

## Series 3a

### 1. Lévy-Ciesielski Representation of Brownian Motion (II)

Continuing on from Problem 1 of Series 2b, recall the Schauder functions  $(\phi_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N})$  defined by

$$\phi_n : [0, 1] \ni t \mapsto \int_0^t \psi_n(s) \, ds \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}),$$

with  $(\psi_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N})$  the family of Haar functions introduced in Series 2b. Let further  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots : \tilde{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be an iid sequence of  $\mathcal{N}_{0,1}$ -distributed random variables on a probability space  $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{P})$ .

- a) Show that, for any fixed  $T > 0$ , there exists a  $\tilde{P}$ -full set  $\Omega \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  for which the function

$$B : [0, T] \times \Omega \ni (t, \omega) \mapsto B_t(\omega) := \sqrt{T} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_n\left(\frac{t}{T}\right) \xi_n(\omega) \quad (1)$$

is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued stochastic process on<sup>1</sup>  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P) := (\Omega, \Omega \cap \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{P}|_{\mathcal{F}})$  with the property that:

$$(B_t - B_s)(\tilde{P}) = \mathcal{N}_{0,t-s}, \quad \text{for each } 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T. \quad (2)$$

**Hint:** Denoting by  $\Omega_c$  ( $c > 0$ ) the nullsets in the hint for Problem 1b) of Series 2b, consider the set  $\Omega := \bigcup_{c \in (\sqrt{2}, \infty) \cap \mathbb{Q}} (\tilde{\Omega} \setminus \Omega_c)$ . For (2), note  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \int_0^1 \psi_n \cdot \varphi \, ds \right)^2 = \|\varphi\|_{L^2([0,1])}^2$  for all  $\varphi \in L^2([0, 1])$  (Parseval's identity).

- b) Prove that the process (1) is a 1-dimensional standard  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ -Brownian motion.

**Hint:** In addition to all the results and hints of Series 2b, you may use without proof the following *fact*:

For any two sequences  $(\alpha_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}, (\beta_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}$  with  $\max\left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{2^j} |\alpha_{2^j+k}|, \sum_{k=1}^{2^j} |\beta_{2^j+k}| \right\} \leq 2^{-j/2}$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , the limits  $\chi := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \xi_n$  and  $\eta := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n \xi_n$  (cf. Series 2b, Ex. 1b) are independent if and only if  $\text{Cov}(\chi, \eta) = 0$ .

### 2. Quadratic Variation of Standard Brownian Motions

Let  $T > 0$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_N = T$ , and let  $W : [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a standard Brownian motion on some probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ . Prove that

$$\left\| T - \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (W_{t_{n+1}} - W_{t_n})^2 \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(P; \|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{R}})} \leq \sqrt{2T} \left[ \max_{n \in \{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}} |t_{n+1} - t_n| \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (3)$$

**(Remark (Non-essential information):** This remark is provided for further context, but can be safely skipped. More generally, for any adapted semimartingales  $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  and  $Y = (Y_t)_{t \geq 0}$  with continuous sample paths on some  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, \mathbb{F})$ , there is a unique (up to indistinguishability) continuous and adapted real-valued stochastic process

$$\langle X, Y \rangle \equiv (\langle X, Y \rangle_t)_{t \in [0, T]} \quad \text{given by} \quad \langle X, Y \rangle_t := P - \lim_{\|\Delta_t\| \rightarrow 0} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (X_{t_{n+1}} - X_{t_n})(Y_{t_{n+1}} - Y_{t_n}), \quad (*)$$

where the above convergence is in probability and  $\Delta_t$  ranges over all possible partitions  $(t_n)_{n=0}^N$  ( $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ) of  $[0, t]$  normed by their mesh  $\|(t_n)\| := \max_{n \in \{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}} |t_{n+1} - t_n|$ .

The stochastic process  $(*)$  is called the *quadratic covariation* of  $X$  and  $Y$ . ♦)

### 3. Product Measurability and Stochastic Integration of $L^2$ -Continuous Processes

- a) Let  $(I, \mathcal{I})$ ,  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  and  $(S, \mathcal{S})$  be measurable spaces, and let  $X : I \times \Omega \rightarrow S$  be a  $(\mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{F})/\mathcal{S}$ -measurable function. Show that, for every  $\omega \in \Omega$ , the map  $I \ni i \mapsto X(i, \omega) \in S$  is  $\mathcal{I}/\mathcal{S}$ -measurable.

- b) Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, (\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0,1]})$  be a stochastic basis. Let further  $W : [0, 1] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a standard  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, (\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0,1]})$ -Brownian motion, and let  $X : [0, 1] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  be  $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0,1]}/\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -predictable and such that  $X \in C([0, 1], L^2(P; \|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{R}^d}))$ . Using Itô's isometry (see, e.g., Theorem B.4.21 in the lecture notes), prove that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \int_0^1 X_s \, dW_s - \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} X_{\frac{k}{n}} \left( W_{\frac{k+1}{n}} - W_{\frac{k}{n}} \right) \right] \right\|_{L^2(P; \|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{R}^d})} = 0. \quad (4)$$

**Submission Deadline:** Wednesday, 06 November 2024, by 2:00 PM.

<sup>1</sup>Recall the lecture notes' Definition A.2.9 and Definition B.3.1 for notation and terminology.

① Recall: (Trace set). Let  $A$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  be sets. Then we denote  $A \cap \mathcal{A}$  the set given by  

$$A \cap \mathcal{A} = \{A \cap B \in P(A) : B \in \mathcal{A}\} = \{C \in P(A) : (\exists B \in \mathcal{A}) : A \cap B = C\}$$

(Trace sigma-algebra). Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$  be a measurable space and let  $A \subseteq \Omega$  be a subset of  $\Omega$ . Then, we call  $A \cap \mathcal{A}$  the trace sigma-algebra of  $A$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

$$A \cap \mathcal{A} = \{B \in \mathcal{A} : B \subseteq A\} = P(A) \cap \mathcal{A}$$

Recall : (P-full set) Given  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  a probability space,  $A \subseteq \Omega$  is called P-full if:  
 $P(A) = 1$ .

a) We consider probability space  $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{P})$ ,  
And use from previous series

$$\Omega_c = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=i}^{\infty} \{w \in \Omega \mid |\xi_n(w)| > \sqrt{\log(n)}\}$$

Now, if we take:  $\Omega := \bigcup_{c \in (\sqrt{2}, \infty) \cap \mathbb{Q}} (\tilde{\Omega} \setminus \Omega_c)$ , we need to proof it has prob = 1.

Clearly,  $\Omega \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ , due to the definition of  $\sigma$ -algebra.  
•  $\tilde{\Omega} \setminus \Omega_c \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  because  $\tilde{\Omega} \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  and the complementary  $\in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$   
•  $\bigcup_{c \in (\sqrt{2}, \infty) \cap \mathbb{Q}} (\tilde{\Omega} \setminus \Omega_c) \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  as it is a countable intersection.

Now,

$$\Omega = \bigcup_{c \in (\sqrt{2}, \infty) \cap \mathbb{Q}} (\tilde{\Omega} \setminus \Omega_c) = \tilde{\Omega} \setminus \bigcap_{c \in (\sqrt{2}, \infty) \cap \mathbb{Q}} \Omega_c \stackrel{K}{\sim} \Omega_c, \quad \Omega_c \subseteq \tilde{\Omega} \quad \forall c \in \mathbb{Q}$$

$$\text{So, } P(\Omega) = P(\tilde{\Omega} \setminus K) = P(\tilde{\Omega}) - P(K) = 1$$

$$P(K) = P\left(\bigcap_{c \in (\sqrt{2}, \infty) \cap \mathbb{Q}} \Omega_c\right) = 0$$

$\uparrow P(\tilde{\Omega}) = 1$   
by definition.

To prove  $B$  is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued stochastic process on  $(\Omega, \underbrace{\Omega \cap \tilde{\mathcal{F}}}_{\mathcal{F}}, \underbrace{\tilde{P}}_{P})$

- $[0, T] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$
- $\mathcal{G} = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  is a probability space:
  - $P$  is a measure on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ , from the definition of  $\tilde{P}$  and the fact that  $\Omega \cap \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  is a sigma-algebra

We can check the sigma-additivity condition:

Given  $A_n \cap A_m = \emptyset$ ,  $A_n, A_m \in \mathcal{F}$ ,

$$P(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n) = \tilde{P}(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{P}(A_n) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} P(A_n)$$

$\uparrow$   
 $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} A_n \in \mathcal{F} \\ P = \tilde{P}|_{\mathcal{F}} \end{array} \right.$

-  $P(\Omega) = 1$  (already calculated)

- We take  $\mathbb{R}$  and the borel  $\sigma$ -algebra in the image
- Now, we want to see that  $B$  is  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T} \times \Omega, \mathbb{R})$

Recall  $B: [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$(t, \omega) \mapsto \sqrt{T} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_n\left(\frac{t}{T}\right) \xi_n(\omega)$$

We know that by "Measurability of pointwise limit/sum", an infinite sum of measurable functions is measurable. Moreover, a scalar modification of a measurable function is also measurable. Hence, it only last to prove that  $\phi_n: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $\xi_n: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are  $\mathcal{B}([0, T]) \times \mathcal{F}$ -measurable.

To see it, for any borel set  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$\mathcal{B}^{-1}(A) = \{(t, \omega) \in [0, T] \times \Omega : B(t, \omega) \in A\}$$

is an element of  $\mathcal{B}([0, T]) \times \mathcal{F}$ .

As  $\xi_n$  depends on  $\Omega$  and  $\phi$  on  $[0, T]$ , we can study measurability only on its corresponding marginal  $\sigma$ -algebra.

- $\xi_n$  it's a random variable defined over  $\Omega$ , so, it's clearly  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable, and, then,  $\mathcal{B}([0, T]) \times \mathcal{F}$ -measurable.

$\phi_n : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  : it's a continuous function, because  $\gamma_n$  are piece-wise linear (discontinuous), and its integral is continuous. See how at each discontinuity  $x_i$  at  $\gamma_n$ ,

$$\phi_n(x_i) = \int_0^{x_i} \phi_n(t) dt = \lim_{x \rightarrow x_i^-} \int_0^x \phi_n(t) dt - \lim_{x \rightarrow x_i^+} \int_0^x \phi_n(t) dt.$$

$\phi_n$  is continuous.

Hence, any continuous function on  $[0, T]$  is measurable w.r.t the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $[0, T]$ . Due to the fact that a preimage of an open set in  $\mathbb{R}$  under a continuous function is open in the domain. So  $\phi_n$  is  $B([0, T]) \times \mathcal{F}$ -measur.

Now, the product of two  $L^1([0, T] \times \Omega, \mathbb{R})$  functions is  $L^1([0, T] \times \Omega, \mathbb{R})$ .

- It last to prove that for every  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $\omega \mapsto B(t, \omega)$  is  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$ -measurable.
- And it is clear, as  $\xi_n$  is a random variable :  $\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

To prove  $(B_t - B_s)(\tilde{\rho}) = N_{0, t-s}$ , we have that given we  $\mathcal{F}$

$$\begin{aligned} E[B_t - B_s] &= E\left[\sqrt{T}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_n\left(\frac{n}{T}\right) \xi_n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_n\left(\frac{s}{T}\right) \xi_n\right)\right] \\ &= \sqrt{T} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\phi_n\left(\frac{n}{T}\right) - \phi_n\left(\frac{s}{T}\right)) E[\xi_n] = 0 \\ &\quad \text{because } E[\xi_n] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Var}[B_t - B_s] = \text{Var}\left[\sqrt{T} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\phi_n\left(\frac{n}{T}\right) - \phi_n\left(\frac{s}{T}\right)) \xi_n\right] =$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{Var}\left[\sqrt{T} (\phi_n\left(\frac{n}{T}\right) - \phi_n\left(\frac{s}{T}\right)) \xi_n\right] = \text{Var}(\xi_n) = 1$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\left. \begin{aligned} &\phi_n \text{ deterministic} \\ &\xi_n \text{ i.i.d.} \end{aligned} \right\} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} T(\phi_n\left(\frac{n}{T}\right) - \phi_n\left(\frac{s}{T}\right))^2 \text{Var}(\xi_n) = \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} T(\phi_n\left(\frac{n}{T}\right) - \phi_n\left(\frac{s}{T}\right))^2 = T \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\phi_n\left(\frac{n}{T}\right) - \phi_n\left(\frac{s}{T}\right))^2 \end{aligned}$$

(4)

Now, we can use Parseval's identity, which states that, given an orthonormal basis of  $\Psi_n \{n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of the Hilbert space  $L^2([0,1])$ , and  $\varphi \in L^2([0,1])$ , we have that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \int_0^1 \varphi_n \cdot \varphi \, ds \right)^2 = \|\varphi\|_{L^2([0,1])}^2$$

Now, if we take  $\varphi = \mathbf{1}_{[\frac{s}{T}, \frac{t}{T}]}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \phi_n \left( \frac{t}{T} \right) - \phi_n \left( \frac{s}{T} \right) \right)^2 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \int_0^t \psi_n \left( \frac{u}{T} \right) \, du - \int_0^s \psi_n \left( \frac{u}{T} \right) \, du \right)^2 \\ (\text{assuming } t \geq s) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \int_s^t \psi_n \left( \frac{u}{T} \right) \, du \right)^2 \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \int_0^1 \psi_n \left( \frac{u}{T} \right) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{[\frac{s}{T}, \frac{t}{T}]} \, du \right)^2 = \|\mathbf{1}_{[\frac{s}{T}, \frac{t}{T}]} \|_{L^2([0,1])}^2 = \\ &\quad (\text{Parseval's Identity}) \\ &= \int_0^1 \mathbf{1}_{[\frac{s}{T}, \frac{t}{T}]} \, du = \int_{\frac{s}{T}}^{\frac{t}{T}} \mathbf{1}_{[\frac{s}{T}, \frac{t}{T}]} \, du = \frac{t-s}{T} \end{aligned}$$

Now, we go back to (1):

$$\text{Var}(B_t - B_s) = T \cdot \frac{t-s}{T} = t-s$$

Now, as we know from problemset 2b that  $B_t \sim N(0, t)$ , and the difference of normally distributed r.v. is indeed normally distributed. We can conclude that  $B_t - B_s \sim N(0, t-s)$ .

b) The normality of  $B_s - B_t$  can also be proven by seeing

$$\sum_{k=1}^{2^j} \left| \phi_{2^{j+k}} \left( \frac{s}{T} \right) - \phi_{2^{j+k}} \left( \frac{t}{T} \right) \right| \leq 2^{-j/2} \quad (\text{from 1b) series 2b})$$

which is true, because

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{2^j} \left| \phi_{2^{j+k}} \left( \frac{s}{T} \right) - \phi_{2^{j+k}} \left( \frac{t}{T} \right) \right| &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{2^j} \left| \phi_{2^{j+k}} \left( \frac{s}{T} \right) \right| \leq 2^{-j/2} \Rightarrow B_s - B_t \sim \text{normally distributed.} \end{aligned}$$

b) Prove that  $B$  is a 1-dimensional standard  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ -Brownian motion.

(Hint: For any two sequences  $(\alpha_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}, (\beta_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}$  with  
 $\max \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{2^j} |\alpha_{2^j+k}|, \sum_{k=1}^{2^j} |\beta_{2^j+k}| \right\} \leq 2^{-j/2}$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , the limits  
 $X := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \xi_n$  and  $\eta = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n \xi_n$  (convergent series 2b, ex. 1b) are  
independent  $\Leftrightarrow \text{Cov}(X, \eta) = 0$ . ]

In order to prove that  $B$  is a Brownian motion, it last to prove that the increments  $B_{t+u} - B_t$ ,  $u \geq 0$  are independent of the past values  $B_s$ ,  $s \leq t$ .

- Independent increments:

$$B_s(w) = \sqrt{T} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_n\left(\frac{s}{T}\right) \xi_n(w)$$

$$B_{t+u} - B_t = \sqrt{T} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \xi_n(w) \left( \phi_n\left(\frac{t+u}{T}\right) - \phi_n\left(\frac{t}{T}\right) \right)$$

To prove independence, using the hint, it is enough by proving that  $\text{Cov}(B_s, B_{t+u} - B_t) = 0$ , Because we know that -  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\phi_n\left(\frac{s}{T}\right)| \leq 2^{-j/2}$   
-  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\phi_n\left(\frac{t+u}{T}\right) - \phi_n\left(\frac{t}{T}\right)| \leq 2^{-j/2}$  (from section a))

As we know that  $B_s$  and  $B_{t+u} - B_t$  are normally distributed r.v. with  $B_s \sim N(0, s)$ ,  $B_{t+u} - B_t \sim N(0, u)$

See proof of  $\text{Cov}(B_s, B_{t+u} - B_t) = 0$

Hence, we have to prove  $\text{Cov}(B_s, B_{t+h} - B_t) = 0$

$$\text{Cov}\left(\underbrace{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_n\left(\frac{s}{T}\right) \xi_n}_a, \underbrace{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \xi_n (\phi_n\left(\frac{t+h}{T}\right) - \phi_n\left(\frac{t}{T}\right))}_b\right) =$$

$$- E[a \cdot b] + E[a]E[b] = E[a \cdot b] =$$

$$= E\left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \xi_n^2 \phi_n\left(\frac{s}{T}\right) (\phi_n\left(\frac{t+h}{T}\right) - \phi_n\left(\frac{t}{T}\right))\right] = \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{(as we know that} \\ \text{the infinite sum is} \\ \text{bounded, we can} \\ \text{interchange } E \text{ with} \\ \sum \end{array}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E\left[\xi_n^2 \phi_n\left(\frac{s}{T}\right) (\phi_n\left(\frac{t+h}{T}\right) - \phi_n\left(\frac{t}{T}\right))\right] =$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_n\left(\frac{s}{T}\right) (\phi_n\left(\frac{t+h}{T}\right) - \phi_n\left(\frac{t}{T}\right))$$

$\{\psi_n\}$  Haar functions

Now, by definition of  $\phi_n(t)$  we know that  $\phi_n(t) = \langle 1_{\left\{\frac{t}{T}, \frac{t+1}{T}\right\}}, \psi_n \rangle$   
because  $\phi_n(t) = \int_0^t \psi_n(s) ds$ . And  $\phi_n\left(\frac{t+h}{T}\right) - \phi_n\left(\frac{t}{T}\right) = \langle 1_{\left\{\frac{t}{T}, \frac{t+h}{T}\right\}}, \psi_n \rangle$

$$\text{So, } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_n\left(\frac{s}{T}\right) \cdot (\phi_n\left(\frac{t+h}{T}\right) - \phi_n\left(\frac{t}{T}\right)) = \xrightarrow{\text{Personal Identity:}} \langle \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle 1_{\left\{\left(0, \frac{s}{T}\right]\right\}}, \psi_n \rangle \cdot \langle 1_{\left\{\left(\frac{t}{T}, \frac{t+h}{T}\right]\right\}}, \psi_n \rangle, \psi_n \rangle$$

$$= \langle 1_{\left\{\left(0, \frac{s}{T}\right]\right\}}, \langle 1_{\left\{\left(\frac{t}{T}, \frac{t+h}{T}\right]\right\}}, \psi_n \rangle \rangle = 0$$

$\downarrow$  because  $\frac{s}{T} \leq \frac{t}{T}$ .

Hence,

$$\text{Cov}(B_s, B_{t+h} - B_t) = 0 \text{ when } s \leq t, h \geq 0.$$

Z

$$(2) W_{t_{n+1}} - W_{t_n} \sim N(0, t_{n+1} - t_n) / \sqrt{t_{n+1} - t_n} Z_n \quad Z_n \sim N(0, 1)$$

$$\| T - \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (W_{t_{n+1}} - W_{t_n})^2 \|_2^2 = \| T - \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (t_{n+1} - t_n) Z_n^2 \|_2^2 =$$

$$= \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ (T - \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (t_{n+1} - t_n) Z_n^2)^2 \right] \right)^{1/2}$$

$$\text{Now, } \mathbb{E} \left[ (T - \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (t_{n+1} - t_n) Z_n^2)^2 \right] =$$

$$= \mathbb{E} [T^2 - 2T \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (t_{n+1} - t_n) Z_n^2 + (\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (t_{n+1} - t_n) Z_n^2)^2] =$$

$$= T^2 - 2T \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (t_{n+1} - t_n) + \mathbb{E} \left[ (\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (t_{n+1} - t_n) Z_n^2)^2 \right] =$$

$$= T^2 - 2T \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (t_{n+1} - t_n) + \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \sum_{m=1}^{N-1} (\underbrace{(t_{n+1} - t_n) Z_n^2 \cdot (t_{m+1} - t_m) Z_m^2}_{\oplus}) \right] =$$

$$= T^2 - 2T \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (t_{n+1} - t_n) + \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (t_{n+1} - t_n)^2 \mathbb{E}[Z_n^4] + \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ m \neq n}}^{N-1} \sum_{m=1}^{N-1} \mathbb{E}[\oplus]$$

$$= T^2 - 2T \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (t_{n+1} - t_n) + 3 \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (t_{n+1} - t_n)^2 + \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ m \neq n}}^{N-1} \sum_{m=1}^{N-1} (t_{n+1} - t_n)(t_{m+1} - t_m) \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[Z_n^2 \cdot Z_m^2]}_1$$

$$= T^2 - 2T \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (t_{n+1} - t_n) + 3 \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (t_{n+1} - t_n)^2 + \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ m \neq n}}^{N-1} \sum_{m=1}^{N-1} (t_{n+1} - t_n)(t_{m+1} - t_m) =$$

(We have a grid of values  $t_i$  from 0 to  $T$ , so  $\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (t_{n+1} - t_n) = T$ .)

$$= T^2 - 2T^2 + 3 \underbrace{\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (t_{n+1} - t_n)^2}_{\leq T \max_n |t_{n+1} - t_n|} + \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ m \neq n}}^{N-1} \sum_{m=1}^{N-1} (t_{n+1} - t_n)(t_{m+1} - t_m)}_{\sum_{n=1}^{N-1} (t_{n+1} - t_n)^2} - \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (t_{n+1} - t_n)^2$$

$$= T^2 - 2T^2 + 2T \max_n |t_{n+1} - t_n| + T^2 = T^2$$

$$= T^2 - 2T^2 + 2T \max_n |t_{n+1} - t_n| + T^2 = 2T \max_n |t_{n+1} - t_n|$$

Hence,  $\| T - \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (W_{t_{n+1}} - W_{t_n})^2 \|_2^2 \leq \sqrt{2T \left[ \max_n |t_{n+1} - t_n| \right]}^{1/2}$

(3)

a)  $(I, \mathcal{I})$ ,  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  and  $(S, \mathcal{G})$  measurable spaces.

$X : I \times \Omega \rightarrow S$   $(I \otimes \mathcal{F}) / \mathcal{G}$ -measurable function.

Now, consider the map  $F : I \rightarrow S$ ,  $F = X \circ \alpha_w$

$$i \mapsto X(i, w)$$

where  $\alpha_w : I \rightarrow I \times \Omega$

$$i \mapsto (i, w)$$

To prove the measurability of a composition, both functions need to be measurable. So, for any  $C \in \mathcal{X}$ ,  $D \in \mathcal{F}$ , when  $w \in D$ ,

$\alpha_w^{-1}(C, D) = I_d^{-1}(C)$ , being  $I_d : I \rightarrow I$  the identity function which is clearly  $\mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{Z}$ -measurable.

$\alpha_w^{-1}(C, D) = C \in \mathcal{X}$  if  $w \in D$  or  $\alpha_w^{-1}(C, D) = \emptyset$  if  $w \notin D$ .

Both  $\emptyset, C \in \mathcal{X}$  by definition. Now, as  $\alpha_w$  is  $I/\mathcal{Z} \otimes \mathcal{F}$ -measurable and  $X$  is  $(I \otimes \mathcal{F}) / \mathcal{G}$ -measurable, we have that

$F = X \circ \alpha_w$  is  $\mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{G}$ -measurable. //

b)  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0, \infty]})$  a stochastic basis,  $W$  a standard  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, (\mathcal{F}_t)_t \in [0, \infty])$ -Brownian motion.

$X : [0, 1] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$   $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0, \infty]} / \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -predictable and

$X \in C([0, 1], L^2)$

[Ito's isometry:  $E_P \left[ \left\| \int_a^b Y_s dW_s \right\|_{L^2}^2 \right] = \int_a^b E \left[ \|Y_s\|_{H^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \right] ds$ ]

We need to construct in a way that we get the convergence in  $L^2$  of the statement in the exercise.

First, we can define the stopped process  $X_t^{(n)}$  in such way:

$$X_t^{(n)} := \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} X_{j/n} \mathbf{1}_{\left[ \frac{j}{n}, \frac{j+1}{n} \right]}$$

this process is  $(\mathcal{F}_t) / \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -predictable because a sum of predictable processes is predictable and  $X_{j/n}$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -predictable by being  $X_t$   $\mathcal{F}_t$ -predictable.

We need to examine the  $L^2$ -norm:

$$\left\| \int_0^1 X_s dW_s - \sum_{n=0}^{n-1} X_{t_n} (W_{t_{n+1}} - W_{t_n}) \right\|_{L^2(P; \mathbb{R}^d)}$$

Now, by Ito's isometry,  $E \left[ \left\| \int_0^1 X_s dW_s \right\|_{L^2}^2 \right] =$   
 $= E \left[ \int_0^1 \|X_s\|_{\mathbb{R}^d}^2 ds \right].$

Similarly, can be applied to the discrete approximation:

$$E \left[ \left\| \sum_{n=0}^{n-1} X_{t_n} (W_{t_{n+1}} - W_{t_n}) \right\|^2 \right] = E \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{n-1} \|X_{t_n}\|_{\mathbb{R}^d}^2 \cdot \frac{1}{n} \right]$$

thus, the discrete sum approximates  $\approx \int_0^1 \|X_s\|_{\mathbb{R}^d}^2 ds$

Now, if we define

$$\varepsilon_n := \int_0^1 W_s dW_s - \sum_{n=0}^{n-1} X_{t_n} (W_{t_{n+1}} - W_{t_n})$$

We want to see that  $\|\varepsilon_n\|_{L^2} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

So we use the previous Ito's isometry on the error term:

$$E \left[ \|\varepsilon_n\|_{L^2}^2 \right] = E \left[ \left\| \int_0^1 (X_s - X_s^{(n)}) dW_s \right\|_{L^2}^2 \right] = \\ = E \left[ \int_0^1 \|X_s - X_s^{(n)}\|_{\mathbb{R}^d}^2 ds \right]$$

Now, as  $X_s^{(n)}$  converges pointwise to  $X$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  (as per  $X$  being constant,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E \left[ \int_0^1 \|X_s - X_s^{(n)}\|_{\mathbb{R}^d}^2 ds \right] = 0$$

Hence,  $\|\varepsilon_n\|_{L^2} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . And we get the  $L^2$  convergence.

