MTH 4300
Spring 2025
E. Fink

Homework 8

---

1. I've provided the code for the `LinkedList` class from lecture. Let's modify this in a few ways.

   a. First, add a private `int length` member, which maintains the number of `Node`s in the list. Go through the existing member functions, and update them appropriately.

   b. Implement `void insert_after(const T& data, int n)`, where `T` is the type of the list. This should insert a (heap-allocated) `Node` into the list after the `n`th `Node` (with 1-based indexing), if there are at least `n` `Node`s. If `n` is greater than the number of `Node`s, or less than 1, then the function should throw a `std::runtime_error` – see the provided code for `pop_front()` and `get_front()`.

   c. In class, we were lazy and prohibited copy construction using `= delete;`. Let's not be lazy anymore: implement `LinkedList(const LinkedList&)`, the copy constructor. When used, this should create a separate-but-identical `LinkedList` – that is, a *deep copy* of the list, with a bunch of entirely new heap-allocated `Node`s.

   There are several tricky bits:

   - You have to be careful about the case of copying an *empty* list, which will probably be simple but work differently from non-empty lists. I suggest using an if-else, with the code for handling the empty list case in the if-block, and most of the code of the function lying in the else block.
   - For non-empty lists, you'll probably want to treat the very first `Node` a little differently than subsequent `Node`s.
   - You'll almost certainly need to maintain two "current" pointers, one that traverses the list you're copying from, and one that moves through the list you are actually constructing.
   - Don't forget about `length`!

   d. You don't have to do anything for this part, but please read the provided implementation for `LinkedList& operator=(const LinkedList&)`, the copy assignment. This uses an extremely clever technique. First, instead of rewriting all the code from part c for producing a deep copy, you simply use the copy constructor to create a new copied object, and store it in a local `LinkedList` variable.

   The brilliant idea: trade the `head` pointers of the assigned-to (left-hand-side) list with the local copy. Now, the assigned-to list points to the newly-produced Nodes, while the local variable has the *old* contents of the left-hand-side. The best part is that when the local variable gets destructed at the end of the function call, these old contents will automatically get deallocated! Neat.

2. A *queue* is a data structure that represents something like a ticket line: initially, the line is empty; periodically people enter the line, at the back; every once in a while, the person at the front of the line gets called to the counter, and gets removed from the line. So, this models a "first in, first out" data structure.

   Implement a `Queue` template class from scratch, as follows. The underlying data should be stored in a linked list, so you will need the `Node` class we've become accustomed to:

```
struct Node<T> {
public:
   T data;
   Node *next;
   Node(T d, Node* n = nullptr): data{d}, next{n} {}
};
```

   The `Queue` class will have the following declaration:

```
template<typename T>
class Queue {
private:
    Node<T> *front;
    Node<T> *back;
public:
    Queue();
    bool is_empty();
    void push_back(const T&);
    T pop_front();
```

```
    void print_queue();
    ~Queue();
    void operator=(const Queue&) = delete;
    Queue(const Queue&) = delete;
};
```

The attributes `front` and `back` will point to the first and last elements of the `Queue` respectively, or to `nullptr` if the `Queue` contains no elements. New elements added to the `Queue` will be added to the back; when we remove elements, we remove from the front.

Implement the methods as follows:

- the constructor should just initialize a `Queue` with no elements. So `front` and `back` should point to `nullptr`. Easy.
- `is_empty()` should return whether or not there are any `Node`s in the `Queue`.
- `push_back(const T &s)` should create a `Node` with data `s`, and add that to the end of the `Queue`. `front` and/or `back` should be updated appropriately. Take special care considering what should be done if the `Queue` is currently empty!
- `pop_front()` should remove a `Node` from the front of the `Queue`, AND return its contents; if the `Queue` is empty, a `std::runtime_error` should be thrown as before.
- `print_queue()` should print out the data currently stored in the `Queue`, on a single line, and print a newline at the end.
- the destructor should deallocate all remaining `Node`s.
- We'll return to being lazy again when it comes to copying.

Please place the declaration for the `Queue` class (as I've written it above), along with the implementation, in the marked area in `hw8_q2.cpp`.

Your code should make my code in `main()` work, and print

```
After A, B, and C are pushed, here's what's in the queue: A B C
Now, a call to .pop_front() yields: A
And now the queue contains: B C
Finally, at the end, the contents of the queue are: C D E
```

3. The Standard Template Library has its own `stack` class, which can accommodate any type of data. To use them,
   - `#include <stack>`
   - to declare an empty stack, you would write a line like

     `std::stack<int> my_int_stack;`

     where `int` could be replaced by any data type you like
   - `my_int_stack.push(15);` would put the value 15 at the top of the stack
   - `my_int_stack.top()` would return the value of the top element of the stack, WITHOUT removing it
   - `my_int_stack.empty()` would return whether or not the stack is empty
   - `my_int_stack.size()` would return the number of entries in the stack.
   - `my_int_stack.pop();` would remove the top value from the stack (but would NOT return the removed value) – this one will cause a segmentation fault if called when the stack is empty!

   See the example in `stack_ex.cpp`.

   In `hw8_q3.cpp`, I have several string variables which contain written expressions mathematical expressions which contains pairs of round parentheses `()` and square brackets `[]`. The first three are *balanced*: every open parentheses has a matching closed parentheses which comes later, the same hold for brackets, and there are not misalignments like `([)]` (where the open `[` occurs within a pair of parentheses, and its matching `]` occurs outside of those parentheses). The last four are *unbalanced*.

   Write a function called `balanced()` which receives a string composed of digits, operators, and open/closed parentheses and brackets. The function should return `true` if the parentheses and brackets contained within are balanced, and `false` if they are not.

Use a stack. Here's the idea: go through the string character by character; push open parentheses/brackets onto the stack as you encounter them; and pop the stack when you encounter close brackets and parentheses. As you do this, and at the end, you should perform appropriate checks.

Warnings: be careful when popping – the stack has to be non-empty! Also, if you use a loop to loop through the string, I suggest you make your loop test be something like `i < str.size();` rather than `i <= str.size() - 1;`, as the latter doesn't do well when `str` is the empty string.