In [1]: import matplotlib import matplotlib.pyplot as plt import cvxopt **Question 1** In [2]: # -\*- coding: utf-8 -\*import numpy as np ####### X-MAC: Trade\_off Energy with Delay using GT # Radio subsystem varaible definition P = 32. # Payload [byte]
R = 31.25 # CC2420 Radio Rate [kbyte/s = Byte/ms] D = 8 C = 5 # number of levels C = 5 # neighbors size (connectivity)  $N = C*(D^2)$  # number of nodes #### BE CAREFUL: Times are in milliseconds (ms) L pbl = 4. # preamble length [byte] L\_hdr = 9. + L\_pbl # header length [byte]
L\_ack = 9. + L\_pbl # ACK length [byte]
L\_ps = 5. + L\_pbl # preamble strobe length [byte] Tal = 0.95# ack listen period [ms] Tal = 0.95

Thdr = L\_hdr/R

Tack = L\_ack/R

The = L\_ne/P # header transmission duration [ms] # ACK transmission duration [ms] Tps =  $L_ps/R$  # preamble strobe transmission duration [ms]

Tcw = 15\*0.62 # Contention window size [ms]

Tcs = 2.60 # Time [ms] to turn the radio into TX and probe the channel (carrier sense) Tdata = Thdr + P/R + Tack # data packet transmission duration [ms] ### Sampling frequency # e.g. Min traffic rate 1 pkt/half\_hour = 1/(60\*30\*1000) pk/ms Fs = 1.0/(60\*30\*1000)# Sleep period: Parameter Bounds  $Tw_max = 500.$  # Maximum Duration of Tw in ms Tw min = 100. # Minimum Duration of Tw in ms 1-1 Finding the values of alpha1, alpha2 and alpha 3 for 1pckt/5min We need to find the values of the frequencies In [14]: ### Sampling frequency Fs = 1.0/(60\*5\*1000)# e.g. Min traffic rate 1 pkt/ 5 min d=1 #to eliminate the max in the equation  $Fout = Fs*(((D**2) - (d**2) + (2*d-1))/(2*d-1)) \ \#Node's \ \textit{Output Traffic Frequency at level d}$  $Fi=Fs*((D^2)-(d^2))/(2*d-1)$  #Node's Input Traffic Frequency at level d I = (2\*d+1)/(2\*d-1)Fb=(C-I)\*Foutalpha1= Tcs+Tal+1.5\*Tps\*(((Tps+Tal)/2)+Tack+Tdata)\*Fb alpha1 Out[16]: 3.55053286912 In [17]: alpha2=Fout/2 alpha2 Out[17]: 0.00010666666666666667 In [18]: a1=(0.5\*(Tps+Tal)+Tcs+Tal+Tack+Tdata)\*Fout a2=((1.5\*Tps+Tack+Tdata)\*Fi)+(0.75\*Tps\*Fb)alpha3=a1+a2 alpha3 Out[18]: 0.0015293333333333333 1-2 Plotting Energy as a function of T for different pckt/min rates: for x in [1,5,10,15,20,25,30]: In [19]: Fs = 1.0/(60\*x\*1000)d=1 #to eliminate the max in the equation Fout=Fs\*(((D\*\*2)-(d\*\*2)+(2\*d-1))/(2\*d-1)) #Node's Output Traffic Frequency at level d  $Fi=Fs*((D^2)-(d^2))/(2*d-1)$  #Node's Input Traffic Frequency at level d I = (2\*d+1)/(2\*d-1)Fb=(C-I) \*Fout alpha1= Tcs+Tal+1.5\*Tps\*(((Tps+Tal)/2)+Tack+Tdata)\*Fb alpha2=Fout/2 alpha3 = (0.5\*(Tps+Tal)+Tcs+Tal+Tack+Tdata)\*Fout+((1.5\*Tps+Tack+Tdata)\*Fi)+(0.75\*Tps\*Fb)# 400 linearly spaced numbers Tw = np.linspace(100, 500, 400)# The function that we want to plot E=(alpha1/Tw)+alpha2\*Tw+alpha3 # setting the axes at the centre fig = plt.figure() # plot the function plt.plot(Tw,E, 'r') plt.title("Energy (J) as a function of T (ms) for lpacket/"+str(x)+"min") # show the plot plt.show() Energy (J) as a function of T (ms) for 1packet/1min 0.275 0.250 0.225 0.200 0.175 0.150 0.125 0.100 200 250 300 400 500 350 Energy (J) as a function of T (ms) for 1packet/5min 0.060 0.055 0.050 0.045 0.040 100 150 200 250 400 500 300 350 450 Energy (J) as a function of T (ms) for 1packet/10min 0.042 0.040 0.038 0.036 0.034 0.032 0.030 0.028 200 100 150 250 300 350 500 Energy (J) as a function of T (ms) for 1packet/15min 0.0400 0.0375 0.0350 0.0325 0.0300 0.0275 0.0250 0.0225 150 200 250 300 100 350 400 450 500 Energy (J) as a function of T (ms) for 1packet/20min 0.0375 0.0350 0.0325 0.0300 0.0275 0.0250 0.0225 0.0200 250 300 150 200 350 400 450 500 Energy (J) as a function of T (ms) for 1packet/25min 0.0375 0.0350 0.0325 0.0300 0.0275 0.0250 0.0225 0.0200 0.0175 150 200 250 300 350 450 500 Energy (J) as a function of T (ms) for 1packet/30min 0.035 0.030 0.025 0.020 200 150 250 300 350 400 500 100 450 • We can see that for 1 pckt/1 min, there is nearly a linear relationship between E and Tw • Energy doesn't grow as intensly as it was doing for big value of Tw when the packets are fewer, it even stagnates and seems constant starting from 1pckt/30min for big values of Tw. The decay is in Energy is less brutal when the packets are less frequent for smaller values of Tw We can also notice that the shape of the plot is convex. Everything thus seems logical as the lesser are the packets and the longer is the time Tw, less energy is consumed 1-3 Finding the values of beta1 and beta 2 d=D beta1=0 for i in range (d): beta1+=0.5 beta2=0 for i in range(d): beta2+=(Tcw/2)+Tdata beta1,beta2 Out[20]: (4.0, 52.048) The values found are the same as those found by the professor 1-4 Plotting End to End Delay (ms) as a function of Tw (ms) Tw = np.linspace(100, 500, 400)L=beta1\*Tw+beta2 # setting the axes at the centre fig = plt.figure() # plot the function plt.plot(Tw, L, 'r') plt.title("End to End Delay (ms) as a function of Tw (ms)") # show the plot plt.show() End to End Delay (ms) as a function of Tw (ms) 2000 1800 1600 1400 1200 1000 800 600 400 300 350 400 100 150 200 250 450 500 As we expected, the delay is an affine function of Tw 1-5 Plotting the curve E-L for different pckt/min rates for x in [1,5,10,15,20,30]: Fs = 1.0/(60\*x\*1000)d=1 #to eliminate the max in the equation Fout = Fs\*(((D\*\*2)-(d\*\*2)+(2\*d-1))/(2\*d-1)) #Node's Output Traffic Frequency at level details for the sum of the product of the sum of the su $Fi=Fs*((D^2)-(d^2))/(2*d-1)$  #Node's Input Traffic Frequency at level d I = (2\*d+1)/(2\*d-1)Fb = (C-I) \*Foutalpha1= Tcs+Tal+1.5\*Tps\*(((Tps+Tal)/2)+Tack+Tdata)\*Fb alpha2=Fout/2 alpha3 = (0.5\*(Tps+Tal)+Tcs+Tal+Tack+Tdata)\*Fout+((1.5\*Tps+Tack+Tdata)\*Fi)+(0.75\*Tps\*Fb)# 400 linearly spaced numbers L = np.linspace(beta1\*100+beta2, beta1\*500+beta2, 400)# the function, which is  $y = x^2$  here E=(alpha1\*beta1/(L-beta2))+alpha2\*(L-beta2)/beta1+alpha3 # setting the axes at the centre fig = plt.figure() # plot the function plt.plot(L,E, 'r') plt.title("Energy (J) as a function of L (ms) for lpacket/"+str(x)+"min") # show the plot plt.show() Energy (J) as a function of L (ms) for 1packet/1min 0.275 0.250 0.225 0.200 0.175 0.150 0.125 0.100 1000 1200 1400 1600 1800 Energy (J) as a function of L (ms) for 1packet/5min 0.060 0.055 0.050 0.045 0.040 1000 1200 1400 1600 1800 Energy (J) as a function of L (ms) for 1packet/10min 0.042 0.040 0.038 0.036 0.034 0.032 0.030 0.028 1000 1200 1400 1600 Energy (J) as a function of L (ms) for 1packet/15min 0.0400 0.0375 0.0350 0.0325 0.0300 0.0275 0.0250 0.0225 1000 1200 1400 1600 1800 600 800 Energy (J) as a function of L (ms) for 1packet/20min 0.0375 0.0350 0.0325 0.0300 0.0275 0.0250 0.0225 0.0200 1000 1200 1400 1600 1800 Energy (J) as a function of L (ms) for 1packet/30min 0.035 0.030 0.025 0.020 400 600 800 1000 1200 1400 1600 1800 2000 • We can see that for 1 pckt/1 min, there is neearly a linear relationship between E and L Energy doesn't grow as intensly as it was doing for big value of L when the packets are fewer, it even stagnates and seems constant starting from 1pckt/30min for big values of L. The decay is in Energy is less brutal when the packets are less frequent for smaller values of L We can also notice that the shape of the plot is convex. Everything thus seems logical as the lesser are the packets and the longer is the delay, less energy is consumed. We could have guessed these shapes as we already had the shapes of E-Tw and the relationship between Tw and L is affine. **Question 2** 2-1 Optimal energy for several values of L from scipy import optimize Tw = 250In [24]: Ttx=0.5\*Tw+Tack+Tdata Etx=(Tcs+Tal+Ttx)\*Fout minimums=[] dict={} #for L max in np.linspace(beta1\*100+beta2,beta1\*500+beta2,500): for L max in np.linspace(100,5000,100): def E min(x): return (alpha1/x)+alpha2\*x+alpha3 #x=Tw **def** f 1(x): return beta1\*x+beta2-L max **def** f 2(x): return Tw\_min-x **def** f 3(x): **return** C\*Etx-0.25 #*I0=C* #cons = ({'type': 'ineq','fun' : f\_1},{'type': 'ineq','fun' : f\_2}) cons = ({'type': 'ineq','fun' : f\_1},{'type': 'ineq','fun' : f\_2},{'type': 'ineq','fun' : f\_3}) minimal value=(optimize.minimize (E min,x0,constraints= cons).fun) dict[(L max-beta2)/beta1]=minimal value minimums.append(minimal value)  $L_{max} = np.linspace(100,5000,100)$ In [46]: fig = plt.figure() fig.subplots\_adjust(top=2) ax1 = fig.add\_subplot(211) ax1.set\_ylabel('Energy E (J)') ax1.set\_xlabel('End to End delay (ms)') plt.legend("") plt.plot(L\_max,minimums, 'r') plt.show() le-13+1.889968857e-2 92 Energy E (J) 90 88 86 1000 2000 3000 4000 5000 End to End delay (ms) In [45]: E\_best=np.amin(minimums);E\_best Out[45]: 0.01889968857863504 In [47]: E\_average=np.average(minimums);E\_average Out[47]: 0.018899688579392105 • We can see that there are some minimal energy values (inferior by 7\*10(-13)J than the average) attained for some values of L\_max, especially for L\_max approximately equal to 2100 • This small magnitude of 10\*\*(-13) is due to the fact that for 1pckt/30 min, energy is approximatively constant for values of L>1000ms, as we saw in the plot of 2-1 • The energy values of L>1000ms seem logical as we saw in 2-1 that the values of energy are approximatively equal to 0.018 for Fs that realises 1pckt/30 min 2-2 Optimal values of L for several values of Ebduget minimums 2=[] dict\_2={} for E\_budget in np.linspace(0.5,5,100): def L\_min(x): return beta1\*x+beta2 **def** f\_1(x): return (alpha1/x)+alpha2\*x+alpha3-E\_budget **def** f 2(x): return Tw\_min-x **def** f 3(x): return 5\*Etx-0.25 #cons = ({'type': 'ineq','fun' : f\_1},{'type': 'ineq','fun' : f\_2})  $cons = (\{'type': 'ineq', 'fun' : f_1\}, \{'type': 'ineq', 'fun' : f_2\}, \{'type': 'ineq', 'fun' : f_3\})$ minimal\_value=optimize.minimize (L\_min,x0,constraints= cons).fun dict 2[E budget]={minimal value} minimums 2.append(minimal value) E budget = np.linspace(0.5, 5, 100)In [44]: fig = plt.figure() fig.subplots adjust(top=2) ax1 = fig.add subplot(211)ax1.set ylabel('Delay L (ms)') ax1.set xlabel('Ebudget(J)') plt.plot(E\_budget,minimums\_2, 'r') plt.show() 1e-9+4.052048e3 -0.5Delay L (ms) -1.0-1.5-2.01 Ebudget(J) L\_best=np.amin(minimums 2);L best Out[36]: 4052.0479999979316 L\_average=np.average(minimums\_2);L\_average Out[37]: 4052.047999999912 • We can see that there are some minimal energy values attained for some values of Ebudget, especially for Ebudget approximately equal to 1.6 and 3.8 where the value of L is approximately smaller by 2\*(10\*\*-9)ms than the average I tried with the CVXPY method in order to see if my results are logical but it showed me that there is an arethmetical error, you can see my code below. I can't see why I have this error import cvxpy as cp from cvxpy import \* L\_max=1200 from cvxopt import matrix, solvers A = matrix([ [beta1, -1.0, 0.0], [0, 0, 0] ]) $b = matrix([ -beta2+L_max, -Tw_min, -5*Etx+0.25 ])$ c = matrix([ alpha1, alpha2 ]) sol=solvers.lp(c,A,b)ArithmeticError Traceback (most recent call last) ~/opt/anaconda3/lib/python3.8/site-packages/cvxopt/misc.py in factor(W, H, Df) 1428 if type(F['S']) is matrix: **->** 1429 lapack.potrf(F['S']) 1430 ArithmeticError: 2 During handling of the above exception, another exception occurred: ArithmeticError Traceback (most recent call last) ~/opt/anaconda3/lib/python3.8/site-packages/cvxopt/coneprog.py in conelp(c, G, h, dims, A, b, primalstart, dual start, kktsolver, xnewcopy, xdot, xaxpy, xscal, ynewcopy, ydot, yaxpy, yscal, \*\*kwargs) for rti in W['rti']: rti[::rti.size[0]+1] = 1.0 --> 680 try: f = kktsolver(W) 681 except ArithmeticError: ~/opt/anaconda3/lib/python3.8/site-packages/cvxopt/coneprog.py in kktsolver(W) def kktsolver(W): **-->** 585 return factor(W) 586 ~/opt/anaconda3/lib/python3.8/site-packages/cvxopt/misc.py in factor(W, H, Df) if type(F['S']) is matrix: lapack.potrf(F['S']) -> 1444 1445 ArithmeticError: 2 During handling of the above exception, another exception occurred: ValueError Traceback (most recent call last) <ipython-input-228-618aa1f9d7b1> in <module> 4 b = matrix([ -beta2+L max, -Tw min, -5\*Etx+0.25 ]) 5 c = matrix([ alpha1, alpha2 ]) ---> 6 sol=solvers.lp(c,A,b) ~/opt/anaconda3/lib/python3.8/site-packages/cvxopt/coneprog.py in lp(c, G, h, A, b, kktsolver, solver, primalst art, dualstart, \*\*kwargs) 3007 'primal slack': pslack, 'dual slack': dslack} 3008 **-**> 3009 return conelp(c, G, h, {'l': m, 'q': [], 's': []}, A, b, primalstart, 3010 dualstart, kktsolver = kktsolver, options = options) 3011 ~/opt/anaconda3/lib/python3.8/site-packages/cvxopt/coneprog.py in conelp(c, G, h, dims, A, b, primalstart, dual start, kktsolver, xnewcopy, xdot, xaxpy, xscal, ynewcopy, ydot, yaxpy, yscal, \*\*kwargs) 680 try: f = kktsolver(W) 681 except ArithmeticError: **-->** 682 raise ValueError("Rank(A) 683 684 if primalstart is None: ValueError: Rank(A) Question 3 In [31]: E\_worst=np.amax(minimums); E\_worst Out[31]: 0.018899688579481086 In [32]: L\_worst=np.amax(minimums 2);L worst Out[32]: 4052.048 We will formalize the optimization problem: **def** f\_0(x): In [34]: return np.log(np.abs(E\_worst-x[0]))+np.log(np.abs(L\_worst-x[1])) **def** f\_1(x): return (alpha1/x[2])+alpha2\*x[2]+alpha3-E\_worst **def** f\_2(x): return (alpha1/x[2])+alpha2\*x[2]+alpha3-x[0] **def** f\_3(x): return beta1\*x[2]+beta2-L worst **def** f\_4(x): return beta1\*x[2]+beta2-x[1] **def** f\_5(x): return Tw\_min-x[2] **def** f\_6(x): return 0.25-5\*Etx #cons = ({'type': 'ineq','fun' : f\_1},{'type': 'ineq','fun' : f\_2}) cons = ({'type': 'ineq','fun' : f\_1},{'type': 'ineq','fun' : f\_2},{'type': 'ineq','fun' : f\_3},{'type': 'ineq', x0 = [5, 2000, 300]optimize.minimize (f\_0,x0,constraints= cons) fun: 1.1094015331840739 Out[34]: jac: array([-6.76679065e+02, -4.87327576e-04, 0.00000000e+00]) message: 'Positive directional derivative for linesearch' nfev: 34 nit: 10 njev: 6 status: 8 success: False x: array([1.74218757e-02, 2.00000000e+03, 3.00000000e+02]) We consequently find this result for this opimization problem