PII/Sensitive Information Identification -  Capstone Project

This document serves as a place to gather relevant background literature and data sources, as well as a place to document proposal ideas and discussion.

Please feel free to add resources, make comments, and edit sections.

Background Literature

This section captures any relevant background literature for the project. We have broken this up into two sections, non-technical background and ML/Stats/Technical background literature.

Non-Technical Lit Review:

* Commercial text extraction and PII detection services:
  + Micro Focus IDOL: <https://www.microfocus.com/documentation/idol/IDOL_12_8/KeyviewFilterSDK_12.8_Documentation/Guides/pdf/KeyViewFilterSDK_12.8_CProgramming.pdf>  and <https://www.microfocus.com/documentation/idol/IDOL_12_8/EductionSDK_12.8_Documentation/Guides/pdf/Eduction_12.8_UserProgramming_en.pdf>
  + PII Tools: <https://documentation.pii-tools.com/>
  + AWS: <https://docs.aws.amazon.com/comprehend/latest/dg/how-pii.html>
  + Google: <https://cloud.google.com/dlp#section-5>
  + Microsoft : <https://docs.microsoft.com/en-us/azure/search/cognitive-search-skill-pii-detection>
  + Gretel (Privacy Ops): <https://gretel.ai/blog/automate-detecting-sensitive-personally-identifiable-information-pii-with-gretel>

Technical Lit Review:

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Named-entity_recognition>

https://nlp.stanford.edu/software/CRF-NER.html
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[[10] [Paper] George R. Doddington, Alexis Mitchell, Mark A. Przybocki, Lance A. Ramshaw, Stephanie M. Strassel, and Ralph M. Weischedel. The automatic content extraction (ace) program tasks, data, and evaluation. In Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on Language Resources and Evaluation (LREC-2004), 2004.](http://www.lrec-conf.org/proceedings/lrec2004/pdf/5.pdf)

[Patrice Bellot, Véronique Moriceau, Josiane Mothe, Eric SanJuan, and Xavier Tannier. Inex tweet contextualization task: Evaluation, results and lesson learned. Information Processing & Management, 52(5):801 – 819, 2016.](https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01479297)
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Data Sources:

In this section we discuss both public and private options for sourcing data.

Public API’s/Solutions/Open Source Code:

In this section we list and discuss publicly available solutions or open source code bases relevant to the project.

See the above listing in the non-technical review section.

Project Discussion

Let's use this section to bring up any questions, proposed directions, or ideas for discussion.

Given the sheer number of off the shelf PII detection and redaction libraries available commercially. Should we focus on a specific problem space e.g. detection of PII / PHI in messaging (Slack, Teams, Google Mail etc.) or source code repos or perhaps even API calls to Falcon?

Some Narrower Project Ideas:

1. Preservation Of Training Data:

A major use case for PII identification is the preservation of data that is potentially useful in training machine learning models, but would otherwise need to be deleted due to the potential inclusion of PII. Examples could include script contents, documents, command line contents, filenames etc.

This is both an important use case for Crowdstrike, but also presents some interesting avenues for the project. Evaluation data is readily available internally, so any model that the team produced could be applied to real cyber PII identification use-cases. The team could take this in many different directions:

* Simply train general PII/NER model on public data and evaluate against CS internal cyber use cases or
* Explore optimal transfer learning architecture. How to generate model using one dataset that in expectation will work best on the unobserved CS internal data
* Explore optimizing for machine learning model signal preservation: because the end goal is to preserve data for ML model training, we want to remove PII while preserving any important signals that could indicate malicious activity etc. How to optimize for this slightly different target?
* Explore active learning/model optimization strategies using limited feedback from internal evaluation. Can you build a system that can learn from limited information and metrics produced by evaluation on internal data sets with out access to the full data set?

1. Improved Synthesis of PII Data for Training:

Many NER and PII models are readily trained on semi-synthetic data. For example, the names databases discussed above (real data) can be injected into documents to make synthetic labeled PII data.

The team could explore improving generation of synthetic PII data, with many directions to take this in as well:

* As part of any of the training data preservation projects listed above
* With a specific focus on improving model performance on cyber-security use cases
* Train generative models to build realistic PII. This could be part of a GAN’s style approach for building a better PII detector as well.

1. Beat external benchmarks:

A simple approach would be to aim to simply beat publicly available API’s and benchmark systems for PII identification. This is both straightforward, but difficult...