

Supreme Court of Florida

MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 30, 2024

Rusty Miller, et al.,
Petitioner(s)

v.

State of Florida,
Respondent(s)

SC2024-1179

Lower Tribunal No(s).:

6D2024-1378;

482022CF000688000AOX

Petitioner is hereby directed to show cause on or before October 15, 2024, why the petition for writ of mandamus should not be dismissed as unauthorized. See *Logan v. State*, 846 So. 2d 472, 479 (Fla. 2003) (announcing that "we will not entertain pro se extraordinary writ petitions from criminal defendants seeking affirmative relief in the context of pending trial court criminal cases, where it is clear from the face of the petitions that the petitioners are represented by counsel in the pending criminal proceedings and the petitioners do not clearly indicate that they are seeking to discharge counsel in those proceedings"); *Johnson v. State*, 974 So. 2d 363, 363-64 (Fla. 2008) (clarifying that "the rule we announced in *Logan* . . . applies to pro se filings in this Court by litigants represented by counsel in criminal proceedings pending in a district court of appeal"). Respondent may serve a reply on or before October 25, 2024. No pro se motions or other requests for relief will be ruled upon pending resolution of this show cause order.

A True Copy

Test:

SC2024-1179 9/30/2024

John A. Tomasino

Clerk, Supreme Court

SC2024-1179 9/30/2024



CASE NO.: SC2024-1179

Page Two

KS

Served:

CRIMINAL APPEALS DAB ATTORNEY GENERAL

KELLI LYNCH

RUSTY MILLER

GARY SCHWARTZ