

Analysis 1A

Lecture 1

Ajay Chandra

Housekeeping

- The primary source of information for the module is the course Blackboard!

Housekeeping

- The primary source of information for the module is the course Blackboard!
- For the quickest responses to questions, please post your questions on the module edStem:
<https://edstem.org/us/courses/29916>

Housekeeping

- The primary source of information for the module is the course Blackboard!
- For the quickest responses to questions, please post your questions on the module edStem:
<https://edstem.org/us/courses/29916>
- **Problem Sheets:** Our problem class is on Friday!

Housekeeping

- The primary source of information for the module is the course Blackboard!
- For the quickest responses to questions, please post your questions on the module edStem:
<https://edstem.org/us/courses/29916>
- **Problem Sheets:** Our problem class is on Friday!
 - Before the program class, try to **attempt** all the problems on Problem Sheet 0.
 - You can also take a look at the problems on Problem Sheet 1 during the week, but aren't expected to have attempted them!

Assessments

- Quiz 1 – 1% - released Friday, November 4th and due Tuesday, November 8th
- Quiz 2 – 1% - released Friday, November 11th and due Tuesday, November 15th
- Fall Midterm – 5%
- Quiz 3 – 1% - released Friday, November 25th and due Tuesday, November 29th
- Quiz 4 – 1% - released Friday, December 2nd and due Tuesday, December 6th
- Quiz 5 – 1% - released Friday, December 9th and due Tuesday, December 13th
- January Test – 10%
- Spring assignments and Midterm – 10%
- May Exam (covering both Fall and Spring material) – 70%.

- This class follows MATH40001 “Introduction to University Mathematics”.

- This class follows MATH40001 “Introduction to University Mathematics”. In that class you learned about
 - **Mathematical logic:** statements, definitions, implications, negations, sets, quantifiers.

- This class follows MATH40001 “Introduction to University Mathematics”. In that class you learned about
 - **Mathematical logic:** statements, definitions, implications, negations, sets, quantifiers.
 - **Strategies for proving things:** proof by contradiction, proof by contrapositive, reducing to cases, proof by induction.

- This class follows MATH40001 “Introduction to University Mathematics”. In that class you learned about
 - **Mathematical logic:** statements, definitions, implications, negations, sets, quantifiers.
 - **Strategies for proving things:** proof by contradiction, proof by contrapositive, reducing to cases, proof by induction.
- Most of the rigorous mathematics you did in MATH40001 was finite or discrete.

- This class follows MATH40001 “Introduction to University Mathematics”. In that class you learned about
 - **Mathematical logic:** statements, definitions, implications, negations, sets, quantifiers.
 - **Strategies for proving things:** proof by contradiction, proof by contrapositive, reducing to cases, proof by induction.
- Most of the rigorous mathematics you did in MATH40001 was finite or discrete.
- In this module we will learn how to work *rigorously* with infinities and the continuum.

- This class follows MATH40001 “Introduction to University Mathematics”. In that class you learned about
 - **Mathematical logic:** statements, definitions, implications, negations, sets, quantifiers.
 - **Strategies for proving things:** proof by contradiction, proof by contrapositive, reducing to cases, proof by induction.
- Most of the rigorous mathematics you did in MATH40001 was finite or discrete.
- In this module we will learn how to work *rigorously* with infinities and the continuum.
 - How can we define the real numbers?
 - Sequences - what does it mean for an infinite list of numbers to converge?
 - Series - what does it mean to add infinitely many numbers?

- This class follows MATH40001 “Introduction to University Mathematics”. In that class you learned about
 - **Mathematical logic:** statements, definitions, implications, negations, sets, quantifiers.
 - **Strategies for proving things:** proof by contradiction, proof by contrapositive, reducing to cases, proof by induction.
- Most of the rigorous mathematics you did in MATH40001 was finite or discrete.
- In this module we will learn how to work *rigorously* with infinities and the continuum.
 - How can we define the real numbers?
 - Sequences - what does it mean for an infinite list of numbers to converge?
 - Series - what does it mean to add infinitely many numbers?
- **The first step: a solid grasp of mathematical logic is key!**

Exercise 1.1

At which stage does this argument go wrong?

Suppose $x = 2$.

- 1 $\Rightarrow x - 2 = 0$
- 2 $\Rightarrow x^2 - 2x = 0$
- 3 $\Rightarrow x(x - 2) = 0$
- 4 $\Rightarrow x = 0 \text{ or } x = 2.$
- 5 Nowhere; the argument is correct.

$$x=2 \Rightarrow x=0 \text{ or } x=2$$

Exercise 1.2

"A unless B" is the same logical statement as

- 1 $A \iff B$
- 2 $\bar{A} \iff \bar{B}$
- 3 $A \Rightarrow B$
- 4 $A \Rightarrow \bar{B}$
- 5 $\bar{A} \Rightarrow B$ ✓
- 6 $\bar{A} \Rightarrow \bar{B}$
- 7 None of these; something else.
- 8 More than one of these.

Exercise 1.3

"Find two real numbers x which satisfy the equation
 $x^2 - 3x + 2 = 0.$ "

Student solution:

$$\begin{aligned}x^2 - 3x + 2 &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow (x - 1)(x - 2) &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow x = 1 \text{ or } x &= 2.\end{aligned}$$

How many marks would this get in an exam?

- 1 Two marks – completely solved the problem.
- 2 One mark – partially solved the problem.
- 3 No marks – failed to solve the problem.

$$x^2 - 3x + 2 = 0 \Rightarrow x = 1 \text{ or } x = 2$$

Exercise 1.4

Is this a correct proof that $3|n^2 \Rightarrow 3|n$?

If $3|n$ then $n = 3m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ ← $\{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$

Therefore $n^2 = 3(3m^2)$ is divisible by 3.

1 Yes.

2 No.

3 Uh?

↑
Proved
 $3|n \Rightarrow 3|n^2$

Proving $3|n^2 \Rightarrow 3|n$

3 cases

$$n = 3q$$

$$n = 3q + 1$$

$$n = 3q + 2$$

$$q \in \mathbb{Z}$$

If $n = 3q + 1$ or $3q + 2$
then $n^2 = 3N + 1$ for $N \in \mathbb{Z}$
so $3 \nmid n^2$

Therefore $n = 3q$

Exercise 1.5

What does $x \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$ mean?

- 1 $x \in S_n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$
- 2 Either $x \in S_n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ or $x \in S_{\infty}$
- 3 Either $x \in S_n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ or $x \in \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n$
- 4 Other

Defining \mathbb{Q}

Recall $\mathbb{N} := \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, $\mathbb{N}_{>0} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ and $\mathbb{Z} := \{\dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ with $+, \times, >$.

Defining \mathbb{Q}

Recall $\mathbb{N} := \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, $\mathbb{N}_{>0} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ and $\mathbb{Z} := \{\dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ with $+, \times, >$.

Recall $\mathbb{Q} := \{(p, q) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}_{>0}\} / \sim$, where \sim is the equivalence relation

$$(p_1, q_1) \sim (p_2, q_2) \iff p_1 q_2 = p_2 q_1.$$

Defining \mathbb{Q}

Recall $\mathbb{N} := \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, $\mathbb{N}_{>0} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ and $\mathbb{Z} := \{\dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ with $+, \times, >$.

Recall $\mathbb{Q} := \{(p, q) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}_{>0}\} / \sim$, where \sim is the equivalence relation

$$(p_1, q_1) \sim (p_2, q_2) \iff p_1 q_2 = p_2 q_1.$$

We write the equivalence class of (p, q) as p/q or $\frac{p}{q}$.

Defining \mathbb{Q}

Recall $\mathbb{N} := \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, $\mathbb{N}_{>0} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ and $\mathbb{Z} := \{\dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ with $+, \times, >$.

Recall $\mathbb{Q} := \{(p, q) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}_{>0}\} / \sim$, where \sim is the equivalence relation

$$(p_1, q_1) \sim (p_2, q_2) \iff p_1 q_2 = p_2 q_1.$$

We write the equivalence class of (p, q) as p/q or $\frac{p}{q}$.

Each equivalence class has a distinguished element (p', q') such that $\nexists n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n > 1$ and $n|p'$, $n|q'$. We say $\frac{p'}{q'}$ is “in lowest terms”.

Defining operations on \mathbb{Q}

$$\frac{p_1}{q_1} + \frac{p_2}{q_2} := \frac{p_1 q_2 + p_2 q_1}{q_1 q_2},$$

Defining operations on \mathbb{Q}

$$\frac{p_1}{q_1} + \frac{p_2}{q_2} := \frac{p_1 q_2 + p_2 q_1}{q_1 q_2},$$

$$\frac{p_1}{q_1} - \frac{p_2}{q_2} := \frac{p_1 q_2 - p_2 q_1}{q_1 q_2},$$

$$\frac{p_1}{q_1} \times \frac{p_2}{q_2} := \frac{p_1 p_2}{q_1 q_2},$$

$$\frac{p_1}{q_1} \div \frac{p_2}{q_2} := \frac{p_1 q_2}{q_1 p_2}, \quad p_2 \neq 0,$$

$$\frac{p_1}{q_1} \leq \frac{p_2}{q_2} \iff p_1 q_2 \leq p_2 q_1.$$

Defining operations on \mathbb{Q}

$$\frac{p_1}{q_1} + \frac{p_2}{q_2} := \frac{p_1 q_2 + p_2 q_1}{q_1 q_2},$$

$$\frac{p_1}{q_1} - \frac{p_2}{q_2} := \frac{p_1 q_2 - p_2 q_1}{q_1 q_2},$$

$$\frac{p_1}{q_1} \times \frac{p_2}{q_2} := \frac{p_1 p_2}{q_1 q_2},$$

$$\frac{p_1}{q_1} \div \frac{p_2}{q_2} := \frac{p_1 q_2}{q_1 p_2}, \quad p_2 \neq 0,$$

$$\frac{p_1}{q_1} \leq \frac{p_2}{q_2} \iff p_1 q_2 \leq p_2 q_1.$$

These satisfy certain properties that we list next. They are sufficiently strong that you can deduce everything about \mathbb{Q} just from these properties, i.e. you can treat them as axioms if you wish.

Axiom 2.1

1 $a + b = b + a \quad \forall a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ (+ is *commutative*)

Axiom 2.1

- 1** $a + b = b + a \quad \forall a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ ($+$ is *commutative*)
- 2** $a \times b = b \times a \quad \forall a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ (\times is *commutative*)

Axiom 2.1

- 1 $a + b = b + a \quad \forall a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ (+ is *commutative*)
- 2 $a \times b = b \times a \quad \forall a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ (\times is *commutative*)
- 3 $a + (b + c) = (a + b) + c$ (+ is *associative*)

Axiom 2.1

- 1 $a + b = b + a \quad \forall a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ (+ is *commutative*)
- 2 $a \times b = b \times a \quad \forall a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ (\times is *commutative*)
- 3 $a + (b + c) = (a + b) + c \quad (+ \text{ is } \textit{associative})$
- 4 $a \times (b \times c) = (a \times b) \times c \quad (\times \text{ is } \textit{associative})$

Axiom 2.1

- 1 $a + b = b + a \quad \forall a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ (+ is *commutative*)
- 2 $a \times b = b \times a \quad \forall a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ (\times is *commutative*)
- 3 $a + (b + c) = (a + b) + c \quad (+ \text{ is } \textit{associative})$
- 4 $a \times (b \times c) = (a \times b) \times c \quad (\times \text{ is } \textit{associative})$
- 5 $a \times (b + c) = (a \times b) + (a \times c) \quad (\times \text{ is } \textit{distributive} \text{ over } +)$

Axiom 2.1

- 1 $a + b = b + a \quad \forall a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ (+ is *commutative*)
- 2 $a \times b = b \times a \quad \forall a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ (\times is *commutative*)
- 3 $a + (b + c) = (a + b) + c \quad (+ \text{ is } \textit{associative})$
- 4 $a \times (b \times c) = (a \times b) \times c \quad (\times \text{ is } \textit{associative})$
- 5 $a \times (b + c) = (a \times b) + (a \times c) \quad (\times \text{ is } \textit{distributive} \text{ over } +)$
- 6 $\exists 0 \in \mathbb{Q}: a + 0 = a \quad \forall a \in \mathbb{Q}$

Axiom 2.1

- 1 $a + b = b + a \quad \forall a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ (+ is *commutative*)
- 2 $a \times b = b \times a \quad \forall a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ (\times is *commutative*)
- 3 $a + (b + c) = (a + b) + c \quad (+ \text{ is } \textit{associative})$
- 4 $a \times (b \times c) = (a \times b) \times c \quad (\times \text{ is } \textit{associative})$
- 5 $a \times (b + c) = (a \times b) + (a \times c) \quad (\times \text{ is } \textit{distributive} \text{ over } +)$
- 6 $\exists 0 \in \mathbb{Q}: a + 0 = a \quad \forall a \in \mathbb{Q}$
- 7 $\exists 1 \in \mathbb{Q}: 0 \neq 1, a \times 1 = a \quad \forall a \in \mathbb{Q}$

Axiom 2.1

- 1 $a + b = b + a \quad \forall a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ (+ is *commutative*)
- 2 $a \times b = b \times a \quad \forall a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ (\times is *commutative*)
- 3 $a + (b + c) = (a + b) + c \quad (+ \text{ is } \textit{associative})$
- 4 $a \times (b \times c) = (a \times b) \times c \quad (\times \text{ is } \textit{associative})$
- 5 $a \times (b + c) = (a \times b) + (a \times c) \quad (\times \text{ is } \textit{distributive} \text{ over } +)$
- 6 $\exists 0 \in \mathbb{Q}: a + 0 = a \quad \forall a \in \mathbb{Q}$
- 7 $\exists 1 \in \mathbb{Q}: 0 \neq 1, a \times 1 = a \quad \forall a \in \mathbb{Q}$
- 8 $\forall a \in \mathbb{Q}, \exists (-a) \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $a + (-a) = 0$

Axiom 2.1

- 1 $a + b = b + a \quad \forall a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ (+ is *commutative*)
- 2 $a \times b = b \times a \quad \forall a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ (\times is *commutative*)
- 3 $a + (b + c) = (a + b) + c \quad (+ \text{ is } \textit{associative})$
- 4 $a \times (b \times c) = (a \times b) \times c \quad (\times \text{ is } \textit{associative})$
- 5 $a \times (b + c) = (a \times b) + (a \times c) \quad (\times \text{ is } \textit{distributive} \text{ over } +)$
- 6 $\exists 0 \in \mathbb{Q}: a + 0 = a \quad \forall a \in \mathbb{Q}$
- 7 $\exists 1 \in \mathbb{Q}: 0 \neq 1, a \times 1 = a \quad \forall a \in \mathbb{Q}$
- 8 $\forall a \in \mathbb{Q}, \exists (-a) \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ such that } a + (-a) = 0$
- 9 $\forall a \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\} \exists a^{-1} \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ such that } a \times (a^{-1}) = 1$

Axiom 2.2

10. for each $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ **precisely one** of (a), (b), (c) holds:

- (a) $x > 0$ or (b) $x = 0$ or (c) $-x > 0$ (Trichotomy axiom)

Axiom 2.2

10. for each $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ **precisely one** of (a), (b), (c) holds:

- (a) $x > 0$ or (b) $x = 0$ or (c) $-x > 0$ (Trichotomy axiom)

11. $x > 0, y > 0 \implies x + y > 0 \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{Q}$

Axiom 2.2

10. for each $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ **precisely one** of (a), (b), (c) holds:

- (a) $x > 0$ or (b) $x = 0$ or (c) $-x > 0$ (Trichotomy axiom)

11. $x > 0, y > 0 \implies x + y > 0 \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{Q}$

12. $x > 0, y > 0 \implies xy > 0 \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{Q}$

Axiom 2.2

10. for each $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ **precisely one** of (a), (b), (c) holds:

(a) $x > 0$ or (b) $x = 0$ or (c) $-x > 0$ (Trichotomy axiom)

11. $x > 0, y > 0 \implies x + y > 0 \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{Q}$

12. $x > 0, y > 0 \implies xy > 0 \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{Q}$

13. $\forall x \in \mathbb{Q} \exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n > x$ (Archimedean axiom)

Axiom 2.2

10. for each $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ **precisely one** of (a), (b), (c) holds:

(a) $x > 0$ or (b) $x = 0$ or (c) $-x > 0$ (Trichotomy axiom)

11. $x > 0, y > 0 \implies x + y > 0 \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{Q}$

12. $x > 0, y > 0 \implies xy > 0 \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{Q}$

13. $\forall x \in \mathbb{Q} \exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n > x$ (Archimedean axiom)

Notation: $a - b := a + (-b)$, and $a/b := a \times (b^{-1})$, while $a > b$ ($a < b$) is defined to mean $a - b > 0$ (respectively $-(a - b) > 0$).

Exercise 2.3

Prove that $x > y > z \Rightarrow x > z$.

Real numbers that are not rational: The real numbers \mathbb{R} satisfy the exact same axioms, plus one more – the **completeness axiom** – designed to fix the problem that \mathbb{Q} has holes. For instance,

Proposition 2.5

There is no $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $x^2 = 3$.

Suppose, by contradiction, that $\exists x \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ w/ } x^2 = 3$

Let $x = \frac{p}{q} \text{ w/ } (p, q) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ in lowest terms

$$p^2 = 3q^2 \Rightarrow 3 \mid p^2 \Rightarrow 3 \mid p$$

E.g. 1.4

$$p = 3n \Rightarrow q^2 = 3n^2 \text{ so } 3 \mid q^2 \Rightarrow 3 \mid q \Rightarrow$$

(p, q) lowest terms \times