

Methodology and Model Evaluation Report

for Natural Language Processing Course

“Advanced Text Classification”

Introduction:

This report outlines the methodology followed in the development and evaluation of Machine learning with our project.

It includes data preprocessing, feature encoding, model selection.

Data Preprocessing:

The initial step involved loading and reading the data, followed by several preprocessing techniques to prepare the text for feature extraction:

- **Text Cleaning:** Removal of special characters to reduce noise in the data.
- **Tokenization:** Breaking down text into individual words or tokens.
- **Stop Words Removal:** Eliminating common words that provide little value in the context of text analysis.
- **Stemming:** Reducing words to their root form to standardize variations of the same word.
- **Label Encoding:** Converting categorical labels into numerical values to make them interpretable by machine learning algorithms.

Feature Encoding:

For the transformation of text data into a numerical format, two main techniques were employed

Word Embeddings:

- Utilizing pre-trained GloVe and Word2Vec models from the Gensim API to encode text into vector form.
- TF-IDF Vectorization: Applying Term Frequency-Inverse Document Frequency to emphasize important words which are more informative but less frequent.

Feature Scaling: The Impact of StandardScaler

When I used the StandardScaler to scale values, it improved the result in some cases like SVM in GloVe word embeddings it got a 31% f1-score.

Model Selection and Evaluation

Models were selected based on their ability to handle high-dimensional sparse data and evaluated using the F1-score metric, which balances precision and recall:

* Naive Bayes

Achieved the best results with Word2Vec features, demonstrating an F1-score of 0.22.

* Random Forest:

Multiple configurations were tested. The best performance with GloVe was observed with `n_estimators=500` and `max_depth=20`, achieving an F1-score of 0.172.

* Support Vector Machine (SVM)

The SVM showed significant variability based on the choice of kernel and scaling. The highest F1-score of 0.3146 was achieved using a linear kernel with standard scaling on GloVe features.

- This is a result from each algorithm in other models

[20] ✓ 0.0s

Classifier Evaluation - Word2Vec

- Final Result: The top one of results it's **Naive Bayes** with **0.22** F1-Score.
- Steps I try to get greatest value with this algorithms:
 - RandomForest:
 - First time with n_estimators = 100 and max_depth= 10. its give: **0.098**
 - Second time with n_estimators = 500 and max_depth = 20. it's given: **0.152**
 - Third time with n_estimators = 1000 and max_depth = 50. it's given: **0.158**
 - Support Vector Machine (SVM):
 - First time with kernal = **linear** its give: **0.1621**
 - Second time with kernal = **sigmoid** its give: **0.1154**
 - Third time with kernal = **rbf** its give: **0.18384**
 - Forth time with kernal = **rbf** but with **Standard Sacler** its give: **0.2139**
 - Naive Bayes:
 - **0.22**

Classifier Evaluation - Glove

- Final Result: The top one of results it's **SVM** with **0.3146** F1-Score.
- Steps I try to get greatest value with this algorithms:
 - RandomForest:
 - First time with n_estimators = 100 and max_depth= 10. its give: **0.090**
 - Second time with n_estimators = 500 and max_depth = 20. it's given: **0.172**
 - Third time with n_estimators = 800 and max_depth = 20. it's given: **0.161**
 - Third time with n_estimators = 1000 and max_depth = 30. it's given: **0.15889**
 - Support Vector Machine (SVM):
 - First time with kernal = **linear** its give: **0.2680**
 - Second time with kernal = **linear** but with **StandardScaler** its give: **0.3146**
 - Third time with kernal = **sigmoid** its give: **0.1236**
 - Forth time with kernal = **sigmoid** but with **StandardScaler** its give: **0.1845**
 - Fifth time with kernal = **rbf** its give: **0.1863**
 - Sixth time with kernal = **rbf** but with **Standard Sacler** its give: **0.2208**
 - Naive Bayes:
 - For first time Naive Bayes: **0.262**
 - For Second time with **StandardScaler** Naive Bayes: **0.256**