Master thesis

Work Distribution of a Heterogeneous Library Staff - A Personnel Task Scheduling Problem

Claes Arvidson, Emelie Karlsson Lith - Mat - EX - - 04 / 04 - - SE

Work Distribution of a Heterogeneous Library Staff - A Personnel Task Scheduling Problem

Optimeringslära, Linköpings Universitet

Claes Arvidson, Emelie Karlsson

LiTH - MAT - EX - - 04 / 04 - - SE

Exam work: 30 hp

Level: ${\bf A}$

Supervisor: T. Larsson,

Optimeringslära, Linköpings Universitet

Examiner: E. Rönnberg,

Optimeringslära, Linköpings Universitet

Linköping: June 2016

Abstract

Here is where you can write your abstract. It may be very long, or it may be very short, the reason you have an abstract is for people not to be forced to read lots of crap.

But still, they will have to read your abstract. After all, the abstract is what everyone reads. . .

Keywords: Keyword One, Chemostat, Another Key-Word, Key, Clé, Mot de cle, Nyckelhål, XBOX, Dagens viktigaste nyckelord, and Keywords.

URL for electronic version:

http://urn.kb.se/resolve?urn=urn:nbn:se:liu:diva-77777

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my supervisor, I would like to thank my supervisor, I would like to thank my supervisor...

I also have to thank, I would like to thank my supervisor, I would like to thank my supervisor, I would like to thank my supervisor...

My opponent NN also deserves my thanks, I would like to thank my supervisor, I would like to thank my supervisor. I would like to thank my supervisor. . . .

Nomenclature

Most of the reoccurring definitions, symbols and abbreviations are described here.

Definitions

Plocklista Text Library on wheels Text

Symbols

- Y_0 The amount of the variable Y inserted into a system.
- \hat{Y} The unit-dimension of the variable Y, for example $\hat{t} = 1s$.
- \bar{Y}_i A steady state (number i) value of Y.
- K_i Constants used in kinetic expressions, for example K_I .
- A The system matrix.

Abbreviations

 $\begin{array}{ccc} \operatorname{Exp} & \operatorname{Text} \\ \operatorname{Info} & \operatorname{Text} \\ \operatorname{PL} & \operatorname{Text} \\ \operatorname{PTSP} & \operatorname{Text} \\ \operatorname{SMPTSP} & \operatorname{Text} \end{array}$

CPI Competitive Product Inhibition (or Inhibited)
CSI Competitive Substrate Inhibition (or Inhibited)

CSTR Continuous Stirred Tank (bio)Reactor MMI Michaelis-Menten Inhibition (or Inhibited)

Contents

1	\mathbf{Intr}	roduction	1
	1.1	Background	1
	1.2	Problem description	1
		1.2.1 Main objectives	1
		1.2.2 Requirements on weekday activities	1
		1.2.3 Requirements on weekend activities	1
		1.2.4 Diversity in skill	2
		1.2.5 Personnel availability and task assignment limitations	2
		1.2.6 Inner work	2
	1.3	Problem categorization	3
2	$\operatorname{Lit}\epsilon$	erature review	5
	2.1	Tour Scheduling Problem with a heterogenous work force	5
	2.2	Personnel Task Scheduling Problem	6
		2.2.1 Applications	8
	2.3	Shift Minimisation Personnel Task Scheduling Problem	8
	2.4	Other similar problems	9
	2.5	Work load allocation and worker satisfaction	10
	2.6	Methods	10
		2.6.1 TSP with inhom workforce	10
3	Imp	plementation insights	11
4	The	e ideal CSTR: the chemostat	13
	4.1	Some simple models of biological growth	13
		4.1.1 Exponential growth	13
		4.1.2 The logistic equation	13
	4.2	The chemostat	13
\mathbf{A}	The	e Linearized stability	17
	Δ 1	The Linearization	17

xii Contents

List of Figures

List of Tables

1.1	Personnel	3
1.2	Outer and inner services	3
1.3	Requirements	3
2.1	PTSP variants	7

xiv List of Tables

Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Background

At a library absence can cause problems, both due to lack of personnel as well as due to the qualifications required to perform a task varies. If a worker were to be unavailable a day because of a meeting or being ill it would require for a stand-in to fill the vacancy. Therefore, it is of great interest to have a schedule with as many skilled stand-ins as possible to overcome such disturbances. Furthermore, the library personnel have certain demands and preferences as to how a satisfactory working schedule should be. For instance, it is neither preferable to work more than one evening each week nor work more weekends than required.

1.2 Problem description

1.2.1 Main objectives

Main objective is to create a schedule with as many stand-ins as possible, so that all days have a maximum amount of stand-ins. Diversity during the week and repetivity of the schedule each week is also desired.

1.2.2 Requirements on weekday activities

During weekdays, there is a worker demand at the stations Exp, Info, Plocklista and Library on wheels. ¡TABLE OF DEMAND¿. Plocklista is unique in the sense that its duration is longer than one shift. It is modeled as the three first shifts of a weekday.

In addition there is the Library on wheels, which has a different demand of workers depending on odd and even weeks.

1.2.3 Requirements on weekend activities

There are three different weekend stations: Exp, Info and Hageby. Working a weekend also means working friday unless you are scheduled to work in Hageby.

1.2.4 Diversity in skill

There are essentially two types of workers in a library. Librarians and assistants. The competence of the workers looks as follows: ¡TABLE OF DIFFERENT COMPETENCES;

A subset of the librarians can handle the Library on wheels and all are expected to take shifts at Hageby.

1.2.5 Personnel availability and task assignment limitations

The staff at the library have certain times of availability. Every day, a person can perform at maximum one task. Plocklistan is limited to once a week.

Personnel is only allowed to work one weekend out of five. The following week, the worker is free on the times requested by the worker. Also, some workers have specific

1.2.6 Inner work

The goal of this thesis is to distribute given tasks to the heterogeneous workforce at the library of Norrköping. Each task is either classified as an outer or an inner service where an outer service is when a librarian needs to interact with visitors. Inner services can in some rare cases require a predetermined person to be assigned to a specified time or day.

Demands and requests are to be fulfilled to the furthest extent possible. Weekends are included in the scheduling problem, which adds more constraints regarding the number of contiguous working days. However, the librarians are permitted a few exceptions from these laws regarding days of rest.

The main purpose of the thesis is to create a schedule robust enough to withstand absence, such that outer services always are assigned to a qualified and available worker. This is visualized as having a list of available stand-ins for each shift.

There are a limited number of workers at the library and they make the resources that are to be distributed. Each individual has a set of *skills* and *competences*. Competences refer to the capability of being assigned the different outer services; Expedition, Norpan, Information desk, Library on wheels and Hageby as well as different inner services. The set of skills an individual can possess are described in Table 1.1. In total there are 39 workers available.

The outer services can be seen as assignments which requires available workers to be assigned to them. Each outer service is specified to a certain station, time and date. They also have a fix length and occur on a regular basis every ten weeks, which makes it possible to create a periodic schedule with a period of ten weeks.

Furthermore, outer and a few inner services can be characterized by different properties, which are represented in Table 1.2.

In addition to the properties mentioned above, there are several requirements that have to be met. These can be divided into job, robust and other requirements and are listed in Table 1.3 below.

Table 1.1: Personnel

Skills	Description
Work degree	0-100 %
Type of employment	Librarian/Assistant
Competence	Inner and outer services the worker is qualified for
Weekly rest	Which days the worker has requested after working a weekend
Other requests	Does not work evenings etc.

Table 1.2: Outer and inner services

Outer service	Property
	Start time, end time, week and duration
	Station
	Number of qualified librarians demanded
	Number of qualified assistants demanded
Inner service	D 4
illier service	Property
illier service	Start time, end time, week and duration
inner service	1 0
illier service	Start time, end time, week and duration

Table 1.3: Requirements

Job requirements	Description	
1	A maximum of one outer service is to be distributed to each person and day.	
2	Remaining work time is individually distributed on assignments such as reshelving be	
3	Weekend work are to be evenly distributed between the workers available on weekend	
4	Working a weekend includes work on saturday and sunday the same week.	
5	One evening shift on a weekday per person each week except when weekend work is a	
6	Every ten weeks the schedule is to be repeated.	
7	It is recommended for each week to be as similar as possible.	
Robust requirements	Description	
1	Each outer service require at least one stand-in.	
2	The stand-ins have to be qualified for the tasks they are stand-in for.	
3	Focus is to maximize the lowest number of stand-ins of any task.	
Other requirements	Description	
1	Department and general meetings are to be held once per five weeks.	

There are also additional requirements of the resulting schedule made by the workers at the library. Two examples would be that a handful staff members are unable to work weekends as well as some personnel are unable to work in the evenings.

1.3 Problem categorization

The problem can be formulated as a personnel tasks scheduling problem for a heterogeneous workforce since the main objective is to distribute tasks to

workers during their available times. The workforce is heterogeneous as certain tasks can only be performed by librarians or is restricted to a certain subset of the personnel. Another aspect of the problem is the cyclic nature of the personnel schedules, which gives a degree of freedom in availability of personnel.

Chapter 2

Literature review

Emelie

The scheduling problem has been studied since the 1950's as a mathematical optimization problem and involves creating a feasible and satisfactory schedule for either workers or machines performing tasks. According to Ernst et al. the complexity of the scheduling problem has not in itself become more advanced with time. However, the mathematical models used to solve the scheduling problems have become more realistic and refined. This together with more powerful computational methods, makes it possible today to solve scheduling problems in a more satisfactory way, taking into account softer values such as worker satisfaction and worker fatigue [1].

In the paper [1] the scheduling problem is classified into different subcategories. A few relevant areas for our work include task based demand scheduling, days off scheduling, shift scheduling, tour scheduling and task assignment.

Task based demand scheduling involves the process of distributing a fixed number of tasks which need to be performed over a workforce. The workforce can either be fixed, as in our case, or subject to minimization in the objective function.

Days off scheduling involves scheduling staff and assigning a days off as required by work time regulations. This problem is often found together with shift scheduling which involves choosing the most suitable shifts for a workforce. The combination of the two is called tour scheduling and will be discussed later in this report. The big difference between our problem and tour scheduling is that in our problem we are not allowed to choose the free days of the workers, only in what week to assign them, and there is no shift work.

The problem which is most similar to our problem is, however, task assignment. This problem and different variations of it will be discussed in section 2.1.

2.1 Tour Scheduling Problem with a heterogenous work force

Emelie

The Tour Scheduling Problem (TSP) involves creating work shifts with days off for a work force. According to Loucks and Jacobs, the vast majority of

all tour scheduling problems up to 1991 were with a homogeneous workforce, that is under the assumption that any worker could perform any assigned task [2]. The authors discuss a tour scheduling problem where the objective is to construct weekly schedules for each worker which also show the specific task assignments. The problem is studied in the context of fast food restaurants, where certain personnel is qualified only for certain stations in the restaurant. In such industries, the demand of staff differs between different weekdays and different times of the day. Two things differ between workers, their availability for work and their qualification. Furthermore, the workers working times are not fixed, but are composed of a number of consecutive tasks assigned during a block of time during a day.

The representative problem studied in the article involves creating a one-week schedule for 40 workers in a fast food restaurant, available for eight different tasks with a seven-day, 128-hour workweek. Several synthetic problems are studied in the article, all, however with minimum shift length three hours, maximum shift length eight hours and five maximum number of work days.

A similar problem to the one descibed by Loucks and Jacobs is studied by [3]. The article focuses on a particular fast food restaurant in Korea, which is made a representative of fast food chains in general. One big difference between this study and the previous one is the identification of part-time and full-time workers, between which the ratio of scheduled personnel should always be 6:4. Although a tour is scheduled also in this problem, the properties of the tour is different from Louck and Jacobs as the shifts are already divided into periods 8:00-12:00, 12:00-14:00, 14:00-21:00 and 21:00-23:00 while the latter schedules on an hourly basis. Further, a tour is defined as working five consecutive days, as opposed to the previous article. The task assignment dimension is lacking in this article, making it less similar to the problem described in this report.

In both articles the main objective is to minimize overstaffing and understaffing, which will both have severe consequences for the fast food chain. This is not relevant to our problem as we have a fixed work force. In the example studied by Loucks and Jacobs there is also a goal to meet staff demand on total working hours. This is modeled as a secondary goal and is similar to our goal of creating even and fair schedules.

The greatest difference between the problem studied by Loucks and Jacobs and our problem is the composition of shifts. Both problems have heterogeneous worker qualification and availability and both deal with task assignment for schedules with a fluctuating worker demand. Since our problem only concerns librarians and assistants, there are fewer skill groups. Compared to the problem studied by [3], there is more similarity in the shift design as the library also has four different shifts. However, our problem is a task assignment problem and does not affect working times.

In some cases, a problem can be a combined tour scheduling and task assignment problem or can be divided into these two solution stages, as is the case in [?]. "An integer linear programming-based heuristic for scheduling heterogeneous, part-time service employees", 2011

2.2 Personnel Task Scheduling Problem

Claes

In many practical instances production managers will face the Personnel Task Scheduling Problem (PTSP) while scheduling plant operations. It occurs when the rosterer or shift supervisor need to allocate tasks with specified start and end times to available personnel who have the required qualifications. Furthermore, it also occurs in situations where tasks of fixed times have been assigned to machines. Decisions will then have to be made regarding the amount of maintenance workers needed and which machine the workers are assigned to. [4]

There are several variants to the PTSP. These have been studied in an article by [4] who gives a list of attributes that commonly appear in a PTSP and are listed in Table 2.1 below. There are furthermore traits that always appear in a PTSP; tasks with fixed start and end time are to be distributed to staff members that possesses certain skills, allowing them to perform a subset of the available tasks. The start and end time of their shifts are also predetermined for each day.

One variant, which also is the most simple, is mentioned in [4] and is called the *Feasibility Problem* where the aim is to just find a feasible solution. This requires that each task is allocated to a qualified and available worker. It is also required that a worker can not be assigned more than one task simultaneously as well as tasks can not be pre-empted, meaning that each task has to be completed by one and the same worker.

In Table 2.1 one can see attributes of PTSP variants. The nomenclature of the attributes T, S, Q, O refer to the *Task type*, *Shift type*, *Qualifications* and *Objective function* respectively.

Table 2.1: PTSP variants

Attribute	Type	Explanation			
T	F	Fixed contiguous tasks			
	V	Variable task durations		Variable task durations	
	S	Split (non-contiguous) tasks			
	C	Changeover times between consecutive tasks			
S	F	Fixed, given shift lengths			
	I	Identical shifts which are effectively of infinite duration			
	D	Maximum duration without given start or end times			
	U	Unlimited number of shifts of each type available			
Q	I	Identical qualification for all staff (homogeneous workforce)			
	H	Heterogeneous workforce			
O	F	No objective, just find a feasible schedule			
	A	Minimise assignment cost			
	Т	Worktime costs including overtime			
	W	Minimise number of workers			
	U	Minimise unallocated tasks			

With this definition of PTSP attributes many of the most basic problems and a few more complex ones can be described. It is, however, not possible to describe all of the numerous types of PTSP using these nomenclatures.

By combining attributes it is possible to obtain more complex variants of the PTSP. An example would be the PTSP[F;F;H;A-T-W] mentioned in [4] where multiple objectives are used. This problem has fixed contiguous tasks, fixed shift

lengths, heterogeneous workforce and three objective functions; assignment costs, work time with overtime included and requirements to minimize the number of workers respectively. This objective function is then a linear combination with different parameters used to prioritize them against each other.

Our problem would be most related to the PTSP[F;F;H;F]. The difference is the objective function, since we are looking to maximize the number of qualified stand-ins each day as well as maximize employee satisfaction by meeting their recommendations. This can not be described with the type of attributes given in Table 2.1 above because we have no costs, a fix number of workers and no unallocated tasks when a feasible solution is found.

Different variants of PTSP are given names in the literature. One example is when the shifts and qualifications are identical (S=I and Q=I) and the objective function is to minimize the number of workers that are used (O=W). This variant, PTSP[F;I;I;W], has been published as the "fixed job schedule problem" and is described in Section ?? below [4].

2.2.1 Applications

This type of problem can be found when developing a rostering solution for ground personnel at an airport. Such a problem can be dealt with by first assigning workers to days to satisfy all the labour constraints, followed by assigning the tasks to the scheduled workers.

Similar problems of type PTSP related to airplanes can also be found when scheduling for either airport mainteance staff (leading to either PTSP[F;I;H;U-A] or PTSP[F;I-U;H;W]), staff that do not stay in one location, such as airline stewards, or planes to gates.

Another application, which has been frequently studied, can be found in classroom assignments. Based on demands such as the amount of students in a class or the duration of the class, different classrooms have to be considered. Requirements of certain equipment, e.g. for a laboratory, may also greatly limit the available rooms to choose from.

For classroom assignment there are no start or end times for the shifts, as they represent the rooms. The aim would be to find a feasible assignment of classrooms and therefore the type of problem would be PTSP[S;I;H;F] with the possibility of adding preferences to the objective function. An example of a preference would be to assign the lessons as close to each other as possible on a day, preventing travel distances between classes for teachers and students.

2.3 Shift Minimisation Personnel Task Scheduling Problem

Claes

A close relative to the PTSP is the Shift Minimisation Personnel Task Scheduling Problem (SMPTSP) and is a special case in which the aim is to minimize the cost occurring due to the number of personnel (shifts) that are used. The same traits are valid in this problem as in the PTSP; workers with fixed work hours are to be assigned tasks, with specified start and end times, that they are qualified for.

In article [5] they "... concentrate mainly on a variant of the PTSP in which the number of personnel (shifts) required is to be minimised.". In doing so, it is possible to determine the lowest number and mix of staff a company should have to complete the tasks at hand and still be operational. They also presumed that the pool of workers are unlimited for either skill group, which is not the case in our problem due to the limitations on the number of librarians and assistants.

When there are a large number of workers available with qualifications to perform different tasks and it is needed to ensure all tasks for that day are performed SMPTSP can be applied. The PTSP and SMPTSP are therefore useful day-to-day management tools that commonly occurs in many practical instances where tasks are allocated on a daily basis.

SMPTSP is almost identical to another problem introduced by Kroon et al. [6] which is called the Tactical Fixed Interval Scheduling Problem (TFISP) and is described in Section 2.4 below.

It is shown in [6] that SMPTSP is a complex problem even if the preemption constraint were to be removed. However, if the qualifications of the workers were identical it would become an easily solvable problem [5].

2.4 Other similar problems

Variations of the task assignment problem relevant for our problem include the fixed job schedule problem and the flexible job scheduling problem. The fixed job schedule problem (FJSP) has been studied since the 1970s in the context of task assignment in processors. The problem concerns the distribution of tasks with fixed starting and ending times over a workforce with identical skills, such as processing units [5]. Such problems have been solved by I. Gertsbakh, H.I. Stern [7] and Fischetti et al. [8]. In the article by Gertsbakh, a situation where n jobs need to be scheduled over an unlimited number of procesors is studied. The jobs have a specified starting time and duration. The objective of such a problem becomes the minimization of the number of machines needed to perform all tasks. Fischetti solves a similar problem, but adds time constraints, saying that no processor is allowed to work for more than a fixed time T during a day as well as a spread time constraint forcing tasks to tasks to spread out with time gap s over a processor.

Another type of problem is the tactical fixed interval scheduling problem. This is a problem very closely related to the SMPTSP problem with the only difference being that the TFISP concerns workers which are always available, such as industrial machines or processors. The problem is studied by for example Kroon et al. [6]. A typical TFISP can be expressed using the nomenclature in Table 2.1 and written as PTSP[F;I-U;H;W] [4].

As opposed to the FJSP, the TFISP deals with a heterogeneous workforce. Two different contexts are studied by Kroon et al. One of them concerns the handling of arriving aircraft passengers at an airport. Two modes of transport from the aeroplane to the airport are investigated; directly by gate or by bus. The two transportation modes thus correspond processing units which can take only a number of jobs at the same time.

The Operational Fixed Interval Scheduling Problem (OFISP) is a similar problem to TFISP. Both problem types are restricted by the following; each machine (worker) can not handle more than one job at a time, each machine can

only handle a subset of the jobs and preemption is not allowed. The difference between them occurs in the objective function as TFISP tries to minimize the number of workers and OFISP tries to minimize the operational costs and the number of unallocated tasks. In the present nomenclature this would give rise to the problem PTSP[F;I;H;U-A] [4][9].

2.5 Work load allocation and worker satisfaction

For most scheduling problems, the main objective is to reduce worker-related costs by reducing the number of workers needed to perform a task, or by reducing the working time for part-time employees. Equivalently, the goal in production industries is to reduce the number of machines needed. However, what has been studied more in recent years is also scheduling problems which take into account worker satisfaction. In an article by Akbari from 2012 a scheduling problem for part-time workers with different preferences, seniority level and productivity is investigated. [10]

Trötthet och uttråkad. Något vi borde ta med i litteraturen enligt Torbjörn, fast inte leta källor på det. Mer källor?

Source: "Employee positioning and workload allocation", Eiselt, Marianov, 2006 "Scheduling part-time and mixed-skilled workers to maximize employee satisfaction" Mohammad Akbari 2012

"Scheduling part-time personnel with availability restrictions and preferences to maximize employee satisfaction" Srimathy Mohan 2008

2.6 Methods

2.6.1 TSP with inhom workforce

Solution methods to compare (similar problems):

"Task assignment and tour scheduling": Loucks and Jacobs, 1991

"Scheduling Restaurant Workers to Minimize Labor Cost and Meet Service Standards" Choi, Hwang and Park, 2009

"An integer linear programming-based heuristic for scheduling heterogeneous, part-time service employees" Heterogeneous work force, tour scheduling. Using two objective functions Hojati and Patil, 2010

for another definition as PTSP[F;I;I;W], see "The Personnel Task Scheduling Problem" by Krishnamoorty and Ernst, 2001

Chapter 3

Implementation insights

Chapter 4

The ideal CSTR: the chemostat

In this chapter we study exponential growth, the logistic. . . .

4.1 Some simple models of biological growth

4.1.1 Exponential growth

If $\mu = \text{constant} > 0$, we get $X(t) = X_0 e^{\mu t}$.

4.1.2 The logistic equation

Let us assume that $\frac{dX}{dt} = \mu \cdot X,$ with $\mu = \mu(S) = k \cdot S$. . .

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dX}{dt} = kSX & (a) \\ \frac{dS}{dt} = -\alpha kSX & (b) \end{cases}$$

$$\frac{dX}{dt} = r(1 - \frac{X}{B})X \tag{4.1}$$

An explicit solution to (4.1) is: $X(t) = \frac{X_0B}{X_0 + (B - X_0)e^{-rt}}$, if $0 < X_0 < B$. It can be found by separating variables in equation (4.1)

4.2 The chemostat

A chemostat is made of two main parts; a nutrient reservoir, and a growth-chamber, reactor, in which the bacteria reproduces.

$$\begin{cases}
\frac{dX}{dt} = \mu(S)X - X\frac{F}{V} \\
\frac{dS}{dt} = -\alpha\mu(S)X - S\frac{F}{V} + S_0\frac{F}{V} \\
\text{new}
\end{cases} (4.2)$$

$$\mathbf{A} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & \sigma \alpha_1 \\ -\frac{1}{\alpha_1} & -\sigma - 1 \end{array} \right)$$

The invariant line: conclusions

Model	Monods Chemostat	CSI-CSTR
μ	$\frac{S}{1+S}$	$\frac{S}{1+S+\frac{S^2}{K_I}}$
$\frac{dX}{dt}$	$\alpha_1 \frac{S}{1+S} X - X$	$\alpha_1 \frac{S}{1 + S + \frac{S^2}{K_I}} X - X$
$\frac{dS}{dt}$	$-\frac{S}{1+S}X - S + \alpha_2$	$-\frac{S}{1+S+\frac{S^2}{K_I}}X - S + \alpha_2$
XNC	$S = \frac{1}{\alpha_1 - 1}$	$S = \frac{K_I(\alpha_1 - 1)}{2} \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{K_I(\alpha_1 - 1)}{2}\right)^2 - K_I}$
SNC	$X = \frac{(\alpha_2 - S)(1+S)}{S}$	$X = \frac{(\alpha_2 - S)(1 + S + \frac{S^2}{K_I})}{S}$
limit	_	$K_I \to \infty$

The other three models, the chemostat, the MMI-CSTR and the CPI-CSTR are quite similar in comparison to the CSI-CSTR.

Monods chemostat does not "feel" this inhibition and does not care. . .

This document is an example of BibTeX using in bibliography management. Three items are cited: The \LaTeX TeX Companion book [11], the Einstein journal paper [12], and the Donald Knuth's website [13]. The \LaTeX TeX related items are [11, 13].

Bibliography

- [1] Ernst et al. An annotated bibliography of personnel scheduling and rostering. 2004.
- [2] Loucks and Jacobs. Task assignment and tour scheduling of a heterogeneous work force: A heuristic approach. 1991.
- [3] Hwang Choi and Park. Scheduling restaurant workers to minimize labor cost and meet service standards. 2009.
- [4] Krishnamoorthy et al. The personnel task scheduling problem. 2001.
- [5] Krishnamoorthy et al. Algorithms for large scale shift minimisation personnel task scheduling problems. 2011.
- [6] Luk N. Van Wassenhove Leo G. Kroon, Marc Salomon. Exact and approximation algorithms for the tactical fixed interval scheduling problem. 1997.
- [7] H.I. Stern I. Gertsbakh. Minimal resources for fixed and variable job schedules. 1977.
- [8] Fischetti et al. Approximation algorithms for fixed job schedule problems. 1992.
- [9] Kroon et al. Exact and approximation algorithms for the operational fixed interval scheduling problem year =.
- [10] Mohammad Akbari. Scheduling part-time and mixed-skilled workers to maximize employee satisfaction. 2012.
- [11] Michel Goossens, Frank Mittelbach, and Alexander Samarin. *The LATEX Companion*. Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts, 1993.
- [12] Albert Einstein. Zur Elektrodynamik bewegter Körper. (German) [On the electrodynamics of moving bodies]. *Annalen der Physik*, 322(10):891–921, 1905
- [13] Donald Knuth. Knuth: Computers and typesetting.

16 Bibliography

Appendix A

The Linearized stability

A.1 The Linearization

F(x), a one-variable function of x can be Taylor-expanded around a fix X. We get $F(X+x)=F(X)+F'(X)x+O(x^2)$. For small perturbations of x around X we get the linearization: $F(X+x)\approx F(X)+F'(X)x$, containing only the constant and the linear terms.

For functions of two variables F(X + x, S + s) and G(X + x, S + s):

```
 \left\{ \begin{array}{l} F(X+x,S+s) = F(X,S) + F_X'(X,S)x + F_S'(X,S)s + O((x+s)^2) \\ G(X+x,S+s) = G(X,S) + G_X'(X,S)x + G_S'(X,S)s + O((x+s)^2) \end{array} \right.
```

```
function chemostat_inhibited(alpha1, alpha2, xp0, sp0, xc)
%chemostat_inhibited Displays a phaseportrait, nullclines
    and an Euler-path of an inhibited Chemostat.
    chemostat_inhibited(alfa1, alfa2, np0, cp0, nc) will run if
    alpha1 > 1/xc, thus there is a reproduction.
    alpha2 > 1/(xc*alpha1-1), thus there is sufficient stock-nutrition. xp0 > 0 , you can not have a nonpositive population.
    sp0 > 0 , you can not have a nonpositive concentration.
    The blue arrows represent the vectorfield.
    The black lines are two of the three nullclines.
    The black dotted line is the invariant line (no solution crosses it). The red line is an Eulerpath, starting in + and ending in \ast.
    chemostat_inhibited(5, 3, 0.2, 0.3, 6)
    by Per Erik Strandberg, 2003-2004.
% Start-condition:
if ((alpha1>1) & (alpha2>0) & (sp0>0) & (xp0>0) & xc>0),
    if (alpha2<1/(alpha1-1)),
         disp(' ')
disp(' (HINT: Only the trivial steady state, alpha2 is too small...)')
         disp (' (HINT: Two steady states, alpha2 is quite large...)')
```

```
% The illegal indata case:
%-----
else
    disp(' chemostat_inhibited.m by Per Erik Strandberg, 2003-2004.')
    disp(' Did not Finish OK. (You used illegal indata.)')
    disp(' ')
    disp(' For syntax help type: help chemostat_inhibited .')
    disp(' ')
end
```

Copyright

The publishers will keep this document online on the Internet - or its possible replacement - for a period of 25 years from the date of publication barring exceptional circumstances. The online availability of the document implies a permanent permission for anyone to read, to download, to print out single copies for your own use and to use it unchanged for any non-commercial research and educational purpose. Subsequent transfers of copyright cannot revoke this permission. All other uses of the document are conditional on the consent of the copyright owner. The publisher has taken technical and administrative measures to assure authenticity, security and accessibility. According to intellectual property law the author has the right to be mentioned when his/her work is accessed as described above and to be protected against infringement. For additional information about the Linköping University Electronic Press and its procedures for publication and for assurance of document integrity, please refer to its WWW home page: http://www.ep.liu.se/

Upphovsrätt

Detta dokument hålls tillgängligt på Internet - eller dess framtida ersättare - under 25 år från publiceringsdatum under förutsättning att inga extraordinära omständigheter uppstår. Tillgång till dokumentet innebär tillstånd för var och en att läsa, ladda ner, skriva ut enstaka kopior för enskilt bruk och att använda det oförändrat för ickekommersiell forskning och för undervisning. Överföring av upphovsrätten vid en senare tidpunkt kan inte upphäva detta tillstånd. All annan användning av dokumentet kräver upphovsmannens medgivande. För att garantera äktheten, säkerheten och tillgängligheten finns det lösningar av teknisk och administrativ art. Upphovsmannens ideella rätt innefattar rätt att bli nämnd som upphovsman i den omfattning som god sed kräver vid användning av dokumentet på ovan beskrivna sätt samt skydd mot att dokumentet ändras eller presenteras i sådan form eller i sådant sammanhang som är kränkande för upphovsmannens litterära eller konstnärliga anseende eller egenart. För ytterligare information om Linköping University Electronic Press se förlagets hemsida http://www.ep.liu.se/

© 2016, Claes Arvidson, Emelie Karlsson