

L2

$$\left(\overbrace{\left((p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow (\neg p)) \right)}^{\psi} \rightarrow \overbrace{(\neg p)}^{\chi} \right) : \phi$$

p	q	ψ	χ	ϕ
T	T	F	F	T
T	F	F	F	T
F	T	T	T	T
F	F	T	T	T

(1.1.4) Def. ① A formula ϕ is a tautology if its truth function F_ϕ always has value T.

② Say that formulas ψ, χ are logically equivalent (l.e.) if and only if $(\psi \leftrightarrow \chi)$ is a tautology.

(1.1.5) Remark! ① If ψ, χ have variables amongst p_1, \dots, p_n they are l.e. if and only if F_ψ, F_χ (fns. of n variables) are the same.

Eg $((p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow (\neg p)))$
is l.e. to $(\neg p)$.

② Suppose ϕ is a formula. ①
with variables p_1, \dots, p_n and ϕ_1, \dots, ϕ_n are formulas with variables q_1, \dots, q_m . For each $i \leq n$ substitute ϕ_i for p_i in ϕ . Then

(i) the result is a formula ϕ
(ii) if ϕ is a tautology, then so is ϕ .

(1.1.6) Examples ① Check $((\neg p_2 \rightarrow \neg p_1) \rightarrow (p_1 \rightarrow p_2))$ is a tautology. So if ϕ_1, ϕ_2 are formulas, then $((\neg \phi_2 \rightarrow \neg \phi_1) \rightarrow (\phi_1 \rightarrow \phi_2))$

② Warning:

$(p_1 \rightarrow (\neg p_1))$
is not a tautology, But
we can find a formula ϕ_1
st. $(\phi_1 \rightarrow (\neg \phi_1))$
is a tautology.

③ Examples of l.e. formulas:

1) $(p_1 \wedge (p_2 \wedge p_3))$ is l.e.
to $((p_1 \wedge p_2) \wedge p_3)$

[so usually omit brackets here]

2) Same, with \vee

3) $(p_1 \vee (p_2 \wedge p_3))$ is l.e.

to $((p_1 \vee p_2) \wedge (p_1 \vee p_3))$

3') Same with \vee, \wedge interchanged

4) $(\neg(\neg p_1))$ is l.e. ②
to p_1

5) $(\neg(p_1 \wedge p_2))$ is l.e. to
 $((\neg p_1) \vee (\neg p_2))$ (de Morgan)

5') Same with \wedge, \vee interchanged

By 1.1.5, obtain, eg. for
formulas ϕ_1, ϕ_2, ϕ_3

$(\phi_1 \wedge (\phi_2 \wedge \phi_3))$ is l.e.

to $((\phi_1 \wedge \phi_2) \wedge \phi_3)$ etc.

(so omit brackets).

(1.1.7) Lemma. There are 2^{2^n} truth functions of n variables.

Pf: A truth fn. is a fn.

$$G : \{T, F\}^n \rightarrow \{T, F\}$$

size 2^n

size 2

So 2^{2^n} possibilities. #

(1.1.8) Def. Say that a set of connectives is adequate if for every $n \geq 1$ every truth function of n variables can be expressed as the truth fn. of a formula which involves no connectives from the set (and p_1, \dots, p_n).

(1.1.9) Thm The set $\{\neg, \vee, \wedge\}$ is adequate. ③

[Uses: Disjunctive normal form.]

Proof: Let $G : \{T, F\}^n \rightarrow \{T, F\}$ be given.

Case 1 Suppose $G(\bar{v}) = F$ for all $\bar{v} \in \{T, F\}^n$.

Take ϕ to be $(p_1 \wedge \neg p_1)$.

Then $F_\phi = G$. //

Case 2 Not Case 1.

List the \bar{v} with $G(\bar{v}) = T$ as $\bar{v}_1, \dots, \bar{v}_r$.

Write $\bar{v}_i = (v_{i1}, \dots, v_{in})$
(each $v_{ij} \in \{T, F\}$).

Define

$$q_{ij} = \begin{cases} p_j & \text{if } v_{ij} = T \\ (\neg p_j) & \text{if } v_{ij} = F \end{cases}$$

let ψ_i be

$$(q_{i1} \wedge q_{i2} \wedge \dots \wedge q_{in})$$

then

$$F_{\psi_i}(\bar{v}) = T \quad (\Rightarrow)$$

each q_{ij} has value T

(\Rightarrow) each p_j has value v_{ij}

$$(\Rightarrow) \bar{v} = \bar{v}_i$$

Now let

ϕ be $\psi_1 \vee \psi_2 \vee \dots \vee \psi_r$

$$\text{then } F_{\phi}(\bar{v}) = T$$

$$(\Rightarrow) F_{\psi_i}(\bar{v}) = T \text{ for some } i \leq r$$

$$(\Rightarrow) \bar{v} \text{ is one of } \bar{v}_1, \dots, \bar{v}_r$$

$$(\Rightarrow) G(\bar{v}) = T$$

So $F_{\phi} = G$, as required.

##

A formula ϕ as in Case 2 is said to be in disjunctive normal form.

Example: $n=3$ $\bar{v}_1 = (T, F, T)$

$$\psi_1 \text{ is } (p_1 \wedge (\neg p_2) \wedge p_3)$$

Cor (1.1.10) Suppose

X is a formula whose truth fn. is not always F . Then X is l.e. to a formula in d.n.f.

[Apply Case 2 to $G = F_X$]

Eg. $X: ((p_1 \rightarrow p_2) \rightarrow (\neg p_2))$

$$n=2 \quad F_X(\bar{v}) = T$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \bar{v} = (T, F) \text{ or } (F, F)$$

d.n.f.

$$(p_1 \wedge (\neg p_2)) \vee ((\neg p_1) \wedge (\neg p_2))$$

(1.1.11) Cor. The following sets of connectives are adequate: ⑤

1) $\{\neg, \vee\}$

2) $\{\neg, \wedge\}$

3) $\{\neg, \rightarrow\}$

Pf: 1) By (1.1.9) ETS

that we can express \wedge in terms of \neg, \vee :

$(p_1 \wedge p_2)$ is l.e. to

$$(\neg((\neg p_1) \vee (\neg p_2)))$$

2) Similar.

3) Express \vee using \neg, \rightarrow :

$(p_1 \vee p_2)$ is l.e. to $((\neg p_1) \rightarrow p_2)$