

Wok: ① If  $\Gamma = \emptyset$   
then  $\Gamma \vdash_L \phi$

is the same as  $\vdash_L \phi$   
-  $\phi$  is a theorem of  $L$ .

② If  $\Delta \subseteq \Gamma$  and  
 $\Delta \vdash_L \phi$  then  $\Gamma \vdash_L \phi$ .

≡ (1.2.5) Theorem. (Deduction  
Theorem, DT)

Suppose  $\Gamma$  is a set of  $L$ -formulas  
and  $\phi, \psi$  are  $L$ -formulas.

Suppose  $\Gamma \cup \{\phi\} \vdash_L \psi$   
then  $\Gamma \vdash_L (\phi \rightarrow \psi)$ .

[Ex: converse is also true.]

Use this:

(1.2.6) Cor (Hypothetical Syllogism  
HS).

Suppose  $\phi, \psi, \chi$  are  $L$ -formulas

and  $\vdash_L (\phi \rightarrow \psi)$

and  $\vdash_L (\psi \rightarrow \chi)$

then  $\vdash_L (\phi \rightarrow \chi)$ .

Pf: Show: there is a deduction  
of  $\chi$  from  $\{\phi\}$ .

(So  $\{\phi\} \vdash_L \chi$ ; then DT  
with  $\Gamma = \emptyset$  gives  $\vdash_L (\phi \rightarrow \chi)$ .)

Here is a deduction from  $\{\phi\}$

1.  $\phi$  (Assumption)
2.  $(\phi \rightarrow \psi)$  (Theorem of  $L$ )
3.  $\psi$  (1, 2 + MP)
4.  $(\psi \rightarrow \chi)$  (Theorem of  $L$ )
5.  $\chi$  (3, 4 + MP).

So  $\{\phi\} \vdash_L \chi$ .

thus  $\vdash_L (\phi \rightarrow \chi)$ . #

Note: Allowed to use 'known' theorems of  $L$  in deductions.

## (1.2.7) Proposition (2)

Suppose  $\phi, \psi$  are  $L$ -formulas.  
then

$$(a) \vdash_L ((\neg\psi) \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \phi))$$

$$(b) \nexists \{(\neg\psi), \psi\} \vdash_L \phi$$

$$(c) \vdash_L ((\neg\phi) \rightarrow \phi) \rightarrow \phi$$

Pf: (a) Problem sheet 1, qu. 6.

(b) By (a) and MP twice.

(c) Suppose  $\chi$  is any formula.

then by (b) + MP

$$\{(\neg\phi), (\neg\phi) \rightarrow \phi\} \vdash_L \chi$$

3  
let  $\alpha$  be an axiom and  
let  $\chi$  be  $(\neg\alpha)$ .

So  $\{(\neg\phi), ((\neg\phi) \rightarrow \phi)\} \vdash_L (\neg\alpha)$ .

By DT

$\{((\neg\phi) \rightarrow \phi)\} \vdash ((\neg\phi) \rightarrow (\neg\alpha))$

Use A3 axiom

$((\neg\phi) \rightarrow (\neg\alpha)) \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \phi)$

+ MP, ~~for~~ we get

$\{((\neg\phi) \rightarrow \phi)\} \vdash (\alpha \rightarrow \phi)$

We have  $\vdash_L \alpha$ , so by

MP:  $\{((\neg\phi) \rightarrow \phi)\} \vdash \phi$ .

DT then gives  $\vdash_L ((\neg\phi) \rightarrow \phi) \rightarrow \phi$ .  
#.

## Proof of Deduction Theorem.

Suppose  $\Gamma \cup \{\phi\} \vdash_L \psi$   
using a deduction of length  $n$ .  
Prove by induction on  $n$  that

$$\Gamma \vdash_L (\phi \rightarrow \psi).$$

Base step  $n=1$ . In this case

$\psi$  is either

an axiom

or is in  $\Gamma$

or it is  $\phi$ .

In the first two cases

$$\Gamma \vdash_L \psi$$

then the AI axiom

$$\vdash_L (\psi \rightarrow (\phi \rightarrow \psi))$$

and MP gives

$$\Gamma \vdash_L (\phi \rightarrow \psi).$$

If  $\psi$  is  $\phi$  then

$$\Gamma \vdash_L (\phi \rightarrow \phi).$$

by 1.2.3. This does the  $n=1$  case.

Inductive step. Suppose the result

holds for shorter deductions

(i.e. of length  $< n$ ).

In our deduction  $\Gamma \cup \{\phi\} \vdash \psi$

either:

(a)  $\psi$  is an axiom, or in  $\Gamma$  or  
is equal to  $\phi$

or (b)  $\psi$  is obtained by applying  
MP to earlier formulas  
 $\chi, (\chi \rightarrow \psi)$ .

In case (a) we argue as in the base case, to get

$$\Gamma \vdash_L (\phi \rightarrow \psi)$$

In case (b) we have

$$\Gamma \cup \{\phi\} \vdash \neg \chi$$

$$\text{and } \Gamma \cup \{\phi\} \vdash (\chi \rightarrow \psi)$$

using shorter deductions ( $\leq n$  steps)

By the inductive hypothesis

$$\Gamma \vdash (\phi \rightarrow \chi) \quad \dots \quad (1)$$

$$\text{+ } \Gamma \vdash (\phi \rightarrow (\chi \rightarrow \psi)) \quad \dots \quad (2)$$

Use A2

$$\vdash_L ((\phi \rightarrow (\chi \rightarrow \psi)) \rightarrow ((\phi \rightarrow \chi) \rightarrow (\phi \rightarrow \psi))) \quad (5)$$

and (2) + MP we have

$$\Gamma \vdash ((\phi \rightarrow \chi) \rightarrow (\phi \rightarrow \psi))$$

Use (1) + MP to get

$$\Gamma \vdash (\phi \rightarrow \psi)$$

this completes the inductive hypothesis. #