

Part I – Problem Sheet 1: Logic and sets

KMB, 04/10/22

Part I of this module has four subsections; logic, sets, functions and relations. There are three problem sheets; the first is on logic and sets. There are one to three “diamonds” on each question – this is an approximate idea of question difficulty/length.

Lean: The mathematics covered in Part I of the module can be turned into a puzzle game called Lean, where solving a level of the game corresponds to proving a theorem in mathematics. Read more at [this link](#). Here you will find links to basic problems in logic, sets and more, with instructions on how to learn how to use Lean. I (Kevin) run a Lean club called the Xena Project, which meets on Thursday evenings from 5 till 8 in the Maths Learning Centre (room 414 Huxley, the big computer room) and on Friday evenings from 5 till 7 in the Xena Project Discord (which you can join via the Imperial student hub).

Important: Lean is one of my research interests. It is an *optional* part of the IUM module, and there will be no Lean in any IUM tests or exams.

1. Draw truth tables to solve the following problems.

- (a) Prove that \vee is *symmetric*. In other words, prove that if P and Q are propositions, then $P \vee Q \implies Q \vee P$.
- (b) Is \implies symmetric? In other words, is it true that for all propositions P and Q we have

$$(P \implies Q) \implies (Q \implies P)?$$

Give a proof or a counterexample.

- (c) Is \iff symmetric? In other words, is it true that for all propositions P and Q we have

$$(P \iff Q) \implies (Q \iff P)?$$

Give a proof or a counterexample.

2. Suppose P , Q and R are propositions, and we know that if Q is true then P is true, and that if Q is false then R is false. Can we deduce that R implies P ? Give a proof or a counterexample.
3. Is it possible to find three true-false statements P , Q and R , such that

$$(P \vee Q \vee R) \wedge (\neg P \vee Q \vee R) \wedge (\neg P \vee \neg Q \vee R) \wedge (\neg P \vee \neg Q \vee \neg R)$$

is true?

Background (not for exam): This sort of question is more important than it might seem. Check out this [Wikipedia page](#) on the Boolean satisfiability problem, if you want to know more. [Here](#) is a version you can play online with 9 variables and 40 equations, and [this paper](#) contains a recent application.

4. An *integer* (or a “whole number”) is an element of the set $\{\dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$. Say that for every integer n we have a true/false statement P_n . Say we know that $P_n \implies P_{n+8}$ for every integer n , and also that $P_n \implies P_{n-3}$ for every integer n . Prove that the P_n are either all true, or all false. You may assume the following induction principle for integers: if $P_n \implies P_{n+1}$ for all n , and $P_n \implies P_{n-1}$ for all n , and if there exists some integer t such that P_t is true, then all the P_n are true.

5. How could you give a formal proof that if X and Y are sets, then $X \cup Y = Y \cup X$? I know it's obvious – but if someone asked you to prove it, and wanted you to say something, what would you say? The technical term for this result is “commutativity of \cup ”. Hint: use Q1(a).
6. Let A , B and C be subsets of some large set Ω . Give proofs or counterexamples to the following statements.
- Is \cup distributive over \cap ? In other words, is $A \cup (B \cap C) = (A \cup B) \cap (A \cup C)$ always true?
 - Is \cap distributive over \cup ? In other words, is $A \cap (B \cup C) = (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$ always true?
 - Do brackets matter in statements about unions and intersections? For example, is $(A \cup B) \cap C = A \cup (B \cap C)$ always true?
7. Define $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x^2 < 3\}$, $B = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid x^2 < 3\}$ and $C = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x^3 < 3\}$. For each statement below, either prove it or disprove it! You can assume all standard facts about numbers in this question.
- $\frac{1}{2} \in A \cap B$.
 - $\frac{1}{2} \in A \cup B$.
 - $A \subseteq C$.
 - $B \subseteq C$.
 - $C \subseteq A \cup B$.
 - $(A \cap B) \cup C = (A \cup B) \cap C$

8. Let $P(x)$ and $Q(x)$ be propositions which depend on a variable x in a set X , and let $R(x, y)$ be a proposition which depends on two variables $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$. What are the logical negations of the following statements? Try to move the \neg as far into the formulae as you can.
- $\forall x \in X, P(x) \wedge \neg Q(x)$
 - $\exists x \in X, (\neg P(x)) \wedge Q(x)$
 - $\forall x \in X, \exists y \in Y, R(x, y)$.

Background (not for exam (yet)): These questions are surprisingly important. If $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function, then, as you will learn in analysis later this year (so don't worry about it too much now!), we say that f is *continuous* at $x \in \mathbb{R}$ if $\forall \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}, \exists \delta \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}, |y - x| < \delta \implies |f(y) - f(x)| < \epsilon$. Hence to prove that a function is *not* continuous, one has to figure out what the logical negation of the above proposition is! Can you do it? For an added challenge, try proving that the function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(x) = 0$ for $x < 0$ and $f(x) = 1$ for $x \geq 0$ is not continuous at $x = 0$.

Some people find the next two questions quite confusing. Trying to solve the corresponding levels in [Lean](#) might help some of you (NB link works on a computer but not on mobile).

9. Are the following statements true or false? Proofs or counterexamples are required!
- $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \exists y \in \mathbb{R}, x + y = 2$.
 - $\exists y \in \mathbb{R}, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, x + y = 2$.
10. Let \emptyset be the empty set. Are the following propositions true or false?
- $\exists x \in \emptyset, 2 + 2 = 5$
 - $\forall x \in \emptyset, 2 + 2 = 5$

Hint: think about logical negations.