of T we need to make an independent yes/no decision about whether it's in the subset or not. That is n independent choices of two things, so there are 2^n ways of making those choices.

Another way of thinking about it: a subset of T is the same thing as a predicate on T which is a map from T to $\{\text{true}, \text{false}\}$. And the number of maps from a set of size n to a set of size 2 is 2^n by a result from the course.

7. (a) Assume for a contradiction that $X = f(t)$ for some $t \in \alpha$. The key insight (which is hard to spot!) is that now $t \in f(t)$ iff $t \in X$ (by definition of t) iff $t \notin f(t)$ (by definition of X). However $t \in f(t)$ and $t \notin f(t)$ are opposites of other so they can never be logically equivalent, a contradiction. This proves that our assumption that X is not in the range of f .
- (b) If $f : \alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\alpha)$ was a surjection then the X defined in the previous part would have to be in the range of f , because the range of f is all of $\mathcal{P}(\alpha)$ for a surjection. This contradicts the previous part.
- (c) If $2^n \leq n$ for some natural number n then letting α be a set of size n (for example $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$), the size of $\mathcal{P}(\alpha)$ would be 2^n (by the previous question), and so if $2^n \leq n$ we would be able to write down a surjection from α to $\mathcal{P}(\alpha)$, and this contradicts the previous part of this question.
8. (a) $g_*(\{1\})$ is the $b \in \{8, 9, 10\}$ for which there exists some $a \in \{1\}$ with $g(a) = b$. The only possible value of a is $a = 1$, and $g(1) = 8$, so $g_*(\{1\}) = \{8\}$. Similarly for $g_*(\{1, 2\})$ the only possible values of a are $a = 1$ and $a = 2$, and $g(1) = g(2) = 8$, so again $g_*(\{1, 2\}) = \{8\}$.
- (b) g_* is not injective because we just wrote down two distinct subsets of $\mathcal{P}(\alpha)$ which get sent to the same element of $\mathcal{P}(\beta)$ by g_* .
- (c) g_* is not surjective either. One way to show this would be by counting: the domain of g_* has size $2^2 = 4$ and the codomain has size $2^3 = 8$ which is larger than 4 so there are no surjective functions from $\mathcal{P}(\alpha)$ to $\mathcal{P}(\beta)$.
- (d) $g^*(\{8\})$ is the elements a of α such that $g(a) \in \{8\}$ or, in other words, such that $g(a) = 8$. Trying all the elements of α we see that both $a = 1$ and $a = 2$ work. So $g^*(\{8\}) = \{1, 2\}$. Similarly $g^*(8, 9)$ is the elements a such that $g(a) = 8$ or $g(a) = 9$, and again both $a = 1$ and $a = 2$ work. So $g^*(8, 9) = \{1, 2\}$ as well.
- (e) g^* is not injective because we just saw two distinct elements of $\mathcal{P}(\beta)$ which got mapped to the same element of $\mathcal{P}(\alpha)$.
- (f) g^* is not surjective either, although this is a little trickier. I claim that there can be no subset Y of β such that $g^*(Y) = \{1\}$. Let's prove it by contradiction. Assume that such a subset Y existed. Then $1 \in g^*(Y)$ so by definition of g^* we know that $g(1) \in Y$. Hence $8 \in Y$ so $g(2) \in Y$, and so again by definition of g^* we have $2 \in g^*(Y)$. But this is a contradiction because $g^*(Y) = \{1\}$.
- (g) No they certainly are not, because two-sided inverses of functions are bijections and neither g_* nor g^* are bijections.
9. We have $b \in f_*(X) \iff \exists a \in X, f(a) = b$, so $f_*(X) = \{f(a) \mid a \in X\}$. The assertion $f_*(X) \subseteq Y$ thus means $\forall a \in X, f(a) \in Y$. Similarly $X \subseteq f^*(Y)$ means $\forall a \in X, a \in f^*(Y)$, and $a \in f^*(Y)$ means $f(a) \in Y$ by definition of f^* . Hence the two statements are both equivalent to $\forall a \in X, f(a) \in Y$ and are thus logically equivalent to each other.
10. By the previous part, $X \subseteq f^*(f_*(X)) \iff f_*(X) \subseteq f_*(X)$, and the latter statement is obviously true (because $P \implies P$ for all propositions P). Similarly $f_*(f^*(Y)) \subseteq Y \iff f^*(Y) \subseteq f^*(Y)$ which again is obviously true.