

## MATH50010 – Autumn 2023 – Midterm

**You should state carefully any results from lectures that are used.**

Throughout, take all random variables to be defined on the probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \Pr)$  unless otherwise stated.

- (a) (1 mark) Define mathematically what it means for  $\mathcal{F}$  to be a sigma algebra.

*Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a collection of subsets of  $\Omega$ .  $\mathcal{F}$  is said to be a sigma algebra if*

- (a)  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{F}$ .
- (b) If  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  then  $A^c \in \mathcal{F}$ .
- (c) If  $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{F}$  then  $\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \mathcal{F}$ .

- (b) (3 marks) Let  $\Omega = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . Give an example of a sigma algebra  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\Omega$  and two functions  $X_1, X_2 : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  such that  $X_1$  is a random variable with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$  but  $X_2$  is not a random variable with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$ . Briefly justify your answers.

*[There are many possible solutions. 1 mark for defining  $\mathcal{F}$  as a valid sigma algebra. 1 mark for defining  $X_1$  such that it is a random variable with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$ , and 1 mark for defining  $X_2$  that is not a random variable with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$ .]*

*One solution is  $\mathcal{F} = \{\emptyset, \{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}, \Omega\}$  with  $X_1(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1 & \omega \in \{1, 2\} \\ 0 & \omega \in \{3, 4\} \end{cases}$  and  $X_2(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1 & \omega \in \{1, 3\} \\ 0 & \omega \in \{2, 4\} \end{cases}$ .*

*Then, for any Borel set  $B$ ,  $X_1^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{F}$ , however for, e.g.  $B = \{0\}$ ,  $X_2^{-1}(B) = \{2, 4\} \notin \mathcal{F}$  so  $X_2$  is not a random variable with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$ .*

- (c) (4 marks) Prove that for any random variable,  $X$ , and  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $\Pr(X < x) = \lim_{x_n \uparrow x} \Pr(X \leq x_n)$  for any strictly increasing sequence  $x_n \uparrow x$ .

*Define the increasing sequence of events*

$$A_n = \{\omega \in \Omega : -\infty < X(\omega) \leq x_n\}.$$

*Then for any  $y < x$ , since  $x_n \uparrow x$  there exists  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $y \leq x_n$ . Therefore, for any  $\omega \in \Omega$  such that  $X(\omega) < x$ , it follows that there exists  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $X(\omega) \leq x_n$ , so*

$$\{\omega \in \Omega : -\infty < X(\omega) < x\} \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n.$$

*Additionally, since  $x_n \leq x$  for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \subseteq \{\omega \in \Omega : -\infty < X(\omega) < x\}$  so the result follows by the continuity property since*

$$\Pr(X < x) = \Pr\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr(A_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr(X \leq x_n).$$

In the remainder of the question, let  $X$  and  $Y$  be absolutely continuous random variables with joint probability density function given by

$$f_{XY}(x, y) = \lambda^2 \exp\{-\lambda(x + y)\} \quad \text{for } x > 0, y > 0 \tag{1}$$

and zero otherwise, where  $\lambda > 0$  is a constant.

- (d) (3 marks) Derive the marginal density functions  $f_X$  and  $f_Y$  and cumulative distribution functions  $F_X$  and  $F_Y$  of the random variables  $X$  and  $Y$ .

We see that the density factorizes,  $f_{XY}(x, y) = (\lambda e^{-\lambda x})(\lambda e^{-\lambda y})$  for all  $x > 0, y > 0$  (and since it is 0 elsewhere it still factorizes). So we can extract  $f_X(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}$  for  $x > 0$  and  $f_Y(y) = \lambda e^{-\lambda y}$  for  $y > 0$ . Note that these are the densities of exponential random variables so we know they integrate to 1.

[Directly integrating out  $Y$  is also valid]

For  $x \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$F_X(x) = \int_0^x \lambda e^{-\lambda t} dt = \left[ -\frac{\lambda}{\lambda} e^{-\lambda t} \right]_0^x = 1 - e^{-\lambda x},$$

so that

$$F_X(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \leq 0 \\ 1 - e^{-\lambda x} & 0 < x \end{cases}$$

By symmetry,

$$F_Y(y) = \begin{cases} 0 & y \leq 0 \\ 1 - e^{-\lambda y} & 0 < y \end{cases}$$

[2 mark for pdfs, 1 mark for cdfs, ranges must be correct]

- (e) (1 mark) Calculate  $\text{Cov}(X, Y)$ , the covariance between  $X$  and  $Y$ .

Since the joint density of  $X, Y$  factorizes for all  $(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^2$ ,  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent. Therefore from lecture notes,  $\text{Cov}(X, Y) = 0$ .

- (f) (3 marks) Determine the joint probability density function of the random variables  $S = X + Y$  and  $D = X - Y$ .

We can write  $X = \frac{S+D}{2}$ ,  $Y = \frac{S-D}{2}$ . Then the Jacobian determinant is  $\left| \det \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & -1/2 \end{pmatrix} \right| = 1/2$ .

Hence, we can write the joint pdf of  $S$  and  $D$  as

$$f_{S,D}(s, d) = 1/2 f_X(\frac{S+D}{2}) f_Y(\frac{S-D}{2}) = \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \exp^{-\lambda(\frac{s+d}{2} + \frac{s-d}{2})} = \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \exp^{-\lambda s}$$

for  $s + d > 0, s - d > 0$ , or equivalently  $s > |d|$ .

[Other methods are acceptable. 2 marks for derivation of pdf of  $S, D$ , 1 mark for range.]

- (g) (2 marks) Calculate  $\text{Cov}(S, D)$ , the covariance between  $S$  and  $D$  from part (f). Are  $S$  and  $D$  independent?

By Part (d),  $X$  and  $Y$  have the same distribution so  $E[X - Y] = 0$  and  $E[(X - Y)(X + Y)] = E[X^2 - Y^2] = 0$  so  $\text{Cov}(S, D) = 0$ . However,  $S$  and  $D$  are not independent since their joint density does not factorize for all  $s, d \in \mathbf{R}^2$ .

- (h) (3 marks) Let  $U_1 \sim \text{Uniform}[0, 1]$  and  $U_2 \sim \text{Uniform}[0, 1]$  be independent uniform random variables. Explain how to use  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  to obtain a sample of  $X + Y$ .

We can obtain a sample of  $X$  by the probability integral transform  $X = F_X^{-1}(U_1) = -\frac{1}{\lambda} \log(1 - U_1)$ . Similarly, we can apply the same transform to  $U_2$  to obtain  $Y = -\frac{1}{\lambda} \log(1 - U_2)$  since by (d)  $X$  and  $Y$  have the same distribution. Since  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  are independent, so are  $X$  and  $Y$ . Therefore, a sample of  $X + Y$  can be obtained from  $X + Y = -\frac{1}{\lambda} \log(1 - U_1) - \frac{1}{\lambda} \log(1 - U_2)$ .