

Example 4.1.5. *Question:* Find the linear transformation $T : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ such that $T \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $T \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$.

Answer: Note that $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ form a basis for \mathbb{R}^2 , a general vector of \mathbb{R}^2 is $\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = a \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + b \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$. So we must have:

$$\begin{aligned} T \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} &= T \left(a \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + b \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= aT \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + bT \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= a \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} + b \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} a \\ -a + b \\ 2a + 3b \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

This map is linear as $T \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}$, so a matrix transformation.

Proposition 4.1.6. Let V and W be vector spaces over F . Let $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ be a basis for V . Let w_1, \dots, w_n be any n vectors from W (these don't need to be distinct). Then there is a unique linear transformation $T : V \rightarrow W$ such that $T(v_i) = w_i$ for all i .

Proof: Suppose that $v \in V$, then there exist $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ such that $v = \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_n v_n$. Define the following map:

$$\begin{aligned} T : V &\rightarrow W \\ T(v) &= \lambda_1 w_1 + \dots + \lambda_n w_n \end{aligned}$$

Claim: T is a linear transformation.

- T preserves addition: Suppose $v, u \in V$, so we have $v = \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_n v_n$ and $u = \mu_1 v_1 + \dots + \mu_n v_n$. So:

$$\begin{aligned} T(v + u) &= T(\lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_n v_n + \mu_1 v_1 + \dots + \mu_n v_n) \\ &= T((\lambda_1 + \mu_1)v_1 + \dots + (\lambda_n + \mu_n)v_n) \\ &= (\lambda_1 + \mu_1)w_1 + \dots + (\lambda_n + \mu_n)w_n \\ &= \lambda_1 w_1 + \dots + \lambda_n w_n + \mu_1 w_1 + \dots + \mu_n w_n \\ &= T(v) + T(u) \end{aligned}$$

- T preserves scalar multiplication: Suppose $v \in V$ and $\alpha \in F$, we have $v = \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_n v_n$.

So

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(\alpha v) &= T(\alpha(\lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_n v_n)) \\
 &= T(\alpha \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \alpha \lambda_n v_n) \\
 &= \alpha \lambda_1 w_1 + \dots + \alpha \lambda_n w_n \\
 &= \alpha(\lambda_1 w_1 + \dots + \lambda_n w_n) \\
 &= \alpha T(v)
 \end{aligned}$$

So it remains to check uniqueness. Suppose that we have a linear transformation S such that $S(v_i) = w_i$ for all i . Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 S(\lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_n v_n) &= \lambda_1 S(v_1) + \dots + \lambda_n S(v_n) \\
 &= \lambda_1 w_1 + \dots + \lambda_n w_n
 \end{aligned}$$

So $T = S$ proving uniqueness.

Remark 4.1.7. This shows that once we know what a linear transformation does to a basis we know what the transformation is.

Example 4.1.8. Let V be the space of all polynomials in x over \mathbb{R} with degree less than or equal to 2. A basis for this is $\{1, x, x^2\}$. We can pick any three arbitrary vectors in V for example:

$$\begin{aligned}
 w_1 &= 1 + x \\
 w_2 &= x - x^2 \\
 w_3 &= 1 + x^2
 \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 4.1.6 there is a linear transformation $T : V \rightarrow V$ such that $T(1) = w_1$, $T(x) = w_2$, $T(x^2) = w_3$.

We can work out what T does to a general element of V . A general element is of the form $v = a1 + bx + cx^2$, so

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(v) &= T(a1 + bx + cx^2) \\
 &= a(1 + x) + b(x - x^2) + c(1 + x^2) \\
 &= (a + c) + (a + b)x + (-b + c)x^2
 \end{aligned}$$

4.2 Image and Kernel

Definition 4.2.1. Let $T : V \rightarrow W$ be a linear transformation:

- The *Image of T* is the set $Im T = \{T(v) \in W : v \in V\} \subseteq W$.
- The *Kernel of T* is the set $Ker T = \{v \in V : T(v) = 0_W\} \subseteq V$.

Example 4.2.2. Let $T : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be defined by:

$$T \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 & 2 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 3x_1 + x_2 + 2x_3 \\ -x_1 + x_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

- The image of T is the set of all vectors in \mathbb{R}^2 of the form $\begin{pmatrix} 3x_1 + x_2 + 2x_3 \\ -x_1 + x_3 \end{pmatrix}$ for $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \mathbb{R}$. This is the space:

$$\left\{ x_1 \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} + x_2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + x_3 \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} : x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \mathbb{R} \right\} = CSp\left(\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 & 2 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) = \mathbb{R}^2$$

- The kernel of T is the set of vectors in \mathbb{R}^3 such that $T \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = 0_W$ that is so say such that:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 3x_1 + x_2 + 2x_3 \\ -x_1 + x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Alternatively this is the solution space of $Ax = 0$. In this case the kernel is $Sp \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -5 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Proposition 4.2.3. Let $T : V \rightarrow W$ be a linear transformation. Then:

1. $Im T$ is a subspace of W .
2. $Ker T$ is a subspace of V .

Note: In general we write $U \leq V$ to mean U is a subspace of V , so with this notation we are saying $Im T \leq W$ and $Ker T \leq V$.

Proof: For both we need to check the vector space criterion.

1.
 - Certainly $Im T \neq \emptyset$, since $T(0) \in Im T$.
 - Suppose $w_1, w_2 \in Im T$ then there exist $v_1, v_2 \in V$ such that $w_1 = T(v_1)$ and $w_2 = T(v_2)$. Now,

$$T(v_1 + v_2) = T(v_1) + T(v_2) = w_1 + w_2$$

So $w_1 + w_2 \in Im T$.

- Suppose $w \in \text{Im } T$ and let $\lambda \in F$. We have $w = T(v)$ for some $v \in V$, now $T(\lambda v) = \lambda T(v) = \lambda w$. So $\lambda w \in \text{Im } T$

So $\text{Im } T \leq W$.

Example 4.2.4. Let V_n be the vector space of polynomials in x over \mathbb{R} of degree $\leq n$. We have $V_0 \leq V_1 \leq V_2 \dots$. Define:

$$\begin{aligned} T : V_n &\rightarrow V_{n-1}, \\ T(f(x)) &= f'(x). \end{aligned}$$

Note: T is linear.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ker } T &= \{f(x) : f'(x) = 0\} \\ &= \{\text{constant polys}\} \\ &= V_0 \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $g(x)$ has degree $\leq n-1$. Then by integrating $g(x)$ we can find $f(x)$ such that $f'(x) = g(x)$ and $\deg(f(x)) = 1 + \deg(g(x))$, so $\deg(f(x)) \leq n$. Hence $\text{Im } T = V_{n-1}$.

Of course the $f(x)$ such that $f'(x) = g(x)$ is not unique - if c is a constant then $f(x) + c$ also has this property. In fact we get the set $\{h(x) : h'(x) = g(x)\}$ consists of polynomials $f(x) + k(x)$ where $k(x) \in \text{Ker } T$.

Proposition 4.2.5. Let $T : V \rightarrow W$ be a linear transformation and let $v_1, v_2 \in V$. Then

$$T(v_1) = T(v_2) \text{ iff } v_1 - v_2 \in \text{Ker } T.$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} T(v_1) = T(v_2) & \text{ iff } T(v_1) - T(v_2) = 0 \\ & \text{ iff } T(v_1 - v_2) = 0 \\ & \text{ iff } v_1 - v_2 \in \text{Ker } T \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 4.2.6. Let $T : V \rightarrow W$ be a linear transformation. Suppose that $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is a basis for V . Then $\text{Im } T = \text{Span}\{T(v_1), \dots, T(v_n)\}$.

Proof: Clearly $\text{Span}\{T(v_1), \dots, T(v_n)\} \subseteq \text{Im } T$. Conversely, let $w \in \text{Im } T$. Then $w = Tv$ for some $v \in V$. Since $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is a basis for V we can find scalars λ_i such that

$$\begin{aligned} v &= \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_n v_n \\ w &= T(v) \\ &= T(\lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_n v_n) \\ &= \lambda_1 T(v_1) + \dots + \lambda_n T(v_n) \in \text{Span}\{T(v_1), \dots, T(v_n)\} \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 4.2.7. Let A be an $m \times n$ matrix. Let $T : F^n \rightarrow F^m$ be given by $T(v) = Av$. Then:

1. $\text{Ker } T$ is the solution space to $Av = 0$.
2. $\text{Im } T$ is the column space of A .
3. $\dim(\text{Im } T) = \text{rank } A$.

Proof:

1. Immediate from definitions
2. Take the “standard” basis for F^n that is:

$$e_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad e_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \dots, e_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

By proposition 4.2.6 we have $\text{Im } T = \text{Span}\{T(e_1), \dots, T(e_n)\}$. Now $T(e_i) = Ae_i = c_i$ where c_i is the i^{th} column of A . SO $\text{Im } T = \text{Span}\{c_1, \dots, c_n\} = \text{CSp}(A)$.

3. By (ii) $\dim(\text{Im } T) = \dim(\text{CSp}(A)) = \text{column rank of } A = rk(A)$

Theorem 4.2.8. The rank nulty theorem: We've seen that when $Tv = Av$, $\text{rank}(A) = \dim(\text{Im } T)$. An old fashioned name for $\dim(\text{Ker } T)$ is the nulty of A

Let $T : V \rightarrow W$ be a linear transformation. Then

$$\dim(\text{Im } T) + \dim(\text{Ker } T) = \dim(V)$$

Proof: Let $\{u_1, \dots, u_s\}$ be a basis for $\text{ker } T$, and let $\{w_1, \dots, w_r\}$ be a basis for $\text{Im } T$. For each $w_i \in \text{Im } T$, and so $\exists v_i \in V$ with $Tv_i = w_i$. We claim that $B = \{u_1, \dots, u_s\} \cup \{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$ is a basis for V .

- *Spanning set:* Let $v \in V$ since $Tv \in \text{Im } T$ we can write $Tv = \lambda_1 w_1 + \dots + \lambda_r w_r$ for scalars λ_i . So

$$\begin{aligned} Tv &= \lambda_1 w_1 + \dots + \lambda_r w_r \\ &= T(\lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_r v_r) \end{aligned}$$

Now by proposition 4.2.5 $v - \lambda_1 v_1 - \dots - \lambda_r v_r \in \text{ker } T$ so $v - \lambda_1 v_1 - \dots - \lambda_r v_r = \mu_1 u_1 + \dots + \mu_s u_s$. Thus

$$v = \mu_1 u_1 + \dots + \mu_s u_s + \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_r v_r \in \text{span}(B)$$

- *Linear independence* Suppose:

$$\lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_r v_r + \mu_1 u_1 + \dots + \mu_s u_s = 0$$

By applying T we get:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= T(\lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_r v_r + \mu_1 u_1 + \dots + \mu_s u_s) \\ &= \lambda_1 T(v_1) + \dots + \lambda_r T(v_r) + \mu_1 T(u_1) + \dots + \mu_s T(u_s) \\ &= \lambda_1 w_1 + \dots + \lambda_r w_r \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_r = 0$, so we get that $\mu_1 u_1 + \dots + \mu_s u_s = 0$, so $\mu_1 = \dots = \mu_s = 0$.

Example 4.2.9.

Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$, define $U = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : ax + by + cz = 0\}$. U is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^3 .

We can find dimension of U by defining:

$$\begin{aligned} T : \mathbb{R}^3 &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ T(x, y, z) &= (a, b, c) \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Now $U = \text{ker } T$, and clearly $\text{Im } T = \mathbb{R}$ (as not all $a, b, c = 0$), thus $\dim(\text{Im } T) = 1$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \dim U &= \dim(\text{ker } T) \\ &= \dim(\mathbb{R}^3) - \dim(\text{Im } T) \\ &= 3 - 1 = 2 \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 4.2.10. A system of linear equations in n unknowns with co-efficients in F :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} a_{11}x_1 & +a_{12}x_2 & +a_{13}x_3 & +\dots & +a_{1n}x_n & = & b_1 \\ a_{21}x_1 & +a_{22}x_2 & +a_{23}x_3 & +\dots & +a_{2n}x_n & = & b_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & & & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{m1}x_1 & +a_{m2}x_2 & +a_{m3}x_3 & +\dots & +a_{mn}x_n & = & b_m \end{array}$$

is called *homogeneous* if $b_1 = b_2 = \dots = b_m = 0$.

We know in this case that we will always get at least a trivial solution to the system - and we saw in the test that the set of solutions forms a subspace of F^n , but what dimension will this subspace have?

We can use the rank-nulity theorem to work this out:

We know that if we let $A = (a_{ij})$, then this system of linear equations can be represented as $Ax = 0$. We also know that A can be seen as a linear transformation $A : F^n \mapsto F^m$.

By Proposition 4.2.7 the set of solutions in this case is $\ker(A)$, and by the rank nulity we get

$$\dim(\ker(A)) = \dim(F^n) - \dim(\text{Im}(A))$$

Now the $\dim(\text{Im}(A)) = \text{rank}(A)$ thus the we can work out how many solutions we have to a set of homogeneous equations with n unknowns:

- If $\text{rank}(A) \geq n$ we get one solution (the trivial one i.e. 0_V)
- If $\text{rank}(A) < n$ we get infinitely many solutions (assuming F is infinite)

Exercise 4.2.11. In this case the rank of the augmented matrix $(A|0)$ is the same as that of A .

How does this work for a non homogeneous system of linear equations?

Essentially almost the same except - but we are taking a coset of the system of equations and we have to account for the case were $\text{rank}(A) < \text{rank}(A|b)$