

M3PA50 Introduction to Riemann Surfaces and Conformal Dynamics

Question	Examiner's Comments
----------	---------------------

- | | |
|-----|--|
| Q 1 | Some students have forgotten to verify that the map was not the identity when showing that it was neither parabolic nor hyperbolic |
| Q 2 | Some students had difficulties in showing that a particular set is a subset of the Fatou set |
| Q 3 | Was generally good |
| Q 4 | No student succeeded to get the full mark for Q 4.3 |

M45PA50 Introduction to Riemann Surfaces and Conformal Dynamics

Question	Examiner's Comments
Q 1	Some students have forgotten to verify that the map was not the identity when showing that it was neither parabolic nor hyperbolic
Q 2	Some students had difficulties in showing that a particular set is a subset of the Fatou set
Q 3	Was generally good
Q 4	No student succeeded to get the full mark for Q 4.3
Q 5	No student had a positive mark for Q 5.2

BSc, MSci and MSc EXAMINATIONS (MATHEMATICS)

May-June 2018

This paper is also taken for the relevant examination for the Associateship of the
Royal College of Science

Introduction to Riemann Surfaces and Conformal

Date: Tuesday, 29 May 2018

Time: 10:00 AM - 12:30 PM

Time Allowed: 2.5 hours

This paper has 5 questions.

Candidates should use ONE main answer book.

Supplementary books may only be used after the relevant main book(s) are full.

All required additional material will be provided.

- DO NOT OPEN THIS PAPER UNTIL THE INVIGILATOR TELLS YOU TO.
- Affix one of the labels provided to each answer book that you use, but DO NOT USE THE LABEL WITH YOUR NAME ON IT.
- Each question carries equal weight.
- Calculators may not be used.

1. Let $\gamma_0 \in \text{Mob}(\mathbb{H})$ be defined as $\gamma_0(z) = -1/z$ and let $\beta(z) = 2z$.

(1) State what is meant by an *elliptic Möbius transformation*.

(2) Show that γ_0 is *elliptic*.

(3) Show that if $\gamma \in \text{Mob}(\mathbb{H})$ is elliptic and $\alpha \in \text{Mob}(\mathbb{H})$ then $\alpha \circ \gamma \circ \alpha^{-1}$ is also elliptic.

(4) Define for $n \geq 0$,

$$\gamma_{n+1} := \beta \circ \gamma_n \circ \beta^{-1}.$$

Show that γ_n is elliptic for all $n \geq 1$.

2. We will study the Newton method applied to the polynomial

$$p(z) = z^2 + 1.$$

Let

$$N(z) := z - \frac{p(z)}{p'(z)}$$

be defined on $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$. We consider the dynamics of N on $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$. Let $-\mathbb{H}$ denote the set of complex numbers with negative imaginary part. You may use that on the Riemann sphere no sequence of holomorphic functions can diverge uniformly locally.

(1) Show that $-\mathbb{H}$ is biholomorphic to \mathbb{H} . Conclude that it is a hyperbolic Riemann surface.

(2) Show that $N(\mathbb{H}) \subset \mathbb{H}$ and $N(-\mathbb{H}) \subset -\mathbb{H}$.

(3) Deduce that \mathbb{H} and $-\mathbb{H}$ are connected open subsets of the Fatou set $F(N)$ of N .

(4) Show that i and $-i$ are superattracting fixed points for N .

3. Let

$$\mathbb{D}^* = \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\} = \{z : 0 < |z| < 1\}$$

be the punctured disk. Recall that the hyperbolic conformal metric on \mathbb{D}^* is equal to

$$\rho(z) = \frac{1}{|z| |\log |z||}$$

for $z \in \mathbb{D}^*$.

(1) Let $\pi : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^*$ be the universal covering map whose expression is

$$\pi(z) = e^{iz}.$$

We define the *pull back* of the conformal metric ρ by π as the conformal metric

$$\rho^*(z) = \rho(\pi(z)) |\pi'(z)|,$$

on \mathbb{H} .

Show that $\rho^*(z) = \frac{1}{\text{Im } z}$, for all $z \in \mathbb{H}$.

- (2) Using the universal covering π defined above, show that the radial lines of \mathbb{D}^* are geodesics for the hyperbolic metric on \mathbb{D}^* .
- (3) Let $d_{\mathbb{D}^*}$ denote the hyperbolic distance on \mathbb{D}^* . Let θ, r_0, r_1 be such that $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$ and $0 < r_0 \leq r_1 < 1$. Show that

$$d_{\mathbb{D}^*}(r_0 e^{i\theta}, r_1 e^{i\theta}) = \log \frac{\log r_0}{\log r_1}.$$

Remark: you can use the fact that the derivative of $-\log(-\log r)$ for $0 < r < 1$ is $\frac{-1}{r \log r}$.

4. Let $B = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : -1 < \operatorname{Im} z < 1\}$ and consider the map $\beta : B \rightarrow B$ defined as $\beta(z) = \bar{z} + 1$. Define $M = B/\beta$, that is, the quotient of B by the action of β and $\pi : B \rightarrow M$ the associated projection.

Consider the sets

$$U = \{x + iy \in B : 0 < x < 1, -1 < y < 1\}$$

and

$$V = \{x + iy \in B : 0 \leq x < 1/4, -1 < y < 1\} \cup \{x + iy \in B : 3/4 < x \leq 1, -1 < y < 1\}.$$

- (1) Prove that U is an open fundamental domain for the action of β on M .
- (2) Let $\psi_V : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be defined as

$$\psi_V(z) = \begin{cases} x + iy, & \text{if } 0 \leq x < 1/4, \\ x - iy - 1, & \text{if } 3/4 < x \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

where x and y denote respectively the real and imaginary parts of z . We would like to define a map $\varphi_V : V/\beta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $\varphi_V(\zeta) = \psi_V(z)$ for $z \in \zeta \cap V$. Show that the map φ_V is indeed well defined and continuous.

Remark: you can use the fact that if W is an open subset of B on which the projection map $\pi : B \rightarrow M$ is injective then $\pi : W \rightarrow \pi(W)$ is a homeomorphism.

- (3) Define $\varphi_U : U/\beta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as $\varphi_U(\zeta) = z$ where z is the unique element of $U \cap \zeta$. Show that $((U, \varphi_U), (V, \varphi_V))$ is not a complex atlas for M .

5. A simple version of the Implicit Function Theorem for holomorphic functions can be stated as follows.

Theorem Let U_1, U_2 be non empty open subsets of \mathbb{C} and let $F : U_1 \times U_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a continuous map which is holomorphic in each variable (that is for all $z_1 \in U_1$, $z_2 \mapsto F(z_1, z_2)$ is holomorphic on U_2 and for all $z_2 \in U_2$, $z_1 \mapsto F(z_1, z_2)$ is holomorphic on U_1).

For $(z_1, z_2) \in U_1 \times U_2$, let $\frac{\partial F}{\partial z_2}(z_1, z_2)$ denote the derivative of the holomorphic map $z \mapsto F(z_1, z)$ evaluated at z_2 .

Assume that there exists $(a_1, a_2) \in U_1 \times U_2$ such that $F(a_1, a_2) = 0$ and $\frac{\partial F}{\partial z_2}(a_1, a_2) \neq 0$.

Then there exists an open neighbourhood W_1 of a_1 in U_1 , an open neighbourhood W_2 of a_2 in U_2 and a holomorphic function $\varphi : W_1 \rightarrow U_2$ such that $\varphi(a_1) = a_2$ and for all $(z_1, z_2) \in W_1 \times W_2$, $F(z_1, z_2) = 0$ if and only if $z_2 = \varphi(z_1)$.

Consider the map $f : \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined as $f(c, z) = z^2 + c$. For each $c \in \mathbb{C}$, we consider $f_c(z) := f(c, z)$ as a function of the variable $z \in \mathbb{C}$ that we wish to iterate.

- (1) Assume that there exists $c_0 \in U$ and $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that z_0 is a periodic point of f_{c_0} of minimal period p and multiplier $\lambda = (f_{c_0}^p)'(z_0)$. Show that if $\lambda \neq 1$ then there exists a neighbourhood W of c_0 and a neighbourhood V of z_0 such that for all $c \in W$ the function f_c has a periodic point in V which depends holomorphically on c and whose minimal period divides p .
- (2) Show that, by choosing W small enough, the minimal period of the periodic point of f_c found in part (1) is exactly p .

1.1.(3 marks, seen) An elliptic Möbius transformation is a Möbius transformation that has a single fixed point in \mathbb{H} and none in $\partial\mathbb{H}$.

1.2.(3 marks, seen) The trace of γ_0 is 0 so it is elliptic.

1.3.(11 marks, seen) Let ζ be the unique fixed point of γ in \mathbb{H} , let $\gamma' = \alpha \circ \gamma \circ \alpha^{-1}$ and let $\zeta' = \alpha(\zeta)$.

Since $\alpha \in \text{Mob}(\mathbb{H})$ and $\zeta \in \mathbb{H}$ then $\zeta' = \alpha(\zeta) \in \mathbb{H}$.

We have $\gamma'(\zeta') = \alpha(\gamma(\zeta)) = \alpha(\zeta) = \zeta'$.

Hence ζ' is a fixed point of γ' . (5 marks)

Similarly if ξ is a fixed point of γ' in \mathbb{H} then $\alpha^{-1}(\xi)$ is a fixed point of γ in \mathbb{H} . (3 marks)

By uniqueness of the fixed point of γ it follows that γ' has no fixed point other than ζ' in \mathbb{H} .

Since $\gamma' \in \text{Mob}(\mathbb{H})$ it follows that γ' is elliptic. (3 marks)

1.4.(3 marks, seen) Remark that $\beta \in \text{Mob}(\mathbb{H})$. (1 mark)

Proceed by induction: since γ_{n+1} is conjugated to γ_n in $\text{Mob}(\mathbb{H})$ and γ_n is elliptic, it follows from part 3 that γ_{n+1} is also elliptic. (2 marks)

2.1.(6 marks, seen) The map $-\mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H} : z \mapsto -z$ is a biholomorphism between $-\mathbb{H}$ and \mathbb{H} . (3 marks)

The surface \mathbb{H} is hyperbolic hence $-\mathbb{H}$ is a hyperbolic surface. (3 marks)

2.2.(3 marks, seen similar) We compute that $N(z) = \frac{1}{2}(z - 1/z)$.

From a direct computation we see that $\operatorname{Im} N(z) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im} (z - \bar{z}/|z|^2) = \operatorname{Im} z (1 + 1/|z|^2)/2$.

Since $(1 + 1/|z|^2)/2 > 0$, we conclude that $\operatorname{Im} N(z) > 0$ if and only if $\operatorname{Im} z > 0$ and a similar statement holds for < 0 .

2.3.(5 marks, seen similar) From the previous part it follows that for all $n \geq 1$, the restriction of N^n to \mathbb{H} has values in \mathbb{H} . (1 mark)

The Riemann surface \mathbb{H} is hyperbolic. This implies that $(N^n)_n$ is normal on \mathbb{H} . (1 mark)

Hence $\mathbb{H} \subset F(N)$. (1 mark)

The surface $-\mathbb{H}$ is hyperbolic. It follows in a similar way as above that $(N^n)_n$ is normal on $-\mathbb{H}$ and that $-\mathbb{H} \subset F(N)$ ($F(N)$ being the Fatou set of N). (1 mark)

Finally \mathbb{H} and $-\mathbb{H}$ are clearly connected. (1 mark)

2.4.(6 marks, seen) We can check directly that $N(i) = i$ and $N'(i) = 0$.

The same for $-i$.

3.1(5 marks, unseen) We have

$$\rho^*(z) = \rho(\pi(z)) |\pi'(z)| \quad (1)$$

$$= \frac{|ie^{iz}|}{|e^{iz}| |\log |e^{iz}||} \quad (2)$$

(2 marks)

Since $|e^{iz}| = e^{-\text{Im } z}$, it follows $\rho^*(z) = \frac{1}{|-\text{Im } z|}$. (2 marks)

For $z \in \mathbb{H}$, $\text{Im } z > 0$, then $\rho^*(z) = \frac{1}{\text{Im } z}$. (1 mark)

3.2.(9 marks, unseen) Let $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the image of $\{\theta + iy : y > 0\}$ by π is the set $\{e^{-y}e^{i\theta} : y > 0\}$.

This set is the radial line from 0 at angle $\theta \pmod{2\pi}$ in \mathbb{D}^* . So π maps vertical lines to radial lines in \mathbb{D}^* . (3 marks)

We know that the universal covering of a hyperbolic surface is a local isometry with respect to the hyperbolic metrics.

This implies that the image of a geodesic of \mathbb{H} by π is a geodesic of \mathbb{D}^* .

Indeed let $z \in \mathbb{H}$. If $z' \in \mathbb{H}$ is close enough to z then $d_{\mathbb{D}^*}(\pi(z), \pi(z')) = d_{\mathbb{H}}(z, z')$.

Let σ be a path in \mathbb{H} describing the geodesic arc from z to z' .

Since $\pi : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^*$ is a covering map it preserves the length of paths.

Hence $\text{length}_{\mathbb{D}^*} \pi \circ \sigma = d_{\mathbb{D}^*}(\pi(z), \pi(z'))$

This means that $\pi \circ \sigma$ is a geodesic arc. (3 marks)

Finally vertical half lines in \mathbb{H} are geodesic for the hyperbolic metric on \mathbb{H} .

Their images by π are the radial lines in \mathbb{D}^* . This means that the latter are geodesics for the hyperbolic metric on \mathbb{D}^* . (3 marks)

3.3(6 marks, seen similar) Since $z_0 = r_0 e^{i\theta}$ and $z_1 = r_1 e^{i\theta}$ are on the same radial line, we can compute the distance between them by computing the hyperbolic length of the euclidean arc $[z_0, z_1]$. (2 marks)

Let's parametrise $[z_0, z_1]$ with $\sigma(t) = te^{i\theta}$ for $t \in [r_0, r_1]$.

Using the expression of the conformal metric of \mathbb{D}^* given at the beginning we can compute its length by computing the integral

$$\int_{r_0}^{r_1} \frac{dt}{t |\log t|} \quad (3)$$

(2 marks)

We can then use the hint to compute as follows:

$$\int_{r_0}^{r_1} \frac{dt}{t \log t} = -\log(-\log r_1) - (-\log(-\log r_0)) = -\log \frac{\log r_0}{\log r_1}. \quad (4)$$

(2 marks)

4.1.(7 marks, seen similar) The set U is open. (1 mark)

The orbit of $z \in B$ by the action of β is the set of points $\{z + 2n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cup \{\bar{z} + 2n + 1 : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

In particular the difference of the real part of two points in the orbit is an integer (Note: it is not necessary to compute the orbit explicitly to see that). (2 marks)

But $\sup \{\operatorname{Re} z - \operatorname{Re} z' : z, z' \in U\} = 1$. It follows that any orbit intersects U at most once. (2 marks)

Finally if n is the largest integer $\leq \operatorname{Re} z$, $\beta^n(z) \in \bar{U}$.

Hence U is an open fundamental domain. (2 marks)

4.2.(6 marks, seen similar) In order to show that φ_V is well defined we only need to show that for all y such that $-1 < y < 1$, $\psi_V(iy) = \psi_V(1 - iy)$.

We have $\psi_V(iy) = iy$ and $\psi_V(1 - iy) = 1 - i(-y) - 1 = \psi(iy)$. (2 marks)

The map φ_V is clearly continuous for points of the form $\pi(x + iy)$ with $0 < x < 1/4$ or $3/4 < x < 1$. (2 marks)

From the definition of φ_V it follows that we really only need to show that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \psi_V(x + iy) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} \psi_V(x - iy) \text{ for any } y.$$

This is clear from the definition of ψ_V by using the same identity as for showing that φ_V is well defined. (2 marks)

4.3.(7 marks, unseen) In order to have a complex atlas it is necessary that the transition maps between charts are holomorphic. (and other requirements from the definition of a complex atlas). (2 marks)

The set $W = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 3/4 < \operatorname{Re} z < 1, -1 < \operatorname{Im} z < 1\}$ is a connected component of $\varphi_U(U \cap V)$. (1 mark)

We can compute directly and find that on W we have $\varphi_V \circ \varphi_U^{-1}(z) = \bar{z} - 1$. (2 marks)

The map $z \mapsto \bar{z} - 1$ is not holomorphic. (can check the Cauchy-Riemann equation, or say this reverses the orientation or simply claim this as a prior knowledge). Hence the transition map $\varphi_V \circ \varphi_U^{-1}$ is not holomorphic. It follows that $((U, \varphi_U), (V, \varphi_V))$ cannot be a complex atlas for M . (2 marks)

5.1.(10 marks, unseen) Let F be the function defined on $U \times \mathbb{C}$ by $F(c, z) = f_c^p(z) - z$.

The function F is holomorphic in c and z because $(c, z) \mapsto f_c(z)$ is holomorphic in each variable. (2 marks)

We have $F(c_0, z_0) = 0$ by assumption. (2 marks)

We have $\frac{\partial F}{\partial z}(c_0, z_0) = \lambda - 1$. (2 marks)

Hence if $\lambda \neq 1$ it follows from the implicit function theorem that there exists $W \subset U$ a neighbourhood of c_0 and a holomorphic map $\varphi : W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\varphi(c_0) = z_0$ and for all $c \in W$, $F(c, \varphi(c)) = 0$. (2 marks)

This means that $\varphi(c)$ is a periodic point of f_c of period dividing p . (2 marks)

5.2.(10 marks, unseen) Let $(z_0, z_1, \dots, z_{p-1})$ be the periodic cycle of f_{c_0} of exact period p and starting at z_0 , that is $z_j = f_{c_0}^j(z_0)$ for all $j = 0, \dots, p-1$. Then for each j there exists a neighbourhood V_j of z_j such that the $(V_j)_{j=0, \dots, p-1}$ are pairwise disjoint. (3 marks)

Since the mappings φ and f_c^j , $j = 0, \dots, p-1$, are continuous we can find a neighbourhood W' of c_0 such that $W' \subset W$ and for all $c \in W'$ and all $j = 0, \dots, p-1$, $f_c^j(\varphi(c)) \in V_j$. (4 marks)

Since the V_j are disjoint, the period of $\varphi(c)$ cannot be less than p . (3 marks)