

Mathematical Logic (MATH6/70132;P65)  
Problem Class, week 6

[1] In 2.1.3 we introduced a language  $\mathcal{L}$  which is appropriate for groups: with 2-ary relation symbol  $R$  (for equality), 2-ary function symbol  $m$  (for the group operation), 1-ary function symbol  $i$  (for inversion) and constant symbol  $e$  (for the identity element).

Let  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  be the  $\mathcal{L}$ -structures  $\langle \mathbb{Z}; =, +, -, 0 \rangle$  and  $\langle \mathbb{Q}; =, +, -, 0 \rangle$  (respectively).

(a) True or false? Give reasons.

(i) Every  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure is a group.

(ii)  $(\neg m(x_2, m(e, x_1)))$  is a formula of  $\mathcal{L}$ .

(iii)  $R(e, m(x_1, i(x_1)))$  is a formula of  $\mathcal{L}$ .

(iv)  $(\exists x_1)((\neg R(e, m(x_1, i(x_1))))$  is an  $\mathcal{L}$ -formula.

(b) Suppose  $v$  is the valuation in  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $v(x_1) = 2$ ,  $v(x_2) = 4$  and  $v(x_j) = 0$  when  $j \neq 1, 2$ .

(i) Compute  $v(m(x_2, m(e, x_1)))$ .

(ii) Find a term  $t$  with  $v(t) = -6$ .

(iii) Can you find a term  $t'$  with  $v(t') = 7$ ?

(c) Find a closed  $\mathcal{L}$ -formula  $\phi$  such that  $\mathcal{A} \models \phi$  and  $\mathcal{B} \not\models \phi$ .

[2] It's difficult to do any reasoning in mathematics without using the equality symbol. In 1st-order logic, we use the following terminology to handle equality. We will say more about this during the lectures.

A first-order *language with equality*  $\mathcal{L}^=$  is a 1st-order language with a distinguished 2-ary relation symbol  $=$ . An  $\mathcal{L}^=$ -structure  $\mathcal{A}$  is *normal* if the symbol  $=$  is interpreted as equality in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

We write the more usual ' $x_1 = x_2$ ' instead of ' $=(x_1, x_2)$ ' in  $\mathcal{L}^=$ -formulas.

Suppose  $\mathcal{L}^=$  is a language with equality which also has a 2-ary relation symbol  $R$ .

(a) Suppose  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Write down a closed  $\mathcal{L}^=$ -formula  $\sigma_n$  with the property that, for every normal  $\mathcal{L}^=$ -structure  $\mathcal{A}$  we have  $\mathcal{A} \models \sigma_n$  iff the domain of  $\mathcal{A}$  has at least  $n$  elements. [Hint: Think about the cases  $n = 2, 3$  first; you can use  $\wedge$  if you want.]

(b) Suppose  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Write down a closed  $\mathcal{L}^=$ -formula  $\tau_n$  with the property that, for every normal  $\mathcal{L}^=$ -structure  $\mathcal{A}$  we have  $\mathcal{A} \models \tau_n$  iff the domain of  $\mathcal{A}$  has exactly  $n$  elements.

(c) Find a closed  $\mathcal{L}^=$  formula  $\theta$  with the property that for every positive  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ :

there is a normal  $\mathcal{L}^=$  structure  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $n$  elements in its domain and  $\mathcal{A} \models \theta$   
if and only if  $n$  is even (your formula will need to use the symbol  $R$ ).

Hint: ① Say "  $R$  is an equivalence relation  
all class with exactly 2 elts. "

② Another way, 

# Problem Class, Week 6

①

1. (a) (i) F  
 (ii) F no relation symbol  
 (iii) T  
 (iv) F too many ( )

- (b) (i) 6  
 (ii)  $i(m(x_2, m(e, x_1)))$   
 (iii) No  $v(\text{term})$  is even here.

(c)  $(\neg (\forall x)(\exists y)(\underbrace{x = y + y}_{R(x, m(y, y))})$

2.  $\varphi =$

- (a)  $n=2$   $(\exists x_1)(\exists x_2)(x_1 \neq x_2)$   $\sigma_2$   
 $\sigma_3: n=3$   $(\exists x_1)(\exists x_2)(\exists x_3)((x_1 \neq x_2) \wedge (x_1 \neq x_3) \wedge \dots)$   
 $\sigma_n$   $(\exists x_1) \dots (\exists x_n) \bigwedge_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_i \neq x_j)$   
 (b)  $(\sigma_n \wedge (\neg \sigma_{n+1}))$