

Midterm Solutions
MATH50011 Statistical Modelling 1

1. Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample, where X_1 has density $f_\theta(x) = \theta(x+1)^{-(\theta+1)}$ for $x \geq 0$ and unknown parameter $\theta > 0$. Denote by F_θ the cumulative distribution function of X_1 . All the regularity conditions are satisfied in this case.

- (a) Show that $F_\theta(X_1) \sim \text{Uniform}(0, 1)$. (2 marks)

Solution: For every $y \in (0, 1)$ we have that

$$P(F_\theta(X_1) \leq y) = P(X_1 \leq F_\theta^{-1}(y)) = F_\theta(F_\theta^{-1}(y)) = y.$$

Hence, $F_\theta(X_1) \sim \text{Uniform}(0, 1)$.

- (b) Consider the random variable $Z = -2 \log(1 - F_\theta(X_1))$. Show that $Z \sim \chi_2^2$. (*Hint: recall that the density of a χ_2^2 is given by $f(z) = \frac{1}{2}e^{-\frac{z}{2}}$ for $z \geq 0$ and $f(z) = 0$ for $z < 0$*) (2 marks)

Solution:

$$P(Z \leq z) = P(-2 \log(1 - F_\theta(X_1)) \leq z) = P(F_\theta(X_1) \leq 1 - e^{-\frac{z}{2}}) = 1 - e^{-\frac{z}{2}},$$

which is the distribution of a χ_2^2 .

- (c) Using the random sample and the result in point (b) construct a 95% confidence interval for θ . (For this question you do not need to write the critical values of the pivotal distribution explicitly). (4 marks)

Solution: First, we have that $-2 \sum_{i=1}^n \log(1 - F_\theta(X_i)) \sim \chi_{2n}^2$. Moreover,

$$F(x) = \theta \int_0^x (t+1)^{-(\theta+1)} dt = \theta \int_1^{x+1} u^{-(\theta+1)} du = 1 - (x+1)^{-\theta}.$$

Hence, we have

$$-2 \sum_{i=1}^n \log(1 - F_\theta(X_i)) = 2\theta \sum_{i=1}^n \log(X_i + 1) \sim \chi_{2n}^2.$$

Therefore, the (exact) 95% CI for θ is:

$$\left(\frac{k_{2n,0.025}}{2 \sum_{i=1}^n \log(X_i + 1)}, \frac{k_{2n,0.975}}{2 \sum_{i=1}^n \log(X_i + 1)} \right),$$

where $k_{2n,\alpha}$ indicate the critical values of χ_{2n}^2 , namely the α quantiles of χ_{2n}^2 .

- (d) Compute the MLE for θ . Is the computed MLE an unbiased estimator of θ ? (4 marks)

Solution: The likelihood and the log likelihood are given by

$$L(\theta) = \theta^n \prod_{i=1}^n (x_i + 1)^{-(\theta+1)}, \quad \text{and} \quad \ell(\theta) = n \log(\theta) - (\theta+1) \sum_{i=1}^n \log(x_i + 1)$$

and so the first and second derivative of the log likelihood are given by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ell(\theta) = \frac{n}{\theta} - \sum_{i=1}^n \log(x_i + 1), \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \ell(\theta) = -\frac{n}{\theta^2}$$

By equating the first derivative to zero and by observing that the second derivative is always strictly negative (recall the parameter space is $(0, \infty)$) we obtain that the MLE is given by

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n \log(X_i + 1)}.$$

Using that the regularity conditions hold we obtain that $E_\theta[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} I(\theta, X)] = 0$, here X stands for (X_1, \dots, X_n) . This is a result we have seen in the lectures and is obtained as follows

$$E_\theta[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} I(\theta, X)] = E[\frac{f'_\theta(X)}{f_\theta(X)}] = \int \frac{f'_\theta(x)}{f_\theta(x)} f_\theta(x) dx = \int f'_\theta(x) dx = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \int f_\theta(x) dx = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} 1 = 0.$$

Hence, we have that

$$0 = E_\theta[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} I(\theta, X)] = E_\theta[\frac{n}{\theta} - \sum_{i=1}^n \log(X_i + 1)] = \frac{n}{\theta} - E_\theta[\sum_{i=1}^n \log(X_i + 1)],$$

which implies that $E_\theta[\sum_{i=1}^n \log(X_i + 1)] = \frac{n}{\theta}$. Then, by Jensen inequality

$$E_\theta[\hat{\theta}] = E_\theta\left[\frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n \log(X_i + 1)}\right] \neq \frac{n}{E_\theta[\sum_{i=1}^n \log(X_i + 1)]} = \theta.$$

Hence, the MLE is biased.

- (e) Using the result in point (d) build an (approximate) rejection region for the α level test for $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$ vs $H_1 : \theta \neq \theta_0$, for some $\theta_0 \in (0, \infty)$. (2 marks)

Solution: Using the Fisher information identity, the Fisher information is given by $I(\theta) = -E[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \ell(\theta)] = \frac{1}{\theta^2}$. Then, by the asymptotic normality of the MLE we have that $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \theta_0^2)$ and by Slutsky lemma that $\sqrt{n}(\frac{\hat{\theta}}{\theta_0} - 1) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1)$. Thus, the approximate CI is

$$\left(\frac{\hat{\theta}}{1 + \frac{c_{\alpha/2}}{\sqrt{n}}}, \frac{\hat{\theta}}{1 - \frac{c_{\alpha/2}}{\sqrt{n}}} \right), \text{ that is } \left(\frac{n}{(1 + \frac{c_{\alpha/2}}{\sqrt{n}}) \sum_{i=1}^n \log(x_i + 1)}, \frac{n}{(1 - \frac{c_{\alpha/2}}{\sqrt{n}}) \sum_{i=1}^n \log(x_i + 1)} \right)$$

and so the approximate rejection region is

$$\left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in [0, \infty)^n : \theta_0 \notin \left(\frac{n}{(1 + \frac{c_{\alpha/2}}{\sqrt{n}}) \sum_{i=1}^n \log(x_i + 1)}, \frac{n}{(1 - \frac{c_{\alpha/2}}{\sqrt{n}}) \sum_{i=1}^n \log(x_i + 1)} \right) \right\}.$$

Alternatively, it is possible to use the consistency of the MLE to obtain that $\sqrt{n}(1 - \frac{\theta_0}{\hat{\theta}}) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1)$, which leads to the CI:

$$\left(\hat{\theta}\left(1 - \frac{c_{\alpha/2}}{\sqrt{n}}\right), \hat{\theta}\left(1 + \frac{c_{\alpha/2}}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \right).$$

2. Let X_1, \dots, X_n and Y_1, \dots, Y_n be two random samples with $E[X_1] = E[Y_1] = 0$ and unknown variances. Assume that all moments exist.

- (a) Show that $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2$ is an asymptotically normal estimator for $\text{Var}(X_1)$. (2 marks)

Solution: since $E[X_1] = 0$, we have $\text{Var}(X_1) = E[X_1^2]$. Then, by CLT we get that $\sqrt{n}(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 - \text{Var}(X_1)) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \text{Var}(X_1^2))$.

- (b) Build an (approximate) rejection region for the α level test for $H_0 : (\text{Var}(X_1), \text{Var}(Y_1)) = (\theta_1, \theta_2)$ vs $H_1 : (\text{Var}(X_1), \text{Var}(Y_1)) \neq (\theta_1, \theta_2)$, for some $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in [0, \infty)$. (2 marks)

Solution: Since $\text{Var}(X_1^2) = E[X_1^4] - E[X_1^2]^2$, a consistent estimator for $\text{Var}(X_1^2)$ is $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^4 - (\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2)^2$, which we denote by $\hat{\tau}^2$. Then, we have that

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 - \text{Var}(X_1))}{\hat{\tau}} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1)$$

and so the approximate $1 - \alpha$ CI for $\text{Var}(X_1)$ is

$$\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 - \frac{c_{\alpha/2} \hat{\tau}}{\sqrt{n}}, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 + \frac{c_{\alpha/2} \hat{\tau}}{\sqrt{n}} \right),$$

which we denote it by $I_{\alpha/2}$. The same applies to $\text{Var}(Y_1)$ and we denote its $1 - \alpha$ CI by $J_{\alpha/2}$. By the Bonferroni correction we have that the $1 - \alpha$ confidence region for $(\text{Var}(X_1), \text{Var}(Y_1))$ is $I_{\alpha/4} \times J_{\alpha/4}$. Thus, the approximate rejection region is

$$\{(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} : (\theta_1, \theta_2) \notin I_{\alpha/4} \times J_{\alpha/4}\}.$$

- (c) How would your answer in point (b) change if we assume that $X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_n$ are all independent? (2 marks)

Solution: In this case the $1 - \alpha$ confidence region for $(\text{Var}(X_1), \text{Var}(Y_1))$ is $I_{\beta/2} \times J_{\beta/2}$, where β is such that $(1 - \beta)^2 = 1 - \alpha$, hence $\beta = 1 - \sqrt{1 - \alpha}$. Thus, the rejection region becomes

$$\{(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} : (\theta_1, \theta_2) \notin I_{\beta/2} \times J_{\beta/2}\}.$$

Since $\beta > \alpha/2$, the confidence region becomes smaller and so the rejection region becomes larger. Thus, we tend to reject the null hypothesis more often.

(Total 20 marks)