

Analysis 1A

Lecture 2 - Decimal Expansions

Ajay Chandra

Finite decimals

Finite decimals

For $a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, 9\}$ we **define** the finite decimal $\underline{a_0. a_1 \dots a_i}$, as follows:

If $a_0 \geq 0$ then $a_0. a_1 \dots a_i$ is set to be

$$a_0 + \frac{a_1}{10} + \frac{a_2}{100} + \cdots + \frac{a_i}{10^i} \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

Finite decimals

For $a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, 9\}$ we **define** the finite decimal $a_0.a_1\dots a_i$ as follows:

If $a_0 \geq 0$ then $a_0.a_1\dots a_i$ is set to be

$$a_0 + \frac{a_1}{10} + \frac{a_2}{100} + \cdots + \frac{a_i}{10^i} \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

For $a_0 < 0$ we set $a_0.a_1\dots a_i$ to be given by $-(|a_0|.a_1\dots a_i)$.

Eventually periodic decimals

Eventually periodic decimals

At school you became happy with the idea that

$$\begin{aligned}0.\overline{3} &= 0.3333\dots \\&= 0.3 + 0.03 + 0.003 + 0.0003 + \dots \\&= \frac{3}{10} + \frac{3}{100} + \frac{3}{1000} + \frac{3}{10000} + \dots\end{aligned}$$

Eventually periodic decimals

At school you became happy with the idea that

$$\begin{aligned}0.\overline{3} &= 0.3333\dots \\&= 0.3 + 0.03 + 0.003 + 0.0003 + \dots \\&= \frac{3}{10} + \frac{3}{100} + \frac{3}{1000} + \frac{3}{10000} + \dots \\&= \frac{3}{10} \left(1 + \frac{1}{10} + \left(\frac{1}{10}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{10}\right)^3 + \dots \right)\end{aligned}$$

Eventually periodic decimals

At school you became happy with the idea that

$$\begin{aligned}0.\overline{3} &= 0.3333\dots \\&= 0.3 + 0.03 + 0.003 + 0.0003 + \dots \\&= \frac{3}{10} + \frac{3}{100} + \frac{3}{1000} + \frac{3}{10000} + \dots \\&= \frac{3}{10} \left(1 + \frac{1}{10} + \left(\frac{1}{10}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{10}\right)^3 + \dots \right) \\&\stackrel{?}{=} \frac{3}{10} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{10}} = \frac{3}{10} \cdot \frac{10}{9} = \frac{1}{3}.\end{aligned}$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^n = \frac{1}{1-r}$$

for $|r| < 1$

Eventually periodic decimals

Now I'll grant you we can certainly justify

$$1 + x + x^2 + \cdots + x^n = \frac{1 - x^{n+1}}{1 - x}, \quad x \neq 1,$$

by multiplying both sides by $1 - x$.

Eventually periodic decimals

Now I'll grant you we can certainly justify

$$1 + x + x^2 + \cdots + x^n = \frac{1 - x^{n+1}}{1 - x}, \quad x \neq 1,$$

by multiplying both sides by $1 - x$.

But it will be many lectures before we know how to conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + x + x^2 + \cdots + x^n + \dots &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1 - x^{n+1}}{1 - x} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - x}, \quad -1 < x < 1, \end{aligned}$$

to justify the $\stackrel{?}{=}$ in the last slide.

$$1.43\overline{57} = 1 + \frac{4}{10} + \frac{3}{100} + \frac{57}{10^4} \left(\frac{1}{1-10^{-2}} \right)$$

1.4357575757....

So for now we simply take it as a **definition**:

For $a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a_{i>0} \in \{0, 1, \dots, 9\}$ we define

$$a_0. a_1 \dots a_i \overline{a_{i+1} a_{i+2} \dots a_j}$$

to be the *rational number*

$$a_0 + \frac{a_1}{10} + \frac{a_2}{100} + \dots + \frac{a_i}{10^i} + \left(\frac{a_{i+1} a_{i+2} \dots a_j}{10^j} \right) \left(\frac{1}{1 - 10^{i-j}} \right) \quad (2.6)$$

motivated by the “fact” (which we’ve yet to prove) that the last fraction equals $1 + 10^{-(j-i)} + 10^{-2(j-i)} + \dots$

Eventually periodic decimals

There's a bit of checking that (2.6) is well-defined: for instance, you should check that our definition makes different expansions like $0.\overline{3}$ and $0.3\overline{3}$ and $0.\overline{33}$ all the same number....).

Eventually periodic decimals

There's a bit of checking that (2.6) is well-defined: for instance, you should check that our definition makes different expansions like $0.\overline{3}$ and $0.3\overline{3}$ and $0.\overline{33}$ all the same number....).

Another good exercise:

Exercise 2.7

Consider two eventually periodic decimals differing in only one place:

$$a = a_0.a_1a_2\dots a_{n-1}a_n a_{n+1}\dots, \quad b = a_0.a_1a_2\dots a_{n-1}b_n a_{n+1}\dots$$

Show using our definition (2.6) that $a < b$ if and only if $a_n < b_n$.

Eventually periodic decimals

Thanks to our definition (2.6) we know that eventually periodic decimal expansion gives a **rational number**.

Eventually periodic decimals

Thanks to our definition (2.6) we know that eventually periodic decimal expansion gives a **rational number**.

We are going to prove periodic decimals give **all** the rational numbers.

Eventually periodic decimals

Thanks to our definition (2.6) we know that eventually periodic decimal expansion gives a **rational number**.

We are going to prove periodic decimals give **all** the rational numbers.

To see the idea of the proof it is good to do an example and try to write $\frac{25}{11}$ as a decimal.

Eventually periodic decimals

$$\frac{25}{11} = a_0. a_1 a_2 a_3 \dots$$

$\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ floor
"nearest integer below"
"round down"

Eventually periodic decimals

$$\frac{25}{11} = a_0. a_1 a_2 a_3 \dots$$

To find $a_0 = \lfloor \frac{25}{11} \rfloor$ we divide 11 into 25:

$$25 = 2 \times 11 + 3 \Rightarrow a_0 = 2. \quad (*)$$

Eventually periodic decimals

$$\frac{25}{11} = a_0. a_1 a_2 a_3 \dots$$

To find $a_0 = \lfloor \frac{25}{11} \rfloor$ we divide 11 into 25:

$$25 = 2 \times 11 + 3 \Rightarrow a_0 = 2. \quad (*)$$

To find a_1 we take $\frac{25}{11} - 2 = 3/11 = 0. a_1 a_2 a_3 \dots$

$$\frac{30}{11} = a_1.a_2a_3\dots$$

Eventually periodic decimals

$$\frac{25}{11} = a_0. a_1a_2a_3\dots$$

To find $a_0 = \lfloor \frac{25}{11} \rfloor$ we divide 11 into 25:

$$25 = 2 \times 11 + 3 \Rightarrow a_0 = 2. \quad (*)$$

To find a_1 we take $\frac{25}{11} - 2 = 3/11 = 0. a_1a_2a_3\dots$. Then multiply by 10 and take integer part, i.e. $a_1 = \lfloor \frac{30}{11} \rfloor$

$$30 = 2 \times 11 + 8 \Rightarrow a_1 = 2.$$

Eventually periodic decimals

$$\frac{25}{11} = a_0. \underline{\underline{a_1 a_2 a_3 \dots}}$$

$$\frac{8}{11} = a_2 \dots$$

$$\frac{80}{11} = a_2.a_3 \dots$$

To find $a_0 = \lfloor \frac{25}{11} \rfloor$ we divide 11 into 25:

$$25 = 2 \times 11 + 3 \Rightarrow a_0 = 2. \quad (*)$$

To find a_1 we take $\frac{25}{11} - 2 = 3/11 = 0. \underline{\underline{a_1 a_2 a_3 \dots}}$. Then multiply by 10 and take integer part, i.e. $a_1 = \lfloor \frac{30}{11} \rfloor$

$$30 = 2 \times 11 + 8 \Rightarrow a_1 = 2.$$

Multiplying remainder 8 by 10 and dividing 11 in gives $a_2 = \lfloor \frac{80}{11} \rfloor$:

$$80 = 7 \times 11 + 3 \Rightarrow a_2 = 7.$$

Eventually periodic decimals

$$\frac{25}{11} = a_0. a_1 a_2 a_3 \dots$$

To find $a_0 = \lfloor \frac{25}{11} \rfloor$ we divide 11 into 25:

$$25 = 2 \times 11 + 3 \Rightarrow a_0 = 2. \quad (*)$$

To find a_1 we take $\frac{25}{11} - 2 = 3/11 = 0. a_1 a_2 a_3 \dots$. Then multiply by 10 and take integer part, i.e. $a_1 = \lfloor \frac{30}{11} \rfloor$

$$30 = 2 \times 11 + 8 \Rightarrow a_1 = 2.$$

Multiplying remainder 8 by 10 and dividing 11 in gives $a_2 = \lfloor \frac{80}{11} \rfloor$:

$$80 = 7 \times 11 + 3 \Rightarrow a_2 = 7.$$

   We have remainder 3 again, just as in (*). Now everything repeats - the remainder determines the next step.

Eventually periodic decimals

$$\frac{25}{11} = a_0. a_1 a_2 a_3 \dots$$

To find $a_0 = \lfloor \frac{25}{11} \rfloor$ we divide 11 into 25:

$$25 = 2 \times 11 + 3 \Rightarrow a_0 = 2. \quad (*)$$

To find a_1 we take $\frac{25}{11} - 2 = 3/11 = 0. a_1 a_2 a_3 \dots$. Then multiply by 10 and take integer part, i.e. $a_1 = \lfloor \frac{30}{11} \rfloor$

$$30 = 2 \times 11 + 8 \Rightarrow a_1 = 2.$$

Multiplying remainder 8 by 10 and dividing 11 in gives $a_2 = \lfloor \frac{80}{11} \rfloor$:

$$80 = 7 \times 11 + 3 \Rightarrow a_2 = 7.$$

💡💡💡 We have remainder 3 again, just as in (*). Now everything repeats - the remainder determines the next step.

Can check with definition (2.6) that $\frac{25}{11} = 2.\overline{27}$

All of \mathbb{Q} can be written as eventually periodical decimals

Theorem 2.8

Any $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ is equal to an eventually periodic decimal expansion:

$$x = a_0. a_1 \dots a_i \overline{a_{i+1} a_{i+2} \dots a_j}$$

($a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a_\ell \in \{0, 1, \dots, 9\}$ for $\ell \geq 1$).

All of \mathbb{Q} can be written as eventually periodical decimals

Theorem 2.8

Any $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ is equal to an eventually periodic decimal expansion:

$$x = a_0. a_1 \dots a_i \overline{a_{i+1} a_{i+2} \dots a_j}$$

($a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a_\ell \in \{0, 1, \dots, 9\}$ for $\ell \geq 1$).

Proof: Without loss of generality we take $x > 0$.

In our proof we write $\{x\} := x - \lfloor x \rfloor \in [0, 1)$ for the non-integer part of x .

$$\left\{ \frac{5}{3} \right\} = \frac{2}{3} \quad \lfloor \frac{5}{3} \rfloor = 1$$

To write x as a decimal we let $a_0 := \lfloor x \rfloor$ and $e_0 := \{x\}$, so

$$x = a_0 + e_0, \quad a_0 \in \mathbb{N}, \quad e_0 \in [0, 1) \quad (2.9)$$

To write x as a decimal we let $a_0 := \lfloor x \rfloor$ and $e_0 := \{x\}$, so

$$x = a_0 + e_0, \quad a_0 \in \mathbb{N}, \quad e_0 \in [0, 1) \quad (2.9)$$

Now repeat for $10e_0 \in [0, 10)$, setting $a_1 := \lfloor 10e_0 \rfloor$ and error $e_1 := \{10e_0\}$,

$$10e_0 = a_1 + e_1, \quad a_1 \in \{0, 1, \dots, 9\}, \quad e_1 \in [0, 1).$$

To write x as a decimal we let $a_0 := \lfloor x \rfloor$ and $e_0 := \{x\}$, so

$$x = a_0 + e_0, \quad a_0 \in \mathbb{N}, \quad e_0 \in [0, 1) \quad (2.9)$$

Now repeat for $10e_0 \in [0, 10)$, setting $a_1 := \lfloor 10e_0 \rfloor$ and error $e_1 := \{10e_0\}$,

$$10e_0 = a_1 + e_1, \quad a_1 \in \{0, 1, \dots, 9\}, \quad e_1 \in [0, 1).$$

Inductively, given $a_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, 9\}$ and $e_i \in [0, 1)$ for $i < k$ we set the k -th digit $a_k := \lfloor 10e_{k-1} \rfloor$ and k -th error $e_k := \{10e_{k-1}\}$, so

$$10e_{k-1} = a_k + e_k, \quad a_k \in \{0, 1, \dots, 9\}, \quad e_k \in [0, 1). \quad (2.10)$$

To write x as a decimal we let $a_0 := \lfloor x \rfloor$ and $e_0 := \{x\}$, so

$$q^x = (a_0 + e_0)q \quad a_0 \in \mathbb{N}, \quad e_0 \in [0, 1) \quad (2.9)$$

Now repeat for $10e_0 \in [0, 10)$, setting $a_1 := \lfloor 10e_0 \rfloor$ and error $e_1 := \{10e_0\}$,

$$10e_0 = a_1 + e_1, \quad a_1 \in \{0, 1, \dots, 9\}, \quad e_1 \in [0, 1).$$

Inductively, given $a_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, 9\}$ and $e_i \in [0, 1)$ for $i < k$ we set the k -th digit $a_k := \lfloor 10e_{k-1} \rfloor$ and k -th error $e_k := \{10e_{k-1}\}$, so

$$10e_{k-1} = a_k + e_k, \quad a_k \in \{0, 1, \dots, 9\}, \quad e_k \in [0, 1). \quad (2.10)$$

Plugging each equation into the former gives, for any k ,

$$x = a_0 + \frac{a_1}{10} + \frac{a_2}{10^2} + \cdots + \frac{a_k}{10^k} + \frac{e_k}{10^k}, \quad e_k \in [0, 1). \quad (2.11)$$

$$\frac{a_{k+1}}{10^{k+1}} + \frac{e_{k+1}}{10^{k+1}}$$

Now remember $x = p/q$ ($p, q \in \mathbb{N}$) is rational! So $q \times (2.9)$ tells us

$$p = qa_0 + r_0,$$

where $r_0 := qe_0 \in \{0, 1, \dots, q - 1\}$ is the remainder.

Now remember $x = p/q$ ($p, q \in \mathbb{N}$) is rational! So $q \times (2.9)$ tells us

$$p = qa_0 + r_0,$$

where $r_0 := qe_0 \in \{0, 1, \dots, q - 1\}$ is the remainder.

Inductively we also have, for all $k \geq 1$,

$$r_k := qe_k \in \{0, 1, \dots, q - 1\} .$$

Now remember $x = p/q$ ($p, q \in \mathbb{N}$) is rational! So $q \times (2.9)$ tells us

$$p = qa_0 + r_0,$$

where $r_0 := qe_0 \in \{0, 1, \dots, q - 1\}$ is the remainder.

Inductively we also have, for all $k \geq 1$,

$$r_k := qe_k \in \{0, 1, \dots, q - 1\} .$$

The set on the righthand side is finite so there must exist $1 \leq i < j$ with $r_i = r_j$

Now remember $x = p/q$ ($p, q \in \mathbb{N}$) is rational! So $q \times (2.9)$ tells us

$$p = qa_0 + r_0,$$

where $r_0 := qe_0 \in \{0, 1, \dots, q - 1\}$ is the remainder.

Inductively we also have, for all $k \geq 1$,

$$r_k := qe_k \in \{0, 1, \dots, q - 1\} .$$

The set on the righthand side is finite so there must exist $1 \leq i < j$ with $r_i = r_j$ (and so $e_i = e_j$).

Now remember $x = p/q$ ($p, q \in \mathbb{N}$) is rational! So $q \times (2.9)$ tells us

$$p = qa_0 + r_0,$$

where $r_0 := qe_0 \in \{0, 1, \dots, q - 1\}$ is the remainder.

Inductively we also have, for all $k \geq 1$,

$$r_k := qe_k \in \{0, 1, \dots, q - 1\} .$$

The set on the righthand side is finite so there must exist $1 \leq i < j$ with $r_i = r_j$ (and so $e_i = e_j$). Will show that x is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & a_0. a_1 a_2 \dots a_i \overline{a_{i+1} a_{i+2} \dots a_j} \\ &= a_0 + \frac{a_1}{10} + \frac{a_2}{10^2} + \dots + \frac{a_i}{10^i} + \frac{a_{i+1} a_{i+2} \dots a_j}{10^j} \frac{1}{1 - 10^{i-j}} . \end{aligned}$$

Now remember $x = p/q$ ($p, q \in \mathbb{N}$) is rational! So $q \times (2.9)$ tells us

$$p = qa_0 + r_0,$$

where $r_0 := qe_0 \in \{0, 1, \dots, q - 1\}$ is the remainder.

Inductively we also have, for all $k \geq 1$,

$$r_k := qe_k \in \{0, 1, \dots, q - 1\}.$$

The set on the righthand side is finite so there must exist $1 \leq i < j$ with $r_i = r_j$ (and so $e_i = e_j$). Will show that x is given by

$$\begin{aligned} a_0. a_1 a_2 \dots a_i \overline{a_{i+1} a_{i+2} \dots a_j} \\ = a_0 + \frac{a_1}{10} + \frac{a_2}{10^2} + \dots + \frac{a_i}{10^i} + \left(\frac{\overbrace{a_{i+1} a_{i+2} \dots a_j}^{10^{j-i}}}{10^j} \frac{1}{1 - 10^{i-j}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

All we need to show is

$$\frac{e_i}{10^i} = \frac{a_{i+1} a_{i+2} \dots a_j}{10^j} \frac{1}{1 - 10^{i-j}}.$$

Equivalently, we need to show

$$(10^{-i} - 10^{-j})e_i = \frac{a_{i+1}a_{i+2}\dots a_j}{10^j} . \quad (2.13)$$

Equivalently, we need to show

$$10^{-i}e_i - 10^{-j}e_j = \frac{a_{i+1}a_{i+2}\dots a_j}{10^j} . \quad (2.13)$$

Equivalently, we need to show

$$10^{-i}e_i - 10^{-j}e_j = \frac{a_{i+1}a_{i+2}\dots a_j}{10^j} . \quad (2.13)$$

On the other hand, we have that $10^{-i}e_i - 10^{-j}e_j$ can be written as a telescoping sum

$$\begin{aligned} & (10^{-i}e_i - 10^{-i-1}e_{i+1}) + (10^{-i-1}e_{i+1} - 10^{-i-2}e_{i+2}) \\ & + \dots + (10^{-j+1}e_{j-1} - 10^{-j}e_j) . \end{aligned}$$

Equivalently, we need to show

$$10^{-i}e_i - 10^{-j}e_j = \frac{a_{i+1}a_{i+2}\dots a_j}{10^j} . \quad (2.13)$$

On the other hand, we have that $10^{-i}e_i - 10^{-j}e_j$ can be written as a telescoping sum

$$\begin{aligned} & (10^{-i}e_i - 10^{-i-1}e_{i+1}) + (10^{-i-1}e_{i+1} - 10^{-i-2}e_{i+2}) \\ & + \dots + (10^{-j+1}e_{j-1} - 10^{-j}e_j) . \end{aligned}$$

Since $(10^{-k}e_k - 10^{-k-1}e_{k+1} = a_{k+1}10^{-k-1})$ (by 2.11) we obtain

$$10^{-i}e_i - 10^{-j}e_j = \frac{a_{i+1}}{10^{i+1}} + \dots + \frac{a_j}{10^j}$$

which gives us (2.13). □

Uniqueness of decimal expansions

Not all eventually periodic decimals give *different* rational numbers: by (2.6),

$$0.\bar{9} = \left(\frac{9}{10}\right) \left(\frac{1}{1 - 10^{-1}}\right) = 1.$$

Uniqueness of decimal expansions

Not all eventually periodic decimals give *different* rational numbers: by (2.6),

$$0.\bar{9} = \left(\frac{9}{10}\right) \left(\frac{1}{1 - 10^{-1}}\right) = 1.$$

This is actually the only sort of case we have to worry about!

Proposition 2.14

If $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ has two different decimal expansions, they're of the form

$$\begin{aligned}x &= a_0.a_1a_2\dots a_n\bar{9} \\&= a_0.a_1a_2\dots(a_n + 1) \quad \text{with } a_n \in \{0, 1, \dots, 8\}.\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.14

If $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ has two different decimal expansions, they're of the form

$$\begin{aligned}x &= a_0.a_1a_2\dots a_n\bar{9} \\&= a_0.a_1a_2\dots (a_n + 1) \quad \text{with } a_n \in \{0, 1, \dots, 8\}.\end{aligned}$$

Sketch of proof: Suppose, without loss of generality, that the two expansions are:

$$\begin{aligned}x &= a_0.a_1a_2\dots a_{n-1}a_na_{n+1}\dots \\&= a_0.a_1a_2\dots a_{n-1}b_nb_{n+1}\dots\end{aligned}$$

with $a_n < b_n$.

Proposition 2.14

If $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ has two different decimal expansions, they're of the form

$$\begin{aligned}x &= a_0.a_1a_2\dots a_n\bar{9} \\&= a_0.a_1a_2\dots (a_n + 1) \quad \text{with } a_n \in \{0, 1, \dots, 8\}.\end{aligned}$$

Sketch of proof: Suppose, without loss of generality, that the two expansions are:

$$\begin{aligned}x &= a_0.a_1a_2\dots a_{n-1}a_na_{n+1}\dots \\&= a_0.a_1a_2\dots a_{n-1}b_nb_{n+1}\dots\end{aligned}$$

with $a_n < b_n$. Then, using Exercise 2.7 along with some easier claims one can show

$$\begin{aligned}x &= a_0.a_1a_2\dots a_na_{n+1}\dots \\&\leq a_0.a_1a_2\dots a_n999\dots \\&= a_0.a_1a_2\dots (a_n + 1)000\dots \\&\leq a_0.a_1a_2\dots b_nb_{n+1}\dots = x.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the inequalities are both equalities and we're done. \square

We can now define the real numbers as

Definition: The real numbers

$$\mathbb{R} := \left\{ a_0.a_1a_2\dots : \begin{array}{l} a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}, a_{i \geq 1} \in \{0, 1, \dots, 9\}, \\ \nexists N \text{ such that } a_i = 9 \ \forall i \geq N \end{array} \right\}$$

We can now define the real numbers as

Definition: The real numbers

$$\mathbb{R} := \left\{ a_0.a_1a_2\dots : \begin{array}{l} a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}, a_{i \geq 1} \in \{0, 1, \dots, 9\}, \\ \exists N \text{ such that } a_i = 9 \ \forall i \geq N \end{array} \right\}$$

Exercise 2.15:

Extend the definition of $<$ from \mathbb{Q} to \mathbb{R} and show that, $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ with $x < y$,

- 1 $\exists z \in \mathbb{Q} : x < z < y$, and
- 2 $\exists z \notin \mathbb{Q} : x < z < y$.

We can now define the real numbers as

Definition: The real numbers

$$\mathbb{R} := \left\{ a_0.a_1a_2\dots : \begin{array}{l} a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}, a_{i \geq 1} \in \{0, 1, \dots, 9\}, \\ \exists N \text{ such that } a_i = 9 \ \forall i \geq N \end{array} \right\}$$

Exercise 2.15:

Extend the definition of $<$ from \mathbb{Q} to \mathbb{R} and show that, $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ with $x < y$,

- 1 $\exists z \in \mathbb{Q} : x < z < y$, and
- 2 $\exists z \notin \mathbb{Q} : x < z < y$.

Theorem 2.8 gives us a way to produce *many* explicit irrational numbers like

$$x = 0.1010010001\dots \notin \mathbb{Q}.$$

We can now define the real numbers as

Definition: The real numbers

$$\mathbb{R} := \left\{ a_0.a_1a_2\dots : \begin{array}{l} a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}, a_{i \geq 1} \in \{0, 1, \dots, 9\}, \\ \exists N \text{ such that } a_i = 9 \ \forall i \geq N \end{array} \right\}$$

Exercise 2.15:

Extend the definition of $<$ from \mathbb{Q} to \mathbb{R} and show that, $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ with $x < y$,

- 1 $\exists z \in \mathbb{Q} : x < z < y$, and
- 2 $\exists z \notin \mathbb{Q} : x < z < y$.

Theorem 2.8 gives us a way to produce *many* explicit irrational numbers like

$$x = 0.1010010001\dots \notin \mathbb{Q}.$$

In the next lecture we will make precise the fact that \mathbb{R} is much bigger than \mathbb{Q} .