

(1.3.8) Proposition (Lindenbaum Lemma)

Suppose Γ is a consistent set of L -formulas. Then there is a consistent set of L -formulas $\Gamma^* \supseteq \Gamma$ which is complete.

Pf. The set of L -formulas is countable, so we can list the L -formulas as

$\phi_0, \phi_1, \phi_2, \dots$

[Why countable? Alphabet

$\neg \rightarrow () p_1 p_2 \dots$

is countable. ~~Each~~ Formulas

are finite sequences of these.

the set of these is countable.]

^{Lb} Define inductively sets of L -formulas: (6)

$$\Gamma \subseteq \Gamma_0 \subseteq \Gamma_1 \subseteq \Gamma_2 \subseteq \dots$$

where $\Gamma_0 = \Gamma$ and,

suppose Γ_n has been defined:

If $\Gamma_n \vdash \phi_n$ then

$$\text{let } \Gamma_{n+1} = \Gamma_n$$

If $\Gamma_n \not\vdash \phi_n$ then let

$$\Gamma_{n+1} = \Gamma_n \cup \{(\neg \phi_n)\}$$

By an inductive argument using Prop. 1.3.7,

each Γ_n is consistent.

$$\text{let } \Gamma^* = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Gamma_n$$

Claim 1 Γ^* is consistent

If $\Gamma^* \vdash \psi$ and $\Gamma^* \vdash (\neg \psi)$
then as deductions are finite
there is some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with

$\Gamma_n \vdash \psi$ and $\Gamma_n \vdash (\neg \psi)$.

Contradiction.

Claim 2 Γ^* is complete.

Let ϕ be any L-formula.

Then ϕ is ϕ_n for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

By construction either

$\Gamma_n \vdash \phi$ or $\Gamma_{n+1} \vdash (\neg \phi)$

So either $\Gamma^* \vdash \phi$

or $\Gamma^* \vdash (\neg \phi)$. #.

(1.3.9) Lemma. Suppose Γ^* (7)
is a complete, consistent set
of L-formulas. Then there is a
valuation v such that for
every L-formula ϕ
 $v(\phi) = T \iff \Gamma^* \vdash \phi$.

(1.3.10) Cor.

(1) Suppose Δ is a consistent
set of L-formulas. Then there
is a valuation v with $v(\Delta) = T$.

(2) Suppose Γ is a consistent
set of L-formulas and $\Gamma \not\vdash \phi$.
Then there is a valuation
 v with $v(\Gamma) = T$ and
 $v(\phi) = F$.

Pf (1) By (1.3.8) there is a complete consistent $\Delta^* \supseteq \Delta$. Then 1.3.9 gives us a valuation v with $v(\Delta^*) = T$
 So $v(\Delta) = T$.

(2) Apply (1) to $\Delta = \Gamma \cup \{\neg \phi\}$
 (which is consistent, by 1.3.7).
 Gives a val. v with $v(\Gamma) = T$
 or $v(\neg \phi) = T$, so $v(\phi) = F$. #

(1.3.11) (Completeness / Adequacy of \vdash_L) (8)

(1) (General form)
 Suppose Γ is a consistent set of L -formulas and ϕ is an L -formula such that whenever v is a valuation with $v(\Gamma) = T$ then $v(\phi) = T$
 THEN $\Gamma \vdash_L \phi$.

(2) (Special Case) If ϕ is a tautology, then $\vdash_L \phi$.

Pf: (1) By 1.3.10 (2).

(2) By (1) (with $\Gamma = \emptyset$).

#.

[Note: don't need to assume consistency in (1).]

Proof of 1.3.9.

Γ^* complete, consistent

Want: a valuation v with
 $v(\phi) = T \iff \Gamma^* \vdash \phi$.
..... (t)

Each ~~at~~ variable p_i is an
L-formula so by the properties
of Γ^* either $\Gamma^* \vdash p_i$
or $\Gamma^* \vdash \neg p_i$

(and only one happens) -

Let v be the unique valuation
with $v(p_i) = T \iff \Gamma^* \vdash p_i$
(for $i \in \mathbb{N}$).

Show by induction on the
length of ϕ that (t) holds.

Base case ϕ is a variable (9)
- this is the def. of v .

Inductive step -

Case 1 ϕ is $(\neg \psi)$

\Rightarrow : Suppose $v(\phi) = T$.
So $v(\psi) = F$ (as v is a val.)

By ind. hyp. $\Gamma^* \not\vdash \psi$.

As Γ^* is complete, $\Gamma^* \vdash \neg \psi$
so $\Gamma^* \vdash \phi$.

\Leftarrow : Suppose $\Gamma^* \vdash \neg \psi$
 $\Gamma^* \not\vdash \psi$ (by consistency)

By ind. hyp. $v(\psi) \neq T$, so
 $v(\psi) = F \rightarrow$ thus. $v(\phi) = T$.

Case 2 ϕ is $(\psi \rightarrow \chi)$

\Leftarrow : Suppose $v(\phi) = F$. Then

$v(\psi) = T$ and $v(\chi) = F$.

[Show: $\Gamma^* \not\vdash \phi$]

By ind. hyp. $\Gamma^* \vdash \psi$

and $\Gamma^* \not\vdash \chi$.

Suppose for a contradiction

that $\Gamma^* \vdash \phi$.

Then by MP $\Gamma^* \vdash \chi$ -

contradiction.

\Rightarrow : Suppose $\Gamma^* \not\vdash \phi$
(show $v(\phi) = F$).

So $\Gamma^* \not\vdash (\psi \rightarrow \chi)$

then $\Gamma^* \not\vdash \chi$ (6)

(as $\vdash (\chi \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \chi))$)
... (1)

Also $\Gamma^* \not\vdash (\neg \psi)$

(as $\vdash ((\neg \psi) \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \chi))$)
1-2.7 (a)

... (2)

By (1), (2) & ind. hyp.

$v(\chi) = F$

$v(\neg \psi) = F$ (Case 1 & ind. hyp.)

So $v(\psi) = T$. So

$v(\phi) = F$, as required.
##