

Lecture 03: The Cramér-Rao Lower Bound

Statistical Modelling I

Dr. Riccardo Passeggeri

Outline

1. The Cramér-Rao Lower Bound and Fisher Information
2. Example
3. Proof: CRLB
4. Proof: Information Identity

The Cramér-Rao Lower Bound and Fisher Information

Can we identify optimal estimators?

Is there an estimator T of θ such that $MSE_{\theta}(T) \leq MSE_{\theta}(S)$ for all estimators S ?

Theorem: Cramér-Rao Lower Bound

Suppose $T = T(X)$ is an unbiased estimator for $\theta \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}$ based on $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ with joint pdf $f_\theta(x)$. Under mild regularity conditions,

$$\text{Var}_\theta(T) \geq \frac{1}{I(\theta)},$$

where

$$I(\theta) = E_\theta \left[\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f_\theta(X) \right\}^2 \right]$$

is the *Fisher information* of the sample.

Remark: Fisher Information Identity

The Fisher information can also be written as

$$I(\theta) = -E_{\theta} \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \log f_{\theta}(X) \right].$$

Corollary: Information from a Random Sample

Suppose X_1, \dots, X_n are a random sample. Then

$$f_{\theta}(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n f_{\theta}^{(1)}(x_i),$$

where $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $f_{\theta}^{(1)}$ is the pdf/pmf of a single observation. This implies

$$I_f(\theta) = -E_{\theta} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \log f_{\theta}(X) \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n -E_{\theta} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \log f_{\theta}^{(1)}(X_i) \right) = n I_{f^{(1)}}(\theta).$$

Thus for a random sample, the Fisher information is proportional to the sample size.

Example

Example: find $I_f(\theta)$ when $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\theta)$ iid

Summary: $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\theta)$

For any *unbiased* estimator T , $\text{Var}_\theta(T) \geq \theta(1 - \theta)/n = \text{Var}(\bar{X})$

Proof: CRLB

Proof Outline

We will show that if $T = T(X)$ is unbiased estimator for θ based on X with joint pdf $f_\theta(x)$

$$\text{Var}_\theta(T) \geq \frac{1}{I(\theta)}.$$

Proof outline

- ▶ Step 1: Cauchy-Schwarz inequality
- ▶ Step 2: Simplify lower bound*

*Regularity conditions must include/imply

- (R1) The set $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : f_\theta(x) > 0\}$ does not depend on θ , Θ is an open interval in \mathbb{R} . For all $\theta \in \Theta$ there exists $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f_\theta(x)$.
- (R2) Exchanging of differentiation and integration is allowed.

Step 1: Cauchy-Schwarz

Use the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality:

$$[E(YZ)]^2 \leq E(Y^2)E(Z^2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}_\theta(T) I_f(\theta) &= E_\theta[(T - E_\theta T)^2] E_\theta\left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f_\theta(X)\right)^2\right] \\ &\geq \left(E_\theta\left[(T - E_\theta T) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f_\theta(X)\right]\right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Step 2: Simplifying the Bound

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\theta} \left[(T - E_{\theta} T) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f_{\theta}(X) \right] &= E_{\theta} \left[(T - E_{\theta} T) \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f_{\theta}(X)}{f_{\theta}(X)} \right] \\ &= \int (T(x) - E_{\theta} T) \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f_{\theta}(x)}{f_{\theta}(x)} f_{\theta}(x) dx \\ &= \int T(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f_{\theta}(x) dx - \int E_{\theta} T \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f_{\theta}(x) dx \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \int T(x) f_{\theta}(x) dx - E_{\theta} T \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \int f_{\theta}(x) dx \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} E_{\theta}(T) - 0 \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \theta = 1 \end{aligned}$$

Summary

Using steps 1 and 2, we have shown $\text{Var}_{\theta}(T)I_f(\theta) \geq 1$. Rearranging completes the proof.

Proof: Information Identity

Proof

We want to show $E_{\theta}[(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f_{\theta}(X))^2] = -E_{\theta}[(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta})^2 \log f_{\theta}(X)]$

Letting $f'_{\theta} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f_{\theta}$ and $f''_{\theta} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f'_{\theta}$,

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\theta}\left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\right)^2 \log f_{\theta}(X)\right] &= E_{\theta}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \frac{f'_{\theta}(X)}{f_{\theta}(X)}\right] = E_{\theta}\left[-\frac{f'_{\theta}(X)}{f_{\theta}^2(X)} f'_{\theta}(X) + \frac{f''_{\theta}(X)}{f_{\theta}(X)}\right] \\ &= E_{\theta}\left[-\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f_{\theta}(X)\right)^2\right] + E_{\theta}\left[\frac{f''_{\theta}(X)}{f_{\theta}(X)}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

$$E_{\theta}\left[\frac{f''_{\theta}(X)}{f_{\theta}(X)}\right] = \int \frac{f''_{\theta}(x)}{f_{\theta}(x)} f_{\theta}(x) dx = \int f''_{\theta}(x) dx = \underbrace{\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\right)^2 \int f_{\theta}(x) dx}_{=1} = 0.$$

Summary

We have seen that the Fisher information and CRLB allow us to study the optimal unbiased estimator (in terms of MSE) for fixed n .

Recall: unbiased estimator may not exist, so this bound is not achieved exactly
⇒ What can be said about estimators when n is large?