

UNIVERSITY OF LONDON
BSc and MSci EXAMINATIONS (MATHEMATICS)
May-June 2006

This paper is also taken for the relevant examination for the Associateship.

M3N9/M4N9

Finite Difference Methods for Partial Differential Equations

Date: Tuesday, 9th May 2006 Time: 2 pm – 4 pm

Credit will be given for all questions attempted but extra credit will be given for complete or nearly complete answers.

Calculators may not be used.

1. A three level difference scheme for approximating

$$u_t = u_{xx} \quad t > 0, \\ -\infty < x < \infty, \\ u(x, 0) = u^0(x),$$

on the uniform grid $(j\Delta x, n\Delta t)$ is

$$\frac{U_j^{n+1} - U_j^{n-1}}{2\Delta t} + \frac{(\Delta x)^2}{12} \left[\frac{U_j^{n+1} - 2U_j^n + U_j^{n-1}}{(\Delta t)^2} \right] = \frac{U_{j+1}^n - 2U_j^n + U_{j-1}^n}{(\Delta x)^2},$$

where U_j^n is the generated approximation to $u(j\Delta x, n\Delta t)$.

(i) Show that the truncation error of this scheme is

$$O((\Delta x)^6) \quad \text{if } \Delta t = (\Delta x)^2/(60)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ O((\Delta t)^2 + (\Delta x)^4) \quad \text{otherwise.}$$

(ii) Use Fourier analysis to find a necessary condition on Δt for the scheme to be stable and have no growth.

You may use the result that the roots z_i of the quadratic $z^2 + p z + q = 0$, with $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$, satisfy $|z_i| \leq 1$, $i = 1, 2$, if and only if $|q| \leq 1$ and $|p| \leq 1 + q$.

2. A numerical solution to the two dimensional heat equation

$$u_t = u_{xx} + u_{yy} \quad 0 < x, y < 1, \quad t > 0,$$

with boundary conditions

$$u(x, 0, t) = u(x, 1, t) = u(0, y, t) = u(1, y, t) = 0 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq x, y \leq 1, \quad t > 0,$$

and initial condition

$$u(x, y, 0) = u^0(x, y) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq x, y \leq 1;$$

is to be computed on the uniform mesh $(jh, kh, n\Delta t)$ with $Jh = 1$.

Consider the interior difference schemes

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a)} \quad U_{j,k}^{n+1} &= (1 + r \delta_x^2 + r \delta_y^2) U_{j,k}^n, & j, k &= 1 \rightarrow J - 1, \quad n \geq 0; \\ \text{(b)} \quad U_{j,k}^{n+1} &= (1 + r \delta_x^2) (1 + r \delta_y^2) U_{j,k}^n, \end{aligned}$$

where $U_{j,k}^n$ is the generated approximation to $u(jh, kh, n\Delta t)$, $r = \Delta t/h^2$,

$$\delta_x^2 U_{j,k}^n \equiv U_{j-1,k}^n - 2U_{j,k}^n + U_{j+1,k}^n \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_y^2 U_{j,k}^n \equiv U_{j,k-1}^n - 2U_{j,k}^n + U_{j,k+1}^n.$$

- (i) For each scheme find an upper bound on r such that for all $n \geq 0$

$$\max_{0 \leq j, k \leq J} |U_{j,k}^{n+1}| \leq \max_{0 \leq j, k \leq J} |U_{j,k}^n|,$$

and hence state a sufficient condition for the scheme to be stable. Compare these stability conditions with those obtained using Fourier analysis.

- (ii) Write down the corresponding difference schemes for the three dimensional heat equation and obtain stability conditions using Fourier analysis.

3. A numerical solution to the coupled system

$$\begin{aligned} v_t &= -w_{xx} & 0 < x < 1, \quad t > 0, \\ w_t &= v_{xx} \end{aligned}$$

with boundary conditions

$$v(0, t) = v(1, t) = w(0, t) = w(1, t) = 0 \quad t > 0,$$

and initial conditions

$$v(x, 0) = v^0(x), \quad w(x, 0) = w^0(x) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq x \leq 1;$$

is to be computed on the uniform mesh $(j\Delta x, n\Delta t)$ with $J\Delta x = 1$.

Consider the θ -method

$$\begin{aligned} V_j^{n+1} - V_j^n &= -r [\theta \delta^2 W_j^{n+1} + (1 - \theta) \delta^2 W_j^n], & j = 1 \rightarrow J - 1, \quad n \geq 0; \\ W_j^{n+1} - W_j^n &= r [(1 - \theta) \delta^2 V_j^{n+1} + \theta \delta^2 V_j^n] \end{aligned}$$

where V_j^n and W_j^n are the generated approximations to $v(j\Delta x, n\Delta t)$ and $w(j\Delta x, n\Delta t)$, $\theta \in [0, 1]$, $r = \Delta t / (\Delta x)^2$, and $\delta^2 V_j^n \equiv V_{j-1}^n - 2V_j^n + V_{j+1}^n$.

- (i) For what values of θ can the scheme be considered explicit?
- (ii) Show that the amplification matrix, $G(\Delta t, \Delta x; k)$, for the scheme is

$$\frac{1}{1 + \mu^2 \theta (1 - \theta)} \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \mu^2 \theta^2 & \mu \\ -\mu & 1 - \mu^2 (1 - \theta)^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\mu = 2r(1 - \cos \xi)$ and $\xi = k\Delta x$.

- (iii) Show that the characteristic polynomial of $G(\Delta t, \Delta x; k)$ is

$$\lambda^2 - b\lambda + 1, \quad \text{where} \quad b = \frac{2 - \mu^2 [\theta^2 + (1 - \theta)^2]}{1 + \mu^2 \theta (1 - \theta)}.$$

- (iv) Find a necessary condition for the scheme to be stable and have no growth.
- (v) Show that $G(\Delta t, \Delta x; k)$ is orthogonal if $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$, and hence in this case show that the scheme is unconditionally stable with no growth.

4. A numerical solution to the two dimensional convection equation

$$\begin{aligned} u_t + a u_x + b u_y &= 0 \quad t > 0, \\ u(x, y, 0) &= u^0(x, y), \end{aligned}$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, is to be approximated on the uniform grid $(jh, kh, n\Delta t)$.

Consider the following schemes

(a) The Crank-Nicolson scheme:

$$(1 + \frac{q_1}{2} \Delta_x + \frac{q_2}{2} \Delta_y) U_{j,k}^{n+1} = (1 - \frac{q_1}{2} \Delta_x - \frac{q_2}{2} \Delta_y) U_{j,k}^n,$$

where $q_1 = a \Delta t / h$, $q_2 = b \Delta t / h$,

$$\Delta_x U_{j,k}^n \equiv \frac{1}{2} (U_{j+1,k}^n - U_{j-1,k}^n) \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_y U_{j,k}^n \equiv \frac{1}{2} (U_{j,k+1}^n - U_{j,k-1}^n);$$

(b) The ADI scheme:

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + \frac{q_1}{2} \Delta_x) U_{j,k}^{n+1,*} &= (1 - \frac{q_1}{2} \Delta_x - q_2 \Delta_y) U_{j,k}^n, \\ (1 + \frac{q_2}{2} \Delta_y) U_{j,k}^{n+1} &= (1 - \frac{q_1}{2} \Delta_x - \frac{q_2}{2} \Delta_y) U_{j,k}^n - \frac{q_1}{2} \Delta_x U_{j,k}^{n+1,*}. \end{aligned}$$

Show that the ADI scheme can be rewritten as

$$(1 + \frac{q_1}{2} \Delta_x) (1 + \frac{q_2}{2} \Delta_y) U_{j,k}^{n+1} = (1 - \frac{q_1}{2} \Delta_x) (1 - \frac{q_2}{2} \Delta_y) U_{j,k}^n.$$

Hence show that both the schemes (a) and (b) are unconditionally stable and have a truncation error of $O((\Delta t)^2 + h^2)$.

What is the advantage of the ADI scheme over the Crank-Nicolson scheme ?

5. Show that the upwind scheme on the uniform grid $(j\Delta x, n\Delta t)$ for the one dimensional convection equation

$$\begin{aligned} u_t + a u_x &= 0 \quad t > 0, \\ &\quad -\infty < x < \infty, \\ u(x, 0) &= u^0(x), \end{aligned}$$

with $a \in \mathbb{R}$, can be written as

$$U_j^{n+1} = \frac{s}{2} (b + a) U_{j-1}^n + (1 - s b) U_j^n + \frac{s}{2} (b - a) U_{j+1}^n,$$

where U_j^n is the generated approximation to $u(j\Delta x, n\Delta t)$, $b = |a|$ and $s = \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x}$. Find a condition, involving b and s , that is necessary and sufficient for stability.

Let $\underline{u}(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^p$ satisfy the system

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{u}_t + A \underline{u}_x &= \underline{0} \quad t > 0, \\ &\quad -\infty < x < \infty, \\ \underline{u}(x, 0) &= \underline{u}^0(x), \end{aligned}$$

where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$ has p real eigenvalues with p linearly independent eigenvectors. By diagonalising, generalise the upwind scheme to the system above to obtain

$$\underline{U}_j^{n+1} = \frac{s}{2} (B + A) \underline{U}_{j-1}^n + (I - s B) \underline{U}_j^n + \frac{s}{2} (B - A) \underline{U}_{j+1}^n,$$

where \underline{U}_j^n is the generated approximation to $\underline{u}(j\Delta x, n\Delta t)$, $I \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$ is the identity matrix and $B \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$ is a matrix which you are required to define carefully.

Under what circumstances is $B \pm A = 0$?

What is a necessary and sufficient condition for stability of this scheme ?