

Applied Complex Analysis - Lecture Fourteen

Andrew Gibbs

February 2025

Trapezium rule(s) for unbounded contours

- Consider

$$I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) dx,$$

for some f analytic on \mathbb{R} , with appropriate decay of f such that $I < \infty$.

- We've seen techniques for evaluating these by hand - not always possible.
- For $h > 0$ we define the *unbounded* Trapezium rule $I_h \approx I$ as

$$I_h := h \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} f(x_j),$$

where $x_j = jh$.

- We define the *truncated* Trapezium rule $I_h^{(N)} \approx I$ as

$$I_h^{(N)} := h \sum_{j=-N}^N f(x_j), \quad \text{for } N \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Trapezium rule(s) for unbounded contours

- Consider

$$I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)dx,$$

for some f analytic on \mathbb{R} , with appropriate decay of f such that $I < \infty$.

- We've seen techniques for evaluating these by hand - not always possible.
- For $h > 0$ we define the *unbounded* Trapezium rule $I_h \approx I$ as

$$I_h := h \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} f(x_j),$$

where $x_j = jh$.

- We define the *truncated* Trapezium rule $I_h^{(N)} \approx I$ as

$$I_h^{(N)} := h \sum_{j=-N}^N f(x_j), \quad \text{for } N \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Trapezium rule(s) for unbounded contours

- Consider

$$I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) dx,$$

for some f analytic on \mathbb{R} , with appropriate decay of f such that $I < \infty$.

- We've seen techniques for evaluating these by hand - not always possible.
- For $h > 0$ we define the *unbounded* Trapezium rule $I_h \approx I$ as

$$I_h := h \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} f(x_j),$$

where $x_j = jh$.

- We define the *truncated* Trapezium rule $I_h^{(N)} \approx I$ as

$$I_h^{(N)} := h \sum_{j=-N}^N f(x_j), \quad \text{for } N \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Trapezium rule(s) for unbounded contours

- Consider

$$I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) dx,$$

for some f analytic on \mathbb{R} , with appropriate decay of f such that $I < \infty$.

- We've seen techniques for evaluating these by hand - not always possible.
- For $h > 0$ we define the *unbounded* Trapezium rule $I_h \approx I$ as

$$I_h := h \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} f(x_j),$$

where $x_j = jh$.

- We define the *truncated* Trapezium rule $I_h^{(N)} \approx I$ as

$$I_h^{(N)} := h \sum_{j=-N}^N f(x_j), \quad \text{for } N \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Convergence theorem

Suppose $f(z)$ is analytic in the complex strip $|\operatorname{Im}(z)| < a$ for some $a > 0$. Suppose further that $f(z) \rightarrow 0$ uniformly as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ in the strip and

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(t + ia')| dt \leq M,$$

for all $a' \in (-a, a)$. Then I_h satisfies

$$|I - I_h| \leq \frac{2M}{e^{2\pi a/h} - 1}.$$

- This result is about the *unbounded* trapezium rule.
- This is called the *discretisation* error.

Convergence theorem

Suppose $f(z)$ is analytic in the complex strip $|\operatorname{Im}(z)| < a$ for some $a > 0$. Suppose further that $f(z) \rightarrow 0$ uniformly as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ in the strip and

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(t + ia')| dt \leq M,$$

for all $a' \in (-a, a)$. Then I_h satisfies

$$|I - I_h| \leq \frac{2M}{e^{2\pi a/h} - 1}.$$

- This result is about the *unbounded* trapezium rule.
- This is called the *discretisation* error.

The truncation error

Defined as, for $x_n = hn$

$$\begin{aligned} |I_h - I_h^{(N)}| &= \left| h \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(x_n) - h \sum_{n=-N}^N f(x_n) \right| \\ &= \left| h \sum_{n=-\infty}^{-(N+1)} f(x_n) - h \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} f(x_n) \right| \end{aligned}$$

- Practically, we care about

$$|I - I_h^{(N)}| \leq |I - I_h| + |I_h - I_h^{(N)}|$$

- Often, it is enough to bound by a constant multiplied by the first term.

The truncation error

Defined as, for $x_n = hn$

$$\begin{aligned} |I_h - I_h^{(N)}| &= \left| h \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(x_n) - h \sum_{n=-N}^N f(x_n) \right| \\ &= \left| h \sum_{n=-\infty}^{-(N+1)} f(x_n) - h \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} f(x_n) \right| \end{aligned}$$

- Practically, we care about

$$|I - I_h^{(N)}| \leq |I - I_h| + |I_h - I_h^{(N)}|$$

- Often, it is enough to bound by a constant multiplied by the first term.

The truncation error

Defined as, for $x_n = hn$

$$\begin{aligned} |I_h - I_h^{(N)}| &= \left| h \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(x_n) - h \sum_{n=-N}^N f(x_n) \right| \\ &= \left| h \sum_{n=-\infty}^{-(N+1)} f(x_n) - h \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} f(x_n) \right| \end{aligned}$$

- Practically, we care about

$$|I - I_h^{(N)}| \leq |I - I_h| + |I_h - I_h^{(N)}|$$

- Often, it is enough to bound by a constant multiplied by the first term.

The truncation error

Defined as, for $x_n = hn$

$$\begin{aligned} |I_h - I_h^{(N)}| &= \left| h \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(x_n) - h \sum_{n=-N}^N f(x_n) \right| \\ &= \left| h \sum_{n=-\infty}^{-(N+1)} f(x_n) - h \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} f(x_n) \right| \end{aligned}$$

- Practically, we care about

$$|I - I_h^{(N)}| \leq |I - I_h| + |I_h - I_h^{(N)}|$$

- Often, it is enough to bound by a constant multiplied by the first term.

Bound on (half of the) truncation error

- Suppose that, for some $\alpha > 0$ independent of $y_0 > 0$, the function g satisfies the mild growth condition

$$g(y + \delta) - g(y) \geq \alpha\delta, \quad (1)$$

for all $\delta > 0$ and $y > y_0$.

- Furthermore, suppose either that (i) the meshwidth h is independent of N , or (ii) the meshwidth $h \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$, but with a rate $1/N \ll h$.
- Then the positive terms in the truncation error satisfies:

$$h \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} e^{-g(hn)} = O(e^{-g(h(N+1))}), \quad N \rightarrow \infty.$$

- **Proof**

Bound on (half of the) truncation error

- Suppose that, for some $\alpha > 0$ independent of $y_0 > 0$, the function g satisfies the mild growth condition

$$g(y + \delta) - g(y) \geq \alpha\delta, \quad (1)$$

for all $\delta > 0$ and $y > y_0$.

- Furthermore, suppose either that (i) the meshwidth h is independent of N , or (ii) the meshwidth $h \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$, but with a rate $1/N \ll h$.
- Then the positive terms in the truncation error satisfies:

$$h \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} e^{-g(hn)} = O(e^{-g(h(N+1))}), \quad N \rightarrow \infty.$$

- **Proof**

Bound on (half of the) truncation error

- Suppose that, for some $\alpha > 0$ independent of $y_0 > 0$, the function g satisfies the mild growth condition

$$g(y + \delta) - g(y) \geq \alpha\delta, \quad (1)$$

for all $\delta > 0$ and $y > y_0$.

- Furthermore, suppose either that (i) the meshwidth h is independent of N , or (ii) the meshwidth $h \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$, but with a rate $1/N \ll h$.
- Then the positive terms in the truncation error satisfies:

$$h \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} e^{-g(hn)} = O(e^{-g(h(N+1))}), \quad N \rightarrow \infty.$$

- **Proof**

Examples

- $$I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2} \sqrt{(1+x^2)} dx,$$

- $$\operatorname{erfc}(z) = \frac{2e^{-z^2}}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-z^2 t^2}}{t^2 + 1} dt, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}.$$

- $$I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2} dx.$$

Examples

- $$I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2} \sqrt{(1+x^2)} dx,$$

- $$\operatorname{erfc}(z) = \frac{2e^{-z^2}}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-z^2 t^2}}{t^2 + 1} dt, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}.$$

- $$I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2} dx.$$

Examples

•

$$I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2} \sqrt{(1+x^2)} dx,$$

•

$$\operatorname{erfc}(z) = \frac{2e^{-z^2}}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-z^2 t^2}}{t^2 + 1} dt, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}.$$

•

$$I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2} dx.$$

- Balancing the discretisation and truncation error doesn't directly use complex analysis.
- But it's an important step in the analysis of the method.
- Feeling like you haven't had enough complex analysis yet today?

- Balancing the discretisation and truncation error doesn't directly use complex analysis.
- But it's an important step in the analysis of the method.
- Feeling like you haven't had enough complex analysis yet today?

- Balancing the discretisation and truncation error doesn't directly use complex analysis.
- But it's an important step in the analysis of the method.
- Feeling like you haven't had enough complex analysis yet today?

Be careful what you wish for!



Residue correction to trapezium rule

Recall the *exact* representation of the error:

$$I_h - I = - \sum_{\pm} \int_{-\infty \pm ia'}^{\infty \pm ia'} \frac{f(z)}{1 - e^{\mp 2\pi i x/h}} dz,$$

Now consider the following example:

$$I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-z^2} \sqrt{z - 2i}}{z - i} dz.$$

Residue correction to trapezium rule

Recall the *exact* representation of the error:

$$I_h - I = - \sum_{\pm} \int_{-\infty \pm ia'}^{\infty \pm ia'} \frac{f(z)}{1 - e^{\mp 2\pi i x/h}} dz,$$

Now consider the following example:

$$I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-z^2} \sqrt{z - 2i}}{z - i} dz.$$