

# COUNTING COVERS OF ELLIPTIC CURVES

Orlando

May 4, 2015

## Contents

<b>1. Quasimodular forms</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1. The space of quasimodular forms . . . . .	2
<b>2. Basic facts and definitions</b>	<b>6</b>
2.1. Complex curves . . . . .	6
<b>3. Covers of an elliptic curve</b>	<b>7</b>
3.1. Connected covers . . . . .	7
3.2. Covers . . . . .	8
<b>4. Appendix A: Calculations</b>	<b>10</b>
4.1. Quasimodular forms . . . . .	10

# 1. Quasimodular forms

This section introduces quasimodular forms as described in [2].

## 1.1. The space of quasimodular forms

Let  $\mathcal{H} = \{\tau \in \mathbb{C}; \Im(\tau) > 0\}$  denote the upper half-plane. For  $\tau \in (H)$ , define  $q = \exp(2\pi\tau)$  and  $Y = 4\pi\Im(\tau)$ . Further, let  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \subset \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$  denote the full modular group. Then  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  operates on  $\mathcal{H}$  by

$$\gamma\tau = \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}, \text{ for } \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}).^1$$

**Definition.** A *modular form (of weight  $k$ )* is a holomorphic function  $f$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  satisfying the modular condition  $f(\gamma\tau) = (c\tau + d)^k f(\tau)$  for all  $\tau$  in  $\mathcal{H}$ , which is holomorphic at infinity.

A function satisfying the modular condition is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -periodic, hence induces a map  $f_\infty(\zeta)$ , holomorphic for  $\zeta \neq 0$ , such that  $f(\tau) = f_\infty(q)$ . The condition that  $f$  should be holomorphic at infinity means that the function  $f_\infty$  should be holomorphic at zero.

Note that if  $k$  is odd, then any function satisfying the modular condition of  $k$  is zero.

The modular forms of weight  $k$  form a vector space, denoted by  $M_k$ . Multiplying two modular forms having the weights  $k$  and  $l$  yields a modular form of weight  $k+l$ , giving the space  $\bigoplus_k M_k$  the structure of a graded ring, denoted by  $M_*$ .

**Examples.** For an even integer  $k \geq 2$ , the *Eisenstein series of weight  $k$*  is the function

$$E_k(\tau) = 1 - \frac{2k}{b_k} \sum_{n \geq 1} \sigma_{k-1}(n) q^n,$$

where  $b_k$  is the  $k$ -th Bernoulli number, and  $\sigma_{k-1}(n) = \sum_{m|n} m^{k-1}$ . By definition, these functions are holomorphic at infinity. For  $k \geq 4$ , the Eisenstein series of weight  $k$  is a modular form of weight  $k$ . One proves this for example by showing that for  $k \geq 4$ , the series  $E_k$  is a multiple of the function  $G_k(\tau) = \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus (0,0)} (m\tau + n)^{-k}$ , which is indeed modular of weight  $k$ .

The function  $\Delta = 2^{-6}3^{-3}(E_4^3 - E_6^2)$  is a modular form of weight 12. By a theorem of Jacobi, one has

$$\Delta(\tau) = q \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)^{24}.$$

The theory of modular forms, including the above equality, is developed in more detail in [3]. Therein, one also finds a proof of the following proposition, which characterizes the space of modular forms.

<sup>1</sup>To see that  $\gamma\tau \in \mathcal{H}$ , note that  $\Im(\gamma\tau) = \Im(\tau)/|c\tau + d|^2$ .

**Proposition 1.1.** *There is an isomorphism of graded rings  $\mathbb{C}[X_4, X_6] \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_*$  mapping  $X_i$  to  $E_i$ , where the former ring is graded by assigning to  $X_i$  the degree  $i$ . In particular, there are no nonzero modular forms of negative weight.*

**Definition.** An almost holomorphic modular form (of weight  $k$ ) is a function  $F$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  of the form

$$F(\tau) = \sum_{m=0}^M f_m(\tau) Y^{-m}$$

satisfying the modular condition  $F(\gamma\tau) = (c\tau + d)^k F(\tau)$ , where the  $f_m$  are holomorphic functions, holomorphic at infinity.

Even though  $Y$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -periodic, it is not a priori clear whether the modular condition already implies that the  $f_m$  are  $\mathbb{Z}$ -periodic, which is required to justify the above definition. Nevertheless, this is a consequence of the following proposition, which allows comparing  $Y$ -coefficients.

**Proposition 1.2.** *Let  $F$  be a function of the form  $F(\tau) = \sum_{m=0}^M f_m(\tau) Y^{-m}$ , for some holomorphic  $f_m$ . If  $F = 0$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ , then all the coefficients  $f_m$  are zero on  $\mathcal{H}$ .*

*Proof.* For the differential operator  $\frac{d}{d\bar{\tau}}$  one has  $\frac{d}{d\bar{\tau}} Y^{-m} = -2\pi i m Y^{-m-1}$  and  $\frac{d}{d\bar{\tau}} f_m = 0$ , hence

$$0 = \frac{d}{d\bar{\tau}} F(\tau) = -2\pi i \sum_{m=1}^M f_m(\tau) Y^{-m-1} = -2\pi i Y^{-2} \left( \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} f_{m+1}(\tau) Y^{-m} \right).$$

By induction this implies that the  $f_m$  are zero for  $m \geq 1$ , hence also  $f_0 = 0$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 1.3.** *Let  $F(\tau) = \sum_{m=0}^M f_m(\tau) Y^{-m}$  be an almost holomorphic modular form. Then the leading coefficient  $f_M$  is a modular form of weight  $k - 2M$ . In particular, if  $f_M \neq 0$ , then  $2M \leq k$ .*

*Proof.* This follows after comparing the coefficients of  $Y^{-M}$  in both sides of the modularity condition  $F(\gamma\tau) = (c\tau + d)^k F(\tau)$ , using the equality

$$Y^{-1}(\gamma\tau) = (c\tau + d)^2 Y(\tau)^{-1} + \frac{c(c\tau + d)}{2\pi i}$$

for  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ .  $\square$

The almost holomorphic modular forms of weight  $k$  form a vector space, denoted by  $\widehat{\mathbb{M}}_k$ . Let  $\widehat{\mathbb{M}}_*$  denote the associated graded ring.

**Definition.** An element in the image of the map  $\widehat{\mathbb{M}}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C})$  taking an almost holomorphic modular form  $F = \sum_{m=0}^M f_m Y^{-m}$  of weight  $k$  to  $f_0$  is called a *quasimodular form of weight  $k$* . Hence a quasimodular form is a holomorphic function on the upper plane appearing as the constant term of an almost holomorphic modular form.

Again, denote the vector space of quasimodular forms of weight  $k$  by  $\tilde{M}_k$  and the associated graded ring by  $\tilde{M}_*$ . The definition gives a surjective graded ring homomorphism  $\hat{M}_* \rightarrow \tilde{M}_*$  and one has  $\hat{M}_k \cap \tilde{M}_k = M_k$ .

**Example.** Consider the second Eisenstein series

$$E_2(\tau) = 1 - 24 \sum_{n \geq 1} \sigma_1(n) q^n,$$

where  $\sigma_1(n) = \sum_{d|n} d$ . For the weight 12 modular form  $\Delta(\tau) = q \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)^{24}$ , one has the identity  $2\pi i E_2(\tau) = \frac{d}{d\tau} \log(\Delta(\tau))$ , which is proven by a straightforward computation. Using the modularity of  $\Delta$ , one then computes

$$E_2(\gamma\tau) = (c\tau + d)^2 E_2(\tau) + \frac{6c(c\tau + d)}{\pi i},$$

for  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .

Now, since  $Y^{-1}(\gamma\tau) = (c\tau + d)^{-2} Y(\tau)^{-1} + \frac{c(c\tau + d)}{2\pi i}$ , it follows that  $E_2^* = E_2 - 12/Y$  is an almost holomorphic modular form of weight 2. Hence,  $E_2$  is a quasimodular form of weight 2.

**Proposition 1.4.** *The space  $\tilde{M}_*$  of quasimodular forms satisfies the following properties.*

1. *The canonical graded homomorphism  $\hat{M}_* \rightarrow \tilde{M}_*$  is an isomorphism.*
2. *There is an isomorphism of graded rings  $M_* \otimes \mathbb{C}[X_2] \simeq \mathbb{C}[X_2, X_4, X_6] \rightarrow \tilde{M}_*$  mapping  $X_i$  to  $E_i$ , where the former ring is graded by assigning to  $X_i$  the degree  $i$ .*
3. *Quasimodular forms are closed under taking derivatives.*

*Proof.* 1. The map  $\hat{M}_* \rightarrow \tilde{M}_*$  is surjective by definition. Injectivity follows from Calculation 4.1. Given an almost holomorphic modular form  $F(\tau) = \sum_{m=1}^M f_m(\tau) Y^{-m}$  with constant term zero, the strategy is to solve the modularity equation for the coefficients  $f_m$ . This way, one finds for a fixed argument  $\tau$  a polynomial equation in the lower row components  $c, d$  of any transformation  $\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , involving the coefficients  $f_m(\tau)$ . By varying the transformation  $\gamma$ , one may force these coefficients to be zero.

2. Express the map  $\mathbb{C}[X_2, X_4, X_6] \rightarrow \tilde{M}_*$  as the composition

$$\mathbb{C}[X_2^*, X_4, X_6] \rightarrow \hat{M}_* \rightarrow \tilde{M}_*,$$

where the first map takes  $X_2^*$  to  $E_2^*$  and  $X_i$  to  $E_i$ , and the second map is the canonical map, which is an isomorphism by the first point above.

To prove the surjectivity of the first map, let  $F(\tau) = \sum_{m=0}^M f_m(\tau) Y^{-m}$  be an almost holomorphic modular form. Then  $f_M(E_2^*/12)^M$  is an almost holomorphic modular form of weight  $k$ , since  $f_M$  is modular of weight  $k - 2M$ , and the difference  $F - f_M(E_2^*/12)^M$  has degree smaller than  $M$ . Now use induction on  $M$ .

To get injectivity, let  $F = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{k/2} (E_2^*)^\alpha f_{k-2\alpha}$  be an almost holomorphic modular form of weight  $k$ , in the image of the first map, where the  $f_m$  are modular of weight  $m$ . If  $F = 0$ , then by comparing the coefficients of  $Y^{-k/2}$  one obtains  $0 = f_0$ . Now it follows by induction on  $k$  that the other coefficients  $f_m$  are zero. Hence  $F$  was the image of the zero element in  $M_* \otimes \mathbb{C}[X_2^*]$ .

3. To prove the last assertion, one verifies that  $(6/\pi i)E_2' - E_2^2$  is modular of weight 4, and that if  $f$  is modular of weight  $k$ , then  $(6/\pi i)f' - kE_2 f$  is modular of degree  $2 + k$ . Now use the second point above.

□

## 2. Basic facts and definitions

In this section we will fix some notation and recall the definitions and basic properties of the objects of this thesis. We will follow [4].

### 2.1. Complex curves

**Proposition 2.1.** *The assignment  $C \mapsto K(C)$  defines a contravariant equivalence of categories between the category of irreducible smooth curves over  $\mathbb{C}$  and the category of finitely generated, transcendence degree one, field extensions of  $\mathbb{C}$ . By definition, degree  $d$  maps of curves correspond to degree  $d$  field extensions.*

*Proof.* See [4] pp. 20-22. □

**Proposition 2.2** (Riemann-Hurwitz formula). *Let  $\varphi: C_1 \rightarrow C_2$  be a finite, degree  $d$  map of smooth curves of genera  $g_1$  and  $g_2$ , respectively. Then*

$$2g_1 - 2 = d(2g_2 - 2) + \sum_{x \in C_1} (e_\varphi(x) - 1),$$

where  $e_\varphi(x)$  is the ramification index of  $\varphi$  at  $x$ .

### 3. Covers of an elliptic curve

#### 3.1. Connected covers

In the following, let  $\mathbb{C}$  be the ground field for all varieties considered.

**Definition.** Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve.

1. A (degree  $d$ , genus  $g$ , connected) cover of  $E$  is a finite, degree  $d$  morphism  $p: C \rightarrow E$  of an irreducible smooth curve  $C$  of genus  $g$  onto  $E$ . Denote such a cover by  $(C, p)$ , possibly omitting the structure map  $p$ .
2. If  $S = b_1, \dots, b_{2g-2}$  is a set of  $2g-2$  distinct points of  $E$ , call a cover  $C$  *simply branched over  $S$* , if it is simply branched over each point of  $S$ . This means that for all points  $b$  of  $S$  there is exactly one point  $x$  in  $p^{-1}(b)$  with ramification index  $e_p(x) = 2$ , the others having a ramification index of one. It follows from the Riemann-Hurwitz formula of Proposition 2.2 that every point not in the pre-image of  $S$  has a ramification index of one. This justifies the choice of the number of points in  $S$ .
3. Two covers  $C_1, C_2$  are to be considered isomorphic, if there is an isomorphism  $C_1 \rightarrow C_2$  commuting with the respective structure maps into  $E$ . Accordingly, define the automorphism group  $\text{Aut}_p(C) = \text{Aut}(C)$  of the cover  $(C, p)$  to be the group of cover isomorphisms  $C \rightarrow C$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $C$  be a connected cover of  $E$ . Then the automorphism group of  $C$  is finite.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 2.1, if  $C$  is a degree  $d$  connected cover, the elements of  $\text{Aut}(C)$  correspond to the automorphisms of the degree  $d$  field extension  $K(C)/K(E)$ , of which only finitely many exist.  $\square$

**Remark.** The degree  $d$  connected covers of an elliptic curve  $E$  form a set. Indeed, they correspond by Proposition 2.1 to elements of the power set of the algebraic closure of  $K(E)$ .

**Definition.** Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve,  $S = b_1, \dots, b_{2g-2}$  a set of  $2g-2$  distinct points of  $E$ .

1. Denote the set of isomorphism classes of degree  $d$ , genus  $g$ , simply branched over  $S$ , connected covers of  $E$  by  $\text{Cov}(E, S)_{g,d}^\circ$ .
2. Any isomorphism of two equivalent covers defines a bijection of their automorphism groups. This allows to define the *weight* of the class  $[(C, p)]$  to be the number  $1/|\text{Aut}_p(C)|$ .
3. Define  $N_{g,d}$  to be the weighted count

$$\sum_{C \in \text{Cov}(E, S)_{g,d}^\circ} \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(C)|}.$$

The elliptic curve  $E$  and the set of points  $S$  are omitted from the notation, a priori for brevity. It will turn out that  $N_{g,d}$  is finite and does not depend on the choice of  $E$  and  $S$ .

**Definition.** For any  $g \geq 1$ , define  $F_g$  to be the generating series

$$F_g(q) = \sum_{d \geq 1} N_{g,d} q^d$$

counting covers of genus  $g$ .

This thesis shall prove the following result.

**Theorem 3.2** (Dijkgraaf). *Let  $g \geq 2$ , and for  $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$  let  $q(\tau) = \exp(2\pi i \tau)$ . Then the function  $F_g \circ q$  is a quasimodular form of weight  $6g - 6$ .*

The strategy to prove the theorem will involve considering a larger class of curves covering the fixed elliptic curve, also allowing “disconnected” covers. The covers in this more general sense will be easier to count.

### 3.2. Covers

**Definition.** Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve,  $S = b_1, \dots, b_{2g-2}$  a set of  $2g - 2$  distinct points of  $E$ .

1. A (degree  $d$ , genus  $g$ .) cover of  $E$  is a finite, degree  $d$  morphism  $p: C \rightarrow E$  of a disjoint union  $C = \cup_i C_i$  of  $k$  irreducible smooth curves  $C_i$  of genus  $g$  onto  $E$ . Again, often a cover will be identified with its source  $C$ .
2. A cover  $C$  is *simply branched* over  $S$ , if it is simply branched over each point of  $S$ . Hence the cover  $C$  has  $2g - 2$  ramification points.
3. We define the notion of isomorphic covers and the automorphism group  $\text{Aut}_p(C)$  of a cover as before.
4. For a cover  $(\cup_i C_i, p)$  we define the maps  $p_i$  to be the restrictions to the  $C_i$  of the structure map  $p$ . These are finite maps, whose degrees we denote by  $d_i$ .

**Remark.** By the Riemann-Hurwitz formula, the maps  $p_i$  have  $2g_i - 2$  ramification points on  $C_i$ . Hence, the following relations hold:

$$\sum_i d_i = d, \text{ and } \sum_i (2g_i - 2) = 2g - 2.$$

**Remark.** The automorphism group of a cover  $C = C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_k$  is the semidirect product

$$\text{Aut}_p(C) = \prod_i \text{Aut}_{p_i}(C_i) \rtimes \Gamma,$$

where  $\Gamma \subset S_k$  is the subgroup of the permutations of the components such that each orbit is contained in an isomorphism class of connected covers over  $E$ .



Indeed, since cover isomorphisms must permute isomorphic components, there is a homomorphism of  $\text{Aut}(C)$  into  $\Gamma$  which is the identity on  $\Gamma$ , viewed as a subset of  $\text{Aut}(C)$ , having as kernel the product  $\prod_i \text{Aut}_{p_i}(C_i)$ .

If the cover  $C$  is simply branched over  $\Gamma$ , then no two components of genus greater than one are isomorphic as connected covers, since any isomorphism would have to preserve ramification indices (see for example [4], prop. 2.6 c), but no two components share a branched point over  $E$ . In particular, if there are no components of genus one, then  $\Gamma = 1$ .

On the other hand, each component of genus one is unramified over  $E$ , and could be isomorphic to other components of genus one, in which case  $\Gamma$  is nontrivial.

**Definition.** Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve,  $S = b_1, \dots, b_{2g-2}$  a set of  $2g-2$  distinct points of  $E$ .

1. Denote the set of isomorphism classes of degree  $d$ , genus  $g$ , simply branched over  $S$ , covers of  $E$  by  $\text{Cov}(E, S)_{g,d}$ .
2. Assign to an element  $[(C, p)]$  of  $\text{Cov}(E, S)_{g,d}$  the *weight*  $1/\text{Aut}_p(C)$ . This is again well-defined.
3. Define  $\hat{N}_{g,d}$  to be the weighted count of the elements of  $\text{Cov}(E, S)_{g,d}$  with the weighting defined above. As before, the data  $E$  and  $S$  are omitted from the notation, since  $\hat{N}_{g,d}$  will turn out not to depend on them.

**Definition.** The generating functions  $Z(q, \lambda)$ , respectively  $\hat{Z}(q, \lambda)$ , for the quantities  $N_{g,d}$ , respectively  $\hat{N}_{g,d}$ , are defined as follows:

$$Z(q, \lambda) = \sum_{g \geq 1} \sum_{d \geq 1} \frac{N_{g,d}}{(2g-2)!} q^d \lambda^{(2g-2)} = \sum_{g \geq 1} \frac{F_g(q)}{(2g-2)!} \lambda^{(2g-2)},$$

$$\hat{Z}(q, \lambda) = \sum_{g \geq 1} \sum_{d \geq 1} \frac{\hat{N}_{g,d}}{(2g-2)!} q^d \lambda^{(2g-2)}.$$

**Lemma 3.3.** *The generating functions are related by  $\hat{Z}(q, \lambda) = \exp(Z(q, \lambda)) - 1$ .*

*Proof.*

□

## 4. Appendix A: Calculations

### 4.1. Quasimodular forms

**Calculation 4.1.** This calculation follows the one found in [1]. Let  $F(\tau) = \sum_{m=1}^M f_m(\tau)Y^{-m}$  be an almost holomorphic modular form,  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ , and  $\tau \in \mathcal{H}$ . Write  $j = c\tau + d$ , and  $\alpha = 6cj/2\pi i$ . Then  $Y^{-1}(\gamma\tau) = \alpha + j^2 Y(\tau)^{-1}$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} F(\gamma\tau) &= \sum_{m=1}^M f_m(\gamma\tau)(\alpha + j^2 Y^{-1})^m \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{l=0}^m \binom{m}{l} f_m(\gamma\tau) \alpha^{m-l} j^{2l} Y^{-l} \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^M f_m(\gamma\tau) \alpha^m + \sum_{l=1}^M \sum_{m=l}^M \binom{m}{l} f_m(\gamma\tau) \alpha^{m-l} j^{2l} Y^{-l}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$F(\gamma\tau) = \sum_{l=1}^M f_l(\tau) j^k Y^{-l},$$

by the modularity condition. By comparing the coefficients of  $Y^{-l}$ , one obtains the equalities

$$\sum_{m=1}^M f_m(\gamma\tau) \alpha^m = 0 \quad (1)$$

and

$$j^k f_l(\tau) = \sum_{m=l}^M \binom{m}{l} f_m(\gamma\tau) \alpha^{m-l} j^{2l}.$$

Rewriting the second equality yields

$$f_l(\gamma\tau) = f_l(\tau) j^{k-2l} - \sum_{m=l+1}^M \binom{m}{l} f_m(\gamma\tau) \alpha^{m-l}. \quad (2)$$

The latter may be solved recursively, starting by  $f_M$ , to get equalities of the form

$$f_l(\gamma\tau) = (\text{a polynomial in the } f_{\geq l}(\tau), j \text{ and } c). \quad (3)$$

The first two equalities are

$$\begin{aligned} f_M(\gamma\tau) &= f_M(\tau) j^{k-2M} \\ f_{M-1}(\gamma\tau) &= f_{M-1}(\tau) j^{k-2M+2} - \text{const} \cdot f_M(\tau) j^{k-2M+1} c. \end{aligned}$$

In general, a straightforward inductive argument shows that in the summands of the expression (2) for  $f_l(\gamma\tau)$ , the variable  $j$  appears with a power lower than or equal to  $k-2l$ . Now let  $r$  be the greatest index such that  $f_r \neq 0$ .

Equation (1) finally gives, after substituting back the expressions for  $j$  and  $a$  and using (2) for  $l = r$ , the relation

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \kappa_1 f_r(\gamma\tau)(c\tau + d)^r c^r + \sum_{l=r+1}^M \kappa_3 f_l(\gamma\tau)(c\tau + d)^l c^l \\ &= \kappa_1 f_r(\tau)(c\tau + d)^{k-r} c^r - \\ &\quad - \sum_{m=r+1}^M \kappa_2 \binom{m}{r} f_m(\gamma\tau)(c\tau + d)^{m-r} c^{m-r} + \sum_{l=r+1}^M \kappa_3 f_l(\gamma\tau)(c\tau + d)^l c^l, \end{aligned}$$

where the  $\kappa_i$  are some nonzero constants. To obtain a contradiction, choose a point  $\tau$  in the upper half-plane and consider the last relation as a polynomial equation in  $c$  and  $d$ , letting  $P(c, d)$  denote the right-hand side of the equation. First look for the possible coefficients of monomials of the form  $c^r d^{\geq 1}$ . This excludes the third summand from the picture, since there  $c$  will always appear with a power greater than  $r$ . Next look for the possible coefficients of the monomial  $c^r d^{k-r}$ . As seen when recursively solving the equations for  $f_l(\gamma\tau)$ , the second summand will include only terms where  $(c\tau + d)$  appears with a power lower than  $k - r$ . Hence the coefficient of  $c^r d^{k-r}$  in  $P(c, d)$  is  $\kappa_1 f_r(\tau)$ .

Now, if  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then there are infinitely many  $d \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $P(c, d) = 0$ . Indeed, there are infinitely many  $d$  with  $\gcd(c, d) = 1$ . For these  $d$ , find  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $ad - bc = 1$ . Since  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , it follows that  $P(c, d) = 0$ . Similarly, for all  $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there are infinitely many  $c$  such that  $P(c, d) = 0$ . It thus follows that  $P(c, d) = 0$  holds for all  $c, d \in \mathbb{C}$ . These remarks may be summarized by the statement that the set of all  $c, d$  belonging to the lower row of some matrix in  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  is Zariski-dense in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ .

Concluding, since  $P$  is zero as a function on  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , it is also zero as a polynomial, hence the coefficient  $\kappa_1 f_r(\tau)$  is zero. Since  $\tau$  was arbitrary, one finds  $f_r = 0$ , a contradiction.

## References

- [1] S. Bloch A. Okounkov. The character of the infinite wedge representation.
- [2] M. Kaneko D. Zagier. A generalized jacobi theta function and quasimodular forms.
- [3] J. P. Serre. *A Course in Arithmetic*.
- [4] J. H. Silverman. *The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*. Springer-Verlag, 2nd edition, 2009.