#### Note Well

Any submission to the IETF intended by the Contributor for publication as all or part of an IETF Internet-Draft or RFC and any statement made within the context of an IETF activity is considered an "IETF Contribution". Such statements include oral statements in IETF sessions, as well as written and electronic communications made at any time or place, which are addressed to:

- The IETF plenary session
- The IESG, or any member thereof on behalf of the IESG
- Any IETF mailing list, including the IETF list itself, any working group or design team list, or any other list functioning under IETF auspices
- Any IETF working group or portion thereof
- Any Birds of a Feather (BOF) session
- The IAB or any member thereof on behalf of the IAB
- The RFC Editor or the Internet-Drafts function

All IETF Contributions are subject to the rules of RFC 5378 and RFC 8179.

Statements made outside of an IETF session, mailing list or other function, that are clearly not intended to be input to an IETF activity, group or function, are not IETF Contributions in the context of this notice. Please consult RFC 5378 and RFC 8179 for details.

A participant in any IETF activity is deemed to accept all IETF rules of process, as documented in Best Current Practices RFCs and IESG Statements.

A participant in any IETF activity acknowledges that written, audio and video records of meetings may be made and may be available to the public.

# Agenda

Administrivia	5	Chairs
MVP and Milestones	20	Chairs
Architecture <u>draft-larose-capport-architecture</u>	20	Dave Dolson
ICMP <u>draft-wkumari-capport-icmp-unreach</u>	20	David Bird
PvD <u>draft-bruneau-intarea-provisioning-domains</u>	20	Tommy/David/ EricV?
API <u>draft-donnelly-capport-detection</u>	20	Mark Donnelly

### Working Group Milestones

Dec 2016

Protocol to discover and interact with a Captive Portal

Jun 2016

Captive Portal Taxonomy

Jun 2016

Captive Portal Industry Survey

These are woefully out of date

#### What do we need?

Is there a captive portal?

Where is the captive portal?

If RFC 7710 is not enough, why? and how do we fix that?

What information does the captive portal **need** to provide?

Then, how does it provide that information?

How can we minimally answer these questions?

#### **MVP: Information**

Once we have a solution, there are many options for extending that solution, but what is the minimum we can do?

Suggestion is to answer the one question:

Am I captive?

How long do I have left?

Discuss: Is there anything else we absolutely need?

## Inventory

	Detection?	Location?	Information
RFC 7710	Yes, maybe insufficient	Yes	_
ICMP	Yes	No	Captive/Not, Extensions?
PvD	Yes, maybe insufficient	Yes	Maybe
API	No	No	Everything and more
HTTP 511	Yes, not in HTTPS	Maybe?	Opaque body is human-readable (only)