Origin Sonic Staking Audit

ORIGIN

Table of Contents

Table of Contents	2
Summary	3
Scope	4
System Overview	5
Trust Assumptions	6
Privileged Roles	6
Registrator and Strategist	6
Governance	7
Vault	7
Medium Severity	8
M-01 Inconsistent Total Value with Fully Slashed Validators	8
Low Severity	9
L-01 collectRewards Can Be Front-Run With restakeRewards and Lead to Temporarily Locked Rewards	9
L-02 Incomplete Docstrings	9
L-03 Missing Docstrings	10
Notes & Additional Information	11
N-01 Lack of Indexed Event Parameters	11
N-02 Missing Named Parameters in Mapping	11
N-03 Unused Named Return Variable	12
N-04 Misleading Inline Documentation	12
Conclusion	13

Summary

Type DeFi **Total Issues** 8 (5 resolved) From 2025-02-10 0 (0 resolved) **Timeline Critical Severity** To 2025-02-13 Issues Solidity 0 (0 resolved) Languages **High Severity** Issues **Medium Severity** 1 (1 resolved) Issues **Low Severity Issues** 3 (1 resolved) Notes & Additional 4 (3 resolved) Information

Scope

We audited the <u>OriginProtocol/origin-dollar</u> repository, reviewing the code changes introduced in pull request #2335 at commit <u>097f3f3</u>.

In scope were the following files:

System Overview

Origin is introducing a staking strategy tailored for the efficient staking and delegation of Sonic's native Sonic (S) token. This approach leverages the Special Fee Contract (SFC), a component that orchestrates a network of validators and their respective delegations, ensuring a seamless and secure staking process. At the heart of this strategy is the ability for users to engage with a dedicated vault by depositing the wrapped version of the native S token (wS). Once the wS tokens are allocated to the strategy, they undergo an unwrapping back into the native S token. The strategy deposits these S tokens into the SFC, from where it strategically delegates them to a carefully selected group of validators. This delegation is not arbitrary, as they are chosen by the Origin governance, which ensures that only the most reliable and efficient validators are chosen to maximize the staking benefits.

The strategy begins with the undelegation of the S tokens from a chosen Sonic validator. Following the SFC two-week waiting period, the strategy facilitates the withdrawal of the S tokens, while also offering the flexibility to either restake rewards for compounded returns or collect them back into the vault for other potential uses. A notable feature of this strategy is the empowerment of specific roles, namely the Registrator or Strategist, with the authority to delegate tokens to validators. This ensures that the delegation process remains streamlined and aligned with the strategic objectives of the Origin governance.

In essence, Origin's new staking strategy for Sonic's S token represents a comprehensive and user-friendly approach to staking. It not only simplifies the process of staking and delegation through its integration with the SFC, but also offers users flexibility and control over their staking rewards, all while ensuring that the selection of validators is governed by a transparent and democratic process.

The primary objective of the audit was to evaluate the integration and functionality of the staking strategy with both the Origin Sonic Vault and the SFC mechanisms. This comprehensive examination was aimed at verifying the critical aspects of the staking process: the delegation, undelegation, reward restaking, reward collection, and withdrawal of the S tokens, ensuring that each step adheres to the designed protocols and security standards.

Trust Assumptions

In the context of evaluating the staking strategy, some trust assumptions have been made to streamline the focus of the analysis and ensure that the review is conducted within a defined scope. These assumptions pertain to the operational integrity and functionality of the SFC and the Origin vault. By assuming that both of these components function properly, the audit can concentrate on the integration and performance of the staking strategy itself, instead of the underlying mechanisms of these systems.

The SFC contract is assumed to accurately record and execute delegation and undelegation requests without errors, ensuring that staked tokens are correctly allocated to validators and can be retrieved following the established protocols. Secondly, it is assumed that it reliably calculates and distributes staking rewards to participants based on the tokens staked and the duration of staking, adhering to the predefined reward mechanisms.

The Origin vault is integral to the staking strategy, serving as the initial point of entry for users' assets and facilitating their participation in the staking process. Thus, it is assumed the vault correctly handles the allocation of the wrapped S tokens ensuring that users' assets are accurately processed and accounted for throughout their lifecycle within the staking strategy.

Privileged Roles

There are several functions that require their caller to have a privileged role or can only be called by the vault. Within the staking strategy, there are three key roles: Registrator, Strategist, and Governance.

Registrator and Strategist

The Registrator and Strategist roles are designated by the governance of the Origin protocol and are able to do the following:

- Undelegation of S tokens from a specific Sonic validator via the undelegate function, thereby starting the withdrawal process.
- Finalize the withdrawal process with the withdrawFromSFC function.
- Collection of rewards using the collectRewards function.

• Lastly, setting the default validator ID that would be delegated to upon deposit via the setDefaultValidatorId function.

It is important to note that it is assumed that the holders of the Registrator and Strategist roles will act in the best interest of the protocol, while also invoking the above functions in a timely manner.

Governance

The Strategy contract inherits from the Origin Governable contract allowing for the use of specific functions to be controlled by governance. This allows users to vote for changes to be made in the Strategy contract, such as:

- The setting of the Registrator role via the setRegistrator function.
- The setting of the platform token address via the setPTokenAddress function and removal of the platform token address with the removePToken function.
- The initialization of the Strategy contract with the initialize function
- The transfer of all Wrapped Sonic deposits back to the vault using the withdrawAll function. Note that this does not withdraw from delegated validators, only from the Strategy contract itself.
- Lastly, the ability to support or unsupport validators with the supportValidator and unsupportValidator functions, respectively.

It is assumed that Governance is properly conducted in a transparent manner, and that decisions, once made, are implemented effectively. This assumption encompasses several critical facets of governance, each contributing to the system's overall health, responsiveness, and trustworthiness.

Vault

Assumptions regarding the vault have been stated earlier in the report. The vault is not a particularly privileged role but has the authorization to:

- Deposit partial or full balance of the wrapped Sonic tokens from the vault to the strategy
 in order to be delegated to a validator. This is done with the deposit and
 depositAll functions.
- Withdraw partial or full balance of the Wrapped Sonic tokens from the strategy to the vault (only done if there are some wS tokens lingering in the contract). The withdrawal is performed using the withdraw and withdrawAll functions.

Medium Severity

M-01 Inconsistent Total Value with Fully Slashed Validators

The <u>withdrawFromSFC</u> function will <u>invoke the withdraw function</u> of Sonic's Special Fee Contract (SFC) and decrease <u>pendingWithdrawals</u> by the undelegated amount specified in the withdrawal request. Consequently, the return value of the <u>checkBalance</u> function will decrease by the same undelegated amount.

However, if the delegated validator is fully slashed (the penalty exceeds the undelegated amount), the SFC will not permit the withdrawal and will revert if the withdraw function is called. In this scenario, the withdrawFromSFC function cannot be executed, and the pendingWithdrawals will still hold the undelegated amount, despite the strategy being unable to reclaim these funds. Moreover, the rebasing and minting functions within the vault will be impacted, as they rely on the checkBalance function to calculate the total value.

Consider implementing a function to ensure that withdrawal requests are completed when a validator is fully slashed.

Update: Resolved in pull request #2413 at commit 06b823f. The Origin team stated:

This is a good spot. Rather than adding a separate function to handle withdrawal requests against validators that have been fully slashed, we have added a try-catch block to the withdrawFromSFC function in order to catch the StakeIsFullySlashed error.

Low Severity

L-01 collectRewards Can Be Front-Run With restakeRewards and Lead to Temporarily Locked Rewards

The registrator or strategist can call the collectRewards function to claim any pending validator rewards. Meanwhile, anyone can call the restakeRewards function to restake any pending validator rewards. This could lead to scenarios where the registrator or strategist sends transactions to collect rewards, but malicious users can repeatedly front-run these transactions with invocations of restakeRewards, thus causing the transactions to fail because the rewardsAmount will be zero. Even if the registrator or strategist calls the undelegate function to reclaim the rewards, they must wait for a period before unlocking the withdrawal amount.

Consider making the restakeRewards function only callable by specific users.

Update: Acknowledged, not resolved. The Origin team stated:

restakeRewards is permissionless so users can always have their returns compounded even if the Registrator or Strategist stop doing it. Most of the time, the rewards will be restaked. collectRewards covers the special case when a validator has been undelegated during an epoch and rewards are made claimable at the end of the epoch. The scenario outlined is possible. The best way to end an ongoing front-running attack over a small amount of rewards would be for the governor to unsupport the validator. That way, the restakeRewards function will no longer work for the validator.

L-02 Incomplete Docstrings

Within SonicValidatorDelegator.sol, multiple instances of incomplete docstrings were identified:

- In the <u>undelegate</u> function, the return value is not documented.
- In the <u>isWithdrawnFromSFC</u> function, the <u>_withdrawId</u> parameter is not documented.
- In the <u>setRegistrator</u> function, the <u>address</u> parameter is not documented.

- In the <u>setDefaultValidatorId</u> function, the <u>validatorId</u> parameter is not documented.
- In the supportValidator function, the _validatorId parameter is not documented.
- In the <u>unsupportValidator</u> function, the <u>validatorId</u> parameter is not documented.
- In the <u>isSupportedValidator</u> function, the <u>_validatorId</u> parameter is not documented.

Consider thoroughly documenting all functions/events (and their parameters or return values) that are part of a contract's public API. When writing docstrings, consider following the Ethereum Natural Specification Format (NatSpec).

Update: Resolved in pull request #2408 at commit 2c063a8.

L-03 Missing Docstrings

Within SonicValidatorDelegator.sol, multiple instances of missing docstrings were identified:

- The **Delegated** event
- The <u>Undelegated event</u>
- The Withdrawn event
- The <u>RegistratorChanged</u> event
- The <u>SupportedValidator</u> event
- The <u>UnsupportedValidator</u> event
- The <u>DefaultValidatorIdChanged</u> <u>event</u>
- The <u>initialize</u> function

Consider thoroughly documenting all functions (and their parameters) that are part of any contract's public API. Functions implementing sensitive functionality, even if not public, should be clearly documented as well. When writing docstrings, consider following the Ethereum Natural Specification Format (NatSpec).

Update: Acknowledged, not resolved. The Origin team stated:

Will not change We do not normally put NatSpec on events. These are all pretty straightforward forward so we do not see the added value of adding NatSpec to the Events. The initialize function is only used once and does not have any params. Thus, we think that we can leave off the NatSpec for it.

Notes & Additional Information

N-01 Lack of Indexed Event Parameters

Within SonicValidatorDelegator.sol, multiple instances of missing indexed event parameters were identified:

- The <u>Undelegated</u> event
- The <u>Withdrawn</u> <u>event</u>

To improve the ability of off-chain services to search and filter for specific events, consider indexing event parameters.

Update: Resolved in pull request #2409 at commit a2b231a.

N-02 Missing Named Parameters in Mapping

Since <u>Solidity 0.8.18</u>, developers can utilize named parameters in mappings. This means that mappings can take the form of <u>mapping(KeyType KeyName? => ValueType ValueName?)</u>. This updated syntax provides a more transparent representation of a mapping's purpose. In the <u>SonicValidatorDelegator</u> contract, the <u>withdrawals</u> mapping does not have any named parameters.

Consider adding named parameters to mappings in order to improve the readability and maintainability of the codebase.

Update: Acknowledged, will resolve. The Origin team stated:

This repo has been using Solidity 0.8.7 for many years. As part of deploying a product on Sonic, we upgraded Solidity to 0.8.28. Unfortunately, our tooling still needs to be brought up to the newer Solidity version. Specifically, our prettier plugin does not currently support named parameters in mapping. We tried upgrading prettier-plugin-solidity but that resulted in a massive amount of changes to our Solidity code base. Thus, we will leave doing such a large change to all our Solidity files for another time. Unnamed mappings can remain for now.

N-03 Unused Named Return Variable

Named return variables are a way to declare variables that are meant to be used within a function's body for the purpose of being returned as the function's output. They are an alternative to explicit in-line return statements. In SonicValidatorDelegator.sol contract, the withdrawId return variable for the undelegate function is unused.

Consider either using or removing the withdrawId named return variable.

Update: Resolved in pull request #2410 at commit 2d9f678.

N-04 Misleading Inline Documentation

Throughout the codebase, multiple instances of inaccurate or misleading documentation were identified:

- The documentation of the <u>collectRewards</u> function states, "Claim any pending validator rewards for all supported validators". However, the current implementation does not check if the validator is supported. In addition, according to the documentation of the <u>unsupportValidator</u> function, unsupported validators can still collect rewards.
- The documentation of the withdrawAll function states, "Any native S in this strategy will not be withdrawn". However, the implementation does withdraw any native S token in the strategy. This is done by first wrapping the S token balance of the strategy and then withdrawing the wS token balance from the strategy to the vault.
- The documentation of the <u>deposit</u> function states, "Deposit Wrapped Sonic (wS) to this strategy so it can later be delegated to a validator". The misleading part here is the "so it can later be delegated to a validator", as the function will unwrap the wS token and delegate the S token right away.

Clear inline documentation is fundamental to outlining the intentions of the code. Mismatches between the documentation and the implementation can lead to serious misconceptions about how the system is expected to behave. Therefore, consider fixing any discrepancies to avoid confusion for developers, users, and auditors.

Update: Resolved in pull request #2411 at commit be95c35.

Conclusion

We audited Origin's Sonic Staking contracts which enable staking and delegation of Sonic's native S token in the SFC contract. One medium-severity issue was identified, while several recommendations were made that were aimed at improving the readability and clarity of the codebase, and facilitating future audits, integrations, and development. The contracts were found to be very well-thought-out, well-documented, and of a high quality. We thank the Origin team for making themselves available and responsive throughout the audit period. We look forward to this staking strategy's success both on-chain and in DeFi generally.