

02216531_Coursework1.zip

by Eric Li

Submission date: 21-Feb-2025 05:13AM (UTC+0000)

Submission ID: 250932655

File name: 02216531_Coursework1.zip (814.47K)

Word count: 0



FINAL GRADE

GENERAL COMMENTS

69/100

PAGE 1

GRADING FORM: MARKING SCHEME BSC

ERIC LI

69

TASK 1.1 (10 MARKS)



10

TASK 1.2 (8 MARKS)



8

TASK 1.3 (15 MARKS)



-1 no sufficient explanations / comments

14

TASK 1.4 (10 MARKS)



-1 did not visualise calculated OOB errors
-1 optimal choice of B unjustified
-1 insufficient discussion

7

TASK 2.1 (17 MARKS)



-1 incorrect implementation of the Huberised loss function
-2 forgot to learn the bias due to lack of intercept in X
-1 incorrect/missing obtention of the training data points outside the margin for Huberised SVM
-1 incorrect/missing obtention of the training data points outside the margin for SVM with hinge loss
-0.5 incorrect Huber function as a function of $yf(x)$
-1 insufficient discussion

10.5

TASK 2.2 (10 MARKS)



-1 did not standardise again for each fold
-0.5 incorrect plots of the modified Huber function
-2 did not explicitly mention how to decide the

3.5

- optimal lambda and c
- 2 forgot to learn the bias in cross-validation
 - 0.5 incorrect implementation of balanced accuracy
 - 0.5 insufficient final discussion

TASK 3.1 (20 MARKS)



- 1 mistake in the implementation of the gradient of compound loss function
- 1 mistake in the implementation of the R2 score
- 1 applied activation function to the wrong layers
- 1 trained MLPs on standardised data against the instructions
- 0.5 did not plot training convergence for the three different values of lambda in same figure (it does not facilitate the comparison)
- 0.5 mistake in a formula reported in the text
- 1 did not discuss the test R2 performance for different values of lambda
- 2 did not explain the observed differences
- 1 no high-quality plots (font sizes too small)
- 2 no adequate/sufficient explanations (no explanation for using inverse dropout)

9

TASK 3.2 (10 MARKS)



- 0.5 incorrect activation function
- 1: no comparison made between dropout and non-dropout loss plots
- 1: no comparison made between dropout and non-dropout histogram plots
- 0.5 incorrect mini-batch size

7