Table of Contents

Introduction	1
1. What is a date and datetime exactly?	
1. What is a date and datetime exactly.	••••
2. Dates and real data	4
3. Does data have a sense of history2	5
What is auto-correlation?	5
4. How to forget: differencing a time-series	8
5. Putting our new knowledge to work: cross correlation	9

Introduction

In this session we will tackle the issue of dates and time-series.

Time-series are everywhere in environmental data. At its most basic time-series data consist of two columns (vectors) of data. The first is the date (time) column (plotted as X-axis on graph) and the second column is the variable of interest that changes as a function of time.

There are two things we should keep in mind when working with time-series data. Firstly it is easiest to get dates into the correct datetime format as soon as possible, this way we can let the computer do the hard work when it comes to plotting etc. Secondly, we should keep in mind is that there are any number of data analysis and statistical methods specifically designed for time-series data. There are also caveats related to using standard statistical techniques (e.g. linear regression) to time-series data, so watch out for these!

In this session we will explain how R handles dates, and we will also look at auto-correlation and cross-correlation of time-series, which are two concepts that are of great importance in time-series analysis and find application in a number of different places (e.g. Durbin Watson statistic, ARIMA models, eddy co-variance calculations etc).

Introduction 1

1. What is a date and datetime exactly?

The simplest representation of dates in R, is the suitably named *date* object. You can create one of these by converting a character of correct form. Use the *as.Date()* function to convert *a.great.date.char* to *a.great.R.date*

```
a.great.char.date <- "2017-10-28"
typeof(a.great.char.date)

## [1] "character"

print(a.great.char.date)

## [1] "2017-10-28"

a.great.R.date <- as.Date(a.great.char.date)
print(a.great.R.date)

## [1] "2017-10-28"</pre>
```

You can also use the *Sys.Date()* function to print the current date

Date and character variables look similar, so why don't we just use the character representation "2017-10-28" rather than the special *date* object? One example of the usefulness of dates is the fact that we can perform arithmetic with dates. Try it out; what is the difference between the date today *Sys.Date()* and *a.great.R.date*?

```
time.diff = Sys.Date() - a.great.R.date
print(time.diff)
## Time difference of 478 days
```

We can use a similar function to Sys.Date print out the current time and date

```
Sys.time()
## [1] "2019-02-18 10:41:40 EET"
```

This is referred to as a datetime object, and is the main object type that we will deal with. Datetime objects contains date, time and also specifies the local timezone. Let's inspect a datetime object to find out what we are dealing with:

```
just.before <- Sys.time()
str(just.before)
## POSIXct[1:1], format: "2019-02-18 10:41:40"</pre>
```

In R, datetimes are either categorised as *POSIXct* or *POSIXlt*, which are the different methods R uses to store the information.

Can you convert *just.before* from *POSIXct* to a *POSIXlt*?:

So what's the difference then?

POSIXIt stores dates as lists (remember those?). Whereas POSIXct stores dates as the number of seconds that have elapsed since a particular date (1 January 1970, which you can read all about here the unix Epoch).

So when to use which? In all honesty I am not 100% sure. However as POSIXct takes less memory and is somewhat simpler then perhaps that is the preferred option.

2. Dates and real data

Let's see how we would read in some dates using real data. We have used this data before, but as a reminder GPP is an estimate of CO2 exchange of tree canopies:

```
gpp<-read.csv('../data/gppsmeardata_20160101120000.csv',header = T,sep = ',',dec='.')</pre>
head (gpp)
  Year Month Day Hour Minute Second HYY_EDDY233.GPP
## 1 2016 1 1 0 0 0 0.430
## 2 2016
          1 1 0
                       30
                              0
                                        0.318
          1 1 0 30
1 1 1 0
1 1 1 30
## 3 2016
                             0
                                        -0.219
## 4 2016
                                        0.220
          1 1 2 0
1 1 2 30
## 5 2016
                        0
                             0
                                        0.123
## 6 2016
                                         0.478
```

Our task is to take the various columns of date and time information and convert these to a vector of type *datetime*. Let's go step by step, we will start with a new character vector of date:

```
date.char<-paste(gpp$Year,gpp$Month,gpp$Day,sep = '-')</pre>
```

do the same for time, but this time set the *sep=:*:

combine the two, and convert to POSIXc datetime:

```
gpp$datetime<-as.POSIXct(paste(date.char,time.char,sep = ' '))
## Error in paste(date.char, time.char, sep = " "): object 'time.char' not found</pre>
```

we can also add the date (without the time) as a separate column, which will be useful for plotting later on:

To make our life easier in the following selection we will use daily data. We can use *aggregate()* to calculate daily median values:

```
gpp.daily <- aggregate(HYY_EDDY233.GPP ~ date, gpp, median)
## Error in model.frame.default(formula = HYY_EDDY233.GPP ~ date, data = gpp): invalid type (closhead(gpp.daily)
## Error in head(gpp.daily): object 'gpp.daily' not found</pre>
```

Let's use this data in the following sections...

2. Dates and real data 4

3. Does data have a sense of history?

A key aspect of analysing time-series data is understanding and dealing with history (or memory). History in the context of time-series refers to how current values (or future values) depend on past values. This is seen as trends in behaviour over time, and referred to as *non-stationary* in math jargon. Likewise *stationary* data has no trend, and both the mean and variability (variance) remains constant. Running a regression model with two non-stationary time-series can often lead to highly inflated R2 value, this is a common problem in economics and is known as <u>spurious regression</u>.

So how about our GPP data, is there a trend in time? As always, let's start with a graph...

When we graph time-series data, we can use the *scales* package to make our life easier.

```
library(ggplot2)
library(scales)
```

We then use the *scale_x_date* function from scales to format the x-axis in 1 day time steps :

It is impossible to read the x-axis here, so let's increase the time-step to more days, you can also change the *date_format argument* to %b-%d as well if you like:

Once we identify history in our data then we can start to do interesting things; we might attempt to remove the dependence (by differencing), and we can also look at auto-correlation...

What is auto-correlation?

In simple terms auto-correlation is the correlation between a variable and itself shifted in time. The shift in time is referred to as the *lag*. Typically we compute auto-correlation for a whole range of lags, and then plot the output as an **auto-correlation function**, which is a plot of lag Vs correlation value. Confused? well let's walk through a manual example using our GPP data.

Lagging a variable simply shifts it in time. We can do that for our GPP data here and plot against the original time-series (which is shortened to the same length). Let's lag by 30 days and plot the output:

```
lag <- 30
n <- length(gpp.daily$HYY_EDDY233.GPP)

## Error in eval(expr, envir, enclos): object 'gpp.daily' not found

gpp.lag <- gpp.daily$HYY_EDDY233.GPP[1:(n-lag)]

## Error in eval(expr, envir, enclos): object 'gpp.daily' not found

gpp.short <- gpp.daily$HYY_EDDY233.GPP[(1+lag):n]</pre>
```

Auto-correlation is the correlation between these two variables (the time-series and its lagged version). The following function *lags* data and works out the correlation:

```
lag.corr <- function(ts, lag) {
    a <- ts-mean(ts)
    n <- length(a)
    b <- a[1:(n-lag)]
    c <- a[(1+lag):n]
    # this line is similar to cor function
    sum(b * c)/sum(a^2)
}</pre>
```

note that we do not use the built-in *cor* function on our lagged data to work out correlation, because the strict definition means that we use this alternative method instead. Click <u>here</u> to find out about the differences and see where the function came from.

What's the GPP auto-correlation at 30 days?

How about lag=0?

that is equivalent to calculating the correlation of a time-series with itself!

There is no need to do compute all lag values manually, we can use the function *acf()* to automatically graph the autocorrelation function, just set the *lag.max* parameter to sensible value (maximum number of days),try it out on the midday *gpp* data:

You can see that for a whole bunch of lag values then we have significant correlation, this means (as is somewhat obvious) that our data shows auto-correlation i.e. trends over time.

Let's double check our manual calculations from before:

```
lag.corr(gpp.daily$HYY_EDDY233.GPP,25)
## Error in lag.corr(gpp.daily$HYY_EDDY233.GPP, 25): object 'gpp.daily' not found
```

```
z[25]
```

```
## Error in eval(expr, envir, enclos): object 'z' not found
```

So when might we use this result? Well zero auto-correlation of residuals is an assumption of linear regression models. In fact the <u>Durbin Watson</u> statistic specifically tests for this.

4. How to forget: differencing a time-series

Differencing a time-series can be used to reduce auto-correlation. It is a simple idea, we just take the difference between adjacent values. We use the *diff* function in R to do this.

Try it out below:

Note that the length of gpp.diff is one less than the the length of the original GPP time-series. Let's add a NA so we can fit it into the original dataframe, and plot the output:

let's see what the acf plot looks like (note that we have to use the gpp.diff variable here not the dataframe, as acf fails for vectors with NA):

Notice how different this *acf* plot is to the undifferenced data? The rapid fall-off in the acf means that our data no longer remembers so much of its history.

So is the difference time-series stationary? well the mean stays constant, but the variabilty (variance) is still a funcion of time. So we cannot say that our time-series is stationary in variance. Transforming data (using e.g. square root) is typically used for dealing with changing variance, see here.

If you're working on time-series data, try differencing it and removing the trend to avoid the curse of spurious correlations.

5. Putting our new knowledge to work: cross correlation.

What we have done so far is a little theoretical, how can we use this information to learn something about our data? For that we need a theory...

In the boreal regions we think that (air) temperature is really a key variable that precedes GPP seasonality. Therefore it is reasonable to assume that GPP lags temperature by some number of days. Can we show this? Can we even work out an estimate of the lag?

Let's load some temperature data:

There are some missing values in our temperature data, let's fill them in using interpolation before we move onto our next bit of analysis:

```
library(zoo)

##
## Attaching package: 'zoo'

## The following objects are masked from 'package:base':
##
## as.Date, as.Date.numeric

T168$HYY_META.T168i <- na.approx(T168$HYY_META.T168)

sum(is.na(T168$HYY_META.T168))

## [1] 266

sum(is.na(T168$HYY_META.T168i))

## [1] 0</pre>
```

Extract daily values, like our GPP above. You try out maximum for this (rather than median). Name the output *T168.daily*.

I have used a shortcut here and set the Temperature date vector to the same as the gpp, because these two time-series have the same length and averaging period.

```
T168$date <-gpp$date
```

```
# Use aggregate function to calculate T168.daily
#head(T168.daily)
```

When we plot GPP and temperature together, what do we see?

Now here comes the new bit, where we apply *cross-correlation*. Cross-correlation is just like auto-correlation, only we calculate lags between two *different* variables, at differing lags. This allows us to estimate the lag at which maximum correlation occurs.

Finally, time to try out the ccf and find out if GPP lags temperature:

Now this is a confusing picture. From these results is appears that temperature actually follows photosynthesis! How about if select just the spring recovery period?

Now try the ccf on the spring recovery data:

Now that is more like it! The positive values imply that GPP lags temperature during the spring, and there is also a secondary peak visible.