

## Ejercicio 1

Sean  $f : U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ , con  $U$  un abierto de  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Si existen las derivadas parciales de  $f$  y como funciones son continuas en  $U$  entonces  $f$  es diferenciable.

### Demostración.

Sean  $\bar{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  fijo y  $f = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m)$ .

$$\text{P.d. } \lim_{\bar{x} \rightarrow \bar{y}} \frac{\left| f_i(\bar{x}) - f_i(\bar{y}) - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(\bar{x}) (x_j - y_j) \right|}{\|\bar{x} - \bar{y}\|} = 0 \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

Para todo  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  y  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , sea  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  dada por  $g_j(x) = f_i(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x, y_{j+1}, \dots, y_n)$ , donde  $\bar{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in U$ . Luego, para cada  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , se tiene que

$$\begin{aligned} f_i(\bar{x}) - f_i(\bar{y}) &= f_i(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) - f_i(x_1, x_2, \dots, y_n) + f_i(x_1, x_2, \dots, y_n) - \\ &\quad f_i(x_1, x_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, y_n) + f_i(x_1, x_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, y_n) - \dots - \\ &\quad f_i(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, y_j, y_{j+1}, \dots, y_n) + f_i(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, y_j, y_{j+1}, \dots, y_n) - \dots - \\ &\quad f_i(x_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) + f_i(x_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) - f_i(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \\ &= g_n(x_n) - g_n(y_n) + g_{n-1}(x_{n-1}) - g_{n-1}(y_{n-1}) + g_{n-2}(x_{n-1}) - \dots - \\ &\quad g_j(y_j) + g_{j-1}(x_{j-1}) - \dots - g_2(y_2) + g_1(x_1) - g_1(y_1) \end{aligned}$$

Ya que  $f_i$  es diferenciable en  $U$ , se tiene que, para todo  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,  $g_j$  también lo es. Así, por el Teorema del Valor Medio, para cada  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  existe  $a_j \in [\min\{x_j, y_j\}, \max\{x_j, y_j\}]$  tal que  $g_j(x_j) - g_j(y_j) = g'_j(a_j)(x_j - y_j)$ . De este modo,

$$\begin{aligned} f_i(\bar{x}) - f_i(\bar{y}) &= g'_n(a_n)(x_n - y_n) + g'_{n-1}(a_{n-1})(x_{n-1} - y_{n-1}) + \dots + g'_j(a_j)(x_j - y_j) + \dots + \\ &\quad g'_1(a_1)(x_1 - y_1) \\ &= \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_1}(\bar{z}_1)(x_1 - y_1) + \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_2}(\bar{z}_2)(x_2 - y_2) + \dots + \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(\bar{z}_j)(x_j - y_j) + \dots + \\ &\quad \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_n}(\bar{z}_n)(x_n - y_n) \end{aligned}$$

donde  $\bar{z}_j = (x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, a_j, y_{j+1}, \dots, y_n)$ . Posteriormente,

$$\begin{aligned} f_i(\bar{x}) - f_i(\bar{y}) - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(\bar{x})(x_j - y_j) &= \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_1}(\bar{z}_1)(x_1 - y_1) - \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_1}(\bar{x})(x_1 - y_1) + \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_2}(\bar{z}_2)(x_2 - y_2) - \\ &\quad \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_2}(\bar{x})(x_2 - y_2) + \dots + \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(\bar{z}_j)(x_j - y_j) - \\ &\quad \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(\bar{x})(x_j - y_j) + \dots + \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_n}(\bar{z}_n)(x_n - y_n) - \\ &\quad \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_n}(\bar{x})(x_n - y_n) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left( \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_1}(\bar{z}_1) - \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_1}(\bar{x}) \right) (x_1 - y_1) + \\
&\quad \left( \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_2}(\bar{z}_2) - \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_2}(\bar{x}) \right) (x_2 - y_2) + \cdots + \\
&\quad \left( \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(\bar{z}_j) - \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(\bar{x}) \right) (x_j - y_j) + \cdots + \\
&\quad \left( \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_n}(\bar{z}_n) - \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_n}(\bar{x}) \right) (x_n - y_n) \\
\Rightarrow &\left| f_i(\bar{x}) - f_i(\bar{y}) - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(\bar{x}) (x_j - y_j) \right| = \left| \left( \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_1}(\bar{z}_1) - \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_1}(\bar{x}) \right) (x_1 - y_1) + \right. \\
&\quad \left( \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_2}(\bar{z}_2) - \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_2}(\bar{x}) \right) (x_2 - y_2) + \cdots + \\
&\quad \left( \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(\bar{z}_j) - \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(\bar{x}) \right) (x_j - y_j) + \cdots + \\
&\quad \left. \left( \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_n}(\bar{z}_n) - \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_n}(\bar{x}) \right) (x_n - y_n) \right| \\
&\leq \left| \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_1}(\bar{z}_1) - \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_1}(\bar{x}) \right| |x_1 - y_1| + \\
&\quad \left| \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_2}(\bar{z}_2) - \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_2}(\bar{x}) \right| |x_2 - y_2| + \cdots + \\
&\quad \left| \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(\bar{z}_j) - \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(\bar{x}) \right| |x_j - y_j| + \cdots + \\
&\quad \left| \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_n}(\bar{z}_n) - \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_n}(\bar{x}) \right| |x_n - y_n| \\
&\leq \left| \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_1}(\bar{z}_1) - \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_1}(\bar{x}) \right| \|\bar{x} - \bar{y}\| + \\
&\quad \left| \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_2}(\bar{z}_2) - \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_2}(\bar{x}) \right| \|\bar{x} - \bar{y}\| + \cdots + \\
&\quad \left| \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(\bar{z}_j) - \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(\bar{x}) \right| \|\bar{x} - \bar{y}\| + \cdots + \\
&\quad \left| \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_n}(\bar{z}_n) - \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_n}(\bar{x}) \right| \|\bar{x} - \bar{y}\| \\
&= \left( \left| \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_1}(\bar{x}) - \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_1}(\bar{z}_1) \right| + \left| \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_2}(\bar{x}) - \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_2}(\bar{z}_2) \right| + \cdots + \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left| \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(\bar{x}) - \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(\bar{z}_j) \right| + \cdots + \left| \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_n}(\bar{x}) - \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_n}(\bar{z}_n) \right| \right) \|\bar{x} - \bar{y}\|
\end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\left| f_i(\bar{x}) - f_i(\bar{y}) - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(\bar{x}) (x_j - y_j) \right|}{\|\bar{x} - \bar{y}\|} \leq \left| \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_1}(\bar{x}) - \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_1}(\bar{z}_1) \right| + \left| \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_2}(\bar{x}) - \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_2}(\bar{z}_2) \right| + \cdots + \left| \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(\bar{x}) - \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(\bar{z}_j) \right| + \cdots + \left| \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_n}(\bar{x}) - \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_n}(\bar{z}_n) \right|$$

Después, como las derivadas parciales son continuas en  $U$  se da que  $\lim_{\bar{x} \rightarrow \bar{y}} \left| \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(\bar{x}) - \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(\bar{y}) \right| = 0$ , y puesto que  $\bar{z}_j = (x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, a_j, y_{j+1}, \dots, y_n)$  con  $a_j \in [\min\{x_j, y_j\}, \max\{x_j, y_j\}]$ , se tiene que  $\bar{z}_j$  tiende a  $\bar{y}$  conforme  $\bar{x}$  tiende a  $\bar{y}$ , para todo  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . De esta manera,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \lim_{\bar{x} \rightarrow \bar{y}} \frac{\left| f_i(\bar{x}) - f_i(\bar{y}) - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(\bar{x}) (x_j - y_j) \right|}{\|\bar{x} - \bar{y}\|} &\leq \lim_{\bar{x} \rightarrow \bar{y}} \left( \left| \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_1}(\bar{x}) - \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_1}(\bar{z}_1) \right| + \left| \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_2}(\bar{x}) - \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_2}(\bar{z}_2) \right| + \cdots + \left| \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(\bar{x}) - \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(\bar{z}_j) \right| + \cdots + \left| \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_n}(\bar{x}) - \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_n}(\bar{z}_n) \right| \right) \\ &= \lim_{\bar{x} \rightarrow \bar{y}} \left| \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_1}(\bar{x}) - \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_1}(\bar{z}_1) \right| + \lim_{\bar{x} \rightarrow \bar{y}} \left| \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_2}(\bar{x}) - \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_2}(\bar{z}_2) \right| + \cdots + \lim_{\bar{x} \rightarrow \bar{y}} \left| \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(\bar{x}) - \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(\bar{z}_j) \right| + \cdots + \lim_{\bar{x} \rightarrow \bar{y}} \left| \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_n}(\bar{x}) - \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_n}(\bar{z}_n) \right| \\ &= \lim_{\bar{x} \rightarrow \bar{y}} \left| \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_1}(\bar{x}) - \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_1}(\bar{y}) \right| + \lim_{\bar{x} \rightarrow \bar{y}} \left| \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_2}(\bar{x}) - \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_2}(\bar{y}) \right| + \cdots + \lim_{\bar{x} \rightarrow \bar{y}} \left| \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(\bar{x}) - \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(\bar{y}) \right| + \cdots + \lim_{\bar{x} \rightarrow \bar{y}} \left| \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_n}(\bar{x}) - \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_n}(\bar{y}) \right| \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

De esta manera,  $\lim_{\bar{x} \rightarrow \bar{y}} \frac{\left| f_i(\bar{x}) - f_i(\bar{y}) - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(\bar{x}) (x_j - y_j) \right|}{\|\bar{x} - \bar{y}\|} = 0 \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, m.$

Por último,

$$0 \leq \lim_{\bar{x} \rightarrow \bar{y}} \frac{\|f(\bar{x}) - f(\bar{y}) - Df(\bar{y})(\bar{x} - \bar{y})\|}{\|\bar{x} - \bar{y}\|} \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \lim_{\bar{x} \rightarrow \bar{y}} \frac{\left| f_i(\bar{x}) - f_i(\bar{y}) - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(\bar{x})(x_j - y_j) \right|}{\|\bar{x} - \bar{y}\|} = 0$$

Ya que  $\bar{y}$  fue arbitrario, se concluye que  $f$  es diferenciable en  $U$ . ■

## Ejercicio 2

Sean  $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  no vacíos. Si  $a \leq b$  para todo  $a \in A$  y para todo  $b \in B$ , entonces  $A$  está acotada superiormente y  $B$  está acotado inferiormente, y además,  $\sup(A) \leq \inf(B)$ .

### Demostración.

Sea  $b \in B$ , ya que  $a \leq b$  para todo  $a \in A$ , se tiene que  $A$  está acotado superiormente. De igual forma, sea  $a \in A$  como  $a \leq b$  para todo  $b \in B$ , se da que  $B$  está acotado inferiormente. Además, dado que  $A$  y  $B$  son no vacíos, se obtiene que el supremo y el ínfimo de  $A$  y  $B$  existen, respectivamente. Como todo elemento de  $B$  es cota superior de  $A$  se tiene que  $\sup(A)$  es una cota inferior de  $B$ . Por lo tanto,  $\sup(A) \leq \inf(B)$ , pues  $\inf(B)$  es la mayor cota inferior de  $B$ .

## Ejercicio 3

Si  $f \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$  en  $[a, b]$  y  $a < c < b$  entonces  $f \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$  en  $[a, c]$  y en  $[c, b]$  y

$$\int_a^b f \, d\alpha = \int_a^c f \, d\alpha + \int_c^b f \, d\alpha$$

### Demostración.

**Afirmación.**  $f \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$  en  $[a, c]$  y en  $[c, b]$ .

Sea  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Ya que  $f \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$  en  $[a, b]$  existe  $P_\varepsilon = \{a = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n = b\} \in \mathcal{Y}_{[a,b]}$  tal que  $U(f, P_\varepsilon, \alpha) - L(f, P_\varepsilon, \alpha) < \varepsilon$ . Luego, para cada  $j = 1, \dots, n$  sean  $M_j = \sup \{f(x) \mid x \in [x_{j-1}, x_j]\}$  y  $m_j = \inf \{f(x) \mid x \in [x_{j-1}, x_j]\}$ . Ya que  $a < c < b$ , existe  $i = 1, \dots, n$  tal que  $x_{i-1} < c \leq x_i$ , por lo que se definen  $M'_c = \sup \{f(x) \mid x \in [x_{i-1}, c]\}$ ,  $M''_c = \sup \{f(x) \mid x \in [c, x_i]\}$ ,  $m'_c = \inf \{f(x) \mid x \in [x_{i-1}, c]\}$  y  $m''_c = \inf \{f(x) \mid x \in [c, x_i]\}$ .

Después, considerando las particiones  $P'_\varepsilon = \{a = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, c\} \in \mathcal{Y}_{[a,c]}$  y  $P''_\varepsilon = \{c, x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n\} \in \mathcal{Y}_{[c,b]}$ , se tiene que

$$\mathcal{U}(f, P'_\varepsilon, \alpha) = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} M_j \Delta \alpha_j + M'_c [\alpha(c) - \alpha(x_{i-1})],$$

$$\mathcal{U}(f, P''_\varepsilon, \alpha) = M''_c [\alpha(x_i) - \alpha(c)] + \sum_{j=i+1}^n M_j \Delta \alpha_j,$$

$$\mathcal{L}(f, P'_\varepsilon, \alpha) = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} m_j \Delta \alpha_j + m'_c [\alpha(c) - \alpha(x_{i-1})] \quad \text{y}$$

$$\mathcal{L}(f, P''_\varepsilon, \alpha) = m''_c [\alpha(x_i) - \alpha(c)] + \sum_{j=i+1}^n m_j \Delta \alpha_j.$$

Puesto que  $[x_{i-1}, c] \cup [c, x_i] = [x_{i-1}, x_i]$  se da que  $M'_c \leq M_i$ ,  $M''_c \leq M_i$ ,  $m_i \leq m'_c$  y  $m_i \leq m''_c$ . Así,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}(f, P'_\varepsilon, \alpha) + \mathcal{U}(f, P''_\varepsilon, \alpha) &= \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} M_j \Delta \alpha_j + M'_c [\alpha(c) - \alpha(x_{i-1})] + M''_c [\alpha(x_i) - \alpha(c)] + \\ &\quad \sum_{j=i+1}^n M_j \Delta \alpha_j \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} M_j \Delta \alpha_j + M_i [\alpha(c) - \alpha(x_{i-1})] + M_i [\alpha(x_i) - \alpha(c)] + \\ &\quad \sum_{j=i+1}^n M_j \Delta \alpha_j \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n M_j \Delta \alpha_j \\ &= U(f, P_\varepsilon, \alpha) \end{aligned}$$

y

$$\begin{aligned} -\mathcal{L}(f, P'_\varepsilon, \alpha) - \mathcal{L}(f, P''_\varepsilon, \alpha) &= -\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} m_j \Delta \alpha_j - m'_c [\alpha(c) - \alpha(x_{i-1})] - m''_c [\alpha(x_i) - \alpha(c)] \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=i+1}^n m_j \Delta \alpha_j \\ &\leq -\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} m_j \Delta \alpha_j - m_i [\alpha(c) - \alpha(x_{i-1})] - m_i [\alpha(x_i) - \alpha(c)] - \\ &\quad \sum_{j=i+1}^n m_j \Delta \alpha_j \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n m_j \Delta \alpha_j \\ &= -\mathcal{L}(f, P_\varepsilon, \alpha) \end{aligned}$$

De esta manera,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{U}(f, P'_\varepsilon, \alpha) + \mathcal{U}(f, P''_\varepsilon, \alpha) - \mathcal{L}(f, P'_\varepsilon, \alpha) - \mathcal{L}(f, P''_\varepsilon, \alpha) \leq U(f, P_\varepsilon, \alpha) - \mathcal{L}(f, P_\varepsilon, \alpha) < \varepsilon \\
& \implies \mathcal{U}(f, P'_\varepsilon, \alpha) - \mathcal{L}(f, P'_\varepsilon, \alpha) + \mathcal{U}(f, P''_\varepsilon, \alpha) - \mathcal{L}(f, P''_\varepsilon, \alpha) < \varepsilon \\
& \implies \mathcal{U}(f, P'_\varepsilon, \alpha) - \mathcal{L}(f, P'_\varepsilon, \alpha) < \varepsilon \quad \text{y} \quad \mathcal{U}(f, P''_\varepsilon, \alpha) - \mathcal{L}(f, P''_\varepsilon, \alpha) < \varepsilon
\end{aligned}$$

Por lo que  $f \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$  en  $[a, c]$  y en  $[c, b]$ .

Ahora, sea  $P = \{a = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n = b\} \in \mathcal{Y}_{[a,b]}$ , procediendo como antes, se da que existen  $P' = \{a = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, c\} \in \mathcal{Y}_{[a,c]}$  y  $P'' = \{c, x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n = b\} \in \mathcal{Y}_{[c,b]}$  tales que  $\mathcal{U}(f, P', \alpha) + \mathcal{U}(f, P'', \alpha) \leq \mathcal{U}(f, P, \alpha)$  y  $\mathcal{L}(f, P', \alpha) + \mathcal{L}(f, P'', \alpha) \geq \mathcal{L}(f, P, \alpha)$ . Posteriormente, se tiene que

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{L}(f, P', \alpha) \leq \int_a^c f \, d\alpha \leq \mathcal{U}(f, P', \alpha) \quad \text{y} \quad \mathcal{L}(f, P'', \alpha) \leq \int_c^b f \, d\alpha \leq \mathcal{U}(f, P'', \alpha) \\
& \implies \mathcal{L}(f, P', \alpha) + \mathcal{L}(f, P'', \alpha) \leq \int_a^c f \, d\alpha + \int_c^b f \, d\alpha \leq \mathcal{U}(f, P', \alpha) + \mathcal{U}(f, P'', \alpha) \\
& \implies \mathcal{L}(f, P, \alpha) \leq \int_a^c f \, d\alpha + \int_c^b f \, d\alpha \leq \mathcal{U}(f, P, \alpha)
\end{aligned}$$

Como  $P$  fue arbitraria, se obtiene que  $\int_a^b f \, d\alpha = \int_a^c f \, d\alpha + \int_c^b f \, d\alpha$ .