

VERLINDE BUNDLES

Orlando Marigliano

10.4.2017

Contents

1. Verlinde bundles on Lefschetz pencils	1
References	5

1. Verlinde bundles on Lefschetz pencils

The thesis [\[Hem\]](#) studies Verlinde bundles for families of polarized schemes. This section further discusses the example of the universal family of quartics in \mathbb{P}^3 , after summarizing some of its properties.

Denote by $|\mathcal{O}(4)|$ the complete linear system $\mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{O}(4)))$ of quartics in \mathbb{P}^3 . Consider the universal family $\pi: \mathfrak{X} \longrightarrow |\mathcal{O}(4)|$, given by

$$\mathfrak{X} = \{(x, q) \in \mathbb{P}^3 \times |\mathcal{O}(4)| : x \in q\}.$$

The family \mathfrak{X} is a closed subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^3 \times |\mathcal{O}(4)|$.

Throughout, the coordinates of \mathbb{P}^3 will be denoted by x_i , $i = 0, \dots, 4$.

We define the line bundle \mathcal{L} on \mathfrak{X} as the restriction of $\mathcal{O}(1) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}$ to \mathfrak{X} , in other words as the pullback of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ under the canonical projection $\mathfrak{X} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$.

Proposition 1.1. *Let $k \geq 1$. The following statements hold:*

- 1. If $q \in |\mathcal{O}(4)|$ then $h^0(\mathfrak{X}_q, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}|_q) = \binom{k+3}{3} - \binom{k-1}{3}$. In particular this dimension is independent of the rank q .*
- 2. The sheaf $\pi_* \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}$ is locally free of rank $\binom{k+3}{3} - \binom{k-1}{3}$.*

3. For all cartesian diagrams of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{X}_Z & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{X} \\ \downarrow & \times & \downarrow \pi \\ Z & \xrightarrow{\rho} & |\mathcal{O}(4)| \end{array}$$

we have $\rho^* \pi_* \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k} \simeq (\pi_Z)_* \mathcal{L}_Z^{\otimes k}$.

Proof. For the first statement, see the proof of [Hem, Proposition 4.1]. The others follow from Grauert's Theorem [Vak17, 28.1.5]. \square

Let $t \subseteq |\mathcal{O}(4)|$ be the closed subscheme defined as the image of a linear embedding $\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow |\mathcal{O}(4)|$. We call t a *Lefschetz pencil* of quartics. Its universal family is the scheme $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$, which comes equipped with the pullback line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$. The situation is summarized in the picture below:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{P}^1} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{X} \\ \downarrow & \times & \downarrow \pi \\ \mathbb{P}^1 & \longrightarrow & |\mathcal{O}(4)| \end{array}$$

For $k \geq 1$, we define the k -th Verlinde bundles $V_k := \pi_* \mathcal{L}^k$ and $V_{k,t} := (\pi_{\mathbb{P}^1})_* \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^k$. These bundles are related by $V_k|_t = V_{k,t}$ using Proposition 1.1.

Proposition 1.2. *There exists a short exact sequence of coherent $\mathcal{O}_{|\mathcal{O}(4)|}$ -modules*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1) \otimes H^0(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{O}(k-4)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O} \otimes H^0(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{O}(k)) \longrightarrow V_k \longrightarrow 0.$$

Let I_d range over the tuples of the form (i_0, \dots, i_3) with $\sum i_j = d$. The first map is then given by $\xi \otimes x^{I_{k-4}} \mapsto \sum_{I_4} \xi x^{I_4} \otimes x^{I_{k-4} + I_4}$.

Proof. See [Hem, Proposition 4.2]. \square

Remark 1.3. Let t be a Lefschetz pencil of quartics.

1. The sequence from Proposition 1.2 restricts to a sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1) \otimes H^0(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{O}(k-4)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O} \otimes H^0(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{O}(k)) \longrightarrow V_{k,t} \longrightarrow 0$$

over \mathbb{P}^1 .

2. The vector bundle $V_{k,t}$ has determinant $\mathcal{O}(\binom{k-1}{3})$ and rank $\binom{k+3}{3} - \binom{k-1}{3}$.

Definition 1.4. Let $k \geq 1$.

1. A *type candidate* for V_k is a non-decreasing tuple (d_1, \dots, d_r) of non-negative integers with $r = \text{rk } V_k$ and $\sum d_i = \binom{k-1}{3}$.
2. The *general type candidate* for V_k is the unique¹ type candidate for V_k of the form $(d, \dots, d, d+1, \dots, d+1)$.
3. Let t be a Lefschetz pencil of quartics. The *type* of $V_{k,t}$ is the unique type candidate (d_i) such that $V_{k,t} \simeq \bigoplus \mathcal{O}(d_i)$.
4. We say that $V_{k,t}$ has *general type* if its type (d_i) is a general type candidate.

The rational points of $\text{Gr}(2, 35)$ correspond to the Lefschetz pencils of quartics $t \subseteq |\mathcal{O}(4)|$ in the following way. Let P the universal \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle over $\text{Gr}(2, 35)$. It comes equipped with a projection map $P \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ such that for all Lefschetz pencils of quartics t' there exists a unique rational point $t \in \text{Gr}(2, 35)$ and a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} P_t & \xrightarrow{\quad} & P & \xrightarrow{p} & |\mathcal{O}(4)| \\ \downarrow & & \times & & \downarrow \varphi \\ \text{Spec}(\kappa(t)) & \longrightarrow & \text{Gr}(2, 35) & & \end{array}$$

such that the image of the fiber P_t in $|\mathcal{O}(4)|$ is t' .

Definition 1.5. Let $k \geq 1$ and (d_i) be a type candidate for V_k . We define the set $Z_{(d_i)}$ of all rational points $t \in \text{Gr}(2, 35)$ such that $V_{k,t}$ has type (d_i) . For the set of points t where $V_{k,t}$ has generic type, we also write Z_{gen} .

Proposition 1.6. *The set Z_{gen} is Zariski open. Its complement is the union*

$$\text{Gr}(2, 35) \setminus Z_{\text{gen}} = \text{Supp}(R^1 \varphi_* p^* V_k(-d-1)) \cup \text{Supp}(R^1 \varphi_* p^* V_k(-d)^\vee),$$

where d is the smaller of the two numbers appearing in the general type candidate $(d, \dots, d, d+1, \dots, d+1)$ for V_k .

Proof. We begin by finding a characterization of the set Z_{gen} via cohomology.

Let $t \in \text{Gr}(2, 35)$ be a rational point, write $V_{k,t} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathcal{O}(d_i)$. The conditions that for all i we have $d \leq d_i$ and $d_i \leq d+1$ are equivalent to the conditions

$$H^1(P_t, V_{k,t}(-d-1)) = 0 \text{ and } H^1(P_t, V_{k,t}(-d)^\vee) = 0,$$

¹The equations $ad + bd + b = \binom{k-1}{3}$ and $a + b = \text{rk } V_k$ have an unique solution (a, b) .

respectively. Both conditions together are in turn equivalent to $t \in Z_{\text{gen}}$.

Next, we want to use the Cohomology and Base Change Theorem [Vak17, 28.1.6] on the map $\varphi: P \rightarrow \text{Gr}(2, 25)$, which is a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle, in particular proper and flat. The last property ensures that locally free sheaves on P are flat over $\text{Gr}(2, 35)$.

For all rational $t \in \text{Gr}(2, 35)$ we have

$$h^2(P_t, p^*V_{k,t}(-d-1)) = 0 \text{ and } h^2(P_t, p^*V_{k,t}(-d)^\vee) = 0.$$

Since the sheaves $p^*V_{k,t}(-d-1)$ and $p^*V_{k,t}(-d)^\vee$ are locally free and coherent, the Cohomology and Base Change Theorem applies and we have

$$(R^1\varphi_*p^*V_k(-d-1))_t = H^1(P_t, V_{k,t}(-d-1))$$

and

$$(R^1\varphi_*p^*V_k(-d)^\vee)_t = H^1(P_t, V_{k,t}(-d)^\vee).$$

By the previous characterization, we have

$$\text{Gr}(2, 35) \setminus Z_{\text{gen}} = \text{Supp}(R^1\varphi_*p^*V_k(-d-1)) \cup \text{Supp}(R^1\varphi_*p^*V_k(-d)^\vee),$$

which is a Zariski-closed set. □

Proposition 1.7. *The closed subsets*

1. $\text{Supp}(R^1\varphi_*p^*V_k(-d-1))$ and
2. $\text{Supp}(R^1\varphi_*p^*V_k(-d)^\vee)$

are determinantal varieties.

Proof. To simplify notation, we set

$$r_1 := \dim H^0(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{O}(k)) \text{ and } r_2 := \dim H^0(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{O}(k-4)).$$

Rewrite the exact sequence from Proposition 1.2 as

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1)^{r_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{r_1} \rightarrow V_k \rightarrow 0. \quad (1)$$

1. Twisting the sequence (1) with $\mathcal{O}(-d-1)$ and pulling back to P gives an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow p^*\mathcal{O}(-d-2)^{r_2} \rightarrow p^*\mathcal{O}(-d-1)^{r_1} \rightarrow p^*V_k(-d-1) \rightarrow 0.$$

For every rational $t \in \text{Gr}(2, 35)$ we have $h^2(P_t, \mathcal{O}(-d-2)^{r_2}) = 0$, hence $R^2\varphi_*p^*\mathcal{O}(-d-2)^{r_2} = 0$ and applying φ_* to the above sequence gives an exact sequence

$$R^1\varphi_*p^*\mathcal{O}(-d-2)^{r_2} \xrightarrow{\alpha} R^1\varphi_*p^*\mathcal{O}(-d-1)^{r_1} \longrightarrow R^1\varphi_*p^*V_k(-d-1) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Note that since the numbers

$$h_2^1 := h^1(P_t, \mathcal{O}(-d-2)^{r_2}) \text{ and } h_1^1 := h^1(P_t, \mathcal{O}(-d-1)^{r_1})$$

do not depend on the point t , Grauert's Theorem applies, and the first two terms of the above sequence are locally free and coherent of rank h_1^2 and h_1^1 , respectively. Since taking the fiber is right-exact, we see that for all t we have $(R^1\varphi_*p^*V_k(-d-1))_t \neq 0$ if and only if $\text{coker}(\alpha_t) \neq 0$. Concluding, we have

$$\text{Supp}(R^1\varphi_*p^*V_k(-d-1)) = \{t : \text{rk}(\alpha_t) \leq h_1^1 - 1\}.$$

As a final remark, note that $h_1^1 = dr_1 = d \binom{k+3}{3}$.

2. The proof for this point is analogous to the first point. We start with the sequence (1), twist with $\mathcal{O}(-d)$, take duals, pull back to P , and apply φ_* . Since for each rational $t \in \text{Gr}(2, 35)$ we have $h^1(P_t, \mathcal{O}(d)^{r_1}) = 0$, we obtain an exact sequence

$$\varphi_*p^*\mathcal{O}(d)^{r_1} \xrightarrow{\beta} \varphi_*p^*\mathcal{O}(d+1)^{r_2} \longrightarrow R^1\varphi_*p^*V_k(-d)^\vee \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since the numbers

$$h_1^0 := h^0(P_t, \mathcal{O}(d)^{r_1}) \text{ and } h_2^0 := h^0(P_t, \mathcal{O}(d+1)^{r_2})$$

do not depend on the point t , again by Grauert's Theorem the first two terms of the sequence are locally free of rank h_1^0 and h_2^0 , respectively. As before, we obtain the characterization

$$\text{Supp}(R^1\varphi_*p^*V_k(-d)^\vee) = \{t : \text{rk}(\beta_t) \leq h_2^0 - 1\}.$$

Here, we have $h_2^0 = (d+2)r_2 = (d+2) \binom{k-1}{3}$. □

References

- [Hem] Christian Hemminghaus. *Families of polarized K3 surfaces and associated bundles*.
- [Vak17] Ravi Vakil. *The rising sea. Foundations of algebraic geometry*. Feb. 2017.