

## Series 5a

Throughout this series, let  $T \in (0, \infty)$ , let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, \mathbb{F}_{t \in [0, T]})$  be a stochastic basis, and let  $W: [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a one-dimensional standard  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, \mathbb{F}_{t \in [0, T]})$ -Brownian motion.

### 1. Milstein Scheme for One-Dimensional SDEs

Let  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ , let  $\mu: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be globally Lipschitz continuous, and let  $\sigma \in C^1(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})$ . Consider the SDE

$$dX_t = \mu(X_t)dt + \sigma(X_t)dW_t, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad X_0 = \xi.$$

- a) Let  $M, N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Write a MATLAB function `Milstein1D(T, xi, mu, sigma, W)` with these inputs:

$T \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mu: \mathbb{R}^M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^M$ ,  $\sigma: \mathbb{R}^M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^M$ ,  $\sigma': \mathbb{R}^M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^M$ ,  $W \in \mathbb{R}^{(N+1) \times M}$ , which returns  $M$  realizations  $Y_N^N(\omega_i)$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, M$ ) of the Milstein approximation  $Y_N^N$  for  $X_T$ .

Here:  $\mu$ ,  $\sigma$ , and  $\sigma'$  are function handles, and  $W \in \mathbb{R}^{(N+1) \times M}$  is a realisation of  $M$  independent 1-dimensional Brownian motions sampled at equally spaced time points  $\{n\Delta t \mid n = 0, \dots, N\}$ , i.e.

$$W^{\cdot, i} = (W_0, W_{\Delta t}, W_{2\Delta t}, \dots, W_{(N-1)\Delta t}, W_{N\Delta t})(\omega_i) \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, M).$$

**Hint:** You may modify the solution `EulerMaruyama.m` from Series 4a.

- b) Investigate the strong error of the Milstein scheme for the one-dimensional SDE

$$dX_t = X_t dt + \log(1 + X_t^2) dW_t, \quad t \in [0, 1], \quad X_0 = 1, \quad (1)$$

using  $M = 10^5$  realisations and  $N = N_\ell = 10 \cdot 2^\ell$  time steps for  $\ell \in \{0, 1, \dots, 4\}$ . To this end:

- for each  $\ell \in \{0, 1, \dots, 4\}$ , generate  $M$  realizations  $Y_{N_\ell}^{N_\ell}(\omega_i)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, M$ ) of the Milstein approximation  $Y_{N_\ell}^{N_\ell}$  for  $X_T$ ;
- for each  $\ell \in \{0, 1, \dots, 4\}$ , compute Monte Carlo approximations for the following expectations:

$$\mathbb{E}[|Y_{N_\ell}^{N_\ell} - X_T|] \approx \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M |Y_{N_\ell}^{N_\ell}(\omega_i) - X_T| \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}[|Y_{N_\ell}^{N_\ell} - X_T|^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} \approx \left( \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M |Y_{N_\ell}^{N_\ell}(\omega_i) - X_T|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Report on the experimental rates of strong convergence in  $L^1$  and  $L^2$ . Use a numerical solution of the SDE at level  $\ell = 7$  as an approximation of the exact solution.

(You may use the provided template `Milstein_SDE.m`.)

- c) Repeat question b) for the following SDE and comment on the results:

$$dX_t = X_t dt + \sin(1 + X_t^2) dW_t, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad X_0 = 1. \quad (2)$$

### 2. Positivity and Simulation of the CIR Process via Drift-Implicit Milstein

Let  $a, b, \sigma_v > 0$  and  $v_0 \geq 0$ . Consider the Cox-Ingersoll-Ross process, given as solution to the SDE

$$dV_t = a(b - V_t)dt + \sigma_v \sqrt{V_t} dW_t, \quad V_0 = v_0, \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (3)$$

It can be shown (e.g., using the Yamada-Watanabe theorem) that the SDE (3) admits a unique solution (up to indistinguishability).

## ① Milstein Scheme for one-dimensional SDEs

$\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mu : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  glob. lips. cont.,  $\sigma \in C^1(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})$

$$dX_t = \mu(X_t) dt + \sigma(X_t) dW_t, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad X_0 = \xi$$

b) The convergence rate for this case is not 1, as  $\sin(1+x^2)$  don't have globally bounded derivative, hence, it doesn't apply this convergence rate.

## ② Positivity and Simulation of the CIR Process via Drift-implicit Milstein

Let  $a, b, \sigma_v > 0$  and  $V_0 \geq 0$ . Consider the Cox-Ingersoll-Ross process, given as solution to the SDE

$$dV_t = a(b - V_t) dt + \sigma_v \sqrt{V_t} dW_t, \quad V_0 = v_0, \quad t \in [0, T] \quad (3)$$

It can be shown (using Yamada-Watanabe theorem) that the SDE (3) admits a unique solution up to indistinguishability.

a) Drift-implicit Milstein scheme. Step size  $\Delta t > 0$ , initial value

$$V_0^n = v_0 \geq 0$$

$$V_{n+1}^n = V_n^n + a(b - V_n^n) \Delta t + \sigma_v \sqrt{V_n^n} (W_{t_{n+1}} - W_{t_n}) + \frac{\sigma_v^2}{4} ((W_{t_{n+1}} - W_{t_n})^2 - \Delta t)$$

Now,  $t_n \in \{0, \dots, N-1\}$

$$V_{n+1}^n = V_n^n + a(b - V_n^n) \Delta t + \sigma_v \sqrt{V_n^n} (W_{t_{n+1}} - W_{t_n}) + \frac{\sigma_v^2}{4} ((W_{t_{n+1}} - W_{t_n})^2 - \Delta t)$$

$$V_{n+1}^n (1 + a \Delta t) = V_n^n + \Delta t \left( ab - \frac{\sigma_v^2}{4} \right) + \sigma_v (W_{t_{n+1}} - W_{t_n}) \left( \sqrt{V_n^n} + \frac{\sigma_v}{4} (W_{t_{n+1}} - W_{t_n}) \right)$$

$$(1 + a \Delta t) > 0, \text{ assume } a = 0, \quad V_0^n \geq 0$$

Now, we need to see that the following is  $\geq 0$ :

$$\Delta t \left( ab - \frac{\sigma_v^2}{4} \right) + \sigma_v (W_{t_{n+1}} - W_{t_n}) \left( \sqrt{V_n^n} + \frac{\sigma_v}{4} (W_{t_{n+1}} - W_{t_n}) \right)$$

Assume  $\int ab > \sigma_v^2$ , hence,  $(ab - \frac{\sigma_v^2}{4}) > 0$ . Then,

$$V_n^n + \underbrace{\sigma_v \sqrt{V_n^n} (W_{t_{n+1}} - W_{t_n})}_{X} + \frac{\sigma_v^2}{4} \underbrace{(W_{t_{n+1}} - W_{t_n})^2}_{X} \quad \left. \right\} (1)$$

$$\frac{\sigma_v^2}{4} X^2 + \sigma_v \sqrt{V_n^n} X + V_n^n = 0 \quad \text{We solve the following quadratic equation}$$

$$X = -\frac{\sigma_v \sqrt{V_n^N} \pm \sqrt{\sigma_v^2 V_n^N - \sigma_v^2 V_n^N}}{\frac{\sigma_v^2}{2}} = -\frac{\sigma_v \sqrt{V_n^N}}{\frac{\sigma_v^2}{2}} = -\frac{2\sqrt{V_n^N}}{\sigma_v}$$

So, only exists a  $(W_{t_{n+1}} - W_{t_n})$  s.t.  $(1) = 0$ , but we see that if take  $W_{t_{n+1}} - W_{t_n} = 0$ ,  $(1) > 0$ , hence we have that

$(1) > 0 \quad \forall w \in \Omega$  except for  $w_0 \in \Omega$  s.t.  $(W_{t_{n+1}} - W_{t_n})(w_0) = 2\sqrt{V_n^N}$   
which  $\{w_0\}$  is a set of measure 0, hence,  $P(V_1^N > 0) = 1$

Now, we can use induction and the same exact result to prove that if  $V_n^N > 0$ , then  $V_{n+1}^N > 0$ , hence  $P(V_n^N > 0) \quad \forall n \in \{0, \dots, N\}$   $\square$

### ③ Integrability and proof verification of Kolmogorov Backward Equation

Assume setting in S.1.1. with  $d=m=1$  and that  $\exists c > 0$  s.t.  $\forall t \in [0, T]$ ,

$x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\sup_{s \in [t, T]} \|\mu(X_s^{t,x})\|_{L^q} + \sup_{s \in [t, T]} \|\sigma(X_s^{t,x})\|_{L^q} \leq c \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{|\partial_z u(s, z)|}{(1+|z|)^3} \leq c$$

where  $\partial_z$  is the partial derivative w.r.t the second argument of  $u$ .

$$\mathbb{E}_p[(\sigma(X_s^{t,x}) \cdot \partial_z u(t+h, X_s^{t,x}))^2] = \\ \sup_{s \in [t, T]} \|\sigma(X_s^{t,x})\|_{L^2} \leq K \Rightarrow \sup_{s \in [t, T]} \mathbb{E}[\sigma(X_s^{t,x})^2] \leq K \quad (2)$$

$$\|\cdot\|_{L^2} \leq \|\cdot\|_{L^1}$$

Now,  $\partial_z u(t+h, X_s^{t,x})$  grows at most polynomially, hence

$$(\partial_z u(t+h, X_s^{t,x}))^2 \leq (c \cdot (1+|X_s^{t,x}|))^2 \quad (1)$$

We have to see how  $|X_s^{t,x}|$  behaves in a bounded interval  $(t, t+h)$

$$\text{As we know that } X_s^{t,x} = x + \int_t^s \mu(X_s^{t,x}) ds_1 + \int_t^s \sigma(X_s^{t,x}) dW_s,$$

$$E[X_s^{t,x}] = x + E\left[\int_t^s \mu(X_s^{t,x}) ds_1\right] \leq x + \textcircled{*}$$

$$\sup_{s_1} \|\mu(X_{s_1}^{t,x})\|_{L^q} \leq c' \rightarrow \sup_{s_1} \|\mu(X_{s_1}^{t,x})\|_{L^1} \leq c'. \text{ Hence,}$$

$$E\left[\int_t^s |\mu(X_s^{t,x})| ds_1\right] \leq E[(s-t) \cdot c'] < \infty$$

This can be omitted, as we know that the solutions of an Ito SDE have finite moments

!!

So, we can apply Fubini's theorem to  $\textcircled{*}$  and get:

$$E[X_s^{t,x}] = x + \int_t^s E[\mu(X_{s_1}^{t,x})] ds_1 \leq x + (s-t) \cdot c'$$

$$\text{So, } (1) \leq \left( c \cdot (1 + (x + (s-t) \cdot c')) \right)^2$$

Now, we can unify this with (2) and state:

$$E_p[(\sigma(X_s^{t,x}) \cdot \partial_x u(t+h, X_s^{t,x}))^2] \leq E_p[K \cdot (1 + x + (s-t) \cdot c')]^2$$

which is finite,  $\forall s \in (t, t+h)$ ,  $E_p[(\sigma(X_s^{t,x}) \cdot \partial_x u(t+h, X_s^{t,x}))^2] \leq K'(s)$

$$\int_t^{t+h} E_p[(\sigma(X_s^{t,x}) \cdot \partial_x u(t+h, X_s^{t,x}))^2] ds \leq \int_t^{t+h} \max_{u \in (t, t+h)} K'(u) ds$$

$$= h \cdot \max_{u \in (t, t+h)} K'(u) < \infty \quad \square$$

Now we have  $u(t, x) = E[u(t+h, X_{t+h}^{t,x})]$  (S.10. of the proof)

Hence,  $u(t+h, x) - u(t, x) = u(t+h, x) - E[u(t+h, X_{t+h}^{t,x})]$ , now we apply w.t.s.:

$$u(t+h, x) - E[u(t+h, X_{t+h}^{t,x})] = - \int_t^{t+h} E[\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(t+h, X_s^{t,x}) \cdot \mu(X_s^{t,x})] ds - \\ - \int_t^{t+h} \frac{1}{2} E[\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}(t+h, X_s^{t,x}) \cdot \sigma^2(X_s^{t,x})] ds$$

We need to apply Itô's formula:

$$f(x_0) - f(x_t) + \int_0^t \mu(x_s) f'(x_s) ds \\ + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t f''(x_s) \sigma^2(x_s) ds + \int_0^t f'(x_s) \sigma(x_s) dW_s$$

If we rearrange what we want to show, we see that we want to see this:

$$E[u(t+h, X_{t+h}^{t,x})] = u(t+h, x) + \int_t^{t+h} E[\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(t+h, X_s^{t,x}) \mu(X_s^{t,x})] ds + \\ + \int_t^{t+h} \frac{1}{2} E[\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}(t+h, X_s^{t,x}) \cdot \sigma^2(X_s^{t,x})] ds$$

Now, we can apply Itô to the function  $x \mapsto u(t+h, x)$

Which is  $C^2$ , as  $f \in C^3$  and we have condition (S.1) on the theorem, which ensures integrability, so we can interchange  $E[\cdot]$  with  $f$ , and as  $f \in C^3$ , also is  $E[f(\cdot)]$ . (A)

And get:

$$u(t+h, X_{t+h}^{t,x}) = u(t+h, X_t^{t,x}) + \int_t^{t+h} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} u(t+h, X_s^{t,x}) \mu(X_s^{t,x}) ds + \\ + \frac{1}{2} \int_t^{t+h} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} u(t+h, X_s^{t,x}) \sigma^2(X_s^{t,x}) ds + \int_t^{t+h} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} u(t+h, X_s^{t,x}) \sigma(X_s^{t,x}) dW_s$$

Now, we apply expectations to the whole expression, and, as we know  $u$  has the growth condition, also  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$  can be polynomially bounded (seen in last series), hence absolutely bounded in  $(t, t+h)$ , then,  $\mu(X_s)$  is also bounded as  $\sup_{s \in [t, t+h]} \|\mu(X_s)\|_{C^2} < c$

Also, we have seen that  $\int_t^{t+h} E_p[(\sigma(x_s^{t,x}) \partial_x u(t+h, x_s^{t,x}))^2] ds < \infty$ . Hence, we apply Fubini's theorem and we can interchange expectations and integrals. This, leads to the following expression:

$$\begin{aligned} E[u(t+h, x_{t+h}^{t,x})] &= u(t+h, x) + \int_t^{t+h} E\left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(t+h, x_s^{t,x}) \mu(x_s^{t,x})\right] ds + \\ &\quad + \int_t^{t+h} \frac{1}{2} E\left[\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}(t+h, x_s^{t,x}) \sigma^2(x_s^{t,x})\right] ds \end{aligned}$$

Which verifies identity (5.11)  
in the proof

(\*)  $\frac{d}{d\theta} E[f(x, \theta)] = E\left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta}(x, \theta)\right] : f :$

- 1) Continuity on  $f$
- 2) Differentiability on  $f$
- 3) Dominated Convergence Theorem:  $\exists g(x)$  s.t.

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(x, \theta) \right| \leq g(\theta)$$

where  $E[g(x)] < \infty$ .

- a) Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Assume that  $[0, T]$  is discretised using a uniform temporal mesh with  $N + 1$  nodes, i.e. with time step size  $\Delta t = T/N$ . The *drift-implicit Milstein scheme* for the stochastic process  $V$  with step size  $\Delta t$  and initial value  $V_0^N = V_0 > 0$  is given, for  $n = 0, \dots, N - 1$ , by

$$V_{n+1}^N = V_n^N + a(b - V_{n+1}^N)\Delta t + \sigma_v \sqrt{V_n^N} (W_{t_{n+1}} - W_{t_n}) + \frac{\sigma_v^2}{4} ((W_{t_{n+1}} - W_{t_n})^2 - \Delta t).$$

Show that if  $4ab \geq \sigma_v^2$ , then  $P(V_n^N > 0) = 1$  for all  $n \in \{0, \dots, N\}$ .

- b) Write a Matlab function `DriftImplicitMilstein(T, N, v0, a, b, sigma_v)` with inputs  $T \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $v_0, a, b, \sigma_v > 0$ , and output a realization of the drift-implicit Milstein scheme  $\{V_0^N, V_1^N, \dots, V_N^N\}$  for the Cox-Ingersoll-Ross process  $V$ . Then, plot a sample path of the stochastic process  $V$  using the following parameter choices:  $T = 1$ ,  $N = 10^3$ ,  $v_0 = 0.5$ ,  $a = 2$ ,  $b = 0.5$  and  $\sigma_v = 0.25$ .

### 3. Integrability and Proof Verification for the Kolmogorov Backward Equation

We adopt the full setting of Theorem 5.1.1 in the lecture notes with  $d = m = 1$ . Furthermore, we assume that there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that, for any  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\sup_{s \in [t, T]} \|\mu(X_s^{t,x})\|_{L^9(P; |\cdot|)} + \sup_{s \in [t, T]} \|\sigma(X_s^{t,x})\|_{L^9(P; |\cdot|)} < c \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{|\partial_2 u(s, z)|}{(1 + |z|)^3} \leq c,$$

where  $\partial_2$  denotes the partial derivative with respect to the second (spatial) argument of  $u$ .

Show that for any  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $h \geq 0$  such that  $t + h \leq T$ ,

$$\int_t^{t+h} \mathbb{E}_P [(\sigma(X_s^{t,x}) \cdot \partial_2 u(t + h, X_s^{t,x}))^2] ds < \infty, \tag{4}$$

and verify the identity (5.11) in the proof of Theorem 5.1.1.

**Submission Deadline:** Wednesday, 04 December 2024, by 2:00 PM.