

① Example.  $\mathcal{L}^=$  a language with  $=$   
 $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let

$$\sigma_n : (\exists x_1) \cdots (\exists x_n) \bigwedge_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_i \neq x_j)$$

If  $A$  is a normal  $\mathcal{L}^=$ -str.  
with domain  $A$  then

$$A \models \sigma_n \iff |A| \geq n.$$

Ex: Suppose  $\mathcal{D}$  is a closed  $\mathcal{L}^=$ -fmla  
such that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$   
there is a normal model  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $\mathcal{D}$   
with  $> n$  elements. Then  
there is an infinite normal  
model  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $\mathcal{D}$ .

If Apply Compactness theorem (2.6.5)  
to  $\Delta = \{\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots\}$

### Beginning Model theory

(2.6.6) Thm. (Countable  
downward Löwenheim-Skolem  
Theorem)

Suppose  $\mathcal{L}^=$  - is a countable 1st  
order language with equality and  
 $\mathcal{B}$  is a normal  $\mathcal{L}^=$ -str.

then there is a countable normal  
 $\mathcal{L}^=$ -str.  $A$  s.t. for all  
closed  $\mathcal{L}^=$ -fmlas  $\phi$

$$\mathcal{B} \models \phi \iff A \models \phi.$$

Notation:

$$\overline{\text{th}(\mathcal{B})} = \{\text{closed } \phi : \mathcal{B} \models \phi\}$$

the  $\mathcal{L}^=$ -theory of  $\mathcal{B}$ .

Pf.  $\text{Th}(\mathcal{B}) \supseteq \Sigma =$

(axioms for  $=$ ) .

as  $\mathcal{B}$  is a normal  $\mathcal{L}^=$ -str.

and  $\text{Th}(\mathcal{B})$  is consistent .

So by 2.6.4 ,  $\text{Th}(\mathcal{B})$

has a countable normal  
model  $\mathcal{A}$  .

So  $\text{Th}(\mathcal{B}) \subseteq \text{Th}(\mathcal{A})$  .

If  $\phi$  is closed  $\Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \nvDash \phi$

then  $\mathcal{B} \models (\neg \phi)$  , so

$(\neg \phi) \in \text{Th}(\mathcal{A})$  ie  $\mathcal{A} \models (\neg \phi)$

thus  $\phi \notin \text{Th}(\mathcal{A})$  .

So  $\text{Th}(\mathcal{B}) = \text{Th}(\mathcal{A})$  .

### (2.7 ) Example / Application .

(2)

Linear orders .

$\mathcal{L} = \dots$  1<sup>st</sup> order language with  $=$   
and a 2-ary relation symbol  $\leq$   
( & nothing else . ).

(2.7.1) Def. A linear order

$\mathcal{A} = \langle A ; \leq_A \rangle$  is a normal  
model of :

$$\phi_1: (\forall x_1)(\forall x_2)((x_1 \leq x_2) \wedge (x_2 \leq x_1) \rightarrow (x_1 = x_2))$$

$$\phi_2: (\forall x_1)(\forall x_2)(\forall x_3)$$

$$((x_1 \leq x_2) \wedge (x_2 \leq x_3) \rightarrow (x_1 \leq x_3))$$

$$\phi_3: (\forall x_1)(\forall x_2)$$

$$((x_1 \leq x_2) \vee (x_2 \leq x_1))$$

#.

Say  $\Delta$  is dense if also

$$\phi_4 : (\forall x_1)(\forall x_2)(\exists x_3)$$

$$((x_1 < x_2) \rightarrow (x_1 < x_3) \wedge (x_3 < x_2))$$

where " $x_1 < x_2$ " is

shorthand for  $(x_1 \leq x_2) \wedge (x_1 \neq x_2)$

It is without endpoints if

$$\phi_5^- : (\forall x)(\exists x_2) (x_2 < x)$$

$$\phi_6^- : (\forall x_1)(\exists x_2) (x_1 < x_2)$$

$$\text{let } \Delta = \{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_6\}$$

$$(\text{Ex: } \Delta \vdash \Sigma_{=})$$

Let  $Q = \langle Q; \leq \rangle$   
 &  $R = \langle R; \leq \rangle$

(usual ordering)

These are normal models of  $\Delta$ .

(2.7.2)-thm. For every closed  $L^\equiv$ -fule  
 we have

$$Q \models \phi \Leftrightarrow R \models \phi$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \Delta \vdash \phi$$

(Say that  $\Delta$  axiomatizes  
 $\text{Th}(Q)$  and  $\text{Th}(R)$ .)

(2.7.3) Def / Result.

(2.3.9 + 10, L12)

① Linear orders

$$A = \langle A; \leq_A \rangle$$

$$B = \langle B; \leq_B \rangle$$

are isomorphic if there is a bijection  $\alpha: A \rightarrow B$  s.t.

for all  $a, a' \in A$

$$a \leq_A a' \Leftrightarrow \alpha(a) \leq_B \alpha(a')$$

② If  $A, B$  are isomorphic then

$$\text{Th}(A) = \text{Th}(B)$$

(2.7.4) Thm (Fact; G. Cantor)

If  $A, B$  are countable dense linear orderings without endpoints then  $A, B$  are isomorphic.

(2.7.5) Lemma.

④

(Special case of Tarski-Vaught test)

$\Delta$  as in 2.7.1.

$$\text{let } \Sigma = \Delta \cup \Sigma =$$

then for every closed  $L^{\equiv}$ -formula  $\phi$  we have either

$$\Sigma \vdash \phi \quad \text{or} \quad \Sigma \vdash (\neg \phi)$$

Pf: Suppose not for some  $\phi$ .

As  $\Sigma$  is consistent

$$\text{we have } \Sigma_1 = \Sigma \cup \{\neg \phi\}$$

$$\text{and } \Sigma_2 = \Sigma \cup \{\neg \neg \phi\}$$

are consistent (by 2.5.2).

By 2.6.4  $\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2$  have

countable normal models

$A_1, A_2$ .

By 2.7.4 we have

that  $A_1 \models A_2$  are  
isomorphic. ~~But~~

$A_1 \models \neg \phi$  ~~or~~

$A_2 \models \neg \neg \phi$ .

That contradicts 2.7.3 (2).

#.

General  $\Delta$ : Assume all  
normal models of  $\Delta$  are  
infinite,  $\Delta$  has a normal model  
& any two countable normal

models of  $\Delta$  are isomorphic. (5)

Conclusion:  $\Sigma = \overline{\Delta} \cup \underline{\Sigma}$   
is complete.