

Origin OUSD Audit

ORIGIN

December 8, 2024

Table of Contents

Table of Contents	2
Summary	3
Scope	4
System Overview	5
Yield Delegation	5
Security Model and Trust Assumptions	6
Privileged Roles	6
Low Severity	7
L-01 Users Lack Control Over Yield Delegation	7
L-02 Missing Docstrings	7
L-03 Floating Pragma	8
Notes & Additional Information	9
N-01 Redundant Code	9
N-02 Incomplete Docstrings	9
N-03 Missing Named Parameters in Mappings	10
N-04 Multiple Optimizable Storage Operations	10
N-05 Typographical Errors	11
Conclusion	12

Summary

Type DeFi **Total Issues** 8 (4 resolved) From 2024-11-26 0 (0 resolved) **Timeline Critical Severity** To 2024-12-02 Issues Solidity 0 (0 resolved) Languages **High Severity** Issues **Medium Severity** 0 (0 resolved) Issues **Low Severity Issues** 3 (1 resolved) Notes & Additional 5 (3 resolved) Information

Scope

We audited the OriginProtocol/origin-dollar repository at commit 4495130.

In scope was the following file:

```
contracts

— contracts

— token

— OUSD.sol
```

System Overview

This audit focuses on reviewing an upgrade to the existing OUSD contract, which implements a rebasing ERC-20 token. The primary objective of the upgrade is to enable yield delegation, allowing an account to seamlessly transfer all earned yield to another account. Additionally, the upgrade addresses minor rounding issues in the contract's internal accounting mechanism.

Yield Delegation

Previously, there were only two account types in the system: rebasing and non-rebasing.

- Rebasing accounts earn yield, causing their balances to increase over time. The majority of accounts in the system fall under this type.
- Non-rebasing accounts do not earn yield. These are primarily used by contracts that lack support for non-transfer balance changes or yield distribution.

The current upgrade introduces two new account types to enhance functionality:

- Yield delegation source accounts: these accounts do not earn yield directly but instead transfer their yield to a designated target account.
- Yield delegation target accounts: these accounts earn yield both from their own balance and from balances delegated to them by source accounts.

It is important to note that yield delegation operates as a 1:1 relationship. For instance, if Account A delegates yield to Account B, then A cannot delegate yield to any other account, and B cannot receive yield from any other source. Additionally, an account cannot simultaneously function as both a yield delegator and a receiver.

Security Model and Trust Assumptions

An initial overview of the security considerations and trust assumptions is available in the previous Origin Dollar Audit report.

The upgrade reviewed in this audit introduces additional points for consideration:

- Governor-controlled yield delegation: Yield delegation is managed exclusively by the Governor. Consequently, accounts cannot voluntarily opt in or out of rebasing, nor can they choose who to delegate their yield to or receive yield from. This makes it critical for the Governor to act in good faith and honor users' intentions. Currently, the Governor is a Timelock contract, which enforces a delay between a proposal passing and its on-chain execution. Proposals can be created by holders of the xOGN token and must reach quorum to be approved.
- User-favoring rounding mechanics: Balances are rounded up in favor of users. Although the current rounding impact is negligible, it is essential to ensure that rebasingCreditsPerToken remains significantly higher than 1e18, as per the current implementation. If this value were to decrease substantially, malicious users could exploit the system by inflating their balance through operations that repeatedly trigger rounding in their favor. For context, the current rebasingCreditsPerToken value is approximately 6.76e26.

Privileged Roles

The system defines two roles with privileged access:

- Governor role: Responsible for initializing the contract, opting any account into rebasing, and enabling or disabling yield delegation between accounts.
- Vault role: Authorized to mint and burn tokens from accounts and adjust the total supply
 of OUSD, effectively distributing yield to all rebasing accounts.

This audit assumes that the entities managing these roles always act as intended.

Consequently, potential attacks or vulnerabilities involving misuse of these privileged roles were not considered within the scope of this audit.

Low Severity

L-01 Users Lack Control Over Yield Delegation

In the current implementation, the Governor role has <u>full control</u> over yield delegations, leading to several potential issues:

- The yield delegation process can be slow, as every delegation must pass quorum and is subject to the Timelock delay before it can be executed.
- Users have no direct control over their yield, relying entirely on the Governor to act in alignment with individual preferences. In extreme scenarios, the Governor could enforce undesirable delegations, such as requiring top OUSD holders to delegate their yield to a treasury under the Governor's control.
- Users may receive yield from accounts they did not consent to, potentially preventing them from receiving a higher yield from a desired source. Rectifying this would require submitting a governance proposal and waiting for the Timelock delay to elapse.

To address these concerns, consider granting users greater autonomy over their yield. For instance, the system could allow users to specify which accounts they wish to delegate to or receive yield from, as well as provide an option to opt in or out of yield delegation altogether.

Update: Acknowledged, not resolved. The Origin Protocol team stated:

Yield delegation being solely under the control of token holder governance is by design.

L-02 Missing Docstrings

Within OUSD.sol, multiple instances of missing docstrings were identified:

- The <u>TotalSupplyUpdatedHighres</u> event
- The <u>AccountRebasingEnabled</u> event
- The <u>AccountRebasingDisabled event</u>
- The <u>Transfer</u> event
- The Approval event
- The <u>YieldDelegated</u> event
- The <u>YieldUndelegated</u> event
- The **totalSupply** state variable

- The <u>vaultAddress</u> state variable
- The <u>rebaseState</u> state variable
- The <u>yieldTo</u> state variable
- The <u>yieldFrom</u> state variable
- The <u>initialize</u> function
- The <u>symbol</u> <u>function</u>
- The <u>name</u> function
- The <u>decimals</u> <u>function</u>

Consider thoroughly documenting all functions (and their parameters) that are part of any contract's public API. Functions implementing sensitive functionality, even if not public, should be clearly documented as well. When writing docstrings, consider following the Ethereum Natural Specification Format (NatSpec).

Update: Resolved in pull request #2319.

L-03 Floating Pragma

Pragma directives should be fixed to clearly identify the Solidity version with which the contracts will be compiled. However, the OUSD.sol file has the solidity ^0.8.0 floating pragma directive.

To improve reliability and avoid unintended issues caused by differences between compiler versions, consider using a fixed pragma directive.

Update: Acknowledged, not resolved. The Origin Protocol team stated:

For now, we will keep using the Solidity version in our build configuration since that version works better with our current tooling.

Notes & Additional Information

N-01 Redundant Code

Multiple instances of redundant code were identified in the OUSD contract:

- The <u>initialize</u> function <u>cannot</u> be executed since the contract was already initialized in previous versions. To avoid unnecessarily increasing code size, consider removing the function or commenting it out for future reference.
- In the <u>delegateYield</u> function, checking both the <u>yieldFrom/yieldTo</u> <u>mappings</u> and the <u>rebaseState</u> <u>mapping</u> is redundant, as the first check will only pass if the second passes, and vice versa. Consider removing the first check, as it involves more storage reads than the second one.
- In the <u>undelegateYield</u> function, there is no need to <u>set the credit balance</u> of the delegation source, as it was <u>already set</u> to their balance within <u>delegateYield</u>.

Consider removing these redundancies to enhance the clarity and efficiency of the codebase.

Update: Acknowledged, not resolved. The Origin Protocol team stated:

We require the initialize function for future token contract deployments that inherit OUSD.sol. Moreover, we intentionally set the credit balance in undelegateYield and check both mappings in delegateYield to prevent future changes from introducing an error in this critical part. Also, both of these functions are rarely called.

N-02 Incomplete Docstrings

Within OUSD.sol, the return value of the <u>transferFrom</u> and <u>approve</u> functions is not documented.

Consider thoroughly documenting all functions and events that are part of a contract's public API. When writing docstrings, consider following the Ethereum Natural Specification Format (NatSpec).

Update: Resolved in pull request #2321.

N-03 Missing Named Parameters in Mappings

Since <u>Solidity 0.8.18</u>, developers can utilize named parameters in mappings. This means mappings can take the form of <u>mapping(KeyType KeyName? => ValueType ValueName?)</u>. This updated syntax provides a more transparent representation of a mapping's purpose.

Within OUSD. sol, multiple instances of mappings without named parameters were identified:

- The <u>allowances</u> state variable
- The creditBalances state variable
- The <u>alternativeCreditsPerToken</u> <u>state variable</u>
- The rebaseState state variable
- The <u>yieldTo</u> <u>state variable</u>
- The <u>yieldFrom</u> <u>state variable</u>

Consider adding named parameters to mappings in order to improve the readability and maintainability of the codebase.

Update: Acknowledged, not resolved. The Origin Protocol team stated:

We are using an older version of Solidity and cannot use named parameters in mappings at this time

N-04 Multiple Optimizable Storage Operations

Multiple optimizable storage reads and writes were identified in the OUSD contract:

- In the <u>_creditsPerToken</u> function, the <u>alternativeCreditsPerToken</u> mapping is <u>accessed twice</u> for the same key.
- In the changeSupply function, the <u>totalSupply</u>, <u>rebasingCredits</u>, and <u>rebasingCreditsPerToken</u> state variables are read multiple times.
- In the undelegateYield function, the yieldTo mapping is accessed twice for the same key.
- Within the <u>_adjustAccount</u> function, the <u>alternativeCreditsPerToken</u> for non-rebasing accounts is always <u>set to 1e18</u>, even when the mapping already has that value.

To lower gas consumption, consider reducing unnecessary storage reads by caching these values in memory variables, and only write to storage when the value needs to be updated.

Update: Resolved in <u>pull request #2322</u> and <u>pull request #2325</u>. The Origin Protocol team stated:

- creditsPerToken has been optimized as suggested.
- changeSupply is rarely called and, in this instance, we prefer code readability over gas optimization.
- undelegateYield is rarely called and, in this instance, we prefer code readability over gas optimization.
- adjustAccount has been optimized as suggested.

N-05 Typographical Errors

Throughout the <u>OUSD</u> contract, there are multiple instances of incorrect documentation and typographical errors:

- On line 411, "therefor" should be "therefore".
- On line 564, "their" should be "its".
- On line 427, "Address" should be "Balance".

Consider correcting these instances to improve the quality of the documentation.

Update: Resolved in pull request #2323.

Conclusion

This audit focused on an upgrade to the OUSD contract, with the primary change being the ability to delegate yield from one account to another. We did not identify any major issues with the upgrade; it remains compatible with the previous version, and the contract should continue to function as intended following the implementation update. While some cases result in the protocol rounding balances in favor of users, the resulting gains are negligible and unlikely to pose any issues or be exploitable by malicious actors.

We provided several recommendations to enhance the overall quality of the codebase. The Origin team was cooperative and responsive to our questions throughout the audit process.