

✓

Note: ① If $\Gamma \vdash_L \phi$
then $\Gamma \vdash_L \psi$

is the same as

$$\vdash_L \phi$$

- ϕ is a theorem of L

② If $\Delta \subseteq \Gamma$ and
 $\Delta \vdash_L \phi$ then $\Gamma \vdash_L \phi$

= (1.2.5) Theorem: (Deduction
Theorem, DT)

Suppose Γ is a set of L -formulas
and ϕ, ψ are L -formulas.

Suppose $\Gamma \cup \{\phi\} \vdash_L \psi$
then $\Gamma \vdash_L (\phi \rightarrow \psi)$.

[Ex: converse is also true.]

Use this:

(1.2.6) Cor (Hypothetical Syllogism HS):

Suppose ϕ, ψ, χ are L -formulas

and $\vdash_L (\phi \rightarrow \psi)$

and $\vdash_L (\psi \rightarrow \chi)$
then $\vdash_L (\phi \rightarrow \chi)$

Pf: Show: there is a deduction
of χ from $\{\phi\}$.

So $\{\phi\} \vdash_L \chi$; then DT
with $\Gamma = \emptyset$ gives $\vdash_L (\phi \rightarrow \chi)$.

Here is a deduction from $\{\phi\}$

Proposition		(1.2.7)	
(Assumption)	Suppose ϕ, ψ are L-formulas.		
(Theorem of L)	then		
(1, 2 + MP)	(a) $\vdash_L (\neg \psi) \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \phi)$		
(4. $\psi \rightarrow \chi$)	(b) $\vdash_L \{\neg \psi\}, \psi \vdash_L \phi$		
(Theorem of L)	(c) $\vdash_L ((\neg \phi) \rightarrow \phi) \rightarrow \phi$		
(3, 4 + MP)			
5. χ			
So	$\{\phi\} \vdash_L \chi$	Pf: (a) Problem sheet 1, que. 6.	
	thus $\vdash_L (\phi \rightarrow \chi)$	(b) By (a) and MP twice.	
Note:	Allowed to use 'known' theorems of L in deductions.	(c) Suppose χ is any formula. then by (b) + MP $\vdash_L (\neg \phi), (\neg \psi) \rightarrow \phi \vdash_L \chi$	

let α be an axiom and
let χ be $(\neg\alpha)$.

So $\{(\neg\phi), ((\neg\phi) \rightarrow \phi)\} \vdash_L (\neg\alpha)$.

By DT

$\{(\neg\phi) \rightarrow \phi\} \vdash H((\neg\phi) \rightarrow (\neg\alpha))$

use A3 axiom
 $((\neg\phi) \rightarrow (\neg\alpha)) \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \phi)$
+ MP, we get
 $\{(\neg\phi) \rightarrow \phi\} \vdash (\alpha \rightarrow \phi)$

We have $\vdash_L \alpha$, so by

MP: $\{(\neg\phi) \rightarrow \phi\} \vdash \phi$.

DT then gives $\vdash_L ((\neg\phi) \rightarrow \phi) \#_{\neg}$.

Proof of Deduction Theorem

Suppose $\Gamma \cup \{\psi\} \vdash_L \psi$
using a deduction of length n .
Prove by induction on n that
 $\Gamma \vdash_L (\psi \rightarrow \psi)$.

Base step $n = 1$. In this case
 ψ is either:
an axiom
or
it is ψ
or

In the first two cases

$$\Gamma \vdash_L \psi$$

then the Al axiom
 $\vdash_L (\psi \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \psi))$

and MP gives
 $\Gamma \vdash_L (\psi \rightarrow \psi)$
if ψ is ϕ then

$\Gamma \vdash_L (\phi \rightarrow \phi)$
by 1.2.3. this does the
 $n = 1$ case.

Inductive step. Suppose the result
holds for shorter deductions
(i.e. of length $< n$).
In our deduction $\Gamma \cup \{\psi\} \vdash_L \psi$
either:

- (a) ψ is an axiom, or in Γ or
is equal to ϕ
- (b) ψ is obtained by applying
MP to earlier formulas
 $\chi, (\psi \rightarrow (\phi \rightarrow \psi))$

In case (a) we argue as in the base case, to get

$$\Gamma \vdash_L (\phi \rightarrow \psi)$$

In case (b) we have

$$\Gamma \cup \{\phi\} \vdash \chi$$

and $\Gamma \cup \{\phi\} \vdash (\chi \rightarrow \psi)$
using shorter deductions (ⁱⁿ_{steps})

By the inductive hypothesis

$$\Gamma \vdash (\phi \rightarrow \chi) \quad \dots \quad ①$$

$$+ \quad \Gamma \vdash (\phi \rightarrow (\chi \rightarrow \psi))$$

... ②

use A2

In case (a) we argue as in the base case, to get

$$\Gamma \vdash_L ((\phi \rightarrow (\chi \rightarrow \psi)) \rightarrow ((\phi \rightarrow \chi) \rightarrow (\phi \rightarrow \psi)))$$

and ② + MP we have

$$\Gamma \vdash ((\phi \rightarrow \chi) \rightarrow (\phi \rightarrow \psi))$$

use ① + MP to get

$$\Gamma \vdash (\phi \rightarrow \psi)$$

=====

This completes the inductive hypothesis. #