

STA 5106 Computational Methods in Statistics I

Department of Statistics
Florida State University

Class 20 November 12, 2019



Review: Monte Carlo Method

• The main goal in the **Monte Carlo method** is to estimate the quantity Θ , where $\Theta = \int g(x) f(x) dx = E[g(X)],$

 $\int g(x)f(x)dx = E[g(x)],$

for a random variable X distributed with the density f(x).

- X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n are i.i.d. samples from f(x). Then one can approximate Θ by the quantity: $\hat{\Theta}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(X_i)$.
- The mean $E(\hat{\Theta}_n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E[g(X_i)] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \Theta = \Theta$
- The variance

$$\operatorname{var}(\hat{\Theta}_n) = E[(\hat{\Theta}_n - \Theta)^2] = \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{var}(g(X))$$



Review: Variance Reduction Methods

Variance Reduction by Conditioning: Y and E(Y|Z) have the same means but for the variances

$$var(Y) \ge var(E(Y|Z)).$$

Therefore E(Y|Z) is a better random variable to estimate Θ .

• Variance Reduction using Control Variates. Assume $E(f(X)) = \mu$ is known for a given function f. Let

$$W = g(X) + a(f(X) - \mu),$$

where

$$a = \frac{-\operatorname{cov}(g(X), f(X))}{\operatorname{var}(f(X))}.$$

Then

$$var(W) = var(g(X)) - \frac{cov(f(X), g(X))^2}{var(f(X))}.$$



6.4 Importance Sampling



Importance Sampling

- Another technique commonly used for reducing variance in Monte Carlo methods is **importance sampling**.
- Instead of sampling from f(x), the importance sampling samples from another density h(x), and computes the estimate of Θ using averages of g(x)f(x)/h(x) instead of g(x) evaluated on those samples.
- Mathematically, we can rearrange the definition of Θ as follows:

$$\Theta = \int g(x)f(x)dx = \int \frac{g(x)f(x)}{h(x)}h(x)dx.$$

• h(x) can be any density function as long as the *support* of h(x) contains the *support* of f(x).



Estimate

• Generate samples X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n from the density h(x) and compute the estimate:

$$\hat{\Theta}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{g(X_i) f(X_i)}{h(X_i)}.$$

• It can be seen that the mean of $\hat{\Theta}_n$ is Θ and its variance is:

$$\operatorname{var}(\hat{\Theta}_n) = \frac{1}{n} \left(Var_h(\frac{g(X)f(X)}{h(X)}) \right).$$

• It is possible that a suitable choice of *h* can reduce the estimator variance below that of the classical Monte Carlo estimator.



• Example 5 Let X be a Cauchy random variable with parameters (0,1), i.e. X has the density function:

$$f(x) = 1/[\pi(1+x^2)],$$

and $g(x) = 1_{\{x>2\}}$ be an indicator function. We are interested in estimating:

$$\Theta = \int g(x)f(x)dx = P\{X > 2\}.$$

We will do so using the notion of importance sampling although it is not too difficult to compute the exact value of Θ analytically to be $(atan(\infty) - atan(2))/\pi = 0.15$.

(note: the primitive function of $1/(1+x^2)$ is atan(x)).



• Consider X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n to be i.i.d. samples from the Cauchy density f(x) and with g(x) being the indicator function, $\hat{\Theta}_n$ is just the frequency of sampled values larger than 2:

$$\hat{\Theta}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n 1_{\{X_i > 2\}}.$$

Variance of this estimator is simply $\Theta(1-\Theta)/n$ or 0.126/n.

• Alternative, we can utilize the fact that the density f(x) is symmetric around 0, and Θ is just half of the probability $\Pr\{|X| > 2\}$. Assuming X_i 's to be i.i.d. Cauchy, we have

$$\hat{\Theta}_n = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^n 1_{\{|X_i| > 2\}},$$

and the variance of this estimator is $\Theta(1-2\Theta)/(2n)$ or 0.052/n.



• If we rewrite Θ as the following integral:

$$\Theta = \frac{1}{2} - \int_0^2 \frac{1}{\pi (1 + x^2)} dx,$$

• We can obtain another Monte Carlo estimator of Θ . Let X_1 , X_2, \ldots, X_n be samples from a uniform random variable taking values between 0 and 2, and define an estimator:

$$\hat{\Theta}_n = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{2}{\pi (1 + X_i^2)}$$

• One can show that this estimator is also unbiased and its variance is given by: 0.028/n.



• If we rewrite Θ as the integral:

$$\Theta = \int_0^{1/2} \frac{x^{-2}}{\pi (1 + x^{-2})} dx,$$

Using i.i.d samples from U[0, 1/2] and evaluating average of the function $g(x) = 1/[2\pi(1+x^2)]$ one can further reduce the estimator variance.



- This example shows that a suitable split of the integrand between f(x) and g(x) can lead to a reduction in variance.
- The question is: what should be new density h(x) that leads to an estimator with minimum variance?
- Theoretically, it is easier to answer that question, i.e. it is easy to write down the optimal h(x), but it may not be easy to sample from this optimal density.
- In practice, one can try many different densities and use experiments to select the best one.