Guidelines for Designer/Developers

No	Guideline	Rationale
G1	Remove deceptive user interface elements for unverified emails and incorporate an alert icon within the email client to indicate potentially fraudulent emails.	 Disabling misleading UI elements (e.g., profile photo, email history) for unverified sender addresses will reduce user confusion [P16]. Placing a security indicator for unverified email delivered to
		the user acts as a forcing function for the sender domain to configure their SPF/DMRC/DKIM correctly [P7, P16].
G2	Clearly display the underlying URL of a suspicious link in the email client.	• Clearly displaying the underlying URL of a suspicious link in the email client (link-focused warning) make it easier for users to notice where the links' actual destination [P25].
G3	Incorporate progressive disclosure in the design and add a learn more button.	• Progressive design and learn more buttons help to facilitate general advice, satisfy user curiosity, and support user investigations [P4, P5, P25, P51].
G4	Use visual examples and explanations and avoid technical jargon in the content.	 Avoiding technical details in the content can make them understandable to non-expert users [P1]. Integrating visual examples and explanations on phishing cues
G5	Present abstract information and leverage situated learning in the content.	• Leveraging situated learning in the design can make the intervention interesting and engaging, and also improves learning outcomes [P5, P10, P19, P28, P34, P36, P37, P61, P62].
		 Too much information in the content can be unappealing to inexperienced users [P1, P5, P13, P18, P41]. Adopting situated learning is beneficial as learning science suggest that simply asking users to follow some advice would not be helpful [P5].
G6	Introduce varieties in the content and keep the information up to date.	• Including varieties in the content can help users tackle new and emerging phishing attacks [P19, P57, P58, P59, P61, P65].
G7	Minimize the functions and frequency of intervention users need to encounter.	 Limiting the frequency of the warnings reduce warning fatigue [P4]. Minimum number of functionalities in the game can help finish the game easily, easy for users to remember when functionalities are less [P10].
G8	Design phishing warnings differently from standard warnings.	• Variation in the design increases the likelihood for users to read it, ensures they are taken seriously and prevent habituation [P1, P2, P14].
G9	Make the critical information easily accessible and visible to the users.	• To make users easily notice the warnings [P1, P4, P8, P25], increase warning adherence [P25] and to impose forced attention [P8, P25].
G10	Create uniform phishing indicators across different browsers and mobile interfaces.	• This will reduce the susceptibility of mobile device users [P16].
G11	Provide users clear choices and actionable items to proceed.	Active interruption and actionable items minimize the user's workload, are naturally noticeable and users can use their time

		efficiently [P1, P2, P4, P5, P7, P20, P22, P24, P25, P41, P43, P44]
G13	Perform usability tests and collect user feedback	• Collecting users' feedback from usability testing can improve future intervention design [P18, P22, P57, P61, P66, P67].
G14	Provide an explanation to the users on anti-phishing system reliability and decision-making and clarify users about the objective of the intervention.	 Feedback on the anti-phishing system increases users' trust [P7, P8, P11, P14, P33, P39, P43], helps users perceive potential danger [P20], increases user understanding and improves user ability to detect phishing [P18, P39]. Making it clear to the users why they have displayed the
		intervention or not taken to the website to avoid their confusion [P5, P14].
G16	Personalize the intervention style and medium based on the target user's demographic.	• Personalized phishing training can take into account user's preferences (e.g., individual preferred training method [P15, P21], content relevant to the organization [P16, P58], roles and responsibilities [P40, P53, P58, P60], age [P21, P35]) to ensure users receive targeted education and training [P7, P13, P15, P16, P21, P26, P35, P36, P40, P48, P52, P53, P57, P58, P59, P60, P61, P62, P64, P66, P67].
G17	Consider the decision-making process and vulnerabilities of humans in the design.	• Taking into account the vulnerabilities and decision-making processes of the user (e.g., users' misconceptions and perspectives [P11], perceived threat [P9]) increases the effectiveness of anti-phishing interventions for end users and assist to develop the tailored approach [P4, P6, P7, P9, P11, P18, P24]
G19	Design visually distinct user-friendly URL bar.	• Noticeable and consistent URL bar helps users differentiate legitimate and malicious domains easily [P2, P8, P46].
G21	Disable JavaScript on login forms when a form element is in focus.	• Deactivating JavaScript on webpages every time the focus is put on a form element prevents the attacker from capturing the keystrokes or initiating timing attacks [P16, P22, P23].
G22	Explain the capabilities and effectiveness of the deployed antiphishing solution clearly to the users.	 Reliable trust signals to the users can prevent over-trust and over-reliance on the deployed anti-phishing solutions [P11]. Utilizing interactive error messages to elucidate the purpose of a website can deter users from engaging in destructive actions [P43, P44].
G23	Use email authentication protocols to encrypt emails and filter out incoming malicious emails.	• To achieve better resiliency [P18, P51] and to make more informed decision [P16, P27] on the incoming emails.
G27	Introduce a user-friendly, built-in phishing reporting tool within the client system. Develop a formal	• Having a formal procedure placed makes it convenient to handle phishing reports [P50].
	procedure to handle phishing reports.	• An in-client phishing incident reporting tool makes phishing reporting easier [P58, P63].