

Applied Complex Analysis - Lecture Five

Andrew Gibbs

January 2025

Isolated singularities

Suppose an analytic function $f(z)$ has an isolated singularity at z_0 and $f(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n(z - z_0)^n$ for $0 < |z - z_0| < R$, gives its Laurent series representation about z_0 . Then:

- If $a_n = 0$ for all $n < 0$, then z_0 is called a **removable** singularity.
- If $a_n = 0$ for $n < -m$, where m is a fixed positive integer, but $a_{-m} \neq 0$, then z_0 is called a **pole of order m** .
- If $a_n \neq 0$ for infinitely many negative n , then z_0 is an **essential** singularity.

Plots and some mathematical intuition

Thm: A function $f(z)$ has a pole of order m at z_0 if and only if

$$f(z) = \frac{g(z)}{(z - z_0)^m},$$

where $g(z_0) \neq 0$ and $g(z)$ is analytic at z_0 .

Isolated singularities

Suppose an analytic function $f(z)$ has an isolated singularity at z_0 and $f(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n(z - z_0)^n$ for $0 < |z - z_0| < R$, gives its Laurent series representation about z_0 . Then:

- If $a_n = 0$ for all $n < 0$, then z_0 is called a **removable** singularity.
- If $a_n = 0$ for $n < -m$, where m is a fixed positive integer, but $a_{-m} \neq 0$, then z_0 is called a **pole of order m** .
- If $a_n \neq 0$ for infinitely many negative n , then z_0 is an **essential** singularity.

Plots and some mathematical intuition

Thm: A function $f(z)$ has a pole of order m at z_0 if and only if

$$f(z) = \frac{g(z)}{(z - z_0)^m},$$

where $g(z_0) \neq 0$ and $g(z)$ is analytic at z_0 .

Isolated singularities

Suppose an analytic function $f(z)$ has an isolated singularity at z_0 and $f(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n(z - z_0)^n$ for $0 < |z - z_0| < R$, gives its Laurent series representation about z_0 . Then:

- If $a_n = 0$ for all $n < 0$, then z_0 is called a **removable** singularity.
- If $a_n = 0$ for $n < -m$, where m is a fixed positive integer, but $a_{-m} \neq 0$, then z_0 is called a **pole of order m** .
- If $a_n \neq 0$ for infinitely many negative n , then z_0 is an **essential** singularity.

Plots and some mathematical intuition

Thm: A function $f(z)$ has a pole of order m at z_0 if and only if

$$f(z) = \frac{g(z)}{(z - z_0)^m},$$

where $g(z_0) \neq 0$ and $g(z)$ is analytic at z_0 .

Isolated singularities

Suppose an analytic function $f(z)$ has an isolated singularity at z_0 and $f(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n(z - z_0)^n$ for $0 < |z - z_0| < R$, gives its Laurent series representation about z_0 . Then:

- If $a_n = 0$ for all $n < 0$, then z_0 is called a **removable** singularity.
- If $a_n = 0$ for $n < -m$, where m is a fixed positive integer, but $a_{-m} \neq 0$, then z_0 is called a **pole of order m** .
- If $a_n \neq 0$ for infinitely many negative n , then z_0 is an **essential** singularity.

Plots and some mathematical intuition

Thm: A function $f(z)$ has a pole of order m at z_0 if and only if

$$f(z) = \frac{g(z)}{(z - z_0)^m},$$

where $g(z_0) \neq 0$ and $g(z)$ is analytic at z_0 .

Isolated singularities

Suppose an analytic function $f(z)$ has an isolated singularity at z_0 and $f(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n(z - z_0)^n$ for $0 < |z - z_0| < R$, gives its Laurent series representation about z_0 . Then:

- If $a_n = 0$ for all $n < 0$, then z_0 is called a **removable** singularity.
- If $a_n = 0$ for $n < -m$, where m is a fixed positive integer, but $a_{-m} \neq 0$, then z_0 is called a **pole of order m** .
- If $a_n \neq 0$ for infinitely many negative n , then z_0 is an **essential** singularity.

Plots and some mathematical intuition

Thm: A function $f(z)$ has a pole of order m at z_0 if and only if

$$f(z) = \frac{g(z)}{(z - z_0)^m},$$

where $g(z_0) \neq 0$ and $g(z)$ is analytic at z_0 .

Isolated singularities

Suppose an analytic function $f(z)$ has an isolated singularity at z_0 and $f(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n(z - z_0)^n$ for $0 < |z - z_0| < R$, gives its Laurent series representation about z_0 . Then:

- If $a_n = 0$ for all $n < 0$, then z_0 is called a **removable** singularity.
- If $a_n = 0$ for $n < -m$, where m is a fixed positive integer, but $a_{-m} \neq 0$, then z_0 is called a **pole of order m** .
- If $a_n \neq 0$ for infinitely many negative n , then z_0 is an **essential** singularity.

Plots and some mathematical intuition

Thm: A function $f(z)$ has a pole of order m at z_0 if and only if

$$f(z) = \frac{g(z)}{(z - z_0)^m},$$

where $g(z_0) \neq 0$ and $g(z)$ is analytic at z_0 .

Residue Theory

Residue Theory

The coefficient a_{-1} in the Laurent series expansion

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n,$$

is called the **residue** of $f(z)$ at z_0 . We use the notation

$$a_{-1} = \text{Res}(f, z_0).$$

Why should we care?

- for $f = \frac{1}{z}$, we have $\text{Res}(f, 0) =$
- for $f = \frac{1}{z^2}$, we have $\text{Res}(f, 0) =$
- for $f = \cos(\frac{1}{z}) = 1 - \frac{1}{2z^2} + \dots$, we have $\text{Res}(f, 0) =$
- for $f = \sin(\frac{1}{z}) = \frac{1}{z} - \frac{1}{6z^3} + \dots$, we have $\text{Res}(f, 0) =$

Residue Theory

The coefficient a_{-1} in the Laurent series expansion

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n,$$

is called the **residue** of $f(z)$ at z_0 . We use the notation

$$a_{-1} = \text{Res}(f, z_0).$$

Why should we care?

- for $f = \frac{1}{z}$, we have $\text{Res}(f, 0) =$
- for $f = \frac{1}{z^2}$, we have $\text{Res}(f, 0) =$
- for $f = \cos(\frac{1}{z}) = 1 - \frac{1}{2z^2} + \dots$, we have $\text{Res}(f, 0) =$
- for $f = \sin(\frac{1}{z}) = \frac{1}{z} - \frac{1}{6z^3} + \dots$, we have $\text{Res}(f, 0) =$

Residue Theory

The coefficient a_{-1} in the Laurent series expansion

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n,$$

is called the **residue** of $f(z)$ at z_0 . We use the notation

$$a_{-1} = \text{Res}(f, z_0).$$

Why should we care?

- for $f = \frac{1}{z}$, we have $\text{Res}(f, 0) =$
- for $f = \frac{1}{z^2}$, we have $\text{Res}(f, 0) =$
- for $f = \cos(\frac{1}{z}) = 1 - \frac{1}{2z^2} + \dots$, we have $\text{Res}(f, 0) =$
- for $f = \sin(\frac{1}{z}) = \frac{1}{z} - \frac{1}{6z^3} + \dots$, we have $\text{Res}(f, 0) =$

Residue Theory

The coefficient a_{-1} in the Laurent series expansion

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n,$$

is called the **residue** of $f(z)$ at z_0 . We use the notation

$$a_{-1} = \text{Res}(f, z_0).$$

Why should we care?

- for $f = \frac{1}{z}$, we have $\text{Res}(f, 0) = 1$
- for $f = \frac{1}{z^2}$, we have $\text{Res}(f, 0) =$
- for $f = \cos(\frac{1}{z}) = 1 - \frac{1}{2z^2} + \dots$, we have $\text{Res}(f, 0) =$
- for $f = \sin(\frac{1}{z}) = \frac{1}{z} - \frac{1}{6z^3} + \dots$, we have $\text{Res}(f, 0) =$

Residue Theory

The coefficient a_{-1} in the Laurent series expansion

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n,$$

is called the **residue** of $f(z)$ at z_0 . We use the notation

$$a_{-1} = \text{Res}(f, z_0).$$

Why should we care?

- for $f = \frac{1}{z}$, we have $\text{Res}(f, 0) = 1$
- for $f = \frac{1}{z^2}$, we have $\text{Res}(f, 0) =$
- for $f = \cos\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) = 1 - \frac{1}{2z^2} + \cdots$, we have $\text{Res}(f, 0) =$
- for $f = \sin\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) = \frac{1}{z} - \frac{1}{6z^3} + \cdots$, we have $\text{Res}(f, 0) =$

Residue Theory

The coefficient a_{-1} in the Laurent series expansion

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n,$$

is called the **residue** of $f(z)$ at z_0 . We use the notation

$$a_{-1} = \text{Res}(f, z_0).$$

Why should we care?

- for $f = \frac{1}{z}$, we have $\text{Res}(f, 0) = 1$
- for $f = \frac{1}{z^2}$, we have $\text{Res}(f, 0) = 0$
- for $f = \cos(\frac{1}{z}) = 1 - \frac{1}{2z^2} + \dots$, we have $\text{Res}(f, 0) =$
- for $f = \sin(\frac{1}{z}) = \frac{1}{z} - \frac{1}{6z^3} + \dots$, we have $\text{Res}(f, 0) =$

Residue Theory

The coefficient a_{-1} in the Laurent series expansion

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n,$$

is called the **residue** of $f(z)$ at z_0 . We use the notation

$$a_{-1} = \text{Res}(f, z_0).$$

Why should we care?

- for $f = \frac{1}{z}$, we have $\text{Res}(f, 0) = 1$
- for $f = \frac{1}{z^2}$, we have $\text{Res}(f, 0) = 0$
- for $f = \cos(\frac{1}{z}) = 1 - \frac{1}{2z^2} + \dots$, we have $\text{Res}(f, 0) =$
- for $f = \sin(\frac{1}{z}) = \frac{1}{z} - \frac{1}{6z^3} + \dots$, we have $\text{Res}(f, 0) =$

Residue Theory

The coefficient a_{-1} in the Laurent series expansion

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n,$$

is called the **residue** of $f(z)$ at z_0 . We use the notation

$$a_{-1} = \text{Res}(f, z_0).$$

Why should we care?

- for $f = \frac{1}{z}$, we have $\text{Res}(f, 0) = 1$
- for $f = \frac{1}{z^2}$, we have $\text{Res}(f, 0) = 0$
- for $f = \cos(\frac{1}{z}) = 1 - \frac{1}{2z^2} + \dots$, we have $\text{Res}(f, 0) = 0$
- for $f = \sin(\frac{1}{z}) = \frac{1}{z} - \frac{1}{6z^3} + \dots$, we have $\text{Res}(f, 0) =$

Residue Theory

The coefficient a_{-1} in the Laurent series expansion

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n,$$

is called the **residue** of $f(z)$ at z_0 . We use the notation

$$a_{-1} = \text{Res}(f, z_0).$$

Why should we care?

- for $f = \frac{1}{z}$, we have $\text{Res}(f, 0) = 1$
- for $f = \frac{1}{z^2}$, we have $\text{Res}(f, 0) = 0$
- for $f = \cos(\frac{1}{z}) = 1 - \frac{1}{2z^2} + \dots$, we have $\text{Res}(f, 0) = 0$
- for $f = \sin(\frac{1}{z}) = \frac{1}{z} - \frac{1}{6z^3} + \dots$, we have $\text{Res}(f, 0) =$

Residue Theory

The coefficient a_{-1} in the Laurent series expansion

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n,$$

is called the **residue** of $f(z)$ at z_0 . We use the notation

$$a_{-1} = \text{Res}(f, z_0).$$

Why should we care?

- for $f = \frac{1}{z}$, we have $\text{Res}(f, 0) = 1$
- for $f = \frac{1}{z^2}$, we have $\text{Res}(f, 0) = 0$
- for $f = \cos(\frac{1}{z}) = 1 - \frac{1}{2z^2} + \dots$, we have $\text{Res}(f, 0) = 0$
- for $f = \sin(\frac{1}{z}) = \frac{1}{z} - \frac{1}{6z^3} + \dots$, we have $\text{Res}(f, 0) = 1$

Connecting residues to closed contour integrals

Thm: Let γ be a closed curve that contains z_0 and lies within $0 < |z - z_0| < R$ (the radius of convergence), then

$$\text{Res}(f, z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\gamma} f(z) dz.$$

Proof

Residue Theorem Let $f(z)$ be analytic in some $\mathcal{D} \setminus \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n\}$ bounded by a closed path γ , where z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n are poles or essential singularities lying inside γ . Then

$$\oint_{\gamma} f(z) dz = 2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^n \text{Res}(f, z_j).$$

Proof

Connecting residues to closed contour integrals

Thm: Let γ be a closed curve that contains z_0 and lies within $0 < |z - z_0| < R$ (the radius of convergence), then

$$\text{Res}(f, z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\gamma} f(z) dz.$$

Proof

Residue Theorem Let $f(z)$ be analytic in some $\mathcal{D} \setminus \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n\}$ bounded by a closed path γ , where z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n are poles or essential singularities lying inside γ . Then

$$\oint_{\gamma} f(z) dz = 2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^n \text{Res}(f, z_j).$$

Proof

Connecting residues to closed contour integrals

Thm: Let γ be a closed curve that contains z_0 and lies within $0 < |z - z_0| < R$ (the radius of convergence), then

$$\text{Res}(f, z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\gamma} f(z) dz.$$

Proof

Residue Theorem Let $f(z)$ be analytic in some $\mathcal{D} \setminus \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n\}$ bounded by a closed path γ , where z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n are poles or essential singularities lying inside γ . Then

$$\oint_{\gamma} f(z) dz = 2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^n \text{Res}(f, z_j).$$

Proof

Connecting residues to closed contour integrals

Thm: Let γ be a closed curve that contains z_0 and lies within $0 < |z - z_0| < R$ (the radius of convergence), then

$$\text{Res}(f, z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\gamma} f(z) dz.$$

Proof

Residue Theorem Let $f(z)$ be analytic in some $\mathcal{D} \setminus \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n\}$ bounded by a closed path γ , where z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n are poles or essential singularities lying inside γ . Then

$$\oint_{\gamma} f(z) dz = 2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^n \text{Res}(f, z_j).$$

Proof

Ways to compute residues

1. For

$$f(z) = \frac{a_{-m}}{(z - z_0)^m} + \cdots + \frac{a_{-1}}{(z - z_0)} + a_0 + \cdots,$$

so that $f(z)$ has a pole of order m at z_0 ,

$$\operatorname{Res}(f, z_0) = \lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \frac{d^{m-1}}{dz^{m-1}} [(z - z_0)^m f(z)].$$

2. For

$$f(z) = \frac{A(z)}{(z - z_0)^m},$$

where $A(z)$ is analytic at $z = z_0$ (and that $A(z_0) \neq 0$),

$$\operatorname{Res}(f, z_0) = \frac{A^{(m-1)}(z_0)}{(m-1)!}.$$

Ways to compute residues

1. For

$$f(z) = \frac{a_{-m}}{(z - z_0)^m} + \cdots + \frac{a_{-1}}{(z - z_0)} + a_0 + \cdots,$$

so that $f(z)$ has a pole of order m at z_0 ,

$$\operatorname{Res}(f, z_0) = \lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \frac{d^{m-1}}{dz^{m-1}} [(z - z_0)^m f(z)].$$

2. For

$$f(z) = \frac{A(z)}{(z - z_0)^m},$$

where $A(z)$ is analytic at $z = z_0$ (and that $A(z_0) \neq 0$),

$$\operatorname{Res}(f, z_0) = \frac{A^{(m-1)}(z_0)}{(m-1)!}.$$

Ways to compute residues (continued)

3. If $f(z)$ contains a simple pole (pole of order $m = 1$) and $f(z) = \frac{A(z)}{B(z)}$, where A and B are analytic at z_0 and B has a simple zero at z_0 ($m = 1$), with $A(z_0) \neq 0$, then

$$\operatorname{Res}(f, z_0) = \frac{A(z_0)}{B'(z_0)}.$$

Example: Residues and contour integrals of

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{1+z^4}$$

Ways to compute residues (continued)

3. If $f(z)$ contains a simple pole (pole of order $m = 1$) and $f(z) = \frac{A(z)}{B(z)}$, where A and B are analytic at z_0 and B has a simple zero at z_0 ($m = 1$), with $A(z_0) \neq 0$, then

$$\operatorname{Res}(f, z_0) = \frac{A(z_0)}{B'(z_0)}.$$

Example: Residues and contour integrals of

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{1 + z^4}$$

*Using contour deformation to
evaluate*

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(z) dz,$$

where f has poles.

(Some) applications

- Statistics, e.g. Cauchy-Lorentz distribution
- Fourier and (inverse) Laplace transforms
- Potential flow theory, poles represent sinks

(Some) applications

- Statistics, e.g. Cauchy-Lorentz distribution
- Fourier and (inverse) Laplace transforms
- Potential flow theory, poles represent sinks

(Some) applications

- Statistics, e.g. Cauchy-Lorentz distribution
- Fourier and (inverse) Laplace transforms
- Potential flow theory, poles represent sinks

Examples

-

$$I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{x^2}{x^4 + 1} dx.$$

-

$$I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{ikx}}{x^2 + a^2} dx, \quad a, k > 0.$$

-

$$I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\cos kx}{x^2 + a^2} dx, \quad k > 0.$$

-

$$I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{ax}}{1 + e^x} dx, \quad 0 < a < 1.$$

General strategy

- Add a suitable contour, γ' , to $[a, b]$ to get a **closed** contour γ .
- Find a suitable function $g(z)$ which is analytic inside γ except possibly at poles, **and** such that, either $g(x) = f(x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ **or** there is a simple relation between $g(x)$ and $f(x)$.
- Apply the residue/Cauchy's theorem to evaluate $\oint_{\gamma} g(z) dz$.
- If $\int_{\gamma'} g(z) dz$ can be computed, or expressed in terms of I (as in example 4) then we're done.

General strategy

- Add a suitable contour, γ' , to $[a, b]$ to get a **closed** contour γ .
- Find a suitable function $g(z)$ which is analytic inside γ except possibly at poles, **and** such that, either $g(x) = f(x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ **or** there is a simple relation between $g(x)$ and $f(x)$.
- Apply the residue/Cauchy's theorem to evaluate $\oint_{\gamma} g(z) dz$.
- If $\int_{\gamma'} g(z) dz$ can be computed, or expressed in terms of I (as in example 4) then we're done.

General strategy

- Add a suitable contour, γ' , to $[a, b]$ to get a **closed** contour γ .
- Find a suitable function $g(z)$ which is analytic inside γ except possibly at poles, **and** such that, either $g(x) = f(x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ **or** there is a simple relation between $g(x)$ and $f(x)$.
- Apply the residue/Cauchy's theorem to evaluate $\oint_{\gamma} g(z)dz$.
- If $\int_{\gamma'} g(z)dz$ can be computed, or expressed in terms of I (as in example 4) then we're done.

General strategy

- Add a suitable contour, γ' , to $[a, b]$ to get a **closed** contour γ .
- Find a suitable function $g(z)$ which is analytic inside γ except possibly at poles, **and** such that, either $g(x) = f(x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ **or** there is a simple relation between $g(x)$ and $f(x)$.
- Apply the residue/Cauchy's theorem to evaluate $\oint_{\gamma} g(z)dz$.
- If $\int_{\gamma'} g(z)dz$ can be computed, or expressed in terms of I (as in example 4) then we're done.

Analytic Continuation

Analytic continuation

Thm: If f and g are analytic in a connected domain D and $f = g$ in some common open region D' within D , then $f \equiv g$ throughout D .

Example:

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n \quad \text{for } D' = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$$

Connects local and global behaviour of analytic functions

Analytic continuation

Thm: If f and g are analytic in a connected domain D and $f = g$ in some common open region D' within D , then $f \equiv g$ throughout D .

Example:

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n \quad \text{for } D' = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$$

Connects local and global behaviour of analytic functions

Analytic continuation

Thm: If f and g are analytic in a connected domain D and $f = g$ in some common open region D' within D , then $f \equiv g$ throughout D .

Example:

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n \quad \text{for } D' = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$$

Connects local and global behaviour of analytic functions

Branch points and branch cuts

Branch points and branch cuts

A point z_0 is called a **branch point** of $f(z)$ if f is not single-valued in a neighbourhood of z_0 , i.e., analytically continuing along a path γ around z_0 and back to the same starting point returns a different value of $f(z)$.

A **branch cut** is a line χ such that the multi-valued analytic function $f(z)$ becomes a collection of single-valued analytic functions (each one is called a **branch** of $f(z)$) in a complement to χ .

Branch points and branch cuts

A point z_0 is called a **branch point** of $f(z)$ if f is not single-valued in a neighbourhood of z_0 , i.e., analytically continuing along a path γ around z_0 and back to the same starting point returns a different value of $f(z)$.

A **branch cut** is a line χ such that the multi-valued analytic function $f(z)$ becomes a collection of single-valued analytic functions (each one is called a **branch** of $f(z)$) in a complement to χ .

Examples:

- Square root function
- Complex logarithm

Examples:

- Square root function
- Complex logarithm