

MATH60005/70005: Optimisation (Autumn 22-23)

Weeks 6 and 7: Exercises

Dr Dante Kalise
Department of Mathematics
Imperial College London, United Kingdom
dkaliseb@imperial.ac.uk

Sara Bicego (GTA)
Department of Mathematics
Imperial College London, United Kingdom
s.bicego21@imperial.ac.uk

1. Show the convexity of the following functions:

- the quad-over-lin function

$$f(x_1, x_2) = \frac{x_1^2}{x_2}$$

defined over $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_{++} = \{(x_1, x_2) : x_2 > 0\}$.

- the generalized quad-over-lin function

$$g(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}\|^2}{\mathbf{c}^\top \mathbf{x} + d} \quad (\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m, \mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^n, d \in \mathbb{R})$$

is convex over $D = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathbf{c}^\top \mathbf{x} + d > 0\}$.

- $f(x_1, x_2) = -\log(x_1 x_2)$, over \mathbb{R}_{++}^2 .
- $h(\mathbf{x}) = e^{\|\mathbf{x}\|^2}$.

2. Show that $\sqrt{1 + \mathbf{x}^\top Q \mathbf{x}}$ is convex for Q positive definite.

3. Find the optimal solution of

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3} \quad & 2x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + 2x_1 - 3x_2 + 4x_3 \\ \text{subject to} \quad & x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 1 \\ & x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$



Solutions

1.1) For the quad-over-lin function, we proceed by computing the Hessian:

$$f(x_1, x_2) = \frac{x_1^2}{x_2^2}, \quad \nabla f = \left(2\frac{x_1}{x_2}, -\frac{x_1^2}{x_2^3} \right), \quad \nabla^2 f = 2 \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{x_2} & -\frac{x_1}{x_2^2} \\ -\frac{x_1}{x_2^2} & \frac{x_1^2}{x_2^3} \end{pmatrix}.$$

In order to determine the positiveness of $\nabla^2 f$, we study the sign of its trace and determinant:

$$\text{Tr}(\nabla^2 f) = 2 \left(\frac{1}{x_2} + \frac{x_1^2}{x_2^3} \right) > 0, \quad \text{since } x_2 \in \mathbb{R}_{++},$$

$$\text{Det}(\nabla^2 f) = 4 \left[\frac{1}{x_2} \cdot \frac{x_1^2}{x_2^3} - \left(\frac{x_1}{x_2^2} \right)^2 \right] = 0.$$

Thus, we have $\nabla^2 f \leq 0$, and equivalently f is convex.

1.2) The generalized quad-over-lin function can be rewritten as follows

$$g(\mathbf{x}) := \frac{\|\mathbf{Ax} + \mathbf{b}\|^2}{\mathbf{c}^\top \mathbf{x} + d} = \frac{\|\mathbf{y}\|^2}{t} =: h(\mathbf{y}, t)$$

after the linear change of variables $(\mathbf{Ax} + \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}^\top \mathbf{x} + d) \mapsto (\mathbf{y}, t)$. Accordingly, the set $D = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathbf{c}^\top \mathbf{x} + d > 0\}$ becomes $D' = \{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m : t > 0\} = \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}_{++}$. Moreover, the function h can be seen as a sum of quad-over-lin functions (ex 1.1) with $(x_1, x_2) = (y_i, t)$

$$h(\mathbf{y}, t) = \sum_{i=1}^m h_i(\mathbf{y}, t) = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{y_i^2}{t}.$$

Being a sum of convex functions, h is convex, and so is $g(\mathbf{x})$, as it can be obtained as the composition of a convex function with a linear change of variables.

1.3) The function f can be written as

$$f(x_1, x_2) = -\log(x_1 x_2) = -\log(x_1) - \log(x_2).$$

To prove convexity of f , it is enough to show that both the terms are convex. In order to do so, we start by noticing that both the addends are of the form $-\log(t)$ composed with a projection map

$$(x_1, x_2) \mapsto x_1 \quad (x_1, x_2) \mapsto x_2$$

which is an affine transformation. We conclude by showing that the function $t \mapsto -\log(t)$ is convex over \mathbb{R}_+ :

$$l = -\log(t), \quad l' = -\frac{1}{t}, \quad l'' = \frac{1}{t^2} > 0.$$

Thus, f is convex as a sum of convex functions composed with affine transformations.



1.4) $h(\mathbf{x}) = e^{\|\mathbf{x}\|^2}$ can be written as the following composition of functions

$$h(\mathbf{x}) = g(f(\mathbf{x})) \quad \text{with } g(t) = e^t \text{ and } f(\mathbf{x}) = \|\mathbf{x}\|^2.$$

Thanks to convexity of norms, we know that $f(\mathbf{x})$ is convex, and since

$$g' = e^t = g'' > 0,$$

we also have convexity of g . Furthermore, the outer function g is non-decreasing over any $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, hence we have convexity of $h(\mathbf{x})$.

Remark. Having only f, g convex is not enough, as shown with the following counterexample. Consider $s(x) = (x^2 - 4)^2$. We can write $s(x) = g(f(x))$ with $g(t) = t^2$ and $f(x) = (x^2 - 4)$. Even though both those functions are convex ($f'', g'' > 0$), we have $s'' < 0$ for $|x| < \sqrt{\frac{4}{3}}$.

2) We have

$$h(\mathbf{x}) := \sqrt{1 + \mathbf{x}^\top Q \mathbf{x}} = \sqrt{1 + \|\mathbf{x}\|_Q^2}$$

where $\|\mathbf{x}\|_Q = \sqrt{\mathbf{x}^\top Q \mathbf{x}}$. We can write $h(\mathbf{x}) = g(f(\mathbf{x}))$ as a composition of functions

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \|\mathbf{x}\|_Q, \quad g(r) = \sqrt{1 + r^2}.$$

In order to show convexity, we start by noting that, since $Q > 0$

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_Q = \|\sqrt{\Lambda} U \mathbf{x}\|_2, \quad \text{for the diagonalization } Q = U^\top Q U$$

where Λ is a positive definite diagonal matrix. Since the diagonalization is a linear transformation and the 2-norm is convex, we have f convex.

On the other hand, we have

$$g(r) = \sqrt{1 + r^2}, \quad g'(r) = \frac{r}{\sqrt{1 + r^2}}, \quad g''(r) = \frac{1}{1 + r^2},$$

and since $g''(r) > 0$ for every $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and $g'(r) > 0$ for every $r > 0$ (which is the case for $r = \mathbf{x}^\top Q \mathbf{x}$). Summing up, the function g is convex and non-decreasing, f is convex, hence h is in turn convex.

3) The optimization constraints prescribe $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)^\top \in \Delta_2$, that is the unit simplex (convex set) in \mathbb{R}^3 with extreme points $(1, 0, 0)$, $(0, 1, 0)$, $(0, 0, 1)$. Furthermore, the objective function f can be written in quadratic form

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^\top A \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}^\top \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^\top \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} + [2 \quad -3 \quad 4] \mathbf{x}$$

where $A > 0$, hence f is strictly convex. Maximizing a convex function over a convex set comes from evaluating the function at the extreme points. We conclude that the maximizer is $\mathbf{x}^* = (0, 0, 1)$, where the objective attains its maximum $f(\mathbf{x}^*) = 5$.



Extra exercises

3.1) We can generalize the above example to the matrix norm

$$\|A\|_{1,1} := \max \left\{ \|Ax\|_1 : \|x\|_1 \leq 1 \right\}, \quad \|x\|_1 := \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|.$$

As before, we have the maximization of a convex function (norm composed with an affine transformation) over a convex set (closed ℓ_1 -ball). Then, the optimizer lies at one of the extreme points of the set $\|x\|_1 \leq 1$. To better understand how to characterize these extreme points, we consider the case $n = 2$:

$$\| [x_1, x_2] \|_1 \leq 1 \iff |x_1| + |x_2| \leq 1 \iff \begin{cases} x_1 + x_2 \leq 1 \\ x_1 - x_2 \leq 1 \\ -x_1 + x_2 \leq 1 \\ -x_1 - x_2 \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

Thus, the set of extreme points in the $n = 2$ case is given by $\{e_1, -e_1, e_2, -e_2\}$, where e_i is a vector with all zeros, except for the i -th entry, which has value 1. In the general case, the set becomes $\{e_1, -e_1, \dots, e_n, -e_n\}$.

We now look at the value of the objective function at the extreme points. By definition of ℓ_1 norm, we have

$$\|A e_j\|_1 = \|A (-e_j)\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^m |A_{i,j}|$$

and so

$$\|A\|_{1,1} = \max_{j=1,2,\dots,n} \|A e_j\|_1 = \max_{j=1,2,\dots,n} \sum_{i=1}^m |A_{i,j}|.$$

4) Determine whether the set $C = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \min_i x_i \leq 1\}$ is convex.

In the case $n = 1$, C is the left closed half-line originating from 1, hence C is convex. In the case $n = 2$, C is given by the union of the two half-planes defined by $C_1 = \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x_1 \leq 1\}$ and $C_2 = \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x_2 \leq 1\}$. We can show that C is a non-convex set by proving that a convex combination between two points in C is not in the set:

$$x^* = (2, 1), \quad y^* = (1, 2) \in C \not\Rightarrow \lambda x^* + (1 - \lambda) y^* := z^* \in C$$

as for $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ we have $z^* = (\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2})$.

5) Determine whether the following function is convex:

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \in K \\ \|x\|_2 - 1 & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases} \quad \text{where} \quad K = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x\|_2 \leq 1\}.$$



We start by noting that K is the unit ℓ_2 -ball. Then, g is the distance function to K :

$$g(\mathbf{x}) = \min_{\mathbf{y} \in K} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_2.$$

We conclude that g is convex because it is the distance function to a convex set.

6) Show that the following function is convex over \mathbb{R}_{++}^n :

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \ln(x_i) - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \ln \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right).$$

We first consider the case $n = 2$, in which $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{x}) &= x_1 \ln(x_1) + x_2 \ln(x_2) - (x_1 + x_2) \ln(x_1 + x_2) \\ &= x_1 \ln(x_1) + x_2 \ln(x_2) - x_1 \ln(x_1 + x_2) - x_2 \ln(x_1 + x_2) \\ &= x_1 \left(\ln(x_1) - \ln(x_1 + x_2) \right) + x_2 \left(\ln(x_2) - \ln(x_1 + x_2) \right) \\ &= x_1 \ln \left(\frac{x_1}{x_1 + x_2} \right) + x_2 \ln \left(\frac{x_2}{x_1 + x_2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

In the general case, we can rewrite f as

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \ln \left(\frac{x_i}{\sum_{k=1}^n x_k} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n h_i(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{for } h_i(\mathbf{x}) = x_i \ln \left(\frac{x_i}{\sum_{k=1}^n x_k} \right).$$

We now need to show that the functions $h_i(\mathbf{x})$ are convex. Consider the change of variables

$$\mathbf{x} \mapsto (u, v) \quad \text{where} \quad u = x_i, \quad v = \sum_{k=1}^n x_k.$$

Then, we can write h_i as

$$\varphi(u, v) = u \ln \left(\frac{u}{v} \right)$$

for which we can check convexity through the Hessian.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial u} &= \ln \left(\frac{u}{v} \right) + u \cdot \frac{v}{u} \cdot \frac{1}{v} = \ln(u) - \ln(v), & \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial v} &= -\frac{u}{v} \\ \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial u^2} &= \frac{1}{u}, & \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial v^2} &= \frac{u}{v^2}, & \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial u \partial v} &= -\frac{1}{v} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \nabla^2 \varphi = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{u} & -\frac{1}{v} \\ -\frac{1}{v} & \frac{u}{v^2} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{Det}(\nabla^2 \varphi) = \frac{1}{v^2} - \frac{1}{v^2} = 0, \quad \text{Tr}(\nabla^2 \varphi) = \frac{1}{u} + \frac{u}{v^2} > 0,$$

where the positiveness of the trace is given by $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^n$.

To conclude, φ is convex, and so are the h_i 's, as they are compositions of convex functions with linear transformation. Furthermore, f is convex as it is the sum of convex functions.

