

Theorem 1 (Negative answer to Thorisson Problem 3.1). *Let $Y = (Y_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be an arbitrary stationary $\{0, 1\}$ -valued process. Let $(p_k)_{k \geq 0} \subset (0, 1)$ satisfy*

$$p_k \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0, \quad (1a)$$

$$c := \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=n}^{2n} p_k > 0. \quad (1b)$$

Let $(J_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be independent Bernoulli(p_k) variables, independent of Y , and set

$$X_k := Y_k \oplus J_k,$$

where \oplus denotes addition modulo 2 (the XOR-operator on $\{0, 1\}$). Denote by θ_n the time-shift: $(\theta_n \mathbf{x})_t := x_{t+n}$. Then

$$(a) \text{ **Setwise convergence:** } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(\theta_n X \in A) = P(Y \in A) \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{E}^\infty.$$

$$(b) \text{ **No total-variation convergence:** } \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|P(\theta_n X) - P(Y)\|_{\text{TV}} \geq 1 - e^{-c} > 0.$$

Hence setwise convergence of the shifted laws does not imply convergence in total variation.

Proof. Step 1 (setwise convergence). Fix a cylinder A that depends on the coordinates $t_1 < \dots < t_m$. Because $X_k \neq Y_k$ exactly when $J_k = 1$,

$$|P(\theta_n X \in A) - P(Y \in A)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^m p_{n+t_i} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 \quad (\text{by (1a)}).$$

Let \mathcal{C} denote the *finite cylinder sets*; this family is a π -system since it is closed under finite intersections. Set

$$\mathcal{D} := \{A \subset \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}} : P(\theta_n X \in A) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} P(Y \in A)\}.$$

\mathcal{D} fulfills the properties of a Dynkin system, and is then one. The estimate above shows $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{D}$. Hence the $\pi - \lambda$ lemma gives

$$\sigma(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{E}^\infty \subset \mathcal{D},$$

so $P(\theta_n X \in A) \rightarrow P(Y \in A)$ for every $A \in \mathcal{E}^\infty$; that is, setwise convergence holds.

Step 2 (failure of total variation). For fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$ condition on the entire path $Y = y$ and put

$$\mu_y := \mathcal{L}(\theta_n X \mid Y = y), \quad \nu_y := \delta_y.$$

Only the coordinates $n, \dots, 2n$ can differ, so

$$\|\mu_y - \nu_y\|_{\text{TV}} = P((J_n, \dots, J_{2n}) \neq (0, \dots, 0)) = 1 - \prod_{k=n}^{2n} (1 - p_k) \geq 1 - \exp\left(-\sum_{k=n}^{2n} p_k\right).$$

Using (1b) and taking $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty}$ we obtain

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|P(\theta_n X) - P(Y)\|_{\text{TV}} \geq 1 - e^{-c} > 0,$$

which proves (b). □