A Composable Security Treatment of the Lightning Network

Aggelos Kiayias
Orfeas Stefanos Thyfronitis Litos

CSF 2020

17/3/2022

20,000 tx/s

7 tx/s

VISA

instant*

1 hour

Problem
All txs validated by all wallets

Solution
- Move most txs off-chain
- Resolve disputes on-chain


Alice
1
Bob
2


Alice
1
Alice
1.3
Bob
2
Bob
1.7

Lightning Channels

Open



F

Lightning Channels

Open



F



Pay





Lightning Channels

Open



F



Pay



- Unlimited times
- No touching blockchain



Lightning Channels

Open



F

Alice &
Bob: 3

Pay



- Unlimited times
- No touching blockchain



Close



C

Alice: 1.3
Bob: 1.7



Lightning Channels

Open



Alice: 1
Bob: 2

Alice: 1
Bob: 2

F

Alice &
Bob: 3

Pay



Alice: 1.3
Bob: 1.7

Alice: 1.3
Bob: 1.7

- Unlimited times
- No touching blockchain



Close



Alice: 1.3
Bob: 1.7

Alice: 1.3
Bob: 1.7

C

Alice: 1.3
Bob: 1.7



Multi-hop payments

From channels
to network!

Main result

Prove Lightning Network secure in the Universal Composability framework

Universal Composition

$$\forall \mathcal{A}\ \exists \mathcal{S} : \forall \mathcal{E}$$



Figure: “Universally Composable Security”,
Ran Canetti https://eprint.iacr.org/2000/067

This work

$$\forall \mathcal{A}\ \exists \mathcal{S} : \forall \mathcal{E}$$



a) Define functionality

This work

$$\forall \mathcal{A}\ \exists \mathcal{S} : \forall \mathcal{E}$$



a) Define functionality

This work

$$\forall \mathcal{A}\ \exists \mathcal{S} : \forall \mathcal{E}$$



Blockchain Functionality

$\mathcal{G}_{\text{ledger}}$ [BMTZ'17, BGKRZ'18]

We prove that
a naive, instant-finality
ledger is unrealizable

Functionality

Functionality $\mathcal{F}_{\text{PayNet}}$ – interface

- from $\mathcal{E}$:
  - (REGISTER, delay, relayDelay)
  - (TOPPEDUP)
  - (OPENCHANNEL, *Alice*, *Bob*, x, *tid*)
  - (CHECKFORNEW, *Alice*, *Bob*, *tid*)
  - (PAY, *Bob*, x, $\overrightarrow{\texttt{path}}$, `receipt`)
  - (CLOSECHANNEL, `receipt`, *pchid*)
  - (FORCECLOSECHANNEL, `receipt`, *pchid*)
  - (POLL)
  - (PUSHFULFILL, *pchid*)
  - (PUSHADD, *pchid*)
  - (COMMIT, *pchid*)
  - (FULFILLONCHAIN)
  - (GETNEWS)
- to $\mathcal{E}$:
  - (REGISTER, *Alice*, `delay`(*Alice*), `relayDelay`(*Alice*), pubKey)
  - (REGISTERED)
  - (NEWS, newChannels, closedChannels, updatesToReport)
- from $\mathcal{S}$:
  - (REGISTERDONE, *Alice*, pubKey)
  - (CORRUPTED, *Alice*)
  - (CHANNELANNOUNCED, *Alice*, $p_{Alice,F}$, $p_{Bob,F}$, *fchid*, *pchid*, *tid*)
  - (UPDATE, `receipt`, *Alice*)
  - (CLOSEDCHANNEL, `channel`, *Alice*)
  - (RESOLVEPAYS, *payid*, `charged`)
- to $\mathcal{S}$:
  - (REGISTER, *Alice*, delay, relayDelay)
  - (OPENCHANNEL, *Alice*, *Bob*, x, *fchid*, *tid*)
  - (CHANNELOPENED, *Alice*, *fchid*)
  - (PAY, *Alice*, *Bob*, x, $\overrightarrow{\texttt{path}}$, `receipt`, *payid*)
  - (CONTINUE)
  - (CLOSECHANNEL, *fchid*, *Alice*)
  - (FORCECLOSECHANNEL, *fchid*, *Alice*)
  - (POLL, Σ_{Alice}, *Alice*)
  - (PUSHFULFILL, *pchid*, *Alice*)
  - (PUSHADD, *pchid*, *Alice*)
  - (COMMIT, *pchid*, *Alice*)
  - (FULFILLONCHAIN, t, *Alice*)

Our contributions

- Prove Lightning Network security in UC framework
- Use a realistic ledger functionality
  - Prove naive ledger unrealizable
- Derive exact time bounds for how often parties need to check the chain

Further work

- Virtual channels
  - Channels on top of channels
  - No on-chain txs for open/close
  - “Elmo: Recursive Virtual Payment Channels for Bitcoin”
    https://raw.githubusercontent.com/OrfeasLitos/virtual-payment-channels/master/virtual-channels.pdf

Further work

- Virtual channels
  - Channels on top of channels
  - No on-chain txs for open/close
  - “Elmo: Recursive Virtual Payment Channels for Bitcoin”
    https://raw.githubusercontent.com/OrfeasLitos/virtual-payment-channels/master/virtual-channels.pdf

Thank you! Questions?

https://eprint.iacr.org/2019/778

Bonus slides:
Protocol example

Alice

5


5
Com
0
5
0
Alice
5



Alice

5



Alice

5


Alice w/ Δt
OR Bob_rev
Alice
& Bob
Com
0
5
5
Bob
0
Alice
5


Alice w/ Δt
OR Bob_rev
Alice
& Bob
Com
0
5
5
Bob
0
Alice
Alice
& Bob
Com
0
5
5
Bob w/ Δt
OR
Alice_rev
0
Alice
5


Alice w/ Δt
OR Bob_rev
Alice
& Bob
Com
0
5
5
Bob
0


Alice
Alice
& Bob
Com
0
5
5
Bob w/ Δt
OR
Alice_rev
0


Alice
Alice
& Bob
F
5
5


Alice w/ Δt
OR Bob_rev
Alice
& Bob
Com
1
4
5
Bob
1
1
Alice
& Bob
Com
1
Alice
4
Bob w/ Δt
OR
Alice_rev
5
1


Alice
Alice
& Bob
F
5
5


Alice w/ Δt
OR Bob_rev
Alice
& Bob
Com
1
4
5
Bob
1
$s_{B,0}$
1
Alice
Alice
& Bob
Com
1
4
Bob w/ Δt
OR
Alice_rev
5
1
$s_{A,0}$

Alice
Alice
& Bob
F
5
5


Alice w/ Δt
OR Bob_rev
Alice
& Bob
Com
2
4.5
5
Bob
0.5
$s_{B,0}$
$s_{B,1}$
0.
5
Alice
Alice
& Bob
Com
2
4.5
Bob w/ Δt
OR
Alice_rev
5
0.5
$s_{A,0}$
$s_{A,1}$
Alice
Alice
& Bob
F
5
5





Dispute period *t*





Dispute period *t*





Dispute period *t*