		undernies for anti-phisming interventions
No	Guidelines	Rationale
G1	Remove deceptive user interface elements for unverified emails and incorporate an alert icon within the	• Disabling misleading UI elements (e.g., profile photo, email history) for unverified sender addresses will reduce user confusion [P16].
<u>C2</u>	email client to indicate potentially fraudulent emails.	• Placing a security indicator for unverified email delivered to the user acts as a forcing function for the sender domain to configure their SPF/DMRC/DKIM correctly [P7, P16].
G2 	Clearly display the underlying URL of a suspicious link in the email client	• Clearly displaying the underlying URL of a suspicious link in the email client (link-focused warning) make it easier for users to notice where the links' actual destination [P25].
G4	Incorporate progressive disclosure in the design and add a learn more button. Use visual examples and explanations and avoid	 Progressive design and learn more buttons help to facilitate general advice, satisfy user curiosity, and support user investigations [P4, P5, P25, P51].
G 4	technical jargon in the content.	 Avoiding technical details in the content can make them understandable to non-expert users [P1]. Integrating visual examples and explanations on phishing cues presented helps users memorize and understand better [P42].
G5	Present abstract information and leverage situated learning in the content.	 Leveraging situated learning in the design can make the intervention interesting and engaging, and also improves learning outcomes [P5, P10, P19, P28, P34, P36, P37, P61, P62]. Too much information in the content can be unappealing to inexperienced users [P1, P5, P12, P18, P41].
		P13, P18, P41].Adopting situated learning is beneficial as learning science suggest that simply asking users to follow some advice would not be helpful [P5].
G6	Introduce varieties in the content and keep the information up to date.	• Including varieties in the content can help users tackle new and emerging phishing attacks [P19, P57, P58, P59, P61, P65].
G7	Minimize the functions and frequency of intervention users need to encounter.	 Limiting the frequency of the warnings reduce warning fatigue [P4]. Minimum number of functionalities in the game can help finish the game easily, easy for users to remember when functionalities are less [P10].
G8	Design phishing warnings differently from standard warnings.	• Variation in the design increases the likelihood for users to read it, ensures they are taken seriously and prevent habituation [P1, P2, P14].
G9	Make the critical information easily accessible and visible to the users.	• To make users easily notice the warnings [P1, P4, P8, P25], increase warning adherence [P25] and to impose forced attention [P8, P25].
	Create uniform phishing indicators across different browsers and mobile interfaces.	This will reduce the susceptibility of mobile device users [P16].
	Provide users clear choices and actionable items to proceed.	• Active interruption and actionable items minimize the user's workload, are naturally noticeable and users can use their time efficiently [P1, P2, P4, P5, P7, P20, P22, P24, P25 P41, P43, P44]
	Offer intervention immediately after users fall for phishing.	 Avoiding delay in displaying warnings minimizes users' confusion [P5]. The right timing of training intervention provides instant education [P2].
	Perform usability tests and collect user feedback.	• Collecting users' feedback from usability testing can improve future intervention design [P18, P22, P57, P61, P66, P67].
G14	Provide an explanation to the users on anti-phishing system reliability and decision-making and clarify users about the objective of the intervention.	 Feedback on the anti-phishing system increases users' trust [P7, P8, P11, P14, P33, P39, P43], helps users perceive potential danger [P20], increases user understanding and improves user ability to detect phishing [P18, P39]. Making it clear to the users why they have displayed the intervention or not taken to the
G15	Use both technical and human-centric defence mechanisms to cope with phishing.	 website to avoid their confusion [P5,P14]. Prevent user's over-reliance on technology, provide additional defence in detecting unpredictable, highly dynamic, and increasingly sophisticated phishing attacks [P3, P5, P12, P17, P18, P26, P27, P28, P38, P41, P51, P53, P57, P58, P59]. Educating users about the security properties of different interventions remove their misunderstanding that leads to mistake [P14]. Training all individual who has access to the organization increase the organization's robustness [P53]. Human-centric defence mechanisms organized by C-suit employees can help low-level employees in the organization to learn about phishing [P21, P38, P40, P56, P57, P59, P61, P67, P68, P69].
	Personalize the intervention style and medium based on the target user's demographic.	• Personalized phishing training can take into account user's preferences (e.g., individual preferred training method [P15, P21], content relevant to the organization [P16, P58], roles and responsibilities [P40, P53, P58, P60], age [P21, P35]) to ensure users receive targeted education and training [P7, P13, P15, P16, P21, P26, P35, P36, P40, P48, P52, P53, P57, P58, P59, P60, P61, P62, P64, P66, P67].
	Consider the decision-making process and vulnerabilities of humans in the design.	• Taking into account the vulnerabilities and decision-making processes of the user (e.g., users' misconceptions and perspectives [P11], perceived threat [P9]) increases the effectiveness of anti-phishing interventions for end users and assist to develop the tailored approach [P4, P6, P7, P9, P11, P18, P24].
G18	Configure IT system for phishing training.	 Preparing IT system to avoid simulated email being filtered by technical filters helps users being missed for training [P69]. Verifying if inventory management software is utilizing scanning, analysis, or probing techniques help detect abnormally high levels of external IP addresses [P54].
G19	Design visually distinct user-friendly URL bar.	• Noticeable and consistent URL bar helps users differentiate legitimate and malicious domains easily [P2, P8, P46].
G20	Use automated platforms and improved tools for phishing training, incident management and reporting.	 Automated approaches help to better support managing complex situations, delivering personalized content and threat identification [P61, P63, P67, P50].
		Continued on next page

	anti-phishing			

No	Guidelines	Rationale
G21	Disable JavaScript on login forms when a form element is in focus.	• Deactivating JavaScript on webpages every time the focus is put on a form element prevents the attacker from capturing the keystrokes or initiating timing attacks [P16, P22, P23].
G22	Explain the capabilities and effectiveness of the deployed anti-phishing solution clearly to the users.	 Reliable trust signals to the users can prevent over-trust and over-reliance on the deployed anti-phishing solutions [P11]. Utilizing interactive error messages to elucidate the purpose of a website can deter users from engaging in destructive actions [P43, P44].
G23	Use email authentication protocols to encrypt emails and filter out incoming malicious emails.	• To achieve better resiliency [P18,P51] and to make more informed decision [P16, P27] on the incoming emails.
	Send pre-notification to the users before conducting phishing training, however, perform random phishing training.	 Sending pre-notification to the participants prevents discomfort [P30, P69]. Emphasising on the anonymity of phishing training can reduce the effect of prairie dogging and estimate of organization's likelihood to fall victim to phishing [P59, P61, P62, P69].
G25	Conduct prior investigation before adopting anti- phishing tools, identify most vulnerable group and determine priority topics.	 Perform prior research and analyze the reviews on tool vendors to select the right tool [P26, P61] Identifying vulnerable users can help reduce training time and efforts [P26]. Teaching everything or huge amount of information can cause security fatigue [P13].
G26	Follow a consistent template for organizational emails and create a standard template for antiphishing webpages.	 A consistent email structure helps employees to notice the discrepancies in phishing emails easily [P41]. A standardized template for anti-phishing webpages reduces inconsistency helps avoid
	Introduce a user-friendly, built-in phishing reporting tool within the client system. Develop a formal procedure to handle phishing reports.	 confusion and helps web-designer implement their anti-phishing tools easily [P42]. Having a formal procedure placed makes it convenient to handle phishing reports [P50]. An in-client phishing incident reporting tool makes phishing reporting easier [P58, P63].
	Get employees' feedback to modify the organization's policy.	• Obtain staff's feedback after phishing simulation to modify the organization policy accordingly to meet staff's needs [P50].
G29	Deploy help-desk and victim support for users.	 Deploying post simulation help desk support allows further users' investigations [P51]. Deploying help-desk support can assist external users in determining the authenticity of an email sent from the organization [P51]. Add a victim support option in the anti-phishing webpages can help users to fix potential problems [P42].
G30	Create a structured policy and documentation. Regularly assess and manage phishing awareness efforts.	 Appropriate policy and documentation ensure that all the employees adapt themselves to security countermeasures and requirements [P26, P38, P60]. Continuous measurement, improved management and policy making helps to achieve improved phishing defence [P11, P38, P40, P50, P53, P54, P57, P67].
G31	Conduct phishing simulation with embedded training.	 Assist the organization's security team in practicing the handling and response to simulated phishing incidents to enhance preparedness for real phishing attacks [P53, P56, P57, P60, P61]. Embedding learning content with phishing simulation provides education on demand [P5, P7, P12, P27, P53, P56, P57, P58, P59, P60, P61, P67, P68, P69].
G32	Conduct phishing simulation that adheres to the guidelines of the data privacy policy appropriate to the region.	• Data privacy policy-compliant phishing training protects participants sensitive information, hence reducing data breaches [P26, P69].
G33	Provide users immediate feedback on their performance.	• Users feel motivated if instant corrective feedback is provided after testing and evaluating their phishing knowledge in their regular environment [P7, P10, P31].
G34	Use realistic and equally difficult training emails. Use challenging questions to test phishing knowledge.	 Realistic and equally difficult email helps to test the persistence of the training's effect [P7]. An extensive test with challenging questions reduce repetitive training costs and can help avoid the ceiling effect [P21].
G35	Implement progressive and self-adaptive phishing training.	• Dynamic and self-adaptive phishing training improve user sensitivity to deception cues [P24, P63, P64, P66].
G36	Adopt video and interactive education and training materials.	• Video and interactive training are more effective as users do not need refreshment very quickly [P5, P11, P19, P34, P36]
	Utilize the expertise of external service providers to aid in phishing knowledge assessment and awareness material development.	 Leveraging external service providers can support better phishing knowledge assessment and awareness material development [P54, P60].
	Choose evaluation metrics and baselines that are useful and relevant.	• Click-through rate should be normalized based on the persuasiveness of the training template to produce a sound analysis and evaluation [P32, P54, P56, P58, P59, P60, P61, P68].
	Train users how to report phishing and reward secure behaviour.	 Training users on how to report phishing incidents and explaining the benefits of reporting can help to establish a phishing reporting culture [P26, P50, P58, P60, P69]. Rewarding employees for their secure behaviour can motivate and encourage them to perform better [P30, P61, P66].
	Conduct multiple cycles of follow-up training.	 Help to assess users' short-term and long-term knowledge retention after training [P26, P31, P52, P54, P57, P58]. Repetitive training in a short period helps users learn a second time if they had difficulty understanding in the first time [P5, P7, P24, P27, P34, P53, P56, P57, P62, P67, P68, P69]. Follow-up training (for children) to counter knowledge decay of the ability to identify phishing [P21].
G41	Avoid frequent reminders and over-training and keep the reminders short and simple.	 Avoiding frequent risk notifications and over-training reminders can reduce training fatigue [P34, P52, P53, P58, P60, P61, P62, P69]. Including a lower bound of information in the reminder measures can reduce security fatigue [P34].