

# Galois Theory

Course Notes

22 February 2016 – 24 April 2016

# 1 About This Course

## 1.1 Suggested Reading

**S. Lang, *Algebra* (3rd ed., 2002)** Contains many exercises. Parts V, VI, and VII are especially relevant.

**R. Elkik, *Cours d’algebre* (2002)** In French. Closest in content to this course.

**J. S. Milne, *Fields and Galois Theory* (2015)** Course notes. Available for free on the Web at <http://www.jmilne.org/math/CourseNotes/ft.html>. The last three chapters contain “interesting and important material” not covered in the course.

**I. Stewart, *Galois Theory* (2015)** Less technically ambitious than this course, but includes history, and other applications such as ruler-and-compass constructions.

## 2 Week 1 Notes: 22 Feb – 28 Feb

### 2.1 Field extensions. Examples.

This course assumes a basic knowledge of abstract algebra (groups, rings, fields, modules), and linear algebra. All rings we consider will be associative, commutative, and with unity.

#### 2.1.1 Two definitions of field extension.

Let  $K$  and  $L$  be fields.

**Definition 1.** We say that  $L$  is an **extension of  $K$**  if  $K \subset L$ . That is,  $K$  is a subfield of  $L$ . Equivalently,  $L$  is an extension of  $K$  if  $L$  is a  $K$ -**algebra**—in other words, if we have  $(k_1 \mathbf{a}_1)(k_2 \mathbf{a}_2) = k_1 k_2 \mathbf{a}_1 \mathbf{a}_2$  for  $k_i \in K$  and  $\mathbf{a}_i \in A$ .

Why are these definitions equivalent? In fact, given a  $K$ -algebra structure on a ring  $A$ , this is the same as having a homomorphism of rings  $f : K \rightarrow A$ . So if we have a  $K$ -algebra, define a homomorphism  $f$  by setting  $f(k) = k\mathbf{1}$  for  $k \in K$ . Conversely, given an arbitrary homomorphism  $f : K \rightarrow A$ , set  $k\mathbf{a} = f(k)\mathbf{a}$  for  $\mathbf{a} \in A$ .

Suppose now that  $A = L$  a field. Then any homomorphism  $f : K \rightarrow L$  is injective. There are several ways to see this; for example, we can show that  $f(k)$  is always invertible. Indeed,  $\mathbf{1} = f(1) = f(kk^{-1}) = f(k)f(k^{-1})$  for any  $k \neq 0$ , so  $f(k) \neq \mathbf{0}$  whenever  $k$  is nonzero. Alternatively, we know that the kernel of  $f$  is always an ideal. But  $L$  is a field, so the only ideals of  $L$  are  $(0)$  and  $(1) = K$ .

#### 2.1.2 Three examples.

**Example 1.**  $\mathbb{C}$  is an extension of  $\mathbb{R}$ , and  $\mathbb{R}$  is an extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

**Example 2.** If  $L$  is a field, then either (a)  $1 + 1 + \dots + 1 \neq 0$  for any sum of 1's. Then  $L$  has characteristic 0 and so we have  $\mathbb{Z} \subset L$ , which means  $\mathbb{Q} \subset L$ . Then  $L$  is an extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Alternatively, suppose (b)  $1 + 1 + \dots + 1 = 0$  for some finite  $m$  number of terms. The minimal such number for which this is true turns out to necessarily be a prime,  $p$ . We then say that  $L$  has characteristic  $p$ , and so we have  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \subset L$ ;  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  is a field, and we denote it (with field structure) by  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . In this case  $L$  is an extension of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . We call  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\mathbb{F}_p$  the **prime fields**: any field is an extension of a prime field, and prime fields don't contain any proper subfields.

**Example 3.** Take  $K[x]/(P)$ , the ring of polynomials in one variable over  $K$ , modded out by the ideal of an irreducible polynomial  $P$ . This is a field. Suppose  $Q \notin (P)$ , then  $\gcd(Q, P) = 1$ , so for some polynomials  $A, B$  we have  $AP + BQ = 1$  by Bézout's identity. Hence  $BQ \equiv 1 \pmod{P}$ , that is,  $B$  is an inverse of  $Q$  in  $K[x]/(P)$ .

## 2.2 Algebraic elements. Minimal polynomial.

We continue with the previous example: the quotient  $K[x]/(P)$  is a field. Rather than Bézout's identity, we can say that  $(P)$  is a **maximal ideal** of  $K[x]$ , and the quotient of a ring by a maximal ideal is always a field. The proof of this fact uses the same identity.

This field is an extension of  $K$  in the obvious way: it is a  $K$ -algebra!

### 2.2.1 A concrete example.

Let  $K = \mathbb{F}_2 = \{0, 1\} = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , and  $P = x^2 + x + 1$ . Then  $K[x]/(P)$  contains four elements: 0, 1, the class containing  $x$  (denoted by  $\bar{x}$ , and the class containing  $x + 1$  (denoted by  $\overline{x+1}$ ). We have that  $\bar{x}^2 = -\bar{x} - 1 = \overline{x+1}$  since  $K$  has characteristic 2. Similarly  $(\overline{x+1})^2 = \bar{x}$ . Moreover, these elements are inverses of each other:  $\bar{x}(\overline{x+1}) = \bar{x}^2 + \bar{x} = -1 = 1$ . Since  $|K[x]/(P)| = 4$ , we write  $K[x]/(P) = \mathbb{F}_4$ . This notation seems presumptuous, implying that there is “only” one field with four elements: in fact every field with a given finite number of elements is isomorphic, so this is true. A proof will come later.

### 2.2.2 Algebraic elements of a field extension.

**Example 4.** Given a field extension  $K \subset L$  and an element  $\alpha \in L$ , we say that  $\alpha$  is **algebraic** if there exists some polynomial  $P \in K[x]$  such that  $P(\alpha) = 0$ ; if no such polynomial exists, we say that  $\alpha$  is **transcendental**.

**Lemma 1.** *If  $\alpha$  is algebraic, then there exists a unique unitary polynomial  $P$  of minimal degree with  $P(\alpha) = 0$ .  $P$  is irreducible, and for any  $Q$  such that  $Q(\alpha) = 0$ , then  $Q$  is divisible by  $P$ .*

**Definition 2.** We call such a polynomial  $P$  the **minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  over  $K$** , denoted  $P_{\min}(\alpha, K)$ .

*Proof of lemma.* We know that  $K[x]$  is a **principal ideal domain**, and the polynomials  $I = \{Q \in K[x] : Q(\alpha) = 0\}$  forms an ideal. Thus  $I$  has a generator, so  $I = (P)$  for some  $P$ . This generator is a

unique (up to a constant) element of minimal degree in  $I$ . Furthermore, if  $P$  was *not* irreducible—if  $P = QR$ —then  $P(\alpha) = Q(\alpha)R(\alpha)$  and so at least one of  $Q(\alpha) = 0$  or  $R(\alpha) = 0$ . This would contradict the minimal-degree condition on  $P$ . ■

## 2.3 Algebraic elements. Algebraic extensions.

### 2.3.1 An important bit of notation.

**Definition 3.** We denote by  $K(\alpha)$  the smallest subfield of  $L$  containing  $\alpha$ . We say that  $K[\alpha]$  (note the square braces) is the smallest subring (or  $K$ -algebra) containing  $K$  and  $\alpha$ .

$K[\alpha]$  is generated, as a vector space over  $K$ , by  $1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^n, \dots$

**Example 5.**  $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R}(i)$  as a field, but also  $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R}[i]$  as a ring. Every  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  can be written  $z = x + iy$ ; this is a vector subspace generated by  $1, i$ .

**Proposition 1.** *The following are equivalent: (1)  $\alpha$  is algebraic over  $K$ ; (2)  $K[\alpha]$  is a finite dimensional vector space over  $K$ ; (3)  $K[\alpha] = K(\alpha)$ .*

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): We have that  $\alpha^d + a_{d-1}\alpha^{d-1} + \dots + \alpha_1\alpha + a_0 = 0$  for  $a_i \in K$  (this is just the minimal polynomial). Then  $\alpha^d = -\left(\sum_{k=0}^{d-1} a_k\alpha^k\right)$ , a linear combination of the lower powers of  $\alpha$ . Therefore  $K[\alpha]$  is generated by  $1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{d-1}$  over  $K$ : it is finite-dimensional.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): It is enough to prove that  $K[\alpha]$  is a field, since  $K[\alpha] \subset K(\alpha)$ . Let  $x \in K[\alpha]$  nonzero. We want to show that  $x$  is invertible. Consider the operation of multiplication by  $x$ , that is,  $y \mapsto xy$  for  $y \in K[\alpha]$ : this is an injective homomorphism of vector spaces over  $K$ . But as  $K[\alpha]$  is finite-dimensional, this is also a surjection, so there exists  $z \in K[\alpha]$  such that  $xz = 1$ . Hence  $x$  is invertible, and so  $K[\alpha]$  is a field.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): If  $\alpha$  is not algebraic, then there exists no polynomial  $P$  such that  $P(\alpha) = 0$ . This means that the natural homomorphism  $i : K[x] \rightarrow L$  defined by  $P \mapsto P(\alpha)$  is injective, but  $K[\alpha]$  is *not* a field, and the image of  $i$  is a field. Contradiction! ■

### 2.3.2 Definition and properties of algebraic extensions.

**Definition 4.**  $L$  is called **algebraic** over  $K$  if every element of  $L$  is algebraic over  $K$ .

**Proposition 2.** *If  $L$  is algebraic over  $K$ , then any  $K$ -subalgebra of  $L$  is a field.*

*Proof.* Let  $L' \subset L$  be a subalgebra. We know that  $\alpha \in L'$  algebraic. Then  $K[\alpha] \subset L$  is a field, so  $\alpha$  is invertible (when nonzero). This holds for any such (nonzero)  $\alpha$ , so  $L'$  is a field. ■

**Proposition 3.** *If  $K \subset L \subset M$ , and  $\alpha \in M$  is algebraic over  $K$ , then  $\alpha$  is algebraic over  $L$  and its minimal polynomial  $P_{\min}(\alpha, L)$  divides  $P_{\min}(\alpha, K)$ .*

*Proof.* Consider  $P_{\min}(\alpha, K)$  as an element of  $L[x]$ . ■

**2.4 Finite extensions. Algebraicity and finiteness.**

**2.5 Algebraicity in towers. An example.**

**2.6 A digression: Gauss lemma, Eisenstein criterion.**