Haskell: Type Classes and Monads

Introduction

All the exercises below consider (variants of) the following ADT for simple expressions:

```
data Expr a = Const a | Sum (Expr a) (Expr a) | Mul (Expr a) (Expr a)
```

Exercise 1

Define a recursive evaluation function eval for expressions. Test the function on a couple of simple expressions. For example,

eval (Sum (Mul (Const 2) (Const 3)) (Const 4))

should evaluate to 10.

- Goal: Warming up!
- **Expected output:** A function eval that recursively evaluates an expression.

Exercise 2

Enrich the above expressions with a new constructor <code>Div (Expr a)</code> (Expr a) and write an evaluation function <code>safeEval</code> for these extended expressions, interpreting <code>Div</code> as integer division. Test the new function with some expressions.

Hint: Function safeEval must be partial, since division by zero is undefined, and thus it must return a Maybe value.

- **Goal:** First steps with partial functions.
- Expected output: A function safeEval that recursively evaluates extended integer expressions.

Exercise 3

Define an instance of the constructor class <code>Functor</code> for the expressions of Exercise 1, in order to be able to <code>fmap</code> over trees. A call to <code>fmap f e</code> (where <code>e :: Expr a</code> and <code>f :: a -> b</code>) should return an expression of type <code>Expr b</code> obtained by replacing all the <code>Const v</code> nodes in <code>e</code> with <code>Const (f v)</code>.

- Goal: Experimenting with constructor classes.
- Expected output: An instance Functor Expr , as requested.

Exercise 4

Propose a way to define an instance [Foldable Expr] of the class constructor [Foldable], by providing a function to fold values across a tree representing an expression.

Hint: Consult Hoogλe to discover the "Minimal complete definition" of Foldable. Several solutions are possible.

• Goal: Experimenting with the Foldable constructor class, and understanding Haskell documentation.

• Expected output: An instance Foldable Expr , as requested.

Exercise 5

Consider the following definition of variables for the expressions of Exercise 1:

```
data Var = X | Y | Z
data Expr a = ... | Id Var
```

First, define a function [subst] that takes a triple [(x, y, z)] of expressions, interpreted as the values of [x], [x] respectively, and an expression and produces a new expression where the variables are substituted with the corresponding expressions.

Next define functions [eval] and [recEval]. The **partial** function [eval], applied to an expression [e], returns its value if [e] does not contain variables, and [eval] otherwise. Function [eval] takes as arguments a triple of expressions [eval] and an expression [eval] and evaluates [eval] replacing variables with the corresponding expressions when needed.

Finally, compare the effect of applying function <code>recEval</code> and <code>subst.eval</code> to a triple of expressions <code>(x, y, z)</code> and an expression <code>e</code>. Do they always deliver the same result?

- **Goal:** Experiment a little more with partial function.
- **Expected output:** An implementation of the subst, eval and recEval functions.

Exercise 6

Write an instance of Show that allows to print expressions (with parenthesis!).

Hint: Take a look at the doc of Show.

- **Goal:** Giving another try to type classes.
- Expected output: An instance of Show of Expr.

Exercise 7

Consider the eval function of Exercise 1. Exploiting the IO monad, Write two new versions of eval:

- 1. evalprint, that directly prints the final result of the expression under evaluation
- 2. evalPrintSub, that also prints all the intermediate results

Hint: Take a look at the IO Monad documentation.

- **Goal:** Experimenting with the I/O monad.
- Expected output: The two requested functions.

Author: Andrea Corradini & Matteo Busi

Created: 2019-11-22 Fri 00:23

Validate