

Introduction to Quantum Mechanics – Solution to Problem sheet 3

1. The Dirac notation

(a) (i) $\langle \phi | \chi \rangle \langle \phi |$ is a bra vector. The adjoint (ket vector) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} (\langle \phi | \chi \rangle \langle \phi |)^\dagger &= |\phi\rangle \langle \phi | \chi \rangle^* \\ &= \langle \chi | \psi \rangle |\phi\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) $\langle \phi | \hat{A} | \chi \rangle \langle \phi | \hat{A}$ is a bra vector.

The adjoint (ket vector) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} (\langle \phi | \hat{A} | \chi \rangle \langle \phi | \hat{A})^\dagger &= \hat{A}^\dagger |\phi\rangle (\langle \phi | A | \chi \rangle)^\dagger \\ &= \langle \chi | A^\dagger | \phi \rangle \hat{A}^\dagger |\phi\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) $c \langle \chi | \phi \rangle$ is a scalar.

The adjoint is its complex conjugate

$$\begin{aligned} (c \langle \chi | \phi \rangle)^\dagger &= c^* \langle \chi | \phi \rangle^* \\ &= c^* \langle \phi | \chi \rangle \end{aligned}$$

(iv) $c |\phi\rangle \langle \chi|$ is an operator.

The adjoint operator is given by

$$(c |\phi\rangle \langle \chi|)^\dagger = c^* |\chi\rangle \langle \phi|$$

(v) $\langle \chi | \hat{A}^\dagger | \phi \rangle$ is a scalar.

The adjoint is given by the complex conjugate scalar

$$(\langle \chi | \hat{A}^\dagger | \phi \rangle)^\dagger = \langle \phi | \hat{A} | \chi \rangle$$

Here c denotes a scalar, and \hat{A} is an operator.

(b) (i) We have $|\psi_1\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(i|\phi_1\rangle + |\phi_3\rangle)$ and $|\psi_2\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\phi_1\rangle + i|\phi_3\rangle)$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi_1 | \psi_2 \rangle &= \frac{1}{2}(-i\langle \phi_1 | + \langle \phi_3 |)(|\phi_1\rangle + i|\phi_3\rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(-i\langle \phi_1 | \phi_1 \rangle + \langle \phi_1 | \phi_3 \rangle + \langle \phi_3 | \phi_1 \rangle + i\langle \phi_3 | \phi_3 \rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(-i + i) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi_1 | \psi_1 \rangle &= \frac{1}{2}(-i\langle \phi_1 | + \langle \phi_3 |)(i|\phi_1\rangle + |\phi_3\rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\langle \phi_1 | \phi_1 \rangle + \langle \phi_3 | \phi_3 \rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(1 + 1) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi_2 | \psi_2 \rangle &= \frac{1}{2}(\langle \phi_1 | - i\langle \phi_3 |)(|\phi_1\rangle + i|\phi_3\rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\langle \phi_1 | \phi_1 \rangle + \langle \phi_3 | \phi_3 \rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(1 + 1) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

A vector orthogonal to $|\psi_{1,2}\rangle$ would be

$$|\psi_3\rangle = |\phi_2\rangle.$$

If you do not see this directly you could make the ansatz $|\psi_3\rangle = a|\phi_1\rangle + b|\phi_2\rangle + c|\phi_3\rangle$ and calculate the inner product with $|\psi_1\rangle$ and $|\psi_2\rangle$ to deduce conditions on the coefficients a, b, c .

- (ii) We know that $|\phi_1\rangle\langle\phi_1| + |\phi_2\rangle\langle\phi_2| + |\phi_3\rangle\langle\phi_3| = \hat{I}$ as $\{|\phi_{1,2,3}\rangle\}$ is an orthonormal set. In Dirac notation we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_1\rangle\langle\psi_1| + |\psi_2\rangle\langle\psi_2| + |\psi_3\rangle\langle\psi_3| &= \frac{1}{2}(i|\phi_1\rangle + |\phi_3\rangle)(-i\langle\phi_1|\langle\phi_3|) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}(|\phi_1\rangle + i|\phi_3\rangle)(\langle\phi_1| - i\langle\phi_3|) \\ &\quad + |\phi_2\rangle\langle\phi_2| \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(|\phi_1\rangle\langle\phi_1| + i|\phi_1\rangle\langle\phi_3| - i|\phi_3\rangle\langle\phi_1| + |\phi_3\rangle\langle\phi_3|) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}(|\phi_1\rangle\langle\phi_1| - i|\phi_1\rangle\langle\phi_3| + i|\phi_3\rangle\langle\phi_1| + |\phi_3\rangle\langle\phi_3|) \\ &\quad + |\phi_2\rangle\langle\phi_2| \\ &= |\phi_1\rangle\langle\phi_1| + |\phi_2\rangle\langle\phi_2| + |\phi_3\rangle\langle\phi_3| = \hat{I}. \end{aligned}$$

In vector notation we have

$$\psi_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} i \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \psi_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ i \end{pmatrix}, \quad \psi_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore, the matrix representation of $|\psi_1\rangle\langle\psi_1| + |\psi_2\rangle\langle\psi_2| + |\psi_3\rangle\langle\psi_3|$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_1\psi_1^\dagger + \psi_2\psi_2^\dagger + \psi_3\psi_3^\dagger &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\begin{pmatrix} i \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} (-i \ 0 \ 1) + \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ i \end{pmatrix} (1 \ 0 \ -i) \right) + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} (0 \ 1 \ 0) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -i & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

- (iii) We have

$$|\phi_1\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-i|\psi_1\rangle + |\psi_2\rangle) \quad \text{and} \quad |\phi_2\rangle = |\psi_3\rangle,$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |\chi\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|\psi_1\rangle + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}|\psi_2\rangle + |\psi_3\rangle \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}|\psi_1\rangle + \frac{i}{2}|\psi_2\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|\psi_3\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

2. Basis invariance of the trace

- (a) Since $\{|d_j\rangle\}$ is an orthonormal basis we have

$$\hat{I} = \sum_j |d_j\rangle \langle d_j|.$$

Inserting this into the expression for the trace evaluated in the basis $\{|b_i\rangle\}$ twice gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i \langle b_i | \hat{O} | b_i \rangle &= \sum_{i,j,k} \langle b_i | d_j \rangle \langle d_j | \hat{O} | d_k \rangle \langle d_k | b_i \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i,j,k} \langle d_k | b_i \rangle \langle b_i | d_j \rangle \langle d_j | \hat{O} | d_k \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

We recognise the identity operator $\hat{I} = \sum_i |b_i\rangle \langle b_i|$ in the last line and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i \langle b_i | \hat{O} | b_i \rangle &= \sum_{j,k} \langle d_k | d_j \rangle \langle d_j | \hat{O} | d_k \rangle \\ &= \sum_{j,k} \delta_{kj} \langle d_j | \hat{O} | d_k \rangle \\ &= \sum_j \langle d_j | \hat{O} | d_j \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Hence the trace is basis independent.

- (b) We have

$$\text{Tr}(\hat{A}\hat{B}\hat{C}) = \sum_i \langle b_i | \hat{A}\hat{B}\hat{C} | b_i \rangle$$

Inserting two identity operators gives

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(\hat{A}\hat{B}\hat{C}) &= \sum_{i,j,k} \langle b_i | \hat{A} | b_j \rangle \langle b_j | \hat{B} | b_k \rangle \langle b_k | \hat{C} | b_i \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i,j,k} \langle b_k | \hat{C} | b_i \rangle \langle b_i | \hat{A} | b_j \rangle \langle b_j | \hat{B} | b_k \rangle \\ &= \sum_k \langle b_k | \hat{C}\hat{A}\hat{B} | b_k \rangle \\ &= \text{Tr}(\hat{C}\hat{A}\hat{B}). \end{aligned}$$

As required. The proof for $\text{Tr}(\hat{C}\hat{A}\hat{B}) = \text{Tr}(\hat{B}\hat{C}\hat{A})$ is the same.

- (c) We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}([\hat{A}, \hat{B}]) &= \text{Tr}(\hat{A}\hat{B}) - \text{Tr}(\hat{B}\hat{A}) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

due to the cyclic property of the trace. On the other hand we also have

$$\text{Tr}(c\hat{I}_N) = cN \neq 0$$

for an N dimensional space. Hence no matrices may satisfy

$$[\hat{A}, \hat{B}] = c\hat{I}_N,$$

for a non-zero c .

3. The spectral theorem

- (a) The (m, n) -element of matrix A is given by $\langle m | \hat{A} | n \rangle$ substituting the definition from the question we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\langle m | \hat{A} | n \rangle &= \sum_{jk} \langle m | (A_{jk} | j \rangle \langle k |) | n \rangle \\ &= \sum_{jk} A_{jk} \langle m | j \rangle \langle k | n \rangle \\ &= \sum_{jk} A_{jk} \delta_{mj} \delta_{kn} \\ &= A_{mn}\end{aligned}$$

Hence we may express \hat{A} as $\hat{A} = \sum_{jk} A_{jk} | j \rangle \langle k |$

- (b) We have

$$|\phi_n\rangle = \lambda_n |\phi_n\rangle$$

Since \hat{A} is Hermitian we have a complete set of orthonormal eigenstates $\{|\phi_n\rangle\}$

Using these eigenstates to construct the identity operator we write

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{A} &= \hat{I} \hat{A} \hat{I} \\ &= \sum_{nm} |\phi_m\rangle \langle \phi_m| \hat{A} |\phi_n\rangle \langle \phi_n| \\ &= \sum_{nm} \lambda_n |\phi_m\rangle \langle \phi_m| \phi_n \rangle \langle \phi_n| \\ &= \sum_{nm} \lambda_n \delta_{mn} |\phi_m\rangle \langle \phi_n| \\ &= \sum_n \lambda_n |\phi_n\rangle \langle \phi_n|\end{aligned}$$

as required.

4. Hermiticity in L^2

If \hat{K} is Hermitian we have

$$(\phi(x), \hat{K} \chi(x)) = (\hat{K} \phi(x), \chi(x)).$$

Applying the inner product in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and integrating by parts twice we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(\phi(x), \hat{K} \chi(x)) &= - \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi^*(x) \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \chi(x) dx \\ &= - \left[\phi^*(x) \frac{d\chi}{dx}(x) \right]_{-\infty}^{+\infty} + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\phi^*}{dx}(x) \frac{d\chi}{dx}(x) dx \\ &= - \left[\phi^*(x) \frac{d\chi}{dx}(x) \right]_{-\infty}^{+\infty} + \left[\frac{d\phi^*}{dx}(x) \chi(x) \right]_{-\infty}^{+\infty} - \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d^2\phi^*}{dx^2}(x) \chi(x) dx.\end{aligned}$$

Since we have $\phi(x), \chi(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\phi(x), \hat{K} \chi(x)) &= - \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi^*(x) \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \chi(x) dx \\ &= - \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d^2\phi^*}{dx^2}(x) \chi(x) dx \\ &= (\hat{K} \phi(x), \chi(x))\end{aligned}$$