

| Domain | Ins (#agt) | Objects | Max-width |            | Max-height |            | Time (sec)  |              | BT        |            |
|--------|------------|---------|-----------|------------|------------|------------|-------------|--------------|-----------|------------|
|        |            |         | <i>fp</i> | <i>fps</i> | <i>fp</i>  | <i>fps</i> | <i>fp</i>   | <i>fps</i>   | <i>fp</i> | <i>fps</i> |
| BP     | B1 (3)     | 16      | 8         | 5          | 23         | 18         | 3.59        | <b>2.91</b>  | 0         | 0          |
|        | B2 (4)     | 16      | 12        | 10         | 19         | 19         | <b>5.3</b>  | 6.1          | 0         | 0          |
|        | B3 (9)     | 36      | 64        | *          | 24         | *          | <b>25.3</b> | *            | 0         | *          |
|        | B4 (3)     | 11      | 4         | 4          | 14         | 11         | 16.39       | <b>1.17</b>  | 9         | 0          |
|        | B5 (3)     | 12      | 6         | 8          | 16         | 15         | 13.65       | <b>2.9</b>   | 4         | 0          |
|        | B6 (3)     | 12      | -         | 6          | -          | 12         | -           | <b>13.58</b> | 47+       | 4          |
|        | B7 (3)     | 12      | 8         | 8          | 18         | 19         | 158.89      | <b>3.87</b>  | 41        | 0          |
|        | B8 (3)     | 12      | 8         | 8          | 17         | 21         | 111.6       | <b>4.05</b>  | 26        | 0          |
|        | B9 (3)     | 13      | 16        | 14         | 21         | 19         | 121.42      | <b>5.6</b>   | 19        | 0          |
|        | B10 (3)    | 16      | 16        | 15         | 26         | 29         | 155.83      | <b>9.69</b>  | 33        | 0          |
|        | B11 (5)    | 20      | *         | 24         | *          | 32         | *           | <b>75.21</b> | *         | 1          |
|        | B12 (5)    | 20      | *         | 24         | *          | 37         | *           | <b>365.9</b> | *         | 6          |
|        | B13 (2)    | 10      | na        | 2          | na         | 6          | na          | <b>1.06</b>  | na        | 0          |
|        | B14 (2)    | 12      | na        | 2          | na         | 2          | na          | <b>1.20</b>  | na        | 1          |
|        | B15 (3)    | 12      | na        | 4          | na         | 12         | na          | <b>2.49</b>  | na        | 0          |
|        | B16 (3)    | 13      | na        | 4          | na         | 7          | na          | <b>5.5</b>   | na        | 1          |
|        | B17 (3)    | 13      | na        | 4          | na         | 6          | na          | <b>8.9</b>   | na        | 2          |
|        | B18 (3)    | 14      | na        | 6          | na         | 16         | na          | <b>4.04</b>  | na        | 0          |
|        | B19 (4)    | 15      | na        | 8          | na         | 14         | na          | <b>17.6</b>  | na        | 2          |
| TM     | T1 (3)     | 10      | 8         | 7          | 20         | 16         | <b>2.68</b> | 2.78         | 0         | 0          |
|        | T2 (4)     | 12      | 16        | 13         | 21         | 17         | <b>7.84</b> | 8.26         | 0         | 0          |
|        | T3 (4)     | 15      | 12        | 16         | 34         | 26         | <b>8.74</b> | 12.39        | 0         | 0          |
|        | T4 (5)     | 14      | 19        | 22         | 22         | 24         | <b>20.5</b> | 43.58        | 0         | 0          |
|        | T5 (5)     | 16      | 12        | 14         | 32         | 25         | <b>9.7</b>  | 11.2         | 0         | 0          |
|        | T6 (3)     | 8       | 2         | 2          | 8          | 8          | 11.01       | <b>0.61</b>  | 7         | 0          |
|        | T7 (3)     | 10      | 8         | 7          | 20         | 16         | <b>34.5</b> | 41.8         | 8         | 8          |
|        | T8 (3)     | 10      | 8         | 8          | 24         | 22         | 37.87       | <b>22.45</b> | 9         | 4          |
|        | T9 (3)     | 10      | -         | -          | -          | -          | 140.32      | <b>29.73</b> | 31        | 6          |
|        | T10 (4)    | 12      | 16        | 16         | 23         | 22         | <b>6.68</b> | 152.18       | 0         | 14         |
|        | T11 (5)    | 16      | 16        | 16         | 30         | 35         | 274.5       | <b>56.15</b> | 27        | 3          |
|        | T12 (2)    | 10      | na        | 2          | na         | 9          | na          | <b>1.7</b>   | na        | 0          |
|        | T13 (2)    | 12      | na        | 4          | na         | 17         | na          | <b>6.81</b>  | na        | 0          |
|        | T14 (3)    | 12      | 2         | 2          | 16         | 11         | 17.59       | <b>2.32</b>  | 9         | 0          |
| Rovers | T15 (3)    | 13      | na        | 4          | na         | 14         | na          | <b>7.80</b>  | na        | 0          |
|        | T16 (3)    | 14      | na        | 4          | na         | 19         | na          | <b>11.68</b> | na        | 1          |
|        | R1 (1)     | 12      | 2         | 2          | 8          | 8          | 3.8         | 3.8          | 0         | 0          |
|        | R2 (2)     | 14      | 2         | 2          | 9          | 8          | 5.4         | <b>5.3</b>   | 0         | 0          |
|        | R3 (2)     | 13      | 4         | 4          | 15         | 15         | 9.3         | <b>8.9</b>   | 0         | 0          |
|        | R4 (2)     | 17      | 12        | 12         | 34         | 23         | <b>35.8</b> | 38.6         | 0         | 0          |
|        | R5 (3)     | 18      | 12        | 12         | 28         | 32         | <b>51.5</b> | 54.1         | 0         | 0          |
|        | R6 (3)     | 21      | 30        | 30         | 36         | 29         | 136.6       | <b>111.9</b> | 0         | 0          |
|        | R7 (3)     | 23      | 98        | 81         | 50         | 45         | 567.9       | <b>233.2</b> | 0         | 0          |
|        | R8 (3)     | 13      | 2         | 2          | 7          | 6          | 57.9        | <b>5.9</b>   | 3         | 0          |
|        | R9 (3)     | 14      | 4         | 4          | 12         | 12         | 113.8       | <b>10.2</b>  | 4         | 0          |
|        | R10 (3)    | 14      | 4         | 4          | 11         | 11         | 314.5       | <b>89.3</b>  | 11        | 3          |
|        | R11 (3)    | 19      | -         | 12         | -          | 12         | 325.1       | <b>41.1</b>  | 64        | 0          |
|        | R12 (4)    | 15      | 4         | 4          | 12         | 11         | 47.2        | <b>16.0</b>  | 1         | 0          |
|        | R13 (4)    | 20      | 12        | 12         | 25         | 19         | 241.8       | <b>49.1</b>  | 3         | 0          |
|        | R14 (2)    | 16      | na        | 2          | na         | 9          | na          | <b>3.6</b>   | na        | 0          |
|        | R15 (2)    | 18      | na        | 2          | na         | 10         | na          | <b>5.89</b>  | na        | 0          |
|        | R16 (2)    | 16      | na        | 4          | na         | 13         | na          | <b>34.17</b> | na        | 0          |
|        | R17 (2)    | 20      | na        | 4          | na         | 14         | na          | <b>17.68</b> | na        | 0          |
|        | R18 (3)    | 17      | na        | 2          | na         | 7          | na          | <b>10.17</b> | na        | 0          |
|        | R19 (3)    | 18      | na        | 4          | na         | 13         | na          | <b>12.93</b> | na        | 0          |
|        | R19 (3)    | 14      | na        | 2          | na         | 7          | na          | <b>6.62</b>  | na        | 0          |
|        | R20 (3)    | 19      | na        | 8          | na         | 16         | na          | <b>36.74</b> | na        | 0          |
|        | R21 (4)    | 20      | na        | 6          | na         | 11         | na          | <b>67.79</b> | na        | 0          |
|        | R22 (4)    | 22      | na        | 6          | na         | 12         | na          | <b>68.21</b> | na        | 0          |

Table 1: Comparison of QDec-FP and QDec-FPS planners. *Ins (#agt)*: instance number and number of acting agents. *Object*: the number of objects in each problem. *BT*: number of planner backtracks. \*: time out. '-': planner could not solve or breaks down. *na*: problem not applicable to a solver. *fp*: QDec-FP. *fps*: QDec-FPS. The best approach, based on time only, is shown in bold.

lems B11 and B12 were solved by the QDec-FPS planner but were unsolved by QDec-FP. This is most likely due to the high number of required backtracks. We can conclude that for simpler problems with identical agents, QDec-FP scales better with the number of agents, but for more complex problems, QDec-FPS is required.

Unlike BP, in TM each public action is a collaborative action. Similar to the BP domain, when backtracking is not required to solve an instance, for example, the simpler instances T1 to T5, the QDec-FP solver takes less time to solve it than that of QDec-FPS. When problems are more complex and backtracking is required, e.g., for the instances T6 and T11, QDec-FPS is faster.

In Rovers, we see a similar trend as BP and TM. For the simple instances like R1 to R7, the performance of the planners is mixed. When backtracking maybe required since the rovers are non-homogeneous (instances R8 to R13), QDec-FPS outperforms QDec-FP on all instances. Instances with more objects are solved relatively easily by QDec-FPS.

Problem T9 is interesting because it is unsolvable. This requires the planner to rule out all possible solutions. Because the team problem QDec-FPS generates has fewer solutions, it was able to rule them out much faster using six backtracks, compared to 31 for QDec-FP.

The table clearly shows that QDec-FPS generates smaller trees across all domains. A closer inspection of the policies also shows that the number of *noops* in its solution is smaller.

To test signaling, we added new instances to all domains that cannot be solved without signaling (B13-B19, T12-T16, R14-R22). These instances cannot be solved by QDec-FP (shown as *na*). In the BP instances, moving from 2-3 agents to 4 increased runtime by an order of magnitude. This is likely due to the number of optional pairwise signaling added with each agent. However, adding objects did not much impact runtime. In the TM domain, we see a more mixed picture. Adding agents or objects can increase runtime, although not always (e.g. T14 vs. T12 and T13). T14 is particularly interesting because it can be solved without signaling (hence QDec-FP solves it with 9 backtracks), but it is solved even faster with signaling. For this instance there are three agents,  $\varphi_1$ ,  $\varphi_2$ , and  $\varphi_3$  such that  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_3$  together are capable of solving this problem without signaling. As we purposely placed  $\varphi_3$  farther from the table's location, QDec-FPS generated a plan where  $\varphi_1$  signaled to  $\varphi_2$  the table's location and they achieved the goal together, without  $\varphi_3$ . This solution was generated much quicker and with no backtracks. Signaling in Rovers is similar to BP. Adding more agents makes the problem harder, especially when we move to 4 agents, whereas the effect of objects is less clear. The number of instances of signaling macros in the team solutions range from 1 to 4 on larger problem instances.

## 6 Conclusion

QDec-FPS uses a factored approach that solves a MAP problem by reducing it to multiple single-agent planning problems. By reasoning about individual agents' knowledge, it generates more informed team plans that lead to fewer backtracks and better scalability. This ability allows it to also support signaling, a form of implicit communication through the state of the world, and thereby to solve problems unsolvable by previous planners.

**Acknowledgements.** This work was supported by ISF Grants 1651/19 and 1210/18, by the Israel Ministry of Science and Technology Grant 54178, and by the Lynn and William Frankel Center for Computer Science. Quod nisi nihil ipsam, vero accusamus doloribus ab quia, tempora velit tempore iste quae voluptatum possimus, voluptates perferendis sed nulla aliquid a dignissimos saepe blanditiis, cumque architecto molestiae tenetur omnis totam laborum impedit eum itaque ipsa?Rem incidunt minima provident explicabo magni eius saepe rerum aspernatur dolore, fugit dolorum amet architecto, quo velit similique culpa molestiae,

rem eveniet saepe vero sapiente laboriosam quos illo id, veniam tempore deserunt in alias.