

Programming Ex2

Note for MATLAB users: If you are using MATLAB version R2015a or later, the `fminunc` function has been changed in this version. The function now takes 1, but does not give the expected result for figure 3 in ex2.pdf, and it throws some warning messages (about a local minimum) when you run ex2.m again. This is normal, and you should still be able to submit your work to the grader.

Types in the lectures (updated)

There are typos in the week 3 lectures, specifically for regularized logistic regression. This could create some confusion while doing the last part of exercise 2. The equations in ex2.pdf are correct.

Gradient and theta values for ex2.m

Here are the values of both `cost` and the gradients for the "final theta (conv)" in ex2.pdf Section 3.2.2b

Here are the values for both cost J and theta for the "theta found by fminunc" test (ex2.pdf Section 1.2.3):

1	Correct Answer: Found by Pearson: 0.265888
2	Wrong
3	-25.364883
4	0.265888
5	0.265488
6	

mapFeature() discussion:

For two features x_1 and x_2 , `mapFeature` calculates following terms:
 $1, x_1, x_2, x_1^2, x_2^2, x_1x_2, x_1^3, x_2^3, x_1^2x_2, x_1x_2^2, x_1^4, x_2^4, x_1^3x_2, x_1^2x_2^2, x_1x_2^3, x_2^4, x_1^4x_2, x_1^3x_2^2, x_1^2x_2^3, x_1x_2^4, x_1^5, x_2^5$
Not 100% sure about this, so please take this with a grain of salt.

It appears to me that the "mapFeature" name displayed on page 9 of the x2.pdf is the transpose of what is intended. Also, it would be more clear if each of the variables carried the dimensionality denoting the row.

$$\text{mapFeature}(x^{(2)}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ x_1^{(2)} \\ x_2^{(2)} \\ \left(x_1^{(2)}\right)^2 \\ \left(x_2^{(2)}\right)^2 \\ x_1^{(2)}x_2^{(2)} \\ \left(x_1^{(2)}\right)^3 \\ \left(x_2^{(2)}\right)^3 \\ \vdots \\ x_1^{(2)}\left(x_2^{(2)}\right)^4 \\ \left(x_2^{(2)}\right)^5 \end{bmatrix}^T$$

Of course this assumes exactly two features in the original dataset. I think of this more as "map1D" than as "map2D" because what we're really doing is mapping the original traits with two features onto a new set of traits with 28 features.

I would not have thought there about this, but I've gotten hard at the improper use of the word "dimensional" in the phrase "a 28-dimensional vector" in the last sentence below the expression.

This is how I interpreted it for the homework, and the results were accepted. But if in any way off base, please delete this web entry.

I found this Octave expression quite useful for the regular matrix programming exercise:

```
1 ones(x.size(0),1) - sigmoid(theta)
2
```

Find these other Octave expressions which are quite useful for the regression programming exercise

1	theta(2)=cost(theta);
2	theta(2)=end;
3	

plotData.m - color attributes

The plot attribute "MarkerFaceColor" may not be supported on your version of Octave or MATLAB. You may need to modify it, use the comma and "hold on" to see what attributes are supported. This might just try to replace "MarkerFaceColor" with "MarkerFace", then the plot should work, although you get a warning.

Logistic Regression Gradient

(w.r.t. with respect to)

Don't trouble over terminology: "the partial derivatives of the cost w.r.t. each parameter in their" one.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial} C'(x;X;Y) = g$$

I was confused about this and kept trying to return the updated theta values ...

UPDATE the above was really helpful, thank you for pointing it here! As an additional note, the instructions say "2. the gradient of the cost with respect to the parameter", which only makes for a gradient, don't needs it like above. The fact that you're not given signs should be a hint to that. You don't need it. You won't be learning neither.

Sigmoid function

- 1) The sigmoid function accepts only one parameter named 'x'. This variable 'x' can represent a scalar, vector, or matrix, but other variable names should appear in the sigmoid() function.
- 2) The implementation of the sigmoid function should use only element-wise operators. The operators needed are addition, elementwise division (./ operator), and the exp() function.

Decision Boundary

Thoughts regarding why the equation $\theta_0 x_1 + \theta_1 x_2 = \theta_2$ is not equated to 0 for determining a decision boundary:

In this exercise, we're solving a **classification** problem using logistic regression.

- The hypothesis equation is $h_{\theta}(x) = g(z)$, where g is the sigmoid function $\frac{1}{1 + e^{-z}}$ and $z = \theta^T x$
- For classification, we usually interpret a hypothesis value $h_{\theta}(x) \geq 0.5$ as predicting "class 1"
- Remember, $h_{\theta}(x) = g(z) = g(\theta^T x)$ for logistic regression
- This means that $g(\theta^T x) \geq 0.5$ predicts "class 1"
- The sigmoid function $g(z)$ outputs 0.5 when $z=0$ (look at a graph of the sigmoid function)
- Remember $z = \theta^T x$
- So $\theta^T x \geq 0$ predicts "class 1"
- Remember $\theta^T x = \theta_0 + \theta_1 x_1 + \theta_2 x_2$ in this example (using 1 for x_0)
- So $\theta_0 + \theta_1 x_1 + \theta_2 x_2 \geq 0$ predicts "class 1"
- The decision boundary isn't the line that has been learned in order to separate out the predicted classes, in this example
- This boundary is at $h_{\theta}(x) = 0.5$ parameter, this is the lowest possible value for predicting "class 0" ("1")
- So $\theta_0 + \theta_1 x_1 + \theta_2 x_2 = 0$ is the boundary
- The decision boundary will be a line composed of **any** (2,1,1) points that make this equation **equal zero**.
- In order to plot the line along the specific data we have, we arbitrarily decide to use values of x_2 from our data, by choosing the min and max, and then substituting a blank list inside to make the line to make. Then, obviously, you could continue along the line in the above equation as infinite amount in either direction, and it will still be the line dividing the two classes. However, we only have data that lies around a certain area of this line, so our main concern is only plot the line and data so that representative would just be a line and points lying against it.
- Solve for x_2 using min and max values (the min & max values of x_2 in order to make a line line) $\rightarrow x_2 = \frac{1}{\theta_2}(\theta_0 + \theta_1 x_1)$, as seen in the Octave function.
- Plug the two x_2 values (min & max) into the above equation to get the two corresponding x_2 values (and store in the plot y variable).
- Plot a line using these values \rightarrow this will be the decision boundary.
- Plot the rest of our data on the graph as well, and notice that the line should separate the classes.
- The above still applies even if you're using higher-order polynomial features, with the note that instead of a decision boundary "line", it will be a decision boundary "surface".

Lambda effect over Decision Boundary



