

Optimisation Exam Tips

General advice

Be careful about which conditions are sufficient, which are necessary

- there can be many versions of a similar theorem

Be careful whether the optimisation problem is maximisation or minimisation

Unconstrained Optimisation

Maximising f is equivalent to minimising $-f$

- So usually considering minimisation gives conditions for maximisation for free.

you can optimise multiple variables one by one

- if x, y are free variables, fix x , find optimal y in terms of x
- substitute that into the target function and now minimise for x

It is possible that differentiation CANNOT give all global/local minima/maxima due to differentiability problems.

Induced norms defined in this course is slightly different:

Induced Norms. Given a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and two norms $\|\cdot\|_a$ and $\|\cdot\|_b$ on \mathbb{R}^n and \mathbb{R}^m respectively, the induced matrix norm $\|A\|_{a,b}$ (called (a,b) -norm) is defined by

$$\|A\|_{a,b} = \max_{\mathbf{x}} \{ \|A\mathbf{x}\|_b : \|\mathbf{x}\|_a \leq 1 \},$$

from where it follows that

$$\|A\mathbf{x}\|_b \leq \|A\|_{a,b} \|\mathbf{x}\|_a.$$

- inequality $\|\mathbf{x}\| \leq 1$ is used, instead of $\|\mathbf{x}\| = 1$. Though they are equivalent, pls use the definition from the book!!

Notes on Definiteness

everything about definiteness is built up **SYMMETRIC** matrices

- if A is not symmetric, $(A + A^T)/2$ will be

Properties of positive definite matrices (also applies to negative definite matrices)

- If a matrix is positive definite, so are all the symmetric sub-matrices

- positive definite matrix must be invertible
 - and inverse of positive definite matrix is positive definite
- A, B positive (semi-)definite $\Rightarrow A + B$ is positive (semi-)definite
 - so we can break down complicated matrices and judge definiteness of each component
- diagonal entries of positive definite matrix are all positive
- element with largest absolute value must be on the main diagonal
- $\det(A) > 0$

from definition it is trivial that if A is positive (semi-)definite, then $-A$ is negative (semi-)definite

Symmetric matrix A is positive definite \Leftrightarrow exists invertible M s.t. $A = MM^T$

$A^T A$ must be positive semi-definite for any matrix A

- if A is full rank, $A^T A$ is positive definite

Criteria

for 2×2 , directly use $\text{tr}(A)$, $\det(A)$

- sum of E.values = $\text{tr}(A)$, product of e.values = $\det(A)$

diagonal dominance:

useful for any symmetric matrix, easy to check

but it is **NOT NECESSARY** condition for definiteness

- you must ensure all elements are all positive/non-negative for positive definiteness/semi-definiteness
- A matrix with only weak diagonal dominance may also be definite

principal minor: CANNOT be used to conclude semi-definiteness. But principal minors strictly greater than 0 is equivalent to positive definiteness

if above two criterion fail, try some vectors to estimate the property of the matrix

if not definite

Eigenvalues criteria for indefinite matrix are useful

- but sometimes find two x s.t. $x^T A x > 0$ and $x^T A x < 0$ is easier to show indefiniteness

Optimality conditions

DONT forget to verify SECOND-order conditions !!!

All second-order conditions (including criteria for saddle points) requires two assumptions:

- f is twice continuously differentiable on the domain
- x^* is stationary.

these assumptions serve for linear approximation theorem.

Coerciveness confirms existence of global minima. (or $-\infty$ gives global maxima)

- as long as domain is **closed**, non-empty.
- so if there is only one stationary point, it must be global minima.

Extrema behaviour of quadratic function is basically determined by matrix A

- coerciveness is also determined by A
- b just determines the location of stationary point x
- c only affects the extrema function value

quadratic functions may not come in usual form in questions, it is useful turning them to matrix-vector form.

Indefinite Hessian ensures saddle point, but saddle points appear in other case, i.e. semi-definite Hessian cases

- find two trajectories where the point is maxima on one trajectory, and minima on the other
- (t^a, t^b) may be suitable for $x^* = (0, 0)$
- but if all trajectories give maxima/minima, this point is a local maxima/minima

Least square

$\|x\|$ is NOT differentiable at 0, but $\|x\|^2$ is always differentiable. So square is used for optimal solution to $Ax=b$ instead of $\|Ax-b\|$.

λ : non-negative, larger λ , higher penalty. (but may lead to overfitting)

Gradient descent

zig-zag behaviour ONLY applies to the step size strategy: **exact line search.**

To prove a constant L is the best(smallest) Lipschitz constant of f , find a pair (x, y) s.t.

$$\|f(x) - f(y)\| = L\|x - y\|$$

- you may start by trying $y = 0$

Convexity

Characterisation of convex functions by positive semi-definiteness of Hessian ONLY applies to:

- TWICE continuously differentiable functions
- defined on **OPEN** convex set.

multiplying by **POSITIVE** constant preserves convexity, not negative.

point-wise maximum of convex function is convex, point-wise minimisation of concave function is concave.

partial minimisation preserves convexity if:

- it is minimised over a convex set

Level sets of convex functions $\{f(x) \leq a\}$ are convex, but $\{f(x) = a\}$ is NOT convex

- However, if f is affine, then $\{f(x) = a\}$ is convex (as it is hyper-plane)

Proving a function is convex

Strategy 1: Try to break down the function, and recognise possible basic convex functions we learnt

Strategy 2: find Hessian and prove it is positive semi-definite

Strategy 3: Write down Gradient inequality, or the monotonicity inequality and try to prove them (works especially well for lower dimensions)

Basic convex functions:

- Norm
- Affine functions $f(x) = a^T x + b$ (affine function is also concave)
- Quadratic with positive semi-definite A

Combination rules:

- any linear combination with positive coefficients
- linear change $g(y) = f(Ay+b)$
- composition of g, f where f, g convex, g is non-decreasing on $\text{Im}(f)$
- pointwise maximisation/ partial maximisation
- partial minimisation over convex set

Convex Optimisation

for orthogonal projection to C , $P_C(x)$, if $x \in C$, $P_C(x) = x$!!!!

when you are dealing with vectors, $x \geq 0$, $x \neq 0$ NOT imply $x > 0$

KKT

After finding Lagrange multipliers, remember to verify λ_i are **positive**

- this is only required for multipliers on **inequality** constraints

Except the Lagrangian = 0 and slater condition, also remember to check **non-negativity** of the Lagrange multipliers associated with inequality constraints.

you can scale any multiplier in KKT by POSITIVE constant to make calculations easier

For LCP (equality constraints allowed):

necessity of KKT only requires f to be continuously differentiable

- but for any vector satisfying KKT to be the optimal solution, you need f to be convex.
- Otherwise, just find the function values of the multiple vectors satisfying KKT and pick the \mathbf{x} giving smallest $f(\mathbf{x})$ (remember to check \mathbf{x} satisfies all constraints)
 - remember to use Weierstrass theorem to justify existence of global optimal solution.

Duality

Different duality problem construction yields different duality gap! (gap = $f^* - q^*$). You can try to pick underlying CONVEX set X to be values satisfying some of the constraint(s). The aims are:

- make Slater's condition satisfied
- make f, g_i convex over X

so that $f^* = q^*$.