Gotchas

Variable Initialization Using Initialization Expression

The following code:

a = 5

```
integer :: a = 5
is equivalent to:
  integer, save :: a = 5
and not to:
  integer :: a
```

See for example this question.

Floating Point Numbers

Assuming the definitions:

Then the following code:

```
real(dp) :: a
a = 1.0
```

is equivalent to:

```
real(dp) :: a
a = 1.0_sp
```

and *not* to:

```
real(dp) :: a a = 1.0_dp
```

As such, always use the _dp suffix as explained in *Floating Point Numbers*. However, the following code:

```
real(dp) :: a
a = 1
```

1 of 2 5/3/2015 12:13 PM

is equivalent to:

```
real(dp) :: a
a = 1.0_dp
```

And so it is safe to assign integers to floating point numbers without losing any accuracy (but one must be careful about integer division, e.g. 1/2 is equal to 0 and not 0.5).

C/Fortran Interoperability of Logical

The Fortran standard specifies, that the Fortran type <code>logical(c_bool)</code> and C type <code>bool</code> are interoperable (as long as <code>c_bool</code> returns some positive integer). Unfortunately, for some compilers one must enable this behavior with a specific (non-default) option. In particular, the following options must be used:

Compiler	Extra Compiler Option
gfortran	
ifort	-standard-semantics
PGI	-Munixlogical
Cray	
IBM XL	

Empty Extra Compiler Option means that no extra option is needed and things work by default.

If you omit these extra compiler options, then when you pass *logical* to and from Fortran, its value will in general be corrupted when accessed from C. A minimal code example that exemplifies this behavior is at: https://gist.github.com/certik/9744747 When you use these extra compiler options, then everything works as expected and there is no issue.

Conclusion: *always* use these extra compiler options when compiling your Fortran code, unless you have a specific reason not to.

2 of 2 5/3/2015 12:13 PM