

Practice Sheet 2

Bhai ye **LC 540 – Single Element in a Sorted Array** ek classic **Binary Search on Index Pattern** wala question hai. Agar tu ye samajh gaya na, toh bahut saare pattern-based BS questions clear ho jayenge 🔥



Problem Statement (Simple Language)

- Sorted array diya hai
- Har element **2 baar** aata hai
- Sirf **ek element 1 baar** aata hai
- $O(\log n)$ time me find karna hai

Example:

Input: [1,1,2,3,3,4,4,8,8]

Output: 2



Step 1: Intuition (Sabse Important)

Normal case me:

Index: 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

Array: 1 1 2 3 3 4 4 8 8

Pairs ka pattern observe kar:

Before single element:

- First element pair ka **even index** par start hota hai
(0,1) (2,3) (4,5)...

After single element:

- Pattern shift ho jaata hai
Pair **odd index** par start hota hai

Example samajh:

```
[1,1,2,3,3,4,4,8,8]
    ^
single = 2
```

Left side pattern:

```
(0,1) 1,1
```

Right side pattern:

```
(3,4) 3,3
(5,6) 4,4
(7,8) 8,8
```

Yaha se ek powerful observation milta hai:

👉 Before single element

Even index == next element

👉 After single element

Even index != next element

Ye hi pura binary search ka base hai 💡



Brute Force Approach ($O(n)$)

Idea:

Har pair check karo:

- Agar $\text{arr}[i] \neq \text{arr}[i+1]$ → wahi answer
- $i += 2$

Java Code (Brute)

```
class Solution {
    public int singleNonDuplicate(int[] nums) {
        int n = nums.length;
```

```

        for (int i = 0; i < n - 1; i += 2) {
            if (nums[i] != nums[i + 1]) {
                return nums[i];
            }
        }

        return nums[n - 1];
    }
}

```

Time Complexity:

$O(n)$

Space:

$O(1)$



Better Approach (XOR Trick) – $O(n)$

Property:

```

a ^ a = 0
a ^ 0 = a

```

Toh saare numbers XOR karo → pairs cancel ho jayenge.

Java Code:

```

class Solution {
    public int singleNonDuplicate(int[] nums) {
        int xor = 0;

        for (int num : nums) {
            xor ^= num;
        }

        return xor;
    }
}

```

```
    }  
}
```

Time:

$O(n)$

Space:

$O(1)$

⚠️ Lekin question $O(\log n)$ maang raha hai → ye fully optimal nahi.

Optimal Approach (Binary Search – $O(\log n)$)

Ab asli game yaha hai 🔥

Core Logic:

1. mid nikalo
2. Check karo:
 - Agar mid even hai:
 - $\text{nums}[\text{mid}] == \text{nums}[\text{mid}+1]$
→ single right side me hai
 - else
→ single left me hai (including mid)
 - Agar mid odd hai:
 - $\text{nums}[\text{mid}] == \text{nums}[\text{mid}-1]$
→ single right side me hai
 - else
→ single left me hai

Aur smart trick 🔥

Instead of even/odd alag check karne ke:

```
mid ^ 1
```

Ye magic operation hai 😎

- Agar mid even hai \rightarrow $mid \wedge 1 = mid + 1$
- Agar mid odd hai \rightarrow $mid \wedge 1 = mid - 1$

Example:

```
4 ^ 1 = 5  
5 ^ 1 = 4
```

Toh directly compare kar sakte:

```
nums[mid] == nums[mid ^ 1]
```



Binary Search Algorithm

1. low = 0
2. high = n - 1
3. while (low < high)
4. mid = (low + high) / 2
5. If $nums[mid] == nums[mid ^ 1]$
 \rightarrow low = mid + 1
else
 \rightarrow high = mid
6. return $nums[low]$



Java Code (Optimal)

```
class Solution {  
    public int singleNonDuplicate(int[] nums) {
```

```

        int low = 0;
        int high = nums.length - 1;

        while (low < high) {
            int mid = low + (high - low) / 2;

            // Check pair using XOR trick
            if (nums[mid] == nums[mid ^ 1]) {
                low = mid + 1;
            } else {
                high = mid;
            }
        }

        return nums[low];
    }
}

```

🎯 Why while(`low < high`)?

Kyuki:

- Hum ek single element pe converge kar rahe hain
- Infinite loop avoid karne ke liye
- Final answer `low` pe milega

🔍 Dry Run Example

Input:

```
[1,1,2,3,3,4,4,8,8]
```

Step 1:

```
low = 0
high = 8
```

```
mid = 4
```

nums[4] = 3

4 ^ 1 = 5

nums[5] = 4

3 != 4

→ high = mid

Next:

```
low = 0
```

```
high = 4
```

```
mid = 2
```

nums[2] = 2

2 ^ 1 = 3

nums[3] = 3

2 != 3

→ high = 2

Next:

```
low = 0
```

```
high = 2
```

```
mid = 1
```

nums[1] = 1

1 ^ 1 = 0

nums[0] = 1

Equal

→ low = mid + 1 = 2

Now:

```
low = 2  
high = 2
```

Return nums[2] = 2 

Complexity

Time: $O(\log n)$

Space: $O(1)$



Important Pattern Learnings

Ye question sikhata hai:

- Index parity pattern
 - Binary search on pattern
 - mid ^ 1 trick
 - Shrinking search space correctly
 - while($low < high$) vs \leq difference
-



Interview Ready Summary

Approach	Time	Space
Brute	$O(n)$	$O(1)$
XOR	$O(n)$	$O(1)$
Binary Search	$O(\log n)$	$O(1)$

Bhai ye **LC 1095 – Find in Mountain Array** thoda interesting + tricky question hai 🔥

Ye simple binary search nahi hai — isme **3 binary search** lagte hain.

Agar tu isko samajh gaya, toh “search in bitonic array” type ke saare questions easy ho jayenge.

Problem Samajh

Mountain Array ka matlab:

1. Strictly increasing
2. Fir strictly decreasing

Example:

```
[1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 3, 1]
      ↑
      peak
```

Tujhe ek `target` diya hai.

Return uska index.

 Important:

- Direct array access allowed nahi
- Sirf `mountainArr.get(i)` use kar sakte ho
- Total calls limited hoti hain

Overall Strategy (3 Steps)

Step 1 → Peak element find karo

Step 2 → Left side (ascending) me binary search

Step 3 → Right side (descending) me binary search



Step 1: Peak Find Karna

Peak element wo hai jo:

```
nums[mid] > nums[mid+1]
```

Binary Search logic:

- If $mid < mid+1 \rightarrow$ peak right me

- Else → peak left me (including mid)

Peak Code

```
int low = 0;
int high = n - 1;

while (low < high) {
    int mid = low + (high - low) / 2;

    if (mountainArr.get(mid) < mountainArr.get(mid + 1)) {
        low = mid + 1;
    } else {
        high = mid;
    }
}

int peak = low;
```



Step 2: Ascending Part me Search

Simple binary search (normal increasing array)

```
int leftSearch(int low, int high, int target, MountainArray
mountainArr) {
    while (low <= high) {
        int mid = low + (high - low) / 2;
        int val = mountainArr.get(mid);

        if (val == target) return mid;

        if (val < target) low = mid + 1;
        else high = mid - 1;
    }
    return -1;
}
```



Step 3: Descending Part me Search

Yaha condition reverse ho jaati hai:

```
int rightSearch(int low, int high, int target, MountainArra
y mountainArr) {
    while (low <= high) {
        int mid = low + (high - low) / 2;
        int val = mountainArr.get(mid);

        if (val == target) return mid;

        if (val > target) low = mid + 1;    // reverse
        else high = mid - 1;
    }
    return -1;
}
```



Final Optimal Java Code

```
class Solution {
    public int findInMountainArray(int target, MountainArra
y mountainArr) {
        int n = mountainArr.length();

        // 1 Find Peak
        int low = 0;
        int high = n - 1;

        while (low < high) {
            int mid = low + (high - low) / 2;

            if (mountainArr.get(mid) < mountainArr.get(mid
+ 1)) {
                low = mid + 1;
            } else {
                high = mid;
            }
        }

        int ans = rightSearch(low, high, target, mountainArr);
        if (ans != -1) return ans;
        return -1;
    }
}
```

```

        }

    int peak = low;

    // 2 Search Left (Ascending)
    int index = binarySearch(mountainArr, target, 0, peak, true);
    if (index != -1) return index;

    // 3 Search Right (Descending)
    return binarySearch(mountainArr, target, peak + 1, n - 1, false);
}

private int binarySearch(MountainArray mountainArr, int target, int low, int high, boolean isAscending) {
    while (low <= high) {
        int mid = low + (high - low) / 2;
        int val = mountainArr.get(mid);

        if (val == target) return mid;

        if (isAscending) {
            if (val < target) low = mid + 1;
            else high = mid - 1;
        } else {
            if (val > target) low = mid + 1;
            else high = mid - 1;
        }
    }
    return -1;
}
}

```

Complexity

- Peak find $\rightarrow O(\log n)$
- Left search $\rightarrow O(\log n)$
- Right search $\rightarrow O(\log n)$

Total $\rightarrow O(\log n)$

Space $\rightarrow O(1)$



Important Interview Concepts

Ye question sikhata hai:

- Binary search on mountain array
 - Peak finding using BS
 - Ascending + Descending search difference
 - Search space splitting
 - Multiple binary searches
-



Dry Run Example

Input:

```
arr = [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 3, 1]
target = 3
```

Peak = 5 (index 4)

Left search \rightarrow index 2

Return 2 (smallest index required)

Bhai ye **LC 1060 – Missing Element in Sorted Array** ek solid **Binary Search on Answer / Missing Count Pattern** wala question hai 🔥

Agar tu isko deeply samajh gaya, toh “kth missing number” type ke saare questions crack ho jayenge.



Problem Samajh

Tujhe diya hai:

- Ek **strictly increasing sorted array**
- Ek integer **k**
- Tujhe **k-th missing number** find karna hai

Example:

```
nums = [4,7,9,10]
k = 1
Output = 5
```

Missing numbers:

```
5,6,8,...
```

1st missing = 5



Sabse Important Observation

Index **i** tak kitne numbers missing hue hain?

Expected number at index i agar continuous hota:

```
nums[0] + i
```

But actual value hai:

```
nums[i]
```

Toh missing count at index i:

```
missing(i) = nums[i] - nums[0] - i
```

Example

```
nums = [4,7,9,10]
```

At index 0:

$$4 - 4 - 0 = 0$$

At index 1:

$$7 - 4 - 1 = 2 \quad (5,6 \text{ missing})$$

At index 2:

$$9 - 4 - 2 = 3 \quad (5,6,8 \text{ missing})$$

At index 3:

$$10 - 4 - 3 = 3$$



Case Analysis

Case 1:

Agar total missing < k

Toh answer array ke bahar hoga.

Example:

```
nums = [4,7,9,10]
k = 5
```

Total missing = 3

Toh answer:

```
nums[last] + (k - totalMissing)
```

Case 2:

k missing array ke andar hi mil jayega

Toh binary search use karenge.



Optimal Approach (Binary Search)

Goal:

Smallest index find karo jaha

```
missing(index) >= k
```



Algorithm

1. low = 0

2. high = n-1

3. Check:

```
totalMissing = nums[n-1] - nums[0] - (n-1)
```

4. Agar totalMissing < k:

```
return nums[n-1] + (k - totalMissing)
```

5. Warna binary search:

```
while(low < high)
    mid = ...
    if missing(mid) < k
        low = mid + 1
    else
        high = mid
```

1. Final answer:

```
nums[0] + low + k - 1
```



Why Final Formula Works?

low pe aake hum us first index pe khade hain jaha:

```
missing(low) >= k
```

Toh answer hogा:

```
nums[0] + low + k - 1
```

Ye derived formula hai jo continuous sequence logic se aata hai.



Full Java Code (Optimal)

```
class Solution {  
    public int missingElement(int[] nums, int k) {  
        int n = nums.length;  
  
        // Function to calculate missing numbers till index  
        i  
        // missing(i) = nums[i] - nums[0] - i  
  
        int totalMissing = nums[n - 1] - nums[0] - (n - 1);  
  
        // Case: kth missing beyond array  
        if (totalMissing < k) {  
            return nums[n - 1] + (k - totalMissing);  
        }  
  
        int low = 0;  
        int high = n - 1;  
  
        while (low < high) {  
            int mid = low + (high - low) / 2;  
  
            int missing = nums[mid] - nums[0] - mid;
```

```

        if (missing < k) {
            low = mid + 1;
        } else {
            high = mid;
        }
    }

    return nums[0] + low + k - 1;
}
}

```



Brute Force Approach ($O(n + k)$)

Idea:

- Previous number track karo
- Gap count karo
- Missing count karte jao

Code:

```

class Solution {
    public int missingElement(int[] nums, int k) {
        for (int i = 1; i < nums.length; i++) {
            int gap = nums[i] - nums[i - 1] - 1;

            if (k <= gap) {
                return nums[i - 1] + k;
            }

            k -= gap;
        }

        return nums[nums.length - 1] + k;
    }
}

```



Complexity

Approach	Time	Space
Brute	$O(n)$	$O(1)$
Optimal	$O(\log n)$	$O(1)$



Interview Pattern

Ye question sikhata hai:

- Missing count formula derive karna
- Binary search on answer
- Search space shrinking
- While($low < high$) logic



Dry Run Example

```
nums = [4, 7, 9, 10]
k = 3
```

missing(0) = 0

missing(1) = 2

missing(2) = 3

Binary search will land at index 2

Final:

```
4 + 2 + 3 - 1 = 8
```

Answer = 8

Sahi baat boli bhai

Approach build karni hai toh **brute** → **better** → **optimal** full journey samajhnii padegi.

Chal ab **LC 1095 – Find in Mountain Array** ko properly todte hain



LC 1095 – Find in Mountain Array

Problem Summary

- Array mountain type hai:
 - Strictly increasing
 - Fir strictly decreasing
- Ek `target` diya hai
- Return smallest index of target
- Direct array access allowed nahi (sirf `get()`)

Example:

```
arr = [1,2,3,4,5,3,1]
target = 3
Output = 2
```



Step 0: Structure Samjho

Mountain array ka shape:

```
peak
 ^
1 2 3 4 5 3 1
```

Isme 3 logical parts hain:

1. Increasing part
2. Peak
3. Decreasing part

Toh natural thought process:

- 👉 Pehle peak dhoondo
- 👉 Fir dono side search karo



Brute Force Approach ($O(n)$)

Idea:

Linear search karo.

Since direct index access nahi hai, toh:

```
for i = 0 to n-1
    if get(i) == target
        return i
```

Java Code (Conceptual)

```
class Solution {
    public int findInMountainArray(int target, MountainArr mountainArr) {
        int n = mountainArr.length();

        for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
            if (mountainArr.get(i) == target) {
                return i;
            }
        }

        return -1;
    }
}
```

Time:

$O(n)$

Problem:

LeetCode me `get()` calls limited hoti hain

Ye approach TLE ya limit exceed karega X

Better Approach (Peak + Linear Search)

Thoda dimaag lagate hain.

Step 1:

Peak dhoondo ($O(\log n)$)

Step 2:

Left side linear search ($O(n)$)

Step 3:

Right side linear search ($O(n)$)

Total: $O(n)$

Still not optimal but better than blind search.

Peak Find Logic

Binary search:

- If $\text{mid} < \text{mid} + 1 \rightarrow \text{peak right}$
- Else $\rightarrow \text{peak left}$

```
int low = 0, high = n - 1;

while (low < high) {
    int mid = low + (high - low) / 2;

    if (mountainArr.get(mid) < mountainArr.get(mid + 1)) {
        low = mid + 1;
    } else {
        high = mid;
    }
}

int peak = low;
```

Then Linear Search

```
// left side
for (int i = 0; i <= peak; i++) {
    if (mountainArr.get(i) == target) return i;
}

// right side
for (int i = peak + 1; i < n; i++) {
    if (mountainArr.get(i) == target) return i;
}
```

Time: $O(n)$



Optimal Approach (3 Binary Searches)

Ab real thinking start 🔥

Mountain array me:

- Left side sorted increasing
- Right side sorted decreasing

Toh dono side pe binary search lag sakta hai.



Final Plan

Step 1 → Peak find ($O(\log n)$)

Step 2 → Binary search left ($O(\log n)$)

Step 3 → Binary search right ($O(\log n)$)

Total: $O(\log n)$



Full Optimal Java Code

```

class Solution {
    public int findInMountainArray(int target, MountainArr y mountainArr) {
        int n = mountainArr.length();

        // 1 Find Peak
        int low = 0, high = n - 1;

        while (low < high) {
            int mid = low + (high - low) / 2;

            if (mountainArr.get(mid) < mountainArr.get(mid + 1)) {
                low = mid + 1;
            } else {
                high = mid;
            }
        }

        int peak = low;

        // 2 Binary Search Left (Ascending)
        int index = binarySearch(mountainArr, target, 0, peak, true);
        if (index != -1) return index;

        // 3 Binary Search Right (Descending)
        return binarySearch(mountainArr, target, peak + 1, n - 1, false);
    }

    private int binarySearch(MountainArray mountainArr, int target,
                            int low, int high, boolean ascending) {

        while (low <= high) {
            int mid = low + (high - low) / 2;

```

```

        int val = mountainArr.get(mid);

        if (val == target) return mid;

        if (ascending) {
            if (val < target) low = mid + 1;
            else high = mid - 1;
        } else {
            if (val > target) low = mid + 1;
            else high = mid - 1;
        }
    }

    return -1;
}
}

```



Dry Run

```

arr = [1,2,3,4,5,3,1]
target = 3

```

Peak = index 4

Left binary search → finds 3 at index 2

Return 2 ✓



Complexity Comparison

Approach	Time	Space
Brute	$O(n)$	$O(1)$
Better	$O(n)$	$O(1)$
Optimal	$O(\log n)$	$O(1)$

Important Concepts

Ye question sikhata hai:

- Binary search on peak
 - Bitonic array search
 - Binary search in descending array
 - Search space splitting
-

Sahi hai bhai 

Ab mai **LC 1060 – Missing Element in Sorted Array** ka full build-up dunga:

- Brute
 - Better
 - Optimal (Binary Search)
 - Aur **har important line pe comment** bhi hogा ki kyu likha hai 
-

Problem Recap

Given:

```
nums = sorted strictly increasing array
k = kth missing number
```

Return the kth missing number starting from nums[0].

Example:

```
nums = [4,7,9,10]
k = 3
```

Missing numbers:
5,6,8,...

Output = 8



1

Brute Force (Gap Counting) – O(n)

Idea

Har consecutive pair ke beech gap check karo:

```
gap = nums[i] - nums[i-1] - 1
```

Ye batata hai kitne numbers missing hain dono ke beech.



Brute Code with Detailed Comments

```
class Solution {  
    public int missingElement(int[] nums, int k) {  
  
        // Traverse from 2nd element  
        for (int i = 1; i < nums.length; i++) {  
  
            // Calculate how many numbers are missing between nums[i-1] and nums[i]  
            // Example: 4 and 7 → gap = 7 - 4 - 1 = 2 (5,6 missing)  
            int gap = nums[i] - nums[i - 1] - 1;  
  
            // If kth missing lies inside this gap  
            if (k <= gap) {  
                // Answer will be k steps after nums[i-1]  
                return nums[i - 1] + k;  
            }  
  
            // Otherwise subtract this gap from k  
            // because we have already skipped these missing numbers  
            k -= gap;  
        }  
  
        // If kth missing not found inside array  
        // It means answer is beyond last element  
    }  
}
```

```
        return nums[nums.length - 1] + k;  
    }  
}
```

⌚ Time Complexity:

$O(n)$

⚡ 2 Better Understanding Before Optimal

Ab sabse important concept samajh:

Missing count till index i:

Agar array continuous hota:

```
Expected at index i = nums[0] + i
```

But actual value hai:

```
nums[i]
```

So missing till i:

```
missing(i) = nums[i] - nums[0] - i
```

Example:

```
nums = [4, 7, 9, 10]
```

Index 1:

```
7 - 4 - 1 = 2  
(5, 6 missing)
```

Ye formula hi pura binary search ka base hai 🔥



3 Optimal Approach – Binary Search (O(log n))

Step 1: Check Total Missing

Total missing till last index:

```
totalMissing = nums[n-1] - nums[0] - (n-1)
```

Agar:

```
totalMissing < k
```

Toh answer array ke baahar hoga.

✓ Optimal Code with Full Comments

```
class Solution {  
    public int missingElement(int[] nums, int k) {  
  
        int n = nums.length;  
  
        // Calculate total missing numbers till last index  
        // missing(n-1) = nums[n-1] - nums[0] - (n-1)  
        int totalMissing = nums[n - 1] - nums[0] - (n - 1);  
  
        // If kth missing is beyond last element  
        if (totalMissing < k) {  
  
            // We move forward from last element  
            // remaining missing = k - totalMissing  
            return nums[n - 1] + (k - totalMissing);  
        }  
  
        int low = 0;  
        int high = n - 1;
```

```

// Binary search to find smallest index
// where missing(index) >= k
while (low < high) {

    // Standard mid calculation (overflow safe)
    int mid = low + (high - low) / 2;

    // Missing numbers till mid index
    int missing = nums[mid] - nums[0] - mid;

    if (missing < k) {
        // kth missing is on right side
        low = mid + 1;
    } else {
        // kth missing is on left side (including m
        id)
        high = mid;
    }
}

// At this point, low == high
// This is first index where missing(low) >= k

// Final formula derived from continuous pattern
return nums[0] + low + k - 1;
}
}

```



Why Final Formula Works?

We know:

Continuous number at index low would be:
 $\text{nums}[0] + \text{low}$

Since k missing numbers exist before this index,

Final answer:

```
nums[0] + low + k - 1
```

Dry Run

```
nums = [4,7,9,10]  
k = 3
```

missing(0) = 0

missing(1) = 2

missing(2) = 3

Binary search stops at index 2

Final:

```
4 + 2 + 3 - 1 = 8
```

Answer = 8 

Complexity

Approach	Time	Space
Brute	O(n)	O(1)
Optimal	O(log n)	O(1)

Bhai ye **LC 1283 – Find the Smallest Divisor Given a Threshold** ek classic

 **Binary Search on Answer** problem hai.

Isko properly build karte hain:

- Brute
- Better thinking
- Optimal (Binary Search)
- Java code with detailed comments

Problem Samajh

Given:

```
nums = [1,2,5,9]  
threshold = 6
```

Humko smallest divisor  find karna hai such that:

```
ceil(1/d) + ceil(2/d) + ceil(5/d) + ceil(9/d) <= threshold
```

Example:

If d = 5

```
ceil(1/5)=1  
ceil(2/5)=1  
ceil(5/5)=1  
ceil(9/5)=2
```

Total = 5 <= 6 

Answer = 5

Observation

- Jaise divisor bada hota hai \rightarrow sum chhota hota hai
 - Jaise divisor chhota hota hai \rightarrow sum bada hota hai
- 👉 Monotonic relation hai

Isliye **Binary Search on divisor** laga sakte hain.



1

Brute Force Approach

Try all divisors from 1 to max(nums)

For each divisor:

- Calculate sum of $\text{ceil}(\text{nums}[i] / \text{divisor})$

- If sum \leq threshold \rightarrow return divisor
-

Brute Code (Java)

```
class Solution {  
    public int smallestDivisor(int[] nums, int threshold) {  
  
        int max = 0;  
  
        // Find maximum value in array  
        for (int num : nums) {  
            max = Math.max(max, num);  
        }  
  
        // Try all divisors from 1 to max  
        for (int d = 1; d <= max; d++) {  
  
            int sum = 0;  
  
            for (int num : nums) {  
                // ceil division trick  
                sum += (num + d - 1) / d;  
            }  
  
            if (sum <= threshold) {  
                return d;  
            }  
        }  
  
        return -1; // theoretically won't happen  
    }  
}
```

⌚ Time Complexity:

$O(n * \max(\text{nums}))$ ✗

Very slow if $\max(\text{nums}) = 10^6$

2 Better Thinking

Search space kya hai?

Divisor minimum = 1

Divisor maximum = max(nums)

Answer definitely isi range me hai.

Since monotonic behavior hai:

Small divisor → sum big

Big divisor → sum small

Binary search apply kar sakte hain.

3 Optimal Approach – Binary Search on Answer

Step 1: Search Space

```
low = 1
```

```
high = max(nums)
```

Step 2: Mid Divisor Try karo

Compute:

```
sum = Σ ceil(nums[i] / mid)
```

If:

```
sum <= threshold
```

→ mid valid ho sakta hai

→ try smaller divisor (high = mid - 1)

Else:

- divisor chhota hai
 - increase it ($\text{low} = \text{mid} + 1$)
-

🔥 Important Ceil Trick

Instead of:

```
Math.ceil((double)num / mid)
```

Use:

```
(num + mid - 1) / mid
```

Why?

Because integer math me ye ceil ka kaam karta hai.

Example:

```
9 / 5 → 1  
(9 + 4)/5 = 13/5 = 2 ✓
```

✓ Optimal Java Code (With Full Comments)

```
class Solution {  
    public int smallestDivisor(int[] nums, int threshold) {  
  
        int low = 1; // Minimum possible divisor  
  
        int high = 0;  
  
        // Find maximum value to set upper bound  
        for (int num : nums) {  
            high = Math.max(high, num);  
        }  
    }  
}
```

```

int answer = high; // Store smallest valid divisor

while (low <= high) {

    // Standard mid calculation (overflow safe)
    int mid = low + (high - low) / 2;

    int sum = 0;

    // Calculate sum of ceil divisions
    for (int num : nums) {

        // Ceil trick:
        // (num + mid - 1) / mid
        // This ensures proper rounding up
        sum += (num + mid - 1) / mid;
    }

    if (sum <= threshold) {

        // mid works, but we want smallest divisor
        answer = mid;

        // Try to find even smaller valid divisor
        high = mid - 1;
    }
    else {

        // Sum too large → divisor too small
        // Increase divisor
        low = mid + 1;
    }
}

return answer;
}
}

```

Dry Run

```
nums = [1,2,5,9]
threshold = 6
```

Search space: 1 → 9

mid = 5

sum = $1+1+1+2 = 5 \leq 6$

Valid → try smaller

Eventually answer = 5

Complexity

Approach	Time	Space
Brute	$O(n * \text{max})$	
Optimal	$O(n \log \text{max})$	

Pattern Category

Ye question aata hai:

- Binary Search on Answer
- Minimum possible value
- Monotonic function search
- Same pattern as:
 - Koko Eating Bananas
 - Capacity to Ship Packages
 - Allocate Books
 - Painter Partition

Bhai ye **LC 560 – Subarray Sum Equals K** bahut hi important question hai 

Ye **Prefix Sum + HashMap** ka gold standard problem hai.

Isko samajh liya toh sliding window aur prefix dono clear ho jayenge.

Chal step by step build karte hain:

- Brute
 - Better
 - Optimal (Prefix + HashMap)
 - Java code with detailed comments
-

Problem Samajh

Given:

```
nums = [1,1,1]
k = 2
```

Find number of **continuous subarrays** whose sum = k

Output = 2

Subarrays:

```
[1,1] (index 0-1)
[1,1] (index 1-2)
```

1 Brute Force – O(n³)

Idea:

- Har possible subarray generate karo
- Har subarray ka sum calculate karo

Code:

```
class Solution {
    public int subarraySum(int[] nums, int k) {
        int count = 0;
```

```

int n = nums.length;

for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {

    for (int j = i; j < n; j++) {

        int sum = 0;

        // Calculate sum from i to j
        for (int x = i; x <= j; x++) {
            sum += nums[x];
        }

        if (sum == k) {
            count++;
        }
    }
}

return count;
}
}

```

⌚ Time: $O(n^3)$ ❌



2

Better Approach – $O(n^2)$

Observation:

Instead of recalculating sum again and again,

Running sum use kar sakte hain.

Idea:

Fix start index

End move karo aur running sum maintain karo

Code:

```

class Solution {
    public int subarraySum(int[] nums, int k) {

        int count = 0;
        int n = nums.length;

        for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {

            int sum = 0; // Reset for every new start

            for (int j = i; j < n; j++) {

                sum += nums[j]; // Add next element

                if (sum == k) {
                    count++;
                }
            }
        }

        return count;
    }
}

```



Time: $O(n^2)$

Better but still slow for $n = 10^5$ ❌



Optimal – Prefix Sum + HashMap $(O(n))$

Now real thinking 🔥



Core Idea

Let:

```
prefixSum[i] = sum from index 0 to i
```

If:

```
prefixSum[j] - prefixSum[i] = k
```

That means subarray (i+1 to j) has sum = k

Rearrange:

```
prefixSum[j] - k = prefixSum[i]
```

👉 So for every prefixSum[j],

we need to check:

```
(prefixSum[j] - k) pehle kab mila tha?
```

Ye hi hashmap karega 🔥

🎯 Algorithm

1. HashMap me store karenge:

```
prefixSum -> frequency
```

2. Start with:

```
map.put(0,1)
```

Why?

Because agar prefixSum khud k ke equal ho,
toh subarray starting from index 0 count ho.

3. Loop through array:

- $\text{prefixSum} += \text{nums}[i]$
- If $(\text{prefixSum} - k)$ exists in map $\rightarrow \text{count} += \text{freq}$

- Store prefixSum in map
-

✓ Optimal Code (With Detailed Comments)

```
import java.util.HashMap;

class Solution {
    public int subarraySum(int[] nums, int k) {

        // Map to store prefixSum and its frequency
        HashMap<Integer, Integer> map = new HashMap<>();

        // Important:
        // prefixSum = 0 occurs once before starting
        // This handles cases where subarray starts from index 0
        map.put(0, 1);

        int prefixSum = 0;
        int count = 0;

        for (int num : nums) {

            // Add current number to prefix sum
            prefixSum += num;

            // Check if (prefixSum - k) already exists
            // If yes, we found a valid subarray
            if (map.containsKey(prefixSum - k)) {

                // Add its frequency
                count += map.get(prefixSum - k);
            }

            // Store current prefixSum in map
            // If already present, increase frequency
            map.put(prefixSum, map.getOrDefault(prefixSum, 0) + 1);
        }
    }
}
```

```
        map.put(prefixSum, map.getOrDefault(prefixSum,
0) + 1);
    }

    return count;
}
}
```

Dry Run Example

```
nums = [1,1,1]
k = 2
```

Start:

map = {0:1}

Iteration 1:

prefixSum = 1

$1 - 2 = -1$ (not found)

map = {0:1, 1:1}

Iteration 2:

prefixSum = 2

$2 - 2 = 0$ (found 1 time)

count = 1

map = {0:1, 1:1, 2:1}

Iteration 3:

prefixSum = 3

$3 - 2 = 1$ (found 1 time)

count = 2

Answer = 2 



Complexity

Approach	Time	Space
Brute	$O(n^3)$	
Better	$O(n^2)$	
Optimal	$O(n)$	$O(n)$



Important Insight

Ye sliding window se solve nahi hota ✗

Kyuki:

- Negative numbers ho sakte hain
- Window expand/shrink monotonic nahi hai

Isliye prefix + hashmap hi correct approach hai.

Bhai ye **LC 525 – Contiguous Array** prefix + hashmap ka next level version hai



LC 560 me hum **count** kar rahe the,
yaha hum **maximum length** nikal rahe hain.

Chal proper build-up karte hain:

- Brute
- Better
- Optimal (Prefix + HashMap)
- Java code with detailed comments



Problem Samajh

Given binary array:

```
nums = [0,1]
Output = 2
```

Find longest subarray with **equal number of 0s and 1s**

Example:

```
nums = [0,1,0]
Output = 2
```

Subarray:

```
[0,1] or [1,0]
```



1

Brute Force – O(n^3)

Generate all subarrays

Count 0s and 1s

If equal → update max length

Code

```
class Solution {
    public int findMaxLength(int[] nums) {

        int n = nums.length;
        int maxLen = 0;

        for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {

            for (int j = i; j < n; j++) {

                int zero = 0;
                int one = 0;

                for (int k = i; k <= j; k++) {

                    if (nums[k] == 0) zero++;
                    else one++;
                }

                if (zero == one) {
```

```

        maxLen = Math.max(maxLen, j - i + 1);
    }
}

return maxLen;
}
}

```

⌚ O(n^3) ✗

2 Better – O(n^2)

Instead of inner loop for counting,
running count maintain karte hain.

```

class Solution {
    public int findMaxLength(int[] nums) {

        int n = nums.length;
        int maxLen = 0;

        for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {

            int zero = 0;
            int one = 0;

            for (int j = i; j < n; j++) {

                if (nums[j] == 0) zero++;
                else one++;

                if (zero == one) {
                    maxLen = Math.max(maxLen, j - i + 1);
                }
            }
        }
    }
}

```

```
        return maxLen;
    }
}
```

⌚ $O(n^2)$

Still slow for $n = 10^5$ ✘



3

Optimal – Prefix + HashMap ($O(n)$)

Ab real thinking 🔥



Key Trick

Replace:

```
0 → -1  
1 → +1
```

Why?

Because if we do that:

Equal number of 0 and 1 means:

```
Total sum = 0
```

Example:

```
[0,1]  
→ [-1,+1]  
→ sum = 0
```



Prefix Logic

If:

```
prefixSum[j] == prefixSum[i]
```

That means:

Subarray (i+1 to j) ka sum = 0

Which means equal 0 and 1.

So idea:

Store first occurrence of each prefixSum in hashmap.

Whenever prefixSum repeats,

length = currentIndex - firstIndex

Algorithm

1. map.put(0, -1)

(Important base case)

2. Traverse array:

- If $\text{nums}[i] == 0 \rightarrow$ treat as -1
- Else $\rightarrow +1$
- Update prefixSum
- If prefixSum seen before:
 $\text{length} = i - \text{firstIndex}$
- Else store it

Optimal Code (With Detailed Comments)

```
import java.util.HashMap;

class Solution {
    public int findMaxLength(int[] nums) {

        HashMap<Integer, Integer> map = new HashMap<>();

        // Important:
```

```

// prefixSum 0 at index -1
// Handles case when subarray starts from index 0
map.put(0, -1);

int prefixSum = 0;
int maxLen = 0;

for (int i = 0; i < nums.length; i++) {

    // Replace 0 with -1
    if (nums[i] == 0) {
        prefixSum += -1;
    } else {
        prefixSum += 1;
    }

    // If prefixSum seen before
    if (map.containsKey(prefixSum)) {

        // Subarray sum between previous index+1 and current index is 0
        int length = i - map.get(prefixSum);

        maxLen = Math.max(maxLen, length);
    } else {

        // Store first occurrence only
        map.put(prefixSum, i);
    }
}

return maxLen;
}
}

```

Dry Run

```
nums = [0, 1, 0]
```

Convert:

```
[-1, +1, -1]
```

Start:

map = {0:-1}

i=0 → prefix=-1

map store -1:0

i=1 → prefix=0

Seen before at -1

length = 1 - (-1) = 2

maxLen=2

i=2 → prefix=-1

Seen before at 0

length = 2 - 0 = 2

Answer = 2 ✓



Complexity

Approach	Time	Space
Brute	$O(n^3)$	
Better	$O(n^2)$	
Optimal	$O(n)$	$O(n)$



Important Difference (560 vs 525)

LC 560	LC 525
Count subarrays	Max length
prefixSum - k	prefixSum repeat

LC 560	LC 525
No transformation	0 → -1 trick

Bhai 🔥

LC 523 – Continuous Subarray Sum prefix + hashmap ka ek aur strong variation hai.

Ye 560 aur 525 ka cousin hai, lekin twist hai **modulo (remainder)** ka.

Chal full build-up karte hain:

- Brute
- Better
- Optimal (Prefix % k + HashMap)
- Java code with detailed comments

Problem Samajh

Given:

```
nums = [23, 2, 4, 6, 7]
k = 6
```

Check karo:

Koi continuous subarray hai jiska:

```
sum % k == 0
```

AND subarray length ≥ 2

Example:

```
[2, 4] → sum = 6 → 6 % 6 = 0 ✓
```

Return true



1

Brute Force – $O(n^2)$

Generate all subarrays

Sum calculate karo

Check:

```
sum % k == 0 AND length >= 2
```

Brute Code

```
class Solution {  
    public boolean checkSubarraySum(int[] nums, int k) {  
  
        int n = nums.length;  
  
        for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {  
  
            int sum = 0;  
  
            for (int j = i; j < n; j++) {  
  
                sum += nums[j];  
  
                // Check length >= 2  
                if (j - i + 1 >= 2 && sum % k == 0) {  
                    return true;  
                }  
            }  
        }  
  
        return false;  
    }  
}
```

⌚ O(n^2)

Large n me slow ✗

**2**

Better Thinking (Prefix Idea)

Let:

```
prefixSum[i] = sum from 0 to i
```

If:

```
(prefixSum[j] - prefixSum[i]) % k == 0
```

Means:

```
prefixSum[j] % k == prefixSum[i] % k
```

Important Insight:

Agar do prefix sums ka remainder same hai,
toh unke beech ka sum divisible by k hoga.

**3**

Optimal – Prefix % k + HashMap (O(n))



Core Idea

Store:

```
remainder → first index
```

If same remainder dubara mile:

```
currentIndex - firstIndex >= 2
```

Then answer = true



Why First Index Only?

Because:

Humein maximum gap chahiye

Islie first occurrence store karte hain.

🔥 Special Case: $k = 0$

Agar $k = 0$:

We need sum == 0

That means:

Check if 2 consecutive zeros exist.



Optimal Code (With Full Comments)

```
import java.util.HashMap;

class Solution {
    public boolean checkSubarraySum(int[] nums, int k) {

        // Special case: if k == 0
        // We need at least two consecutive zeros
        if (k == 0) {
            for (int i = 1; i < nums.length; i++) {
                if (nums[i] == 0 && nums[i - 1] == 0) {
                    return true;
                }
            }
            return false;
        }

        HashMap<Integer, Integer> map = new HashMap<>();

        // Important:
        // remainder 0 at index -1
        // handles case when subarray starts from index 0
        map.put(0, -1);
    }
}
```

```

int prefixSum = 0;

for (int i = 0; i < nums.length; i++) {

    prefixSum += nums[i];

    // Take remainder
    int remainder = prefixSum % k;

    // Handle negative remainder
    if (remainder < 0) {
        remainder += k;
    }

    if (map.containsKey(remainder)) {

        // Ensure subarray length >= 2
        if (i - map.get(remainder) >= 2) {
            return true;
        }
    } else {

        // Store first occurrence only
        map.put(remainder, i);
    }
}

return false;
}
}

```

Dry Run

nums = [23, 2, 4, 6, 7]

k = 6

Prefix sums:

23 → remainder 5
25 → remainder 1
29 → remainder 5

Remainder 5 appeared before

Gap $\geq 2 \rightarrow \text{TRUE } \checkmark$

Complexity

Approach	Time	Space
Brute	$O(n^2)$	
Optimal	$O(n)$	$O(n)$



Compare 560 vs 523 vs 525

Problem	Trick
560	prefixSum - k
525	0 → -1 transformation
523	prefixSum % k repeat

Bhai 🔥

LC 135 – Candy greedy ka ek classic hard-level question hai.

Isme prefix ya binary search nahi — pure **greedy thinking + two pass logic** ka game hai.

Chal proper build-up karte hain:

- Brute
- Better thinking
- Optimal (2-pass greedy)
- Java code with detailed comments

Problem Samajh

Given:

```
ratings = [1,0,2]
```

Rules:

1. Har child ko kam se kam 1 candy milegi
2. Jiska rating zyada hai apne adjacent se → usko zyada candy milni chahiye

Goal: minimum candies distribute karo.

Example:

```
ratings = [1,0,2]
```

Valid distribution:

```
[2,1,2]
```

Total = 5



1

Brute Force (Iterative Adjusting)

Idea:

- Sabko 1 candy do
- Jab tak condition violate ho rahi hai
 - Update candies

Ye multiple passes lega.

Conceptual Code (Not Efficient)

```
class Solution {  
    public int candy(int[] ratings) {  
  
        int n = ratings.length;
```

```

int[] candies = new int[n];

// Give everyone 1 candy
for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
    candies[i] = 1;
}

boolean changed = true;

while (changed) {
    changed = false;

    for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {

        if (i > 0 && ratings[i] > ratings[i - 1]
            && candies[i] <= candies[i - 1]) {

            candies[i] = candies[i - 1] + 1;
            changed = true;
        }

        if (i < n - 1 && ratings[i] > ratings[i +
1]
            && candies[i] <= candies[i + 1]) {

            candies[i] = candies[i + 1] + 1;
            changed = true;
        }
    }
}

int sum = 0;
for (int c : candies) sum += c;

return sum;
}
}

```

⌚ Worst case $O(n^2)$ ✗

⚡ 2 Better Thinking

Observe carefully:

Do types of constraints hain:

Left neighbor condition

If:

```
ratings[i] > ratings[i-1]
```

Then:

```
candies[i] = candies[i-1] + 1
```

Right neighbor condition

If:

```
ratings[i] > ratings[i+1]
```

Then:

```
candies[i] = candies[i+1] + 1
```

Problem:

Agar sirf left se check kiya → right violation reh jayega.

So solution:

👉 Do passes karo.

🚀 3 Optimal – Two Pass Greedy ($O(n)$)

Step 1: Initialize

Sabko 1 candy.

Step 2: Left → Right pass

Ensure:

```
ratings[i] > ratings[i-1]
```

Step 3: Right → Left pass

Ensure:

```
ratings[i] > ratings[i+1]
```

Important:

Take max to avoid breaking previous condition.

Optimal Java Code (With Full Comments)

```
class Solution {  
    public int candy(int[] ratings) {  
  
        int n = ratings.length;  
  
        // Step 1: Give everyone 1 candy initially  
        int[] candies = new int[n];  
  
        for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {  
            candies[i] = 1;  
        }  
  
        // Step 2: Left to Right pass  
        // If current rating > previous rating,  
        // then current candies should be previous + 1  
        for (int i = 1; i < n; i++) {
```

```

        if (ratings[i] > ratings[i - 1]) {

            candies[i] = candies[i - 1] + 1;
        }
    }

    // Step 3: Right to Left pass
    // If current rating > next rating,
    // then ensure candies[i] > candies[i+1]
    for (int i = n - 2; i >= 0; i--) {

        if (ratings[i] > ratings[i + 1]) {

            // Take max to avoid overriding left condition
            candies[i] = Math.max(candies[i], candies[i + 1] + 1);
        }
    }

    // Step 4: Sum up candies
    int total = 0;

    for (int c : candies) {
        total += c;
    }

    return total;
}
}

```



Dry Run

`ratings = [1,0,2]`

Initial:

[1,1,1]

Left pass:

[1,1,2]

Right pass:

[2,1,2]

Sum = 5 ✓



Complexity

Approach	Time	Space
Brute	$O(n^2)$	
Optimal	$O(n)$	$O(n)$



Why Two Pass Works?

Because constraints independent hain:

- Left dependency
- Right dependency

Ek direction me solve karne se dusra violate ho sakta hai.

Isliye dono direction me enforce karna zaroori hai.

Bhai ye **LC 128 – Longest Consecutive Sequence** hashing ka classic question hai 🔥

Isme tumhari thinking brute → better → optimal tak develop honi chahiye.



Problem Statement

Given an unsorted array of integers `nums`, return the length of the longest consecutive elements sequence.

 Condition: $O(n)$ time me solve karna hai.

Example:

Input: [100, 4, 200, 1, 3, 2]

Output: 4

Explanation: [1, 2, 3, 4] is longest sequence

Intuition Samjho Pehle

Consecutive ka matlab:

x, x+1, x+2, x+3 ...

Important:

- Array sorted nahi hai
- Order matter nahi karta
- Sirf consecutive numbers ka group find karna hai



1

Brute Force Approach



Idea

Har element ke liye check karo:

- Kya `num + 1` array me exist karta hai?
- Fir `num + 2`
- Fir `num + 3`
- Jab tak milta rahe

Problem

Har check ke liye pura array scan karna padega.

Time Complexity:

$O(n^2)$

◆ Java Code (Brute)

```
class Solution {
    public int longestConsecutive(int[] nums) {
        int n = nums.length;
        int longest = 0;

        for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {

            int current = nums[i];
            int count = 1;

            // check next consecutive numbers
            while (contains(nums, current + 1)) {
                current = current + 1;
                count++;
            }

            longest = Math.max(longest, count);
        }

        return longest;
    }

    // linear search helper
    private boolean contains(int[] nums, int target) {
        for (int num : nums) {
            if (num == target) return true;
        }
        return false;
    }
}
```



2

Better Approach (Sorting)



Idea

1. Sort the array
2. Traverse once
3. Count consecutive numbers



Example

```
[100, 4, 200, 1, 3, 2]
```

```
Sort → [1, 2, 3, 4, 100, 200]
```

Ab simple adjacent compare karo.



Handle Duplicates

Agar duplicate aaya:

```
[1, 2, 2, 3]
```

Ignore karo.

Java Code (Better)

```
import java.util.Arrays;

class Solution {
    public int longestConsecutive(int[] nums) {

        if (nums.length == 0) return 0;

        Arrays.sort(nums); // sorting

        int longest = 1;
```

```

        int currentStreak = 1;

        for (int i = 1; i < nums.length; i++) {

            // duplicate ignore
            if (nums[i] == nums[i - 1]) {
                continue;
            }

            // consecutive
            if (nums[i] == nums[i - 1] + 1) {
                currentStreak++;
            } else {
                currentStreak = 1; // reset
            }

            longest = Math.max(longest, currentStreak);
        }

        return longest;
    }
}

```

Time Complexity:

$O(n \log n)$



3

Optimal Approach (HashSet – $O(n)$)



Ye interview favourite hai.



Core Idea

Instead of sorting,

Use HashSet for $O(1)$ lookup.

Main trick:

👉 Sequence tab start karo jab `num - 1` exist na karta ho.

Matlab:

```
if (!set.contains(num - 1))
```

Ye batata hai ki ye sequence ka START hai.

🧠 Example

```
[100,4,200,1,3,2]
```

```
Set = {100,4,200,1,3,2}
```

Check each:

100 → 99 not present → start

4 → 3 present → not start

1 → 0 not present → start

Sirf 1 se hi full sequence milegi.

◆ Java Code (Optimal)

```
import java.util.HashSet;

class Solution {
    public int longestConsecutive(int[] nums) {

        HashSet<Integer> set = new HashSet<>();

        // Step 1: put all elements into set
        for (int num : nums) {
            set.add(num);
        }

        int longest = 0;

        // Step 2: iterate through set
```

```

        for (int num : set) {

            // only start counting if num is starting point
            // starting point means num - 1 does NOT exist
            if (!set.contains(num - 1)) {

                int currentNum = num;
                int count = 1;

                // count consecutive numbers
                while (set.contains(currentNum + 1)) {
                    currentNum++;
                    count++;
                }

                longest = Math.max(longest, count);
            }
        }

        return longest;
    }
}

```

Why This Is O(n)?

Har number:

- Ek baar check hota hai
- Consecutive loop me bhi har number ek hi baar visit hota hai

Total work:

$O(n)$

Important Edge Cases

1. Empty array → return 0

2. Duplicates present
 3. Negative numbers
 4. Single element
-



Interview Me Kya Bolna

Step wise bolo:

1. Brute force $O(n^2)$
2. Sorting $O(n \log n)$
3. Hashing $O(n)$

Aur main trick explain karo:

👉 "We only start counting when num - 1 does not exist."

Ye line interviewer ko impress karti hai 😎

Bhai ye **LC 680 – Valid Palindrome II** two-pointer ka classic interview question hai 🔥

Isme tumhari approach build honi chahiye:

Brute → Better → Optimal (Greedy + Two Pointer)



Problem Statement

Given a string `s`, return `true` if it can be palindrome after deleting **at most one character**.

Example:

```
Input: "aba"      → true
Input: "abca"     → true  (delete 'c')
Input: "abc"       → false
```



⚠ Only **one deletion allowed**.



Intuition

Normal palindrome check:

- Left pointer (i)
- Right pointer (j)
- Compare $s[i]$ and $s[j]$

But yaha twist hai:

👉 Agar mismatch aaye, to tum ek character delete kar sakte ho.

Matlab:

Mismatch pe do option:

1. Skip left character
2. Skip right character

Agar dono me se koi bhi palindrome bana de → return true



1

Brute Force Approach



Idea

Har index pe try karo:

- Ek character remove karo
- Check karo ki baaki string palindrome hai ya nahi

Time Complexity:

$O(n^2)$

◆ Java Code (Brute)

```
class Solution {  
    public boolean validPalindrome(String s) {  
  
        // check original first  
        if (isPalindrome(s)) return true;  
    }  
}
```

```

// try removing each character
for (int i = 0; i < s.length(); i++) {

    String newStr = s.substring(0, i) + s.substring
(i + 1);

    if (isPalindrome(newStr)) {
        return true;
    }
}

return false;
}

private boolean isPalindrome(String str) {
    int left = 0;
    int right = str.length() - 1;

    while (left < right) {
        if (str.charAt(left) != str.charAt(right))
            return false;
        left++;
        right--;
    }
    return true;
}
}

```

Problem:

- Har index pe substring bana rahe ho
- $O(n^2)$ time

2 Better Approach (Two Pointer + Check Twice)



Instead of removing every char,

Use two pointers directly.

When mismatch happens:

- Check substring (left+1, right)
- OR check substring (left, right-1)

Time Complexity:

$O(n)$

◆ Java Code (Better / Optimal Same Concept)

```
class Solution {

    public boolean validPalindrome(String s) {

        int left = 0;
        int right = s.length() - 1;

        while (left < right) {

            if (s.charAt(left) != s.charAt(right)) {

                // try skipping left OR skipping right
                return isPalindrome(s, left + 1, right) ||
                       isPalindrome(s, left, right - 1);
            }

            left++;
            right--;
        }

        return true;
    }

    // helper function to check palindrome in range
}
```

```
private boolean isPalindrome(String s, int left, int right) {  
  
    while (left < right) {  
  
        if (s.charAt(left) != s.charAt(right))  
            return false;  
  
        left++;  
        right--;  
    }  
  
    return true;  
}  
}
```

Step-by-Step Dry Run

Example:

```
s = "abca"
```

Compare:

```
a == a → ok  
b != c → mismatch
```

Now try:

1. Skip left (b) → check "aca" → palindrome ✓
2. Skip right (c) → check "aba" → palindrome ✓

Return true.

Why Only One Mismatch Allowed?

Because:

- Question says at most one deletion
 - So first mismatch pe hi decision lena hoga
 - Uske baad second mismatch allowed nahi hai
-

Why This Is Optimal?

- Har character maximum 2 times check hota hai
 - Overall time: $O(n)$
 - Space: $O(1)$
-

Edge Cases

1. Length = 1 → true
 2. Already palindrome → true
 3. Two mismatches → false
 4. All same characters → true
-

Pattern Recognition

Ye kis topic ka hai?

- ✓ Two Pointer
 - ✓ Greedy decision
 - ✓ Palindrome check
 - ✓ String manipulation
-

Interview me bolna:

"We use two pointers. At first mismatch, we try skipping either left or right once. If any of them forms a palindrome, return true."

Agar chahe to main iska tricky test cases bhi de sakta hoon jaha log galti karte hain 😎

Bhai ye **LC 392 – Is Subsequence** ek basic but very important Two Pointer pattern hai 🔥

Interview me frequently pucha jata hai, especially as warm-up.

◆ Problem Statement

Given two strings:

- **s** (small string)
- **t** (large string)

Return **true** if **s** is a **subsequence** of **t**.

🔍 Subsequence kya hota hai?

Characters same order me hone chahiye

But continuous hona zaroori nahi.

Example:

```
s = "abc"  
t = "ahbgdc"
```

Output: true

Because:

```
a _ b _ _ c
```

Example 2:

```
s = "axc"  
t = "ahbgdc"
```

Output: false

🧠 Intuition

Subsequence check karne ka simplest way:

👉 `s` ko left se scan karo

👉 `t` ko left se scan karo

👉 Jab character match ho, `s` ka pointer aage badhao

Agar end me `s` pura match ho gaya → true

1 Brute Force Approach (Recursion)



Idea

Har character ke liye:

- Match karo
- Ya skip karo

Basically subsequence recursion tree.

Time Complexity:

$O(2^n)$

◆ Java Code (Brute Recursion)

```
class Solution {

    public boolean isSubsequence(String s, String t) {
        return helper(s, t, 0, 0);
    }

    private boolean helper(String s, String t, int i, int j) {

        // if we matched all characters of s
        if (i == s.length()) return true;

        // if t finished but s not finished
        if (j == t.length()) return false;
    }
}
```

```

        if (s.charAt(i) == t.charAt(j)) {
            // match found, move both
            return helper(s, t, i + 1, j + 1);
        } else {
            // skip character in t
            return helper(s, t, i, j + 1);
        }
    }
}

```

 Exponential time

Not practical for large input.

2 Better Approach (Two Pointer – Iterative)

Core Idea

- One pointer `i` for `s`
- One pointer `j` for `t`

Loop until `t` khatam:

If match:

```
i++
```

Always:

```
j++
```

◆ Java Code (Optimal)

```

class Solution {
    public boolean isSubsequence(String s, String t) {

```

```

int i = 0; // pointer for s
int j = 0; // pointer for t

while (i < s.length() && j < t.length()) {

    if (s.charAt(i) == t.charAt(j)) {
        i++; // move s pointer only if match
    }

    j++; // always move t pointer
}

// if we matched all characters of s
return i == s.length();
}
}

```

Dry Run

```

s = "abc"
t = "ahbgdc"

```

Step-by-step:

```

a == a → i=1
h      skip
b == b → i=2
g      skip
d      skip
c == c → i=3

```

Now `i == s.length()` → return true

Time & Space

Time:

$O(n)$

Space:

$O(1)$

Edge Cases

1. s empty \rightarrow true
2. t empty but s not empty \rightarrow false
3. s longer than t \rightarrow false
4. Same strings \rightarrow true



Interview Follow-Up (Important)

Interviewer puch sakta hai:

👉 Agar multiple queries ho?

Example:

- 1 string t
- 10,000 strings s

Then simple two pointer slow hoga.

Advanced Optimization (Binary Search + Preprocessing)

1. Store all indices of each character in t
2. For each char in s, binary search next valid index

Time:

Preprocessing: $O(n)$

Each query: $O(m \log n)$

Agar chahe to ye advanced version bhi detail me samjha deta hoon.

Bhai ye **LC 1011 – Capacity To Ship Packages Within D Days** ek **Binary Search on Answer** ka solid question hai 🔥

Ye Painter's Partition / Allocate Books / Koko Eating Bananas family ka hi bhai hai.

Aaj isko **Brute** → **Better** → **Optimal** full build-up ke saath samjhte hain.



Problem Statement

Given:

- `weights[]` (packages in order)
- `days`

Har din tum continuous packages ship kar sakte ho.

Ship capacity fixed hogi per day.

Find **minimum capacity** so that all packages ship ho jaye in `D` days.

Example

```
weights = [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10]  
days = 5
```

```
Answer = 15
```



Intuition

Capacity kam hogi → zyada din lagenge

Capacity zyada hogi → kam din lagenge

👉 Capacity aur days ka **inverse relation** hai.

Iska matlab:

👉 **Monotonic property present hai**

👉 Binary search lag saka tha.



1

Brute Force Approach



Idea

Capacity ko 1 se lekar sum(weights) tak try karo.

Har capacity ke liye check karo:

- Kitne din lagenge?

Minimum capacity jo $\leq D$ days me kaam kar de \rightarrow answer.



Helper Logic (Important)

Given capacity `cap`:

```
days = 1
currentLoad = 0

for each weight:
    if currentLoad + weight <= cap
        add
    else
        days++
        currentLoad = weight
```



Time Complexity

Capacity range = up to sum(weights)

Worst case:

$O(n * \text{sum})$

Very slow.



2

Better Approach (Smart Brute)

Observation:

Minimum capacity kya ho sakti hai?

👉 At least max(weights)

Because ek package to ship karna hi padega.

Maximum capacity kya ho sakti hai?

👉 sum(weights)

To search space:

```
[max(weights), sum(weights)]
```

But agar still linear try karoge to slow hi rahega.

3 Optimal Approach (Binary Search on Answer)

🔥 Core Idea

Binary search on capacity.

Because:

- Capacity badhegi → required days kam honge
- Capacity kam hogi → required days badhenge

Monotonic behaviour confirmed.

◆ Algorithm Steps

1. `low = max(weights)`

2. `high = sum(weights)`

While `low <= high`:

- `mid = possible capacity`
- Calculate required days using helper
- If `days <= D`:

- try smaller capacity
- high = mid - 1
- Else:
 - capacity small hai
 - low = mid + 1

Return low

Why return low?

Because we want **minimum valid capacity**

Binary search end hone ke baad:

`low` hi first valid capacity hota hai.

Java Code (Optimal – Fully Commented)

```
class Solution {

    public int shipWithinDays(int[] weights, int days) {

        int low = 0;
        int high = 0;

        // low should be maximum weight
        // because we must ship at least one package
        for (int w : weights) {
            low = Math.max(low, w);
            high += w; // high is sum of all weights
        }

        // Binary Search on capacity
        while (low <= high) {

            int mid = low + (high - low) / 2; // possible

```

```

capacity

    int requiredDays = calculateDays(weights, mid);

    if (requiredDays <= days) {
        // capacity works
        // try to minimize capacity
        high = mid - 1;
    } else {
        // capacity too small
        low = mid + 1;
    }
}

return low; // minimum valid capacity
}

// Helper function to calculate how many days needed
private int calculateDays(int[] weights, int capacity)
{

    int days = 1;          // at least 1 day required
    int currentLoad = 0;

    for (int w : weights) {

        if (currentLoad + w <= capacity) {
            currentLoad += w; // add to current day
        } else {
            days++;           // new day
            currentLoad = w; // start new day load
        }
    }

    return days;
}
}

```

Dry Run Example

```
weights = [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10]  
days = 5
```

low = 10

high = 55

Binary search karega aur answer 15 milega.



Time Complexity

```
O(n log(sum))
```

Where:

- n = number of packages
- log(sum) = binary search range

Space:

```
O(1)
```



Pattern Recognition

Ye same pattern kis-kis me aata hai?

- ✓ LC 875 – Koko Eating Bananas
- ✓ LC 410 – Split Array Largest Sum
- ✓ Painter Partition
- ✓ Allocate Books
- ✓ Aggressive Cows

Sab me same structure:

1. Search space define karo
2. Monotonic check function banao

3. Binary search on answer



Interview Me Kaise Explain Kare

Bolna:

"We binary search on shipping capacity. Since required days decrease as capacity increases, monotonic property exists. We find the minimum capacity such that requiredDays $\leq D$."

Agar chahe to main iska **diagrammatic explanation bhi bana du jaise painter partition me kiya tha**, taki binary search intuition crystal clear ho jaye 😎

Bhai 🔥

Ye **LC 410 – Split Array Largest Sum** binary search on answer ka **king-level question** hai.

Painter Partition ka direct variant hai.

Aaj isko full build-up se samjhte hain:

Brute → Better → Optimal (with full intuition + dry run + Java code)



Problem Statement

Given:

- `nums[]`
- `k` (number of subarrays)

Split array into exactly `k` continuous subarrays

Such that **largest subarray sum is minimum**

Return that minimum possible largest sum.



Example

```
nums = [7,2,5,10,8]
k = 2
```

Output = 18

Why?

Split like this:

```
[7,2,5] [10,8]  
sum1 = 14  
sum2 = 18  
max = 18
```

If you split any other way, max sum bigger ho jayega.

Intuition

Hum kya minimize karna chahte hain?

👉 Maximum subarray sum

So answer ek number hoga.

Important observation:

If allowed maximum sum bada kar do → fewer partitions needed

If maximum sum chhota rakho → more partitions needed

⚡ Inverse relationship again

👉 Monotonic property present

👉 Binary search possible

Brute Force



Idea

Har possible way try karo:

- K-1 places choose karo to split
- All combinations generate karo

Time Complexity:

Exponential (2^n)

Impossible for large n.

2 Better Approach (Try All Possible Max Sums Linearly)

Search space kya ho sakta hai?

Minimum possible largest sum:

`max(nums)`

Maximum possible largest sum:

`sum(nums)`

Ab is range me har value try karo:

For each value X:

- Check karo kya array ko $\leq k$ parts me split kar sakte ho
- So that each part sum $\leq X$

Ye linear try karna slow hoga:

$O(n * \text{sum})$

3 Optimal – Binary Search on Answer

🔥 Ye final correct approach hai.

Core Idea

Binary search on maximum allowed subarray sum.

◆ Algorithm Steps

1. low = max(nums)

2. high = sum(nums)

While (low <= high):

- mid = possible largest sum
- Check how many partitions needed if max allowed sum = mid

If partitions <= k:

→ valid

→ try smaller (high = mid - 1)

Else:

→ too small

→ increase (low = mid + 1)

Return low



Helper Function Logic (Very Important)

Given maxAllowedSum:

```
count = 1
currentSum = 0

for each num:
    if currentSum + num <= maxAllowedSum
        add
    else
        new partition
        count++
        currentSum = num
```

Return count



Java Code (Fully Commented)

```

class Solution {

    public int splitArray(int[] nums, int k) {

        int low = 0;
        int high = 0;

        // low = max element
        // high = total sum
        for (int num : nums) {
            low = Math.max(low, num);
            high += num;
        }

        // Binary Search on maximum subarray sum
        while (low <= high) {

            int mid = low + (high - low) / 2; // candidate
largest sum

            int partitions = countPartitions(nums, mid);

            if (partitions <= k) {
                // possible to split in k or fewer parts
                // try minimizing largest sum
                high = mid - 1;
            } else {
                // too many partitions needed
                // increase allowed sum
                low = mid + 1;
            }
        }

        return low; // minimum largest sum
    }

    // Helper to count partitions needed
    private int countPartitions(int[] nums, int maxAllowedS

```

```

        um) {

            int count = 1;      // at least one partition
            int currentSum = 0;

            for (int num : nums) {

                if (currentSum + num <= maxAllowedSum) {
                    currentSum += num;
                } else {
                    // need new partition
                    count++;
                    currentSum = num;
                }
            }

            return count;
        }
    }
}

```

Dry Run

```

nums = [7,2,5,10,8]
k = 2

```

low = 10

high = 32

Binary search:

mid = 21

Partitions needed = 2

→ valid → try smaller

Eventually answer = 18



Time Complexity

$O(n \log(\text{sum}))$

Space:

$O(1)$



Why We Use `start <= end` Here?

Because:

- We are searching exact minimum valid value
- Need to check every possibility
- Standard binary search on answer

This is different from shrinking-space style (`start < end`) used in lower bound.



Pattern Family

Same pattern as:

- ✓ LC 1011 – Ship Packages
- ✓ LC 875 – Koko
- ✓ Painter Partition
- ✓ Allocate Books
- ✓ Aggressive Cows

All are:

Binary Search on Answer
+ Monotonic Check Function



Interview Me Kaise Bolna

"We binary search the maximum subarray sum. Since required partitions decrease as allowed sum increases, monotonic property holds. We find the minimum allowed sum such that partitions $\leq k$."

Agar tu chahe to main ek **visual graph explanation bhi bana du showing monotonic behavior**, taki ye pattern permanently brain me chipak jaye 😎