COUNTING COVERS OF ELLIPTIC CURVES

Orlando Marigliano

May 10, 2015

Contents

| 1. | . Quasimodular forms | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----|-----------------------------|--|----|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 1.1. | The space of modular forms $\dots \dots \dots \dots$ | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1.2. | The space of quasimodular forms | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2. | Bas | Basic facts and definitions | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2.1. | Covering spaces | 7 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2.2. | Complex curves | 8 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2.3. | Further definitions | 9 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. | Covers of an elliptic curve | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 3.1. | Connected covers | 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 3.2. | Covers | 11 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. | Clas | ssifying covers via the fundamental group | 14 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4.1. | Marked covers and the monodromy map | 14 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4.2. | Counting covers | 16 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| 5 1 | C | uasimodula | ar forms | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 7 |
|-------|------|------------|-----------|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|-----|-----|
| υ. Ι. | - Vo | uasimouuid | ai ioiins | | • | • | | | | | | | | | _ T | . 1 |

1. Quasimodular forms

This section introduces quasimodular forms as described in [2].

1.1. The space of modular forms

Let $\mathcal{H} = \{ \tau \in \mathbb{C} : \Im(\tau) > 0 \}$ denote the upper half-plane. For $\tau \in (H)$, define $q = \exp(2\pi\tau)$ and $Y = 4\pi\Im(\tau)$. Further, let $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \subset \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ denote the full modular group. Then $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ operates on \mathcal{H} by

$$\gamma \tau = \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}$$
, for $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}).^1$

Definition. A modular form (of weight k) is a holomorphic function f on \mathcal{H} satisfying the modular condition $f(\gamma\tau) = (c\tau + d)^k f(\tau)$ for all τ in \mathcal{H} , which is holomorphic at infinity.

A function satisfying the modular condition is \mathbb{Z} -periodic, hence induces a map $f_{\infty}(\zeta)$, holomorphic for $\zeta \neq 0$, such that $f(\tau) = f_{\infty}(q)$. The condition that f should be holomorphic at infinity means that the function f_{∞} should be holomorphic at zero.

Note that if k is odd, then any function satisfying the modular condition of k is zero.

The modular forms of weight k form a vector space, denoted by M_k . Multiplying two modular forms having the weights k and l yields a modular form of weight k + l, giving the space $\bigoplus_k M_k$ the structure of a graded ring, denoted by M_* .

Examples. For an even integer $k \geq 2$, the *Eisenstein series of weight* k is the function

$$E_k(\tau) = 1 - \frac{2k}{b_k} \sum_{n>1} \sigma_{k-1}(n) q^n,$$

where b_k is the k-th Bernoulli number, and $\sigma_{k-1}(n) = \sum_{m|n} m^{k-1}$. By definition, these functions are holomorphic at infinity. For $k \geq 4$, the Eisenstein series of weight k is a modular form of weight k. One proves this for example by showing that for $k \geq 4$, the series E_k is a multiple of the function $G_k(\tau) = \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus (0,0)} (m\tau + n)^{-k}$, which is indeed modular of weight k.

To see that $\gamma \tau \in \mathcal{H}$, note that $\Im(\gamma \tau) = \Im(\tau)/|c\tau + d|^2$.

The function $\Delta = 2^{-6}3^{-3}(E_4^3 - E_6^2)$ is a modular form of weight 12. By a theorem of Jacobi, one has

$$\Delta(\tau) = q \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1-q)^{24}.$$

The theory of modular forms, including the above equality, is developed in more detail in [4]. Therein, one also finds a proof of the following proposition, which characterizes the space of modular forms.

Proposition 1.1.1. There is an isomorphism of graded rings $\mathbb{C}[X_4, X_6] \to M_*$ mapping X_i to E_i , where the former ring is graded by assigning to X_i the degree i. In particular, there are no nonzero modular forms of negative weight.

1.2. The space of quasimodular forms

Definition. An almost holomorphic modular form (of weight k) is a function F on \mathcal{H} of the form

$$F(\tau) = \sum_{m=0}^{M} f_m(\tau) Y^{-m}$$

satisfying the modular condition $F(\gamma \tau) = (c\tau + d)^k F(\tau)$, where the f_m are holomorphic functions, holomorphic at infinity.

Even though Y is \mathbb{Z} -periodic, it is not a priori clear whether the modular condition already implies that the f_m are \mathbb{Z} -periodic, which is required to justify the above definition. Nevertheless, this is a consequence of the following proposition, which allows comparing Y-coefficients.

Proposition 1.2.2. Let F be a function of the form $F(\tau) = \sum_{m=0}^{M} f_m(\tau) Y^{-m}$, for some holomorphic f_m . If F = 0 on \mathcal{H} , then all the coefficients f_m are zero on \mathcal{H} .

Proof. For the differential operator $\frac{d}{d\bar{\tau}}$ one has $\frac{d}{d\bar{\tau}}Y^{-m} = -2\pi i m Y^{-m-1}$ and $\frac{d}{d\bar{\tau}}f_m = 0$, hence

$$0 = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\bar{\tau}}F(\tau) = -2\pi i \sum_{m=1}^{M} f_m(\tau)Y^{-m-1} = -2\pi i Y^{-2} (\sum_{m=0}^{M-1} f_{m+1}\tau Y^{-m}).$$

By induction this implies that the f_m are zero for $m \geq 1$, hence also $f_0 = 0$.

Corollary 1.2.3. Let $F(\tau) = \sum_{m=0}^{M} f_m(\tau) Y^{-m}$ be an almost holomorphic modular form. Then the leading coefficient f_M is a modular form of weight k-2M. In particular, if $f_M \neq 0$, then $2M \leq k$.

Proof. This follows after comparing the coefficients of Y^{-M} in both sides of the modularity condition $F(\gamma\tau) = (c\tau + d)^k F(\tau)$, using the equality

$$Y^{-1}(\gamma \tau) = (c\tau + d)^{2} Y(\tau)^{-1} + \frac{c(c\tau + d)}{2\pi i}$$

for
$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}).$$

The almost holomorphic modular forms of weight k form a vector space, denoted by $\widehat{\mathbf{M}}_k$. Let $\widehat{\mathbf{M}}_*$ denote the associated graded ring.

Definition. An element in the image of the map $\widehat{\mathbf{M}}_k \to \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C})$ taking an almost holomorphic modular form $F = \sum_{m=0}^M f_m Y^{-m}$ of weight k to f_0 is called a *quasimodular form of weight* k. Hence a quasimodular form is a holomorphic function on the upper plane appearing as the constant term of an almost holomorphic modular form.

Again, denote the vector space of quasimodular forms of weight k by $\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_k$ and the associated graded ring by $\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_*$. The definition gives a surjective graded ring homomorphism $\widehat{\mathbf{M}}_* \to \widecheck{\mathbf{M}}_*$ and one has $\widehat{\mathbf{M}}_k \cap \widecheck{\mathbf{M}}_k = \mathbf{M}_k$.

Example. Consider the second Eisenstein series

$$E_2(\tau) = 1 - 24 \sum_{n \ge 1} \sigma_1(n) q^n,$$

where $\sigma_1(n) = \sum_{d|n} d$. For the weight 12 modular form $\Delta(\tau) = q \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q)^{24}$, one has the identity $2\pi i E_2(\tau) = \frac{d}{d\tau} \log(\Delta(\tau))$, which is proven by a straightforward computation. Using the modularity of Δ , one then computes

$$E_2(\gamma \tau) = (c\tau + d)^2 E_2(\tau) + \frac{6c(c\tau + d)}{\pi i},$$

for
$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$$
.

Now, since $Y^{-1}(\gamma\tau) = (c\tau + d)^2 Y(\tau)^{-1} + \frac{c(c\tau + d)}{2\pi i}$, it follows that $E_2^* = E_2 - 12/Y$ is an almost holomorphic modular form of weight 2. Hence, E_2 is a quasimodular form of weight 2.

Proposition 1.2.4. The space \widetilde{M}_* of quasimodular forms satisfies the following properties.

- 1. The canonical graded homomorphism $\widehat{M}_* \to \widetilde{M}_*$ is an isomorphism.
- 2. There is an isomorphism of graded rings $M_* \otimes \mathbb{C}[X_2] \simeq \mathbb{C}[X_2, X_4, X_6] \to \widetilde{M}_*$ mapping X_i to E_i , where the former ring is graded by assigning to X_i the degree i.
- 3. Quasimodular forms are closed under taking derivatives.
- Proof. 1. The map $\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_* \to \widetilde{\mathrm{M}}_*$ is surjective by definition. Injectivity follows from Calculation 5.1.1. Given an almost holomorphic modular form $F(\tau) = \sum_{m=1}^M f_m(\tau) Y^{-m}$ with constant term zero, the strategy is to solve the modularity equation for the coefficients f_m . This way, one finds for a fixed argument τ a polynomial equation in the lower row components c,d of any transformation $\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, involving the coefficients $f_m(\tau)$. By varying the transformation γ , one may force these coefficients to be zero.
- 2. Express the map $\mathbb{C}[X_2, X_4, X_6] \to \widetilde{M}_*$ as the composition

$$\mathbb{C}[X_2^*, X_4, X_6] \to \widehat{\mathrm{M}}_* \to \widetilde{\mathrm{M}}_*,$$

where the first map takes X_2^* to E_2^* and X_i to E_i , and the second map is the canonical map, which is an isomorphism by the first point above.

To prove the surjectivity of the first map, let $F(\tau) = \sum_{m=0}^{M} f_m(\tau) Y^{-m}$ be an almost holomorphic modular form. Then $f_M(E_2^*/12)^M$ is an almost holomorphic modular form of weight k, since f_M is modular of weight k-2M, and the difference $F-f_M(E_2^*/12)^M$ has degree smaller than M. Now use induction on M.

To get injectivity, let $F = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{k/2} (E_2^*)^{\alpha} f_{k-2\alpha}$ be an almost holomorphic modular form of weight k, in the image of the first map, where the f_m are modular of weight m. If F=0, then by comparing the coefficients of $Y^{-k/2}$ one obtains $0=f_0$. Now it follows by induction on k that the other coefficients f_m are zero. Hence F was the image of the zero element in $M_* \otimes \mathbb{C}[X_2^*]$.

3. To prove the last statement, one verifies that $(6/\pi i)E_2' - E_2^2$ is modular of weight 4, and that if f is modular of weight k, then $(6/\pi i)f' - kE_2f$ is modular of degree 2 + k. Now use the second point above.

2. Basic facts and definitions

In this section we will fix some notation and recall the definitions and basic properties of the objects of this thesis.

2.1. Covering spaces

Definition. Let X be a topological space, F a set, G a group operating on both X and F. Define the fibred product $X \times_G F$ to be the topological space $(X \times F) / \sim$, where $(x, f) \sim (gx, gf)$ for all g in G.

Proposition 2.1.1. Let X be a connected, locally pathwise connected, and semi-locally simply connected topological space. Let $p: \widetilde{X} \to X$ be a universal cover. Furthermore, choose a point \widetilde{x}_0 of \widetilde{X} , and let x_0 be the image of \widetilde{x}_0 in X. Then there is an equivalence of categories

$$\{Unbranched\ covers\ of\ X\} \longrightarrow \{\pi_1(X,x_0)\text{-}sets\},\$$

defined by the pair of quasi-inverse functors

$$(p_Y \colon Y \to X) \mapsto p_Y^{-1}(x_0) \text{ and } F \mapsto \widetilde{X} \times_{\pi_1} F.$$

Proof. One verifies by hand that the given functors are mutually quasi-inverse, by using elementary covering theory. Nonetheless, the needed isomorphisms between objects are given below.

Let F be a π_1 -set and $p_F \colon \widetilde{X} \times_{\pi_1} F \to X$ the associated covering. Define a map $\zeta_F \colon F \to p_F^{-1}(x_0)$ by sending an element f to the class of (\widetilde{x}_0, f) . This map is surjective by definition, and is injective since the π_1 -action on \widetilde{X} is free.

On the other hand, let $p_Y : Y \to X$ be a cover of X. Define a map

$$\eta_Y: \widetilde{X} \times_{\pi_1} p_Y^{-1} \to Y$$

as follows. For a given class (\tilde{x}, f) , let $\beta \colon [0, 1] \to \widetilde{X}$ be a path starting in \tilde{x}_0 and ending in \tilde{x} . Consider the projection $p\beta$ of β to X and lift the path $p\beta$ to a path $\tilde{\beta}_f$ in Y, with starting point f. Finally, set $\eta_Y(\tilde{x}, f) = \tilde{\beta}_f(1)$. Note that since \widetilde{X} is simply connected, this is independent of the choice of the path β . Also, the map is well-defined, since $p\beta\tilde{\gamma} = p\beta$ for any lift $\tilde{\gamma}$ of a loop in X.

 η_Y is surjective: for $y \in Y$, let β be a path in X with starting point $p_Y(y)$ and endpoint x_0 . Let $f = \widetilde{\beta}_y(1)$, be the endpoint of the lift of β to Y with starting point y. Then y is the image of $(\widetilde{\beta}_{\widetilde{x}_0}(1), f)$ under η_Y , where $\widetilde{\beta}_{\widetilde{x}_0}$ is a lift of β to \widetilde{X} with starting point \widetilde{x}_0 . To see that the map is injective, given any two points $(\widetilde{x}_1, f), (\widetilde{x}_2, g)$ mapping to the same point in Y, define a path in \widetilde{X} connecting \widetilde{x}_1 to \widetilde{x}_2 , and use the paths given by the definition of η_Y to construct the loop in X that will take (\widetilde{x}_1, f) to (\widetilde{x}_2, g)

Remark. In the above proposition, if X has the structure of a Riemann surface, then the first category may be taken to be the category of unbranched covers of Riemann surfaces over X. Indeed, every cover inherits a complex structure from X such that the structure map becomes holomorphic, and morphisms of covers of X are automatically holomorphic: in general, if fg and f are holomorphic, then g is.

Furthermore, let X be a Riemann surface, let $S \subset X$ be a finite set. Then putting $(C, p) \mapsto (C \setminus p^{-1}(S), p)$ defines an equivalence of categories between the category of finite covers of X with ramification locus S and the category of finite unbranched covers of $X \setminus S$. The reason is roughly that the local data of an unbranched cover around a "missing" branch point uniquely characterizes that of any extention of that cover to a ramified one, e.g. the local degree of the cover map will correspond to the ramification index. The topic of extending unbranched covers to branched ones is discussed in detail in [3], 4.6.

2.2. Complex curves

Proposition 2.2.2. The assignment $C \mapsto K(C)$ defines a contravariant equivalence of categories between the category of irreducible smooth curves over \mathbb{C} and the category of finitely generated, transcendence degree one, field extensions of \mathbb{C} . By definition, degree d maps of curves correspond to degree d field extensions.

Proposition 2.2.3 (Riemann-Hurwitz formula). Let $\varphi: C_1 \to C_2$ be a finite, degree d map of smooth curves of genera g_1 and g_2 , respectively. Then

$$2g_1 - 2 = d(2g_2 - 2) + \sum_{x \in C_1} (e_{\varphi}(x) - 1),$$

where $e_{\varphi}(x)$ is the ramification index of φ at x.

2.3. Further definitions

Definition. Let X be a set. A weighting on X is a function $w: X \to \mathbb{R}$. For an element x of X, the value w(x) is called the weight of x. The weighted count of the elements of X is defined as the sum $\sum_{x \in X} w(x)$.

3. Covers of an elliptic curve

3.1. Connected covers

In the following, let \mathbb{C} be the ground field for all varieties considered.

Definition. Let E be an elliptic curve.

- 1. A (degree d, genus g, connected) cover of E is a finite, degree d morphism $p: C \to E$ of an irreducible smooth curve C of genus g onto E. Denote such a cover by (C, p), possibly omitting the structure map p.
- 2. If $S = b_1, \ldots, b_{2g-2}$ is a set of 2g-2 distinct points of E, call a cover C simply branched over S, if it is simply branched over each point of S. This means that for all points b of S there is exactly one point x in $p^{-1}(b)$ with ramification index $e_p(x) = 2$, the others having a ramification index of one.
 - It follows from the Riemann-Hurwitz formula of Proposition 2.2.3 that every point not in the pre-image of S has a ramification index of one. This justifies the choice of the number of points in S.
- 3. Two covers C_1, C_2 are to be considered isomorphic, if there is an isomorphism $C_1 \to C_2$ commuting with the respective structure maps into E. Accordingly, define the automorphism group $\operatorname{Aut}_p(C) = \operatorname{Aut}(C)$ of the cover (C, p) to be the group of cover isomorphisms $C \to C$.

Proposition 3.1.1. Let C be a connected cover of E. Then the automorphism group of C is finite.

Proof. By Proposition 2.2.2, if C is a degree d connected cover, the elements of $\operatorname{Aut}(C)$ correspond to the automorphisms of the degree d field extension K(C)/K(E), of which only finitely many exist.

Remark. The degree d connected covers of an elliptic curve E form a set. Indeed, they correspond by Proposition 2.2.2 to elements of the power set of the algebraic closure of K(E).

Definition. Let E be an elliptic curve, $S = b_1, \ldots, b_{2g-2}$ a set of 2g - 2 distinct points of E.

1. Denote the set of isomorphism classes of degree d, genus g, simply branched over S, connected covers of E by $Cov(E, S)_{g,d}^{\circ}$.

- 2. Any isomorphism of two equivalent covers defines a bijection of their automorphism groups. This allows to define the weight of the class [(C, p)] to be the number $1/|\operatorname{Aut}_p(C)|$.
- 3. Define $N_{g,d}$ to be the weighted count

$$\sum_{C \in \text{Cov}(E,S)_{g,d}^{\circ}} \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Aut}(C)|}.$$

The elliptic curve E and the set of points S are omitted from the notation, a priori for brevity. It will turn out that $N_{g,d}$ is finite and does not depend on the choice of E and S.

Definition. For any $g \geq 1$, define F_g to be the generating series

$$F_g(q) = \sum_{d>1} N_{g,d} q^d$$

counting covers of genus q.

This thesis shall prove the following result.

Theorem 3.1.2 (Dijkgraaf). Let $g \geq 2$, and for $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$ let $q(\tau) = \exp(2\pi i \tau)$. Then the function $F_g \circ q$ is a quasimodular form of weight 6g - 6.

The strategy to prove the theorem will involve considering a larger class of curves covering the fixed elliptic curve, also allowing "disconnected" covers. The covers in this more general sense will be easier to count.

3.2. Covers

Definition. Let E be an elliptic curve, $S = b_1, \ldots, b_{2g-2}$ a set of 2g - 2 distinct points of E.

- 1. A (degree d, genus g,) cover of E is a finite, degree d morphism $p: C \to E$ of a disjoint union $C = \bigcup_i C_i$ of k irreducible smooth curves C_i of genus g onto E. Again, often a cover will be identified with its source C.
- 2. A cover C is simply branched over S, if it is simply branched over each point of S. Hence the cover C has 2g-2 ramification points.

- 3. We define the notion of isomorphic covers and the automorphism group $\operatorname{Aut}_p(C)$ of a cover as before.
- 4. For a cover $(\bigcup_i C_i, p)$ we define the maps p_i to be the restrictions to the C_i of the structure map p. These are finite maps, whose degrees we denote by d_i .

Remark. By the Riemann-Hurwitz formula, the maps p_i have $2g_i - 2$ ramification points on C_i . Hence, the following relations hold:

$$\sum_{i} d_i = d$$
, and $\sum_{i} (2g_i - 2) = 2g - 2$.

Remark. The automorphism group of a cover $C = C_1 \cup \cdots \cup C_k$ is the semidirect product

$$\operatorname{Aut}_p(C) = \prod_i \operatorname{Aut}_{p_i}(C_i) \rtimes \Gamma,$$

where $\Gamma \subset S_k$ is the subgroup of the permutations of the components such that each orbit is contained in an isomorphism class of connected covers over E.

Indeed, since cover isomorphisms must permute isomorphic components, there is a homomorphism of $\operatorname{Aut}(C)$ into Γ which is the identity on Γ , viewed as a subset of $\operatorname{Aut}(C)$, having as kernel the product $\prod_i \operatorname{Aut}_{p_i}(C_i)$.

If the cover C is simply branched over S, then no two components of genus greater than one are isomorphic as connected covers, since any isomorphism would have to preserve ramification indices (see for example [5], prop. 2.6 c), but no two components share a branched point over E. In particular, if there are no components of genus one, then $\Gamma = 1$.

On the other hand, each component of genus one is unramified over E, and could be isomorphic to other components of genus one, in which case Γ is nontrivial.

Definition. Let E be an elliptic curve, $S = b_1, \ldots, b_{2g-2}$ a set of 2g - 2 distinct points of E.

- 1. Denote the set of isomorphism classes of degree d, genus g, simply branched over S, covers of E by $Cov(E, S)_{g,d}$.
- 2. Assign to an element [(C, p)] of $Cov(E, S)_{g,d}$ the weight $1/|\operatorname{Aut}_p(C)|$. This is again well-defined.

3. Define $\widehat{N}_{g,d}$ to be the weighted count of the elements of $\operatorname{Cov}(E,S)_{g,d}$ with the weighting defined above. As before, the data E and S are omitted from the notation, since $\widehat{N}_{g,d}$ will turn out not to depend on them.

Definition. The generating functions $Z(q, \lambda)$, respectively $\widehat{Z}(q, \lambda)$, for the quantities $N_{g,d}$, respectively $\widehat{N}_{g,d}$, are defined as follows:

$$Z(q,\lambda) = \sum_{g \ge 1} \sum_{d \ge 1} \frac{N_{g,d}}{(2g-2)!} q^d \lambda^{(2g-2)} = \sum_{g \ge 1} \frac{F_g(q)}{(2g-2)!} \lambda^{(2g-2)},$$
$$\widehat{Z}(q,\lambda) = \sum_{g \ge 1} \sum_{d \ge 1} \frac{\widehat{N}_{g,d}}{(2g-2)!} q^d \lambda^{(2g-2)}.$$

Lemma 3.2.3. The generating functions are related by $\widehat{Z}(q,\lambda) = \exp(Z(Q,\lambda)) - 1$.

Proof.

4. Classifying covers via the fundamental group

Let E be an elliptic curve, $S = \{b_1, \ldots, b_{2g-2}\}$ a set of 2g-2 distinct points of E. Fix a basis point $b_0 \in E \setminus S$, and denote the fundamental group $\pi_1(E \setminus S, b_0)$ by π_1 . Recall the equivalence of categories from 2.1.:

{Finite ramified covers of E with ramification locus S} $\longrightarrow \{\pi_1\text{-sets}\}.$

The goal of this section is to use this equivalence of categories to classify those π_1 -sets giving rise to unbranched covers that, after adding the branched points, become the covers we are interested in, i.e. the over S simply branched, genus g, degree d covers. To obtain natural π_1 -actions on the set of d fibre points of b_0 , it is convenient to introduce markings on the set of fibres.

4.1. Marked covers and the monodromy map

Definition. A marked (degree d, genus g, simply branched over S) cover of E is a triple (C, p, m), where $(C, p) \in \text{Cov}(E, S)_{g,d}$ and $m \colon p^{-1}(b_0) \to \{1, \dots, d\}$ is a bijective map, the marking of (C, p, m).

Two marked covers (C_1, p_1, m_1) and (C_2, p_2, m_2) are considered equivalent, if there is an isomorphism of covers $\phi \colon C_1 \to C_2$ such that $m_1 = m_2 \phi$. Let $\widetilde{\text{Cov}}(E, S)_{g,d}$ denote the set of equivalence classes of marked covers with respect to this relation.

Definition. Let (C, p) be a cover of E. Denote the group operation of π_1 on the fibre of $p^{-1}(b_0)$ by $(\gamma, x) \mapsto \gamma \cdot x$. Define the monodromy map

mon:
$$\widetilde{\text{Cov}}(E, S)_{a,d} \to \text{Hom}(\pi_1, S_d)$$

by $mon(C, p, m)(\gamma)(i) = m(\gamma \cdot m^{-1}(i)).$

Let the symmetric group S_d operate on the first set by σ . $(C, p, m) = (C, p, \sigma m)$, and on the second by σ . $\psi = \text{inn}(\sigma)\psi$, i.e. by inner automorphisms. Then mon becomes a morphism of S_d -sets. Furthermore, for an element $\psi = \text{mon}(C, p, m)$ of the image of mon, the group action "forgetting the marking"

$$m^{-1}\psi(\underline{})m \colon \pi_1 \to \operatorname{Aut}(p^{-1}(b_0))$$

on the fiber of b_0 is the same as the one defined by the above equivalence of categories.

Definition. The S_d -set $\widehat{T}_{q,d}$ is defined by

$$\widehat{T}_{g,d} = \{(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{2g-2}, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) \in \mathbf{S}_d^{2g} ; \text{ each } \tau_i \text{ is a simple transposition}, \\ \tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2g-2} = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_1^{-1} \sigma_2^{-1} \},$$

where the S_d -action is defined by conjugation in each component.

Proposition 4.1.1. The image of mon is isomorphic as a S_d -set to $\hat{T}_{g,d}$.

Proof. The fundamental group π_1 of $E \setminus S$ is described by the following generating set and relation:

$$\pi_1 = \langle \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{2g-2}, \alpha_1, \alpha_2; \ \gamma_1 \dots \gamma_{2g-2} = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_1^{-1} \alpha_2^{-1} \rangle.$$

For over S simply branched covers, the image of each loop γ_i under the monodromy map is a simple transposition τ_i . Namely, there is over b_i exactly one branch point of index 2, and τ_i interchanges the two fiber points corresponding to the two sheets of the branching, leaving the other fiber points unchanged.

Combining these remarks, one finds that putting

$$\psi \mapsto (\psi(\gamma_1), \dots, \psi(\gamma_{2g-2}), \psi(\alpha_1), \psi(\alpha_2))$$

defines the required isomorphism, which is compatible with the S_d -action. \Box

Proposition 4.1.2. The morphism of S_d -sets $\rho \colon \widetilde{Cov}(E,S)_{g,d} \to \widehat{T}_{g,d}$ induces a bijection on the sets of orbits

$$S_d \setminus \widetilde{Cov}(E, S)_{g,d} \to S_d \setminus \widehat{T}_{g,d}$$
.

Proof. To see that ρ is surjective, let $t \in \widehat{T}_{g,d}$, and let $\psi_t : \pi_1 \to S_d$ be the corresponding group homomorphism. By the above equivalence of categories, the π_1 -action on $\{1,\ldots,d\}$ defined by ψ_t gives a finite, unbranched cover of Riemann surfaces $C' \to E \setminus S$, which may be extended to a branched cover $C \to E$, see the remark in 2.1.. The π_1 -action on $\{1,\ldots,d\}$ gives the π_1 -action on the fiber of the basis point b_0 associated to (C,p), showing that the extension C has the right branching.

For the injectivity on the sets of orbits, let $\rho(C_1, p_1, m_1) = t$ and $\rho(C_2, p_2, m_2) = \sigma \cdot \psi_t$, for some $t \in \hat{T}_{g,d}$ and $\sigma \in S_d$. Then $\rho(C_2, p_2, \sigma^{-1}m_2) = t$. Let ψ_t define the associated group action on $\{1, \ldots, d\}$, hence the group action on the

fibers. From the equivalence of categories follows that the two marked covers differ only by the marking: $C_1 \simeq C_2$. Hence, the two marked covers are in the same orbit.

Remark. The S_d -orbits of $\widetilde{Cov}(E,S)_{g,d}$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the elements of $Cov(E,S)_{g,d}$. The above proposition gives thus a bijection of $Cov(E,S)_{g,d}$ with the set of S_d -orbits of $\widehat{T}_{g,d}$.

4.2. Counting covers

By the above discussion, we get an algebraic description of the weighted count $\widehat{N}_{g,d}$ of genus g, degree d, simply branched over S, covers of E.

Proposition 4.2.3. Let (C, p, m) be a marked cover and t its image under ρ . Then there is a group isomorphism $\operatorname{Aut}_p(C) \to \operatorname{Stab}(t)$.

Proof. Let ϕ_t be the group homomorphism $\pi_1 \to S_d$ corresponding to t. By the equivalence of categories, $\operatorname{Aut}_p(C)$ is isomorphic to the group of automorphisms of the π_1 -action on $\{1,\ldots,d\}$ defined by ψ_t , i.e. those elements σ in the symmetry group S_d commuting with ψ_t , i.e. such that $\psi_t = \operatorname{inn}(\sigma)\psi_t$. This condition translates under the isomorphism of S_d -sets in 4.1.1

Lemma 4.2.4. The following equality for the weighted count $\widehat{N}_{g,d}$ holds:

$$\widehat{N}_{a,d} = |\widehat{T}_{a,d}|/d!$$
.

Proof. By propositions 4.1.2 and 4.2.3, the weighted count $\widehat{N}_{g,d}$ is equal to the weighted count of the S_d -orbits of $\widehat{T}_{g,d}$, where each orbit is weighted by $1/|\operatorname{Stab}(t)|$, for any element t in the orbit (this is well-defined since elements of the same orbits have isomorphic stabilizer subgroups). Now, it follows from the formula $|\operatorname{Orb}(t)| = |\operatorname{S}_d|/|\operatorname{Stab}(t)|$ that this weighted count equals $|\widehat{T}_{g,d}|/d!$.

5. Appendix A: Calculations

5.1. Quasimodular forms

Calculation 5.1.1. This calculation follows the one found in [1]. Let $F(\tau) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} f_m(\tau) Y^{-m}$ be an almost holomorphic modular form, $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$, and $\tau \in \mathcal{H}$. Write $j = c\tau + d$, and $a = 6cj/2\pi i$. Then $Y^{-1}(\gamma \tau) = a + j^2 Y(\tau)^{-1}$. Hence,

$$F(\gamma\tau) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} f_m(\gamma\tau)(a+j^2Y^{-1})^m$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{l=0}^{m} {m \choose l} f_m(\gamma\tau)a^{m-l}j^{2l}Y^{-l}$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^{M} f_m(\gamma\tau)a^m + \sum_{l=1}^{M} \sum_{m=l}^{M} {m \choose l} f_m(\gamma\tau)a^{m-l}j^{2l}y^{-l}.$$

On the other hand,

$$F(\gamma \tau) = \sum_{l=1}^{M} f_l(\tau) j^k Y^{-l},$$

by the modularity condition. By comparing the coefficients of Y^{-l} , one obtains the equalities

$$\sum_{m=1}^{M} f_m(\gamma \tau) a^m = 0 \tag{1}$$

and

$$j^{k} f_{l}(\tau) = \sum_{m=l}^{M} {m \choose l} f_{m}(\gamma \tau) a^{m-l} j^{2l}.$$

Rewriting the second equality yields

$$f_l(\gamma \tau) = f_l(\tau) j^{k-2l} - \sum_{m=l+1}^{M} {m \choose l} f_m(\gamma \tau) a^{m-l}.$$
 (2)

The latter may be solved recursively, starting by f_M , to get equalities of the form

$$f_l(\gamma \tau) =$$
(a polynomial in the $f_{\geq l}(\tau)$, j and c). (3)

The first two equalities are

$$f_M(\gamma \tau) = f_M(\tau) j^{k-2M}$$

$$f_{M-1}(\gamma \tau) = f_{M-1}(\tau) j^{k-2M+2} - \text{const} \cdot f_M(\tau) j^{k-2M+1} c.$$

In general, a straightforward inductive argument shows that in the summands of the expression (2) for $f_l(\gamma \tau)$, the variable j appears with a power lower than or equal to k-2l. Now let r be the greatest index such that $f_r \neq 0$. Equation (1) finally gives, after substituting back the expressions for j and a and using (2) for l=r, the relation

$$0 = \kappa_1 f_r(\gamma \tau) (c\tau + d)^r c^r + \sum_{l=r+1}^M \kappa_3 f_l(\gamma \tau) (c\tau + d)^l c^l$$

= $\kappa_1 f_r(\tau) (c\tau + d)^{k-r} c^r -$
- $\sum_{m=r+1}^M \kappa_2 {m \choose r} f_m(\gamma \tau) (c\tau + d)^{m-r} c^{m-r} + \sum_{l=r+1}^M \kappa_3 f_l(\gamma \tau) (c\tau + d)^l c^l,$

where the κ_i are some nonzero constants. To obtain a contradiction, choose a point τ in the upper half-plane and consider the last relation as a polynomial equation in c and d, letting P(c,d) denote the right-hand side of the equation. First look for the possible coefficients of monomials of the form $c^r d^{\geq 1}$. This excludes the third summand from the picture, since there c will always appear with a power greater than r. Next look for the possible coefficients of the monomial $c^r d^{k-r}$. As seen when recursively solving the equations for $f_l(\gamma \tau)$, the second summand will include only terms where $(c\tau + d)$ appears with a power lower than k - r. Hence the coefficient of $c^r d^{k-r}$ in P(c,d) is $\kappa_1 f_r(\tau)$.

Now, if $c \in \mathbb{Z}$, then there are infinitely many $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that P(c,d) = 0. Indeed, there are infinitely many d with $\gcd(c,d) = 1$. For these d, find $a,b \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that ad - bc = 1. Since $\binom{a}{c}\binom{a}{d} \in \operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, it follows that P(c,d) = 0. Similarly, for all $d \in \mathbb{Z}$, there are infinitely many c such that P(c,d) = 0. It this follows that P(c,d) = 0 holds for all $c,d \in \mathbb{C}$. These remarks may be summarized by the statement that the set of all c,d belonging to the lower row of some matrix in $\operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is Zariski-dense in \mathbb{C}^2 .

Concluding, since P is zero as a function on \mathbb{C}^2 , it is also zero as a polynomial, hence the coefficient $\kappa_1 f_r(\tau)$ is zero. Since τ was arbitrary, one finds $f_r = 0$, a contradiction.

References

- [1] S. Bloch A. Okounkov. The character of the infinite wedge representation.
- [2] M. Kaneko D. Zagier. A generalized jacobi theta function and quasimodular forms.
- [3] Klaus Lamotke. Riemannsche Flächen.
- [4] J. P. Serre. A Course in Arithmetic.
- [5] J. H. Silverman. *The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*. Springer-Verlag, 2nd edition, 2009.