## Satellite Clustering and Anomaly Detection – Solution by Nisrin Dhoondia

TLE Data  $\rightarrow$  sgp4 Feature Extraction  $\rightarrow$  Scaling  $\rightarrow$  DBSCAN Clustering  $\rightarrow$  Orbit Type Mapping (LEO/MEO/GEO)  $\rightarrow$  IsolationForest Anomaly Detection  $\rightarrow$  Outputs (Clusters + Anomalies + Rates + Plots/CSVs).

### 1. Approach Overview

We worked on a pipeline that takes **satellite TLE (Two-Line Element) data** and extracts **real orbital mechanics parameters** using the **sgp4 library**.

We selected a few necessary meaningful orbital parameters that actually matters instead of using all raw features. Also, I did a bit of feature engineering — for example, converting no\_kozai into **revolutions per day**, which makes it easier to map satellites into LEO/MEO/GEO.

- Inclination (orbit tilt)
- Eccentricity (shape of orbit)
- Mean motion (how many orbits per day)

This gives us a **scientifically grounded feature space** where clusters naturally map to known orbit types.

## 2. Clustering (DBSCAN)

- We used DBSCAN on the scaled features.
- DBSCAN is useful here because it doesn't need the number of clusters in advance and can handle noise.
- DBSCAN Performance Metrics:
  - o Silhouette Score: 0.835 (good separation, close to 1)
  - Davies-Bouldin Index: 0.140 (very low, good compactness/separation)
  - o Calinski-Harabasz Index: 10738 (high, strong clustering quality)
- Cluster Counts:
  - o Cluster 0 → 8073 satellites
  - o Cluster 1 → 147 satellites
  - o Cluster 2 → 258 satellites
  - $\circ$  Cluster 3  $\rightarrow$  64 satellites
  - Noise (-1)  $\rightarrow$  90 satellites

These metrics confirm that DBSCAN created **well-formed clusters** matching orbital regimes.

- Output Files:
  - o DBSCAN Clustering Metrics.csv → clustering metrics + counts

- DBSCAN\_Clustering.png → scatterplot of clusters (inclination vs mean motion by cluster) -> shows how satellites group into orbital "highways."
- After clustering, we looked at the mean motion values in each group to assign them to:
  - LEO (Low Earth Orbit): >12 rev/day (fast, close to Earth)
  - o **MEO (Medium Earth Orbit)**: 2–12 rev/day (medium altitude, e.g., GPS)
  - GEO (Geostationary Orbit): ~1 rev/day (stationary above Earth)
- Result:
  - Clusters line up well with these orbit regimes.
  - DBSCAN also marks some objects as **noise**, which often corresponds to unusual or rare satellites.
- We created a mapping:
  - o **LEO clusters** → dense groups, many satellites and debris
  - o **MEO clusters** → medium-altitude navigation satellites
  - o **GEO** → very sparse (DBSCAN can mislabel sparse GEO objects as noise)
  - Noise → scattered objects that don't fit neatly (possible anomalies)
- Output Files:
  - o Cluster Interpretations.csv → orbit type + comments for each cluster
  - SatelliteClusters\_Before\_AnomalyDetection.csv → clusters before anomaly filtering
  - DBSCAN Clustering OrbitType.png → clusters labelled as LEO/MEO/GEO

## 3. Anomaly Detection (IsolationForest)

- Inside each non-noise cluster, we applied IsolationForest to flag unusual satellites:
  - Marks objects as normal (1) or anomaly (-1).
  - o Anomalies are satellites that don't behave like the rest of their cluster.
- Anomalies Found the inference is based on combining the object type from Object name from Dataset with only the anomaly output from IsolationForest:
  - Debris drifting away from its group
  - Rocket bodies (R/B) possibly tumbling or decaying
  - Active satellites with motions not matching their cluster neighbors
- Output File:
  - Flagged\_Anomalies.csv → detailed anomalies with explanations

- DBSCAN\_IsolationForest\_Anomalies.png → clusters with Anomalies Highlighted: red "X" marks show the odd satellites inside normal groups.
- DBSCAN\_IsolationForest\_Anomalies\_Clean.png -> clean anomalies view (noise removed) -> same anomaly plot as above plot but without DBSCAN noise, so it's easier to focus on real clusters.

## Anomaly Rate by Object Type:

We classified satellites into **Debris**, **Rocket Bodies**, **Satellites** and measured anomaly rates.

- Output File:
  - o AnomalyRate by ObjectType.csv → anomaly counts & % per object type

### Cluster-Specific Contamination Rate:

Certain clusters are less stable and contain more anomalies.

- Output File:
  - Cluster\_Contamination\_Rates.csv → anomalies per cluster (% contamination)

# 4. Why This Matters

- Interpretability: results are not just ML outputs, but real orbital regimes
- Credibility: grounded in orbital mechanics (sgp4 + TLEs), not just arbitrary features but extracted real orbital parameters

#### Value:

- o Cluster insights → "traffic lanes" in space (LEO/MEO/GEO)
- Anomaly insights → "problem objects" (debris, rockets, unstable satellites)
- This approach connects machine learning with real orbital science, so the clusters
  are not just math outputs they're meaningful categories which can be explained in
  plain language
- Interpret anomalies based on object type (satellite, debris, rocket body) and context → use them for SSA tasks like collision prevention, satellite health monitoring, and threat detection.

#### In one line:

We clustered **satellites into LEO/MEO/GEO** using orbital mechanics, then flagged **debris**, **rocket bodies**, **and unusual satellites** as anomalies. This gives both **space traffic insights** (clusters) and **problem object alerts** (anomalies), in a data-driven yet physicsgrounded way.

 $\mathsf{TLE} \to \mathsf{sgp4} \to \mathsf{Scaling} \to \mathsf{DBSCAN} \to \mathsf{Orbit} \ \mathsf{Types} \to \mathsf{IsolationForest} \to \mathsf{Outputs}$