

Department of Electronic and Telecommunication Engineering

University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka

EN 2110 - Electronics - III



# Group Project

## Report

Submitted by

Caldera H. D. J.	180079X
Oshan J. W. P.	180437U
Thalagala B.P.	180631J

Submitted on

May 13, 2021

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Parasitic effect in Timing analysis</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	System Design . . . . .	2
1.2	Simulation Results and Discussion . . . . .	3
<b>2</b>	<b>PLD</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1	Part 1 . . . . .	5
2.2	Part 2 . . . . .	7
2.3	Part 3 . . . . .	11
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>15</b>

Name	Index	Contribution
Caldera H. D. J.	180079X	PLD - Part 1, Part 2
Oshan J. W. P.	180437U	PLD - Part 3
Thalagala B.P.	180631J	Parasitic effect in Timing analysis

Table 1: Contributions of each member

# 1 Parasitic effect in Timing analysis


**Objective:** Design a 3 stage (3 inverters) ring oscillator. Find the correlation of the parasitic effect in the oscillation period.

## 1.1 System Design


Ring oscillator is a combination of delay stages arranged in series to form a closed loop chain. It consists of an **odd number of identical inverters (NOT gates)** and it produces a periodically oscillating output. The period of oscillation( $T$ ) of a ring oscillator can be expressed as follows where  $n$  is the number of cascaded NOT gates and  $\tau_d$  is the propagation delay of a single stage.

$$T = 2.n.\tau_d \implies \text{Oscillation frequency} = \frac{1}{2.n.\tau_d}$$

Following figure illustrates the input output characteristic of an ideal inverter. There, output is changed as soon as the input signal changes. That is no propagation delay.




(a) Ideal Inverter Model




(b) Ideal inverter Output

Whereas the below figure illustrates the input output characteristic of a single stage of a general real inverter. It can be observed that finite amount of time is required for output to be valid for a given valid input. This model has been used to find the parasitic effect on the oscillation period as it is realistic. For this experiment an NMOS and PMOS models were custom designed and Parameters of those models are as follows.

```
.MODEL CMOSPM PMOS KP=96u VTO=0.906 LAMBDA=0.01 TOX=21n GAMMA=0.486 CGD0=54p CGS0=54p CGB0=336p
.MODEL CMOSNM NMOS KP=96u VTO=0.786 LAMBDA=0.01 TOX=21n GAMMA=0.586 CGD0=402p CGS0=402p CGB0=362p
```



(c) Inverter Model with additional delay element



(d) Effect of Parasitic Capacitance

Basic structure of a ring oscillator can be depicted as follows using logic gates.




Figure 1: Basic structure of a 3 stage ring oscillator


A genral Ring Oscillator can be implemented using NMOS, PMOS transistors and additional delay elements(capacitors). But as the objective is to find the correlation between parasitic effect and oscillation period, the additional delay elements were not used and only the internal capacitance were taken in to account. Each inverter consists of one PMOS and one NMOS named as CMOSP and CMOSN.

## 1.2 Simulation Results and Discussion

Following ring oscillator schematic has been used to simulate the effect of parasitic capacitance on the period of the output waveform. Simulation was run for  $2 \mu s$  time intervals(.tran 2u) for 18 different gate source capacitor values starting form 402 pF to  $1 \mu F$ . Gate source capacitance of the

For the simulation to work an initial pulse is required. This requirement was satisfied through defining an initial condition(.ic V(Vout) = 0) for the Vout node using LTSpice XVII's *Spice Directive* feature. The same feature was used to sweep through different gate source capacitor values. (.save V(Vout), .step dec param x 402p 1u 5)

```
.MODEL CMOSN NMOS KP=96u VTO=0.786 LAMBDA=0.01 TOX=21n GAMMA=0.586 CGDO=402p CGSO={x} CGB0=362p
.MODEL CMOSP PMOS KP=96u VTO=0.906 LAMBDA=0.01 TOX=21n GAMMA=0.486 CGDO=54p CGSO=54p CGB0=336p
```



--- E:\Electronics3\Circuit1\ringOscillator.asc ---

Figure 2: 3 stages CMOS Ring Oscillator

When the capacitance of the delay elements are increased as the plot depicts the period of oscillation increases and hence the frequency decreases. Because as mentioned at the beginning the period of oscillation( $T$ ) is affected by  $\tau_d$ , the propagation delay of a single stage which depends on the capacitance of the delay elements.

$$\text{Oscillation frequency} = \frac{1}{2.n.\tau_d}$$




Figure 3: Waveform for Voltages of 3 stages CMOS Ring Oscillator

## 2 PLD

### 2.1 Part 1

**Objective:** Design a programmable logic block to configure it as a 'NAND' or a 'NOR' gate using a single selection bit.

First a truth table is drawn for this part considering a single selection bit (S) with two inputs (A, B) such that S=0 for 'NAND' and S=1 for 'NOR' operations respectively.

S	A	B	F
0	0	0	1
0	0	1	1
0	1	0	1
0	1	1	0
1	0	0	1
1	0	1	0
1	1	0	0
1	1	1	0

Table 2: The truth table


Then the relevant logic expression was obtained using a karnaugh map and it was further simplified to obtain the combination of 'NAND' and 'NOR' operations.

S\AB	00	01	11	10
0	1	1	0	1
1	1	0	0	0


Table 3: Karnough Map for the above truth table

$$\begin{aligned}
 F &= \overline{S}.\overline{A} + \overline{S}.\overline{B} + \overline{A}.\overline{B} \\
 &= \overline{S}.(\overline{A} + \overline{B}) + \overline{A}.\overline{B} \\
 &= \overline{S}.(\overline{A.B}) + \overline{A}.\overline{B} \\
 &= \overline{S + A.B} + \overline{A + B} \\
 &= \overline{(S + A.B).(A + B)} \\
 &= \overline{(S + \overline{\overline{A.B}}).\overline{\overline{A + B}}}
 \end{aligned}$$


So, the resultant combinational logic circuit is as follows. (2 NANDs, 2 NORs, 3 NOTs) For the implementation of this circuit; 'NOT', 'NAND', and 'NOR' gates were designed using 'NMOS' and 'PMOS' transistors. Their schematics in LTspice are depicted below.



(a) Schematic of NOT gate




(b) Schematic of NAND gate



(c) Schematic of NOR gate


Figure 4: Basic Gates in the CMOS logic

Finally, the PLD block is designed using the above gates and the waveforms were obtained.



```
--- F:\GitHub\Electronics3\Circuit_2_part_1_Dilmi\PLD.asc ---
```

Figure 5: Circuit designed using logic blocks



```
--- F:\GitHub\Electronics3\Circuit_2_part_1_Dilmi\PLD_block.asc ---
```

Figure 6: Designed PLD block





Figure 7: Waveforms for inputs and output of PLD

## 2.2 Part 2

**Objective:** *Design a single switch matrix using six pass transistors.*

In this part, the single switch matrix is needed to be designed using six pass transistors. So as the first step, a pass transistor was designed and its performance was checked. Simply an 'NMOS' transistor is fed with a switch, could be used for this task. So when the switch is on, the input signal will be received at the output (Threshold voltage is considering as zero since an ideal nmos). Also capacitors were used to ground the high impedance state which occurred when the NMOS is OFF.



--- F:\GitHub\Electronics3\Circuit\_2\_Part\_2\pass\_ttr.asc ---

Figure 8: Schematic diagram of the pass transistor






Figure 9: Waveform of the pass transistor

Then using six such transistors, the single switch matrix was designed and the schematic diagram of it is shown below.




Figure 10: Schematic diagram of the single switch matrix




Figure 11: Designed single switch matrix block

Finally the functionality of the circuit was checked by giving pulses to left, right, top, and bottom corners separately and switching on the switches at different periods.




Figure 12: Waveforms when the top terminal is fed with a pulse




Figure 13: Waveforms when the left terminal is fed with a pulse




Figure 14: Waveforms when the right terminal is fed with a pulse




Figure 15: Waveforms when the bottom terminal is fed with a pulse

## 2.3 Part 3

**Objective:** Design a PLD that can be used to design any 3 input combinational circuit.

The task was to design a PLD circuit capable of implementing any three input combinational circuit. The truth-table of any three input combinational circuits will be as below.

A	B	C	Output
0	0	0	$S_1$
0	0	1	$S_2$
0	1	0	$S_3$
0	1	1	$S_4$
1	0	0	$S_5$
1	0	1	$S_6$
1	1	0	$S_7$
1	1	1	$S_8$

Table 4: The truth-table of any three input combinational circuit

Outputs  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_8$  differ with the combinational circuit. So we can write an expression for the combinational logic circuit using the 8 minterms. Which minterms to be selected differ according to the  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_8$ . If any  $S_i$  is 1 then the corresponding minterm is taken into the sum of products expression. If  $S_i$  is 0 that corresponding minterm is discarded.

So we can build the PLD with a fixed AND plane which has all eight minterms and a programmable OR plane which can be programmed using  $S_i$  terms. So our PLD becomes a PROM.

Before building the PLD the AND plane and OR plane should be created. For the fixed AND plane, we need eight minterms. A minterm is a product of any three of  $A, \bar{A}, B, \bar{B}, C$  or  $\bar{C}$ . So we need three input and gate. We configured a three-input AND gate using NAND, NOR, and NOT gates as

below for better efficiency.

$$A.B.C = \overline{\overline{A.B.C}} = \overline{\overline{A.B} + \overline{C}}$$

Using this expression we constructed the 3 input AND gates using a minimum number of logic gates.





Figure 16: Implementing the three-input AND gate using NOR, NAND, and NOT gates.

Using seven separate OR gates( 7 NOR gates + 7 NOT gates) to implement the OR plane, increases complexity and the latency of the circuit by a huge factor. Instead, we can simplify the expression and use a minimum number of gates as below.

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= S_1 + S_2 + S_3 + S_4 + S_5 + S_6 + S_7 + S_8 \\
 &= \overline{\overline{S_1 + S_2 + S_3 + S_4 + S_5 + S_6 + S_7 + S_8}} \\
 &= \overline{(S_1 + S_2 + S_3 + S_4).(S_5 + S_6 + S_7 + S_8)} \\
 &= \overline{(S_1 + S_2).(S_3 + S_4).(S_5 + S_6).(S_7 + S_8)} \\
 &= \overline{(S_1 + S_2).(S_3 + S_4)} + \overline{(S_5 + S_6).(S_7 + S_8)} \\
 &= \overline{\overline{(S_1 + S_2).(S_3 + S_4)} + \overline{(S_5 + S_6).(S_7 + S_8)}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Using this expression we were able to build an OR plane with a minimum number of components as below.




--- D:\aca\github\electronics3\circuit\_2\_part\_3\_oshan\8\_or.asc ---

Figure 17: Implementing the OR plane

Instead of using a total of 14 logic gates, now we have implemented it using only 8 logic gates. This reduces the latency and complexity by a huge factor.

PROM is constructed as below



--- D:\ACA\Github\Electronics3\Circuit\_2\_part\_3\_Oshan\New folder\PROM.asc ---

Figure 18: PROM circuit

We have used nmos transistors as switches which choose, which minterms are taken into the sum of products. We didn't choose passgates as switches as it increases the complexity and the latency of the circuit.

We tested the circuit for different combinational circuits by configuring Si switches. Below we have configured the PROM as a simple NOR gate.




Figure 19: PROM configured as a NOR gate

Results were as below,




Figure 20: Results of PROM configured as a NOR gate

We can observe that the PROM is functioning correctly.

## Bibliography

- [1] Mrinal Mandal and Bishnu Charan Sarkar. Ring oscillators: Characteristics and applications. *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Physics*, 48:136–145, 02 2010.