

Series 5b

Throughout this series, let $T \in (0, \infty)$ and $d, m, N, K \in \mathbb{N}$, let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, \mathbb{F}_{t \in [0, T]})$ be a stochastic basis, and let $W : [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ be a d -dimensional standard $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, \mathbb{F}_{t \in [0, T]})$ -Brownian motion. Moreover, in this series, we do not distinguish between pseudo-random and actual random numbers.

1. Weak Convergence and Extrapolation

Let $T > 0$ and $d = 1$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, and consider the following stochastic differential equation:

$$dX_t = -\sin(X_t) \cos(X_t)^3 dt + \cos(X_t)^2 dW_t, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad X_0 = x_0. \quad (1)$$

The goal of this exercise is to approximate $\mathbb{E}_P[f(X_T)]$ for the function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $x \mapsto x^2$. To this end, the Talay-Tubaro Expansion (Theorem 5.4.1) ensures the existence of a constant $C_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ with

$$\mathcal{E}^N := \mathbb{E}_P[f(X_T)] - \mathbb{E}_P[f(Y_T^N)] = C_1 N^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(N^{-2}), \quad \text{for all } N \in \mathbb{N}; \quad (2)$$

here, Y_T^N is the Euler-Maruyama approximation of X with step size $\frac{T}{N}$ at time T .

a) Prove that the stochastic process given by $X_t = \arctan(W_t + \tan(x_0))$, for $t \in [0, T]$, is the (up to indistinguishability) unique solution of (1).

b) How can the numerical value of C_1 be estimated without prior knowledge of $\mathbb{E}_P[f(X_T)]$?

c) Let from now on $T = 1$ and $x_0 = 1$. Provide a Monte-Carlo approximation \hat{E}_N of $\mathbb{E}_P[f(X_T)]$ such that the absolute error $|\hat{E}_N - \mathbb{E}_P[f(X_T)]|$ is bounded by $5 \cdot 10^{-5}$. Then, let $h_\ell = T/N_\ell$ for $N_\ell := 5 \cdot 2^\ell$ with $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and repeat the following procedure independently $K = 100$ times:

- For each $\ell = 0, 1, 2, 3$, generate $M = 10^5$ sample paths of the Euler-Maruyama approximation $Y^{N_\ell, i}$ ($i = 1, \dots, M$) for the SDE (1), and simulate the error values

$$\mathcal{E}^{h_\ell} := \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M f(Y_T^{N_\ell, i}) - \mathbb{E}_P[f(X_T)] \quad \text{and} \quad (3)$$

$$\mathcal{R}^{h_\ell} := \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M \left[2f(Y_T^{N_{\ell+1}, i}) - f(Y_T^{N_\ell, i}) \right] - \mathbb{E}_P[f(X_T)], \quad (4)$$

where $\mathbb{E}_P[f(X_T)]$ may be approximated independently by the MC-estimate \hat{E}_N above.

Denoting by $(\mathcal{E}_j^{h_\ell})_{j=1}^{100}$ and $(\mathcal{R}_j^{h_\ell})_{j=1}^{100}$ your $K = 100$ independently drawn samples of (3) and (4), respectively, generate two log-log plots: the first plot should display:

$$E_K(\mathcal{E}^{h_\ell}) := \frac{1}{K} \sum_{j=1}^K \mathcal{E}_j^{h_\ell} \quad \text{against} \quad N_\ell^{-1}, \quad \text{for } \ell = 0, 1, 2, 3, \quad (5)$$

and the second plot should display:

$$E_K(\mathcal{R}^{h_\ell}) := \frac{1}{K} \sum_{j=1}^K \mathcal{R}_j^{h_\ell} \quad \text{against} \quad N_\ell^{-1}, \quad \text{for } \ell = 0, 1, 2, 3.$$

Report on the observed experimental rate of convergence. Does the empirical convergence rate observed from (5) coincide with the theoretically established convergence rate in (2)?

⑤ Weak convergence and extrapolation

$$\begin{aligned} & \cdot dX_t = -\sin(X_t) \cos(X_t)^3 dt + \cos(X_t)^3 dW_t, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad X_0 = x_0 \\ & \cdot f(x) = x^2 \end{aligned}$$

a) We first check $X_t = \arctan(W_t + \tan(x_0))$ is indeed a solution:

We apply Ito's formula using $g(x) = \arctan(x)$

And considering that the ito process $W_t + \tan(x_0)$ is the solution of:

$$\begin{cases} dY_t = dW_t \\ Y_0 = \tan(x_0) \end{cases} \quad \left| \quad g'(x) = \frac{1}{1+x^2} \quad g''(x) = \frac{-2x}{(1+x^2)^2} \right.$$

$$\text{Hence, } g(X_t) = g(X_0) + \int_0^t g'(X_s) \cdot 0 \cdot ds + \int_0^t g'(X_s) \cdot dW_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t g''(X_s) ds$$

$$\Rightarrow X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t \frac{1}{1 + (W_s + \tan(x_0))^2} dW_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \frac{-2(W_s + \tan(x_0))}{1 + (W_s + \tan(x_0))^2} ds$$

$$\Rightarrow dX_t = -\frac{(W_t + \tan(x_0))}{1 + (W_t + \tan(x_0))^2} dt + \frac{dW_t}{1 + (W_t + \tan(x_0))^2}$$

Now, $X_t = \arctan(W_t + \tan(x_0))$, so:

$$W_t + \tan(x_0) = \tan(X_t)$$

Substituting $\tan(X_t)$ into dX_t we get:

$$1 + (W_t + \tan(x_0))^2 = 1 + \tan^2(X_t) = \sec^2(X_t)$$

$$\text{thus, } \frac{1}{1 + (W_t + \tan(x_0))^2} = \cos^2(W_t)$$

$$\text{Similarly, } \frac{W_t + \tan(x_0)}{(1 + (W_t + \tan(x_0))^2)^2} = \frac{\tan(X_t)}{\sec^4(X_t)} = \tan(X_t) \cos^4(X_t)$$

Now, as $\tan(X_t) = \frac{\sin(X_t)}{\cos(X_t)}$, hence we get the SDE:

$$dX_t = -\sin(X_t) \cos^3(X_t) dt + \cos^2(X_t) dW_t //$$

So, we have seen that X_t is solution. Now we need to prove that is unique. We are ok if we prove that

$\mu(X_t) = -\sin(X_t) \cos^3(X_t)$ and $\sigma(X_t) = \cos^2(X_t)$ are globally Lipschitz continuous.

We know that $\sin(x), \cos(x) \in [-1, 1] \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}$

$$|\mu(x) - \mu(y)| + |\sigma(x) - \sigma(y)| =$$

$$= |-\sin(x)\cos^3(x) + \sin(y)\cos^3(y)| + |\cos^2(x) - \cos^2(y)| \leq$$

$$\leq |-\sin(x)\cos^3(x)| + |\sin(y)\cos^3(y)| + |\cos^2(x) - \cos^2(y)|$$

$$\leq |\cos^3(x)| |\sin(y) - \sin(x)| + |\cos^2(x) - \cos^2(y)|$$

$$\leq C|x-y| + |\cos^2(x) - \cos^2(y)| \leq (C + K)|x-y|$$

\sin is Lipschitz due
to M.V.T with $C=1$.

$\cos^2(x)$ is
Lipschitz due to M.V.T

And $|\cos^3(x)| \leq 1$

Hence, the coefficients are globally Lipschitz continuous.
and we can say that $X_t = \arctan(W_t + \tan(x_0))$
is a unique solution under indistinguishability. \square

b) We know that by Talay-Tubero:

$$\varepsilon^N := \mathbb{E}_P[f(X_T)] - \mathbb{E}_P[f(Y_T^N)] = C_1 N^{-1} + O(N^{-2})$$

But we need to find C_1 without knowing $\mathbb{E}_P[f(X_T)]$

Hence, we can use two levels of approximation N and $2N$, and get:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \varepsilon^{N_1} &= \frac{C_1}{N_1} + O(N_1^{-2}) \\ \varepsilon^{N_2} &= \frac{C_1}{N_2} + O(N_2^{-2}) \end{aligned} \right\} \begin{aligned} \varepsilon^{N_1} - \varepsilon^{N_2} &\approx C_1 \left(\frac{1}{N_1} - \frac{1}{N_2} \right) = C_1 \frac{(N_2 - N_1)}{N_1 N_2} \\ \Rightarrow C_1 &\approx \frac{N_1 N_2}{N_2 - N_1} (\mathbb{E}_P[f(Y_T^{N_1})] - \mathbb{E}_P[f(Y_T^{N_2})]) \end{aligned}$$

So, we can compute the two EM approximations and estimate it.

2. Multilevel Monte Carlo

Let $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d))$, $\sigma \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d))$, and let $X = (X_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ be a solution of

$$dX_t = \mu(X_t) dt + \sigma(X_t) dW_t, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad X_0 = \xi.$$

Let further $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$ satisfy $\mathbb{E}_P[|f(X_T)|] < \infty$. Write a MATLAB function

$$\text{MultiLevelMonteCarlo}(T, \xi, \mu, \sigma, \epsilon, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, f) \quad (6)$$

with inputs T, ξ, μ, σ, f as introduced above, and simulation parameters $\epsilon, \alpha, \beta, \gamma > 0$. The function should output a realisation of a multilevel Monte Carlo (MLMC) Euler approximation of $\mathbb{E}_P[f(X_T)]$, with tolerance ϵ . Recall that the MLMC-Euler estimator is given by

$$\hat{E}^{\text{ML}}(f(Y_{N_L}^{N_L})) = \sum_{\ell=1}^L \frac{1}{K_\ell} \sum_{k=1}^{K_\ell} (f(Y_{N_\ell}^{N_\ell, k}) - f(Y_{N_{\ell-1}}^{N_{\ell-1}, k})),$$

where $Y_N^{N, k}$ denotes the k -th sample of the Euler-Maruyama approximation of X_T with step size $\Delta t = T/N$, $L = \lceil -\log_2(\epsilon) \rceil$, $N_\ell = N_0 2^\ell$ ($\ell = 1, \dots, L$) with $N_0 = 2T$, and, depending on (α, β, γ) ,

$$K_\ell = \left\lceil 2^{2\alpha L} \left(\sum_{k=1}^L 2^{(\gamma-\beta)k/2} \right) 2^{-(\beta+\gamma)\ell/2} \right\rceil.$$

(You may use the provided template `MultiLevelMonteCarlo.m`.)

Consider then the Black-Scholes model, which models the price process of an underlying S by

$$dS_t = rS_t dt + \sigma S_t dW_t, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad S_0 = s_0,$$

for a fixed interest rate $r \in \mathbb{R}$, volatility parameter $\sigma > 0$ and initial price $s_0 > 0$.

a) Test your MATLAB function (6) to evaluate a European call option with:

- strike price $K_{\text{strike}} > 0$,
- payoff at T given by $f(S_T) = \max(S_T - K_{\text{strike}}, 0)$.

To this end, run the multilevel Monte Carlo scheme with tolerance $\epsilon \in \{0.05, 0.02, 0.01, 0.005, 0.002\}$ to estimate the root mean squared error (RMSE):

$$\left\| e^{-rT} \mathbb{E}_P[f(S_T)] - e^{-rT} \sum_{\ell=1}^L \frac{1}{K_\ell} \sum_{k=1}^{K_\ell} (f(Y_{N_\ell}^{N_\ell, k}) - f(Y_{N_{\ell-1}}^{N_{\ell-1}, k})) \right\|_{L^2(P; \cdot | \mathbb{R})};$$

here, $Y_N^{N, k}$ denotes the k -th sample of the Euler-Maruyama approximation of S_T with stepsize $\Delta t = T/N$. Estimate the RMSE by generating 10 realizations of the weak error

$$e^{-rT} \mathbb{E}_P[f(S_T)] - e^{-rT} \sum_{\ell=1}^L \frac{1}{K_\ell} \sum_{k=1}^{K_\ell} (f(Y_{N_\ell}^{N_\ell, k}) - f(Y_{N_{\ell-1}}^{N_{\ell-1}, k}))$$

for each ϵ and averaging the squared realisations. Use the Black-Scholes parameters $T = 1, S_0 = 100, r = 0.05, \sigma = 0.1$ and $K_{\text{strike}} = 100$. Estimate the convergence rates of the weak error and of the overall complexity with respect to ϵ . Report on the results.

(You may use the provided template `MultiLevelMonteCarloBSCall.m`.)

Hint: The exact value of the call price $e^{-rT} \mathbb{E}_P[f(S_T)]$ is given by the Black Scholes formula

$$e^{-rT} \mathbb{E}_P[f(S_T)] = S_0 \Phi\left(\frac{\left(r + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)T + \ln\left(\frac{S_0}{K}\right)}{\sigma\sqrt{T}}\right) - K e^{-rT} \Phi\left(\frac{\left(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)T + \ln\left(\frac{S_0}{K}\right)}{\sigma\sqrt{T}}\right), \quad (7)$$

where $\Phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the cumulative distribution function of the $\mathcal{N}_{0,1}$ -distribution function, that is,

$$\Phi(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}y^2} dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

which you may use without proof. You may also use the MATLAB function `blsprice()`. Set the parameter `M_RMSE` in the template to `M_RMSE = 1` first to ensure that everything works, and then rerun the experiment with `M_RMSE = 10`.

b) Compare your MATLAB function from (6) with the provided function `MonteCarloEuler()` to evaluate the aforementioned European call option $f(S_T) = \max(S_T - K_{\text{strike}}, 0)$. To this end, run the Monte Carlo-Euler scheme to compute an approximation of $\mathbb{E}_P[f(S_T)]$ with tolerances $\epsilon \in \{0.05, 0.02, 0.01, 0.005, 0.002\}$. Adjust the number of samples K for each step size $\Delta t = \epsilon$ such that the statistical error and the discretization bias in the root mean squared error (RMSE)

$$\left\| e^{-rT} \mathbb{E}_P[f(S_T)] - e^{-rT} \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^K f(Y_N^{N,k}) \right\|_{L^2(P; \cdot |_{\mathbb{R}})} \quad (8)$$

are balanced; here, $Y_N^{N,k}$ denotes the k -th sample of the Euler-Maruyama approximation of S_T with stepsize $\Delta t = T/N$. Use the Black-Scholes parameters $T = 1, S_0 = 100, r = 0.05, \sigma = 0.1$ and $K_{\text{strike}} = 100$. Estimate the RMSE in display (8) by generating 10 realizations of the weak error

$$e^{-rT} \mathbb{E}_P[f(S_T)] - e^{-rT} \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^K f(Y_N^{N,k})$$

for each ϵ and averaging the squared realizations. Estimate the convergence rates of the weak error and of the overall complexity with respect to ϵ , and plot the estimated computational times against ϵ in a logarithmic diagram. Report on your results.

(You may use the provided template `MLMCvsMCEBSCall.m`.)

Hint: As for Exercise 2a), initially set the parameter `M_RMSE` in the template to `M_RMSE = 1` to ensure that everything works, and then rerun the experiment with `M_RMSE = 10`.

Submission Deadline: Wednesday, 04 December 2024, by midnight.