

MATH60005/70005: Optimisation (Autumn 22-23)

Week 8: Problem Session

Dr Dante Kalise
Department of Mathematics
Imperial College London, United Kingdom
dkaliseb@imperial.ac.uk

Sara Bicego (GTA)
Department of Mathematics
Imperial College London, United Kingdom
s.bicego21@imperial.ac.uk

1. Derive the orthogonal projection formula for a closed ball centered at $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $B[\mathbf{x}_0, r]$.
2. Show that the stationarity condition over the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n , that is,

$$\min\{f(\mathbf{x}) : \|\mathbf{x}\| \leq 1\}$$

is given by $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0$, or $\|\mathbf{x}^*\| = 1$ and there exists $\lambda \leq 0$ such that $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) = \lambda \mathbf{x}^*$.

3. Consider the minimization problem

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & 2x_1^2 + 3x_2^2 + 4x_3^2 + 2x_1x_2 - 2x_1x_3 - 8x_1 - 4x_2 - 2x_3 \\ \text{subject to} \quad & x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

- Show that the vector $(\frac{17}{7}, 0, \frac{6}{7})^\top$ is an optimal solution.
- Implement a projected gradient method with constant stepsize $\frac{1}{L}$, where L is the Lipschitz constant of the gradient of the function.

Solutions

1. We start by considering the easier problem of deriving the orthogonal projection formula onto $C = \mathbb{R}_+^n$:

$$\mathbb{P}_C(\mathbf{x}) = \max\{\mathbf{x}, 0\} = [\mathbf{x}]_+.$$



If we fix \mathbf{x} , we can write the above equation in term of the following optimisation:

$$\min_{\mathbf{y}} \left\{ \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x_i)^2 \right\} \quad \text{subject to} \quad y_i \geq 0 \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n.$$

This can be split in n optimisation problems

$$\min_{\mathbf{y}} (y_1 - x_1)^2 \text{ s.t. } y_1 \geq 0, \quad \dots \quad \min_{\mathbf{y}} (y_n - x_n)^2 \text{ s.t. } y_n \geq 0.$$

Going back to our question, we are interested in the projection map $\mathbb{P}_C(\mathbf{x})$ to the closed ball of radius r centered at \mathbf{x}_0 , that is $C = \{\|\mathbf{x}\| \leq r\}$

$$\mathbb{P}_C(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{x} & \text{if } \|\mathbf{x}\| \leq r \\ r \frac{\mathbf{x}}{\|\mathbf{x}\|} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

This can be written as

$$\min_{\mathbf{y}} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\|^2 \quad \text{subject to} \quad \|\mathbf{y}\| \leq r,$$

which in turns – by expanding the square and $\|\mathbf{y}\| \leq r \iff \|\mathbf{y}\|^2 \leq r^2$ – becomes

$$\min_{\mathbf{y}} \|\mathbf{y}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 - 2\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{y} \quad \text{subject to} \quad \|\mathbf{y}\|^2 \leq r^2.$$

Since we are projecting \mathbf{x} into C , we know that \mathbf{y} lies in the boundary, hence $\|\mathbf{y}\|^2 = r^2$. Thus, the optimization problem reduces to

$$\min_{\mathbf{y}} \left\{ -2\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{y} \right\} \quad \text{subject to} \quad \|\mathbf{y}\|^2 = r^2.$$

2. We start with the stationarity condition over the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n :

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*)^\top (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^*) \geq 0 \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in B[0, 1]$$

is equivalent to the following optimisation problem:

$$\min_{\mathbf{x} \in B[0, 1]} \left\{ \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*)^\top (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^*) \right\} \geq 0. \quad (1)$$

Lemma: For any $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have

$$\min_{\|\mathbf{x}\| \leq 1} \mathbf{a}^\top \mathbf{x} = -\|\mathbf{a}\|$$

which is attained at $\mathbf{x}^* = -\frac{\mathbf{a}}{\|\mathbf{a}\|}$. This can be shown as

$$\mathbf{a}^\top \mathbf{x} \geq -\|\mathbf{a}\| \|\mathbf{x}\| \geq -\|\mathbf{a}\|.$$



On one hand, the *Lemma* implies that (1) is equivalent to $-\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*)^\top \mathbf{x}^* \geq \|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*)\|$. On the other hand, by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$-\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*)^\top \mathbf{x}^* \leq \|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*)\| \|\mathbf{x}^*\| \leq \|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*)\|$$

as $\|\mathbf{x}^*\| \leq 1$. This leads to

$$-\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*)^\top \mathbf{x}^* = \|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*)\|. \quad (2)$$

We now discuss two different cases:

a) $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0$ (and $\|\mathbf{x}^*\| \leq 1$) \implies (2) holds;

b) $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) \neq 0 \implies \|\mathbf{x}^*\| = 1$ and then

$$-\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*)^\top \mathbf{x}^* = \|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*)\| \underbrace{\|\mathbf{x}^*\|}_1 \iff \exists \lambda \leq 0 \text{ s.t. } \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) = \lambda \mathbf{x}^*$$

3. see week8.m

Extra exercise (7)

Given f, g convex functions, $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ convex set, suppose \mathbf{x}^* is a solution of

$$\min_{\mathbf{x} \in X} f(\mathbf{x}) \text{ subject to } g(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0 \quad (3)$$

that satisfies $g(\mathbf{x}^*) < 0$. Show that \mathbf{x}^* is also a solution of

$$\min_{\mathbf{x} \in X} f(\mathbf{x}).$$

We assume there exists $\mathbf{y} \in X \cap \{\mathbf{x} : g(\mathbf{x}) > 0\}$ such that $f(\mathbf{y}) < f(\mathbf{x}^*)$. Both $\mathbf{x}^*, \mathbf{y} \in X$, which is convex, and $g(\mathbf{x}^*) < 0$ while $g(\mathbf{y}) > 0$. Due to continuity of g , we have that there exists a $\mathbf{z} \in [\mathbf{x}^*, \mathbf{y}] \in X$ such that $g(\mathbf{z}) = 0$, with $\mathbf{z} = \lambda \mathbf{y} + (1 - \lambda)\mathbf{x}^*$ for some $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. Since f is a convex function, we have

$$f(\mathbf{z}) = f(\mathbf{x}^* + \lambda(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}^*)) \leq f(\mathbf{x}^*) + \underbrace{\lambda}_{>0} \overbrace{(f(\mathbf{y}) - f(\mathbf{x}^*))}^{>0 \text{ by assumption}} < f(\mathbf{x}^*),$$

thus $f(\mathbf{z}) < f(\mathbf{x}^*)$ for a $\mathbf{z} \in X$ such that $g(\mathbf{z}) = 0$. Since \mathbf{z} belongs to the feasible set of (3), this leads to a contradiction to the optimality of \mathbf{x}^* for (3).

