

Assignment 1

319081667 – Or Virt

207548231 – Yotam Feldman

Question 1

a.

Given the definition of $\|A\|_1 = \max_j (\sum_{i=1}^m |a_{i,j}|)$

To maximize $\|A\|_1$ we will look for the column which holds the maximum values when summed as absolute values.

Therefore, It is easy to see that the forth column maximizes the term.

$$x = C_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 8 \\ 5 \\ -7 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \|A\|_1 = 24$$

So we can pick $x = (0,0,0,-1)$

Because:

$$\frac{\|Ax\|_1}{\|x\|_1} = \frac{24}{1} = 24$$

Given the definition of $\|A\|_\infty = \max_i (\sum_{j=1}^m |a_{i,j}|)$

To maximize $\|A\|_\infty$ we will look for the row which holds the maximum values when summed as absolute values.

Therefore, It is easy to see that the second row maximizes the term.

$$x = R_2 = [2 \quad 4 \quad -4 \quad 8] \Rightarrow \|A\|_\infty = 18$$

So we can pick $x=(1,1,-1,1)$

Because:

$$\frac{\|Ax\|_\infty}{\|x\|_\infty} = \frac{18}{1} = 18$$

b.

Using SVD decomposition from linalg library, we get D matrix – diagonal matrix with sorted singular values. Taking the first, hence the largest – we end up with the value “13.8581”.

```
A = np.array([[1,2,3,4],[2,4,-4,8],[-5,4,1,5],[5,0,-3,-7]])
U,D,V_T = ln.svd(A)
print(D[0])

print(ln.norm(A@V_T[0])-D[0])
print(V_T[0])

13.858100376465329
-1.7763568394002505e-15
[-0.29618621  0.35616716  0.06730298  0.88367923]
```

Using the given formula,

$$\|A\|_2 = \max_{\mathbf{x}} \frac{\|A\mathbf{x}\|_2}{\|\mathbf{x}\|_2} = \sigma_{max}.$$

We conclude that: $\|A\|_2 = \sigma_{max} = 13.8581$

We will take x to be the corresponding vector to the largest singular value, $V^T[0]$
 $x = [-0.296, 0.356, 0.067, 0.88]$

$$\frac{\|Ax\|_2}{\|x\|_2} = \frac{\sigma_{max}}{1}$$

Question 2

a.

$$\text{null}(A^T A) = \{x: A^T A x = 0\} \subseteq \{x: x^T A^T A x = 0\} \stackrel{\subseteq}{=} \{x: (Ax)^T A x = 0\} = \{x: \langle Ax, Ax \rangle = 0\}$$

From inner product definition:

$$\langle Ax, Ax \rangle \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow Ax = 0$$

And therefore:

$$\{x: \langle Ax, Ax \rangle \geq 0\} = \{x: Ax = 0\} = \text{null}(A)$$

We can conclude,

$$\text{null}(A^T A) \subseteq \text{null}(A)$$

$$\stackrel{\supseteq}{\text{null}(A) = \{x: Ax = 0\} \subseteq \{x: A^T (Ax) = 0\} = \text{null}(A^T A)}$$

Q.E.D

b.

We'll mark: $A^T A = B = B^T$

$$\text{range}(A^T A) = \text{range}(B) = \text{range}(B^T) = \text{null}(B)^\perp = \text{null}(A^T A)^\perp = \text{null}(A)^\perp = \text{range}(A^T)$$

Q.E.D

c.

We need to prove that for given b , there is a guaranteed x which satisfies:

$$A^T A x = A^T b$$

In other words, that $A^T b \in \text{range}(A^T A)$

From definition $A^T b \in \text{range}(A^T)$,

Using section b, ($\text{range}(A^T A) = \text{range}(A^T)$)

Therefore $A^T b \in \text{range}(A^T A)$

Question 4

a.

Using the Cholesky decomposition:

$$(A^T A)x = A^T b$$

$$(L^T L)x = A^T b$$

$$Lx = y$$

$$L^T y = A^T b$$

Solve $L^T y = A^T b \rightarrow$ Solve $Lx = y$

We can program as follows:

```
[17] import numpy as np
import numpy.linalg as ln
import math

[18] A = np.array([[2,1,2],[1,-2,1],[1,2,3],[1,1,1]])
b = np.array([6,1,5,2])

[19] A_TA = A.T @ A

[21] L = np.linalg.cholesky(A_TA)

[24] def backwards_substitution(A,b):
    x = np.zeros(len(b))

    for i in range(len(b)-1, -1, -1):
        tmp = b[i]
        for j in range(len(b)-1, i, -1):
            tmp -= x[j]*A[i,j]
        x[i] = tmp/A[i,i]

    return x

def forwards_substitution(A,b):
    x = []

    for i in range(len(b)):
        x.append(b[i])
        for j in range(i):
            x[i] -= A[i,j] * x[j]
        x[i] /= A[i,i]

    return x

y = A.T @ b
forward = forwards_substitution(L,y)
sol = backwards_substitution(L.T,forward)
print(sol)

[1.7 0.6 0.7]
```

And finally get-

$$x = [1.7, 0.6, 0.7]$$

b.

QR

Using what we've learned in class

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = (\mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{A})^{-1} \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{b} = (\mathbf{R}^\top \mathbf{R})^{-1} \mathbf{R}^\top \mathbf{Q}^\top \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{R}^{-1} (\mathbf{R}^\top)^{-1} \mathbf{R}^\top \mathbf{Q}^\top \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}^\top \mathbf{b},$$

Where \mathbf{R} is triangular,

```
Q,R = ln.qr(A)
x = (backwards_substitution(R,Q.T@b))
print(x)
[1.7 0.6 0.7]
```

Getting the same $x = [1.7, 0.6, 0.7]$

SVD

Using what we've learned in class

$$\Sigma \mathbf{V}^\top \hat{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{b}$$

And the fact $\mathbf{V}^\top = \mathbf{V}^{-1}$

So we get that

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = (\mathbf{V}^\top)^{-1} \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{V} \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{b}$$

```
[12] U,sigma,V_T = ln.svd(A,full_matrices=False)
x = V_T.T @ ln.inv(np.diag(sigma)) @U.T @ b
print(x)
[1.7 0.6 0.7]
```

Getting the same $x = [1.7, 0.6, 0.7]$

c.

```
residual = A@sol - b
A.T @ residual
array([2.66453526e-15, 3.55271368e-15, 6.21724894e-15])
```

d.

Using what we've proved in class:

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = (\mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{W} \mathbf{A})^{-1} \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{W} \mathbf{b}.$$

```
[77] w = [1000,1,1,1]
      W = np.diag(w)
      A_TWA = A.T@W@A
      A_TWb = A.T@W@b

      x_hat = ln.inv(A_TWA) @ A_TWb

      print(x_hat)

[2.17244031 0.69218347 0.48106425]
```

Getting a weighted x result:

$$x = [2.17, 0.69, 0.48]$$

Question 5

```

epsilon_1 = 1
epsilon_2 = 0.000000001
epsilon = epsilon_1
QR_me_1 = np.array([[1,1,1],[epsilon,0,0],[0,epsilon,0],[0,0,epsilon]])
epsilon = epsilon_2
QR_me_2 = np.array([[1,1,1],[epsilon,0,0],[0,epsilon,0],[0,0,epsilon]])

def QR_gram_schmidt(A, modified = False):
    R = np.zeros((len(A[0]),len(A[0])))
    Q = np.zeros(A.shape)

    R[0,0] = ln.norm(A[:,0]) # first column

    if R[0,0] == 0:
        raise 'No gram schmidt basis could found due to 0 vector'

    Q[:,0] = np.divide(A[:,0] , R[0,0] )
    start_i = 1

    for i in range(start_i,A.shape[1]):
        Q[:,i] = A[:,i]
        for j in range(0,i):
            if modified:
                R[j,i] = Q[:,j].transpose() @ Q[:,i]
            else:
                R[j,i] = Q[:,j].transpose() @ A[:,i]

        Q[:,i] -= R[j,i]*Q[:,j]
        R[i,i] = ln.norm(Q[:,i])

        if R[i,i] == 0:
            raise 'No gram schmidt basis could found due to 0 vector'
        Q[:,i] /= R[i,i]
    return Q,R

Q_1,R_1 = QR_gram_schmidt(QR_me_1)
Q_2,R_2 = QR_gram_schmidt(QR_me_2)

Q_1_modified,R_1_modified = QR_gram_schmidt(QR_me_1,True)
Q_2_modified,R_2_modified = QR_gram_schmidt(QR_me_2,True)

print("regular gram schmidt:\n")
print(Q_2)
print(R_2)
print("MODIFIED gram schmidt:\n")
print(Q_2_modified )
print(R_2_modified)

regular gram schmidt:
[[ 1.00000000e+00  0.00000000e+00  0.00000000e+00]
 [ 1.00000000e-10 -7.07106781e-01 -7.07106781e-01]
 [ 0.00000000e+00  7.07106781e-01  0.00000000e+00]
 [ 0.00000000e+00  0.00000000e+00  7.07106781e-01]]
[[ 1.00000000e+00  1.00000000e+00  1.00000000e+00]
 [ 0.00000000e+00  1.41421356e-10  0.00000000e+00]
 [ 0.00000000e+00  0.00000000e+00  1.41421356e-10]]
MODIFIED gram schmidt:
[[ 1.00000000e+00  0.00000000e+00  0.00000000e+00]
 [ 1.00000000e-10 -7.07106781e-01 -4.08248290e-01]
 [ 0.00000000e+00  7.07106781e-01 -4.08248290e-01]
 [ 0.00000000e+00  0.00000000e+00  8.16496581e-01]]
[[ 1.00000000e+00  1.00000000e+00  1.00000000e+00]
 [ 0.00000000e+00  1.41421356e-10  7.07106781e-11]
 [ 0.00000000e+00  0.00000000e+00  1.22474487e-10]]

```

C.

```

[82] QT_Q1_regular = Q_1.transpose()@Q_1
     QT_Q1_modified = Q_1_modified.transpose()@Q_1_modified

accuracy_regular1 = ln.norm((QT_Q1_regular - np.eye(QT_Q1_regular.shape[0])))
accuracy_modified1 = ln.norm((QT_Q1_modified - np.eye(QT_Q1_modified.shape[0])))

print(accuracy_regular1)
print(accuracy_modified1)

5.319287782567757e-16
4.987305196443834e-16

QT_Q2_regular = Q_2.transpose()@Q_2
QT_Q2_modified = Q_2_modified.transpose()@Q_2_modified

accuracy_regular2 = ln.norm((QT_Q2_regular - np.eye(QT_Q2_regular.shape[0])))
accuracy_modified2 = ln.norm((QT_Q2_modified - np.eye(QT_Q2_modified.shape[0])))

print(accuracy_regular2)
print(accuracy_modified2)

0.7071067811865477
1.1547005383855976e-10

```

The modified algorithm produces a smaller error norm, means the matrix $Q^T Q$ is more “close” to the identity, and more “close” to being orthogonal, therefore it is better than the non-modified algorithm.

Question 6

b.

Using the equation from section a:

$$\begin{aligned}
 V\Sigma^{-1}U^T &= \sum_{i=1}^{\min(m,n)} \sigma_i^{-1} v_i u_i^T = \\
 \hat{x} = V\Sigma^{-1}U^T b &= \sum_{i=1}^{\min(m,n)} \sigma_i^{-1} v_i u_i^T * b = \sum_{i=1}^{\min(m,n)} \sigma_i^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} v_{1i} \\ \vdots \\ v_{ni} \end{pmatrix} (u_{1i} \quad \dots \quad u_{ni}) * \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^{\min(m,n)} \sigma_i^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} v_{1i} u_{1i} & \dots & v_{1i} u_{ni} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ v_{ni} u_{1i} & \dots & v_{ni} u_{ni} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{i=1}^{\min(m,n)} \sigma_i^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{k=1}^n v_{1i} u_{ki} b_k \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{k=1}^n v_{ni} u_{ki} b_k \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^{\min(m,n)} \sigma_i^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n u_{ki} b_k \begin{pmatrix} v_{1i} \\ \vdots \\ v_{ni} \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{i=1}^{\min(m,n)} \sigma_i^{-1} u_i^T b v_i
 \end{aligned}$$

c.

using section b,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{x} &= \sum_{i=1}^{\min(m,n)} \sigma_i^{-1} u_i^T b v_i \\
 u_i^T b &= \alpha_i \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{\min(m,n)} \sigma_i^{-1} \alpha_i v_i = \hat{x}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we'll prove that $u_i^T b = \alpha_i$

$$b = \sum_{i=1, \dots, m} \alpha_i u_i$$

From U being orthogonal, we'll get that:

$$u_i^T b = u_i^T * \sum_{j=1, \dots, m} \alpha_j u_j = \begin{cases} 0, & i \neq j \\ u_j^T \alpha_j u_j = \alpha_j \|u_j\| = \alpha_j, & i = j \end{cases}$$

We can sum up,

$$u_i^T b = \alpha_i$$

d.

As we proved in class, $A^T A$ is positive semi definite matrix $\rightarrow x^T (A^T A)x \geq 0$

in addition, λI is diagonal matrix with $\lambda > 0$, defined as positive definite matrix $\rightarrow x^T (\lambda I)x > 0$

So, $x^T (A^T A + \lambda I)x = x^T (A^T A)x + x^T (\lambda I)x > 0$

$\rightarrow (A^T A + \lambda I)$ is positive definite matrix

e) From previous sections:

$$(A^T A + \lambda I)x = A^T b, \quad A = U \Sigma V^T$$

U, V are orthogonal, and Σ diagonal so,

$$U^T U = I, V^T V = I, \Sigma^T = \Sigma$$

$$\rightarrow ((U \Sigma V^T)^T U \Sigma V^T + \lambda I)x = (U \Sigma V^T)^T b \rightarrow (V \Sigma^T U^T U \Sigma V^T + \lambda I)x = V \Sigma^T U^T b$$

$$\rightarrow (V \Sigma^2 V^T + \lambda I)x = V \Sigma^T U^T b$$

We multiply from left with V^T :

$$\rightarrow (V^T V \Sigma^2 V^T + V^T \lambda I)x = V^T V \Sigma^T U^T b \rightarrow (\Sigma^2 V^T + V^T \lambda I)x = \Sigma^T U^T b$$

$$\rightarrow (\Sigma^2 V^T + \lambda I V^T)x = \Sigma^T U^T b \rightarrow (\Sigma^2 + \lambda I)V^T x = \Sigma^T U^T b$$

We notice that $(\Sigma^2 + \lambda I)$ is positive definite matrix so it's vertible matrix,

$$\rightarrow V^T x = (\Sigma^2 + \lambda I)^{-1} \Sigma^T U^T b$$

We multiply from left with V :

$$\rightarrow V V^T x = V (\Sigma^2 + \lambda I)^{-1} \Sigma^T U^T b \rightarrow x = V (\Sigma^2 + \lambda I)^{-1} \Sigma^T U^T b$$

$((\Sigma^2 + \lambda I)^{-1} \Sigma^T)$ is diagonal matrix with the values : $\frac{\sigma_i}{\sigma_i^2 + \lambda}$,

$$\text{so, } ((\Sigma^2 + \lambda I)^{-1} \Sigma^T) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_1^2 + \lambda} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \frac{\sigma_n}{\sigma_n^2 + \lambda} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{From section b, } x = \sum_{i=1}^{\min(n,m)} \frac{\sigma_i}{\sigma_i^2 + \lambda} u_i^T b v_i$$

$$\text{And from section c, } x = \sum_{i=1}^{\min(n,m)} \frac{\sigma_i}{\sigma_i^2 + \lambda} \alpha_i v_i$$

f.

from previous sections, we can assume that by using SVD decomposition:

$$\hat{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{\min(n,m)} \frac{1}{\sigma_i} \alpha_i v_i$$

And by using regularized LS problem:

$$\hat{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{\min(n,m)} \frac{\sigma_i}{\sigma_i^2 + \lambda} \alpha_i v_i$$

Assuming that the noise in the image corresponds to a singular vector u_i with a **small** corresponding singular value σ_i , we notice that $\frac{1}{\sigma_i}$ might be very big and create unwanted results, in contrast to $\frac{\sigma_i}{\sigma_i^2 + \lambda}$ that by using the right λ we can get more balance result, and it not effect that much on the deblurring process.

Question 7

- a. There are 4 unknown variables in K, therefore we need 4 equations.
Every correspondence contains 2 equations,

$$\begin{aligned} f_x x_i + x_0 z_i &= u_i \\ f_y y_i + y_0 z_i &= v_i \end{aligned}$$

So, given 2 linear independence correspondences we can find K, which is the minimal number of correspondences required.

- b. Given $n > 2$ correspondences we'll note the samples as follows-

$$\left\langle \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ v_1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \\ z_1 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \dots \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} u_n \\ v_n \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \\ z_n \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle,$$

for each tuple of samples we will create:

$$A_i = \begin{pmatrix} x_i & z_i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & y_i & z_i \end{pmatrix}$$

$$b_i = \begin{bmatrix} u_i \\ v_i \end{bmatrix}$$

We aim to find x :

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} f_x \\ x_0 \\ f_y \\ y_0 \end{bmatrix}$$

It holds that,

$$A_i \cdot x = \begin{bmatrix} x_i f_x + x_0 z_i \\ y_i f_y + y_0 z_i \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_i \\ v_i \end{bmatrix} = b_i$$

Then we stack the matrices as rows of matrix "A", and the vectors b_i as rows of b,
And finally solve with LS the equation $Ax=b$:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 \\ \dots \\ A_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & z_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & y_1 & z_1 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ x_n & z_n & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & y_n & z_n \end{pmatrix} \in M^{2n \times 4}$$

$$b = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ \dots \\ b_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ v_1 \\ \dots \\ u_n \\ v_n \end{bmatrix} \in M^{2n \times 1}$$

$$Ax = b \Leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & z_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & y_1 & z_1 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ x_n & z_n & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & y_n & z_n \end{pmatrix} * \begin{bmatrix} f_x \\ x_0 \\ f_y \\ y_0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ v_1 \\ \dots \\ u_n \\ v_n \end{bmatrix}$$