# Introduction to Deep Learning

Mnacho Echenim

Grenoble INP-Ensimag

2022-2023



Notes

Mnacho Echenim (Grenoble INP-Ensimag)

Introduction to Deep Learning

2022-2023 < 1 / 27 >

# Derivation of the backpropagation rules (simplified notations)

- Goal: get rid of cumbersome notations to represent partial derivatives
- Closer to what may be found in textbooks
- We want to compute  $\frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial w_k^j}$  and  $\frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial b^j}$
- We have the following equalities:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial w_k^j} & = & \mathcal{P}^{j+1} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{a}^j}{\partial w_k^j} \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial b^j} & = & \mathcal{P}^{j+1} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{a}^j}{\partial b^j} \end{array}$$



Notes			
Notes			

## Derivation of the backpropagation rules (part 2)

$$\frac{\partial a^{j}}{\partial a^{j-1}} = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi'(\zeta_{1}^{j}), \left[\omega_{1}^{j}\right]^{T} \\ \vdots \\ \Phi'(\zeta_{n_{j}}^{j}), \left[\omega_{n_{j}}^{j}\right]^{T} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\frac{\partial a^{j}}{\partial w_{k}^{j}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ \Phi'(\zeta_{k}^{j}), \left[\alpha^{j-1}\right]^{T} \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \leftarrow \text{line } k$$

$$\frac{\partial a^{j}}{\partial b^{j}} = \text{diag}\left(\Phi'(\zeta_{1}^{j}), \dots, \Phi'(\zeta_{n_{j}}^{j})\right)$$

The backpropagation equations are then derived as previously

Ensimag

Mnacho Echenim (Grenoble INP-Ensimag)

Introduction to Deep Learning

2022-2023 < 4 / 27 >

### Mini-batch gradient descent

- We are given M inputs  $\overline{\alpha^0} \stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{=} (\alpha^0_{(1)}, \dots, \alpha^0_{(M)})$  and outputs  $\overline{\rho} \stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{=} (\rho_{(1)}, \dots, \rho_{(M)})$
- The parameters updates become

$$\Omega^{j} \leftarrow \Omega^{j} - \frac{\eta}{M} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{M} \nabla_{Wj} \mathcal{E}(\alpha_{(k)}^{0}, \dots, \Omega^{L}, \beta^{L}, \rho_{(k)})$$

$$\beta^{j} \leftarrow \beta^{j} - \frac{\eta}{M} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{M} \nabla_{b^{j}} \mathcal{E}(\alpha_{(k)}^{0}, \dots, \Omega^{L}, \beta^{L}, \rho_{(k)})$$

• Goal: compute these updates efficiently using matrix operations



Notes			
lotes			
Votes			
Notes			
Votes			
lotes			
Votes			
Notes			

### Extensions to mini-batches

Inputs to the network:  $\overline{\alpha^0}, \Omega_1, \beta^1, \dots, \Omega^L, \beta^L$ . We let:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \overline{\beta^{i}} & \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} & (\beta^{i}, \ldots, \beta^{i}) \quad (M \text{ columns}) \\ \overline{\alpha^{i}} & \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} & (\alpha^{i}_{(1)}, \ldots, \alpha^{i}_{(M)}) = f_{i}(\overline{\alpha^{i-1}}, \Omega^{i}, \beta^{i}) \\ \overline{\zeta^{i}} & \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} & (\zeta^{i}_{(1)}, \ldots, \zeta^{i}_{(M)}) \\ \Phi'(\overline{\zeta^{i}}) & \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} & (\Phi'(\zeta^{i}_{(1)}), \ldots, \Phi'(\zeta^{i}_{(M)})) \\ \overline{\mathcal{B}^{i}} & \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} & (\mathcal{B}^{i}_{(1)}, \ldots, \mathcal{B}^{i}_{(M)}) \\ \nabla_{a^{L}}\mathcal{C}(\overline{\alpha^{L}}, \overline{\rho}) & = & (\nabla_{a^{L}}\mathcal{C}(\alpha^{L}_{(1)}, \rho_{(1)}), \ldots, \nabla_{a^{L}}\mathcal{C}(\alpha^{L}_{(M)}, \rho_{(M)})) \end{array}$$

## Proposition

We have the following equalities:

- $\bullet \ \overline{\zeta^i} = \Psi(\overline{\alpha^{i-1}}, \Omega^i, \overline{\beta^i}) = \left[\Omega^i\right]^{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \overline{\alpha^{i-1}} + \overline{\beta^i} \ \text{for } i = 1, \dots, L$
- $\overline{\mathcal{B}^L} = \Phi'(\overline{\zeta^L}) \odot \nabla_{a^L} \mathcal{C}(\overline{\alpha^L}, \overline{\rho})$
- $\bullet \ \ \overline{\mathcal{B}^j} = \Phi'(\overline{\zeta^j}) \odot \left(\Omega^{j+1} \cdot \overline{\mathcal{B}^{j+1}}\right)$



Mnacho Echenim (Grenoble INP-Ensimag)

Introduction to Deep Learning

2022-2023 < 6 / 27 >

### Other computations

### Summary

Let  $\mathbf{1}_M \stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{=} (1, \dots, 1)^{\mathrm{T}} \in \mathbb{R}^M$ . For M inputs and outputs, parameter updates become:

$$\Omega^{j} \leftarrow \Omega^{j} - \frac{\eta}{M} \cdot \left( \overline{\alpha^{j-1}} \cdot \left[ \overline{\mathcal{B}^{j}} \right]^{\mathrm{T}} \right)$$
$$\beta_{j} \leftarrow \beta_{j} - \frac{\eta}{M} \cdot \left( \overline{\mathcal{B}^{j}} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{M} \right)$$

INP Ensimag

2022-2023

Notes				
Notes				

### Mini-batch forward and backpropagation algorithms

Algorithm 1: Forward propagation

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Input:} \ \underline{A} \ \text{network with } L \ \text{layers} \\ \textbf{Input:} \ \overline{\alpha^0} = (\alpha^0_{(1)}, \dots, \alpha^0_{(M)}) \ \text{that has been forward propagated} \\ \textbf{Input:} \ \overline{\rho} = (\rho_{(1)}, \dots, \rho_{(M)}) \ \text{the expected outputs} \\ \textbf{\tiny{$1$}} \ \underline{\overline{B^L}} \leftarrow \Phi'(\overline{\zeta^L}) \odot \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}^L} \mathcal{C}(\alpha^L, \overline{\rho}); \\ \textbf{\tiny{$2$}} \ \overline{[\mathcal{P}^L]^T} \leftarrow \Omega^L \cdot \overline{\mathcal{B}^L}; \\ \textbf{\tiny{$3$}} \ \textbf{for} \ \boldsymbol{j} \leftarrow L - 1 \ \textbf{to} \ 1 \ \textbf{do} \\ \textbf{\tiny{$4$}} \ \ \ \underline{\overline{B^j}} \leftarrow \Phi'(\overline{\zeta^j}) \odot \overline{[\mathcal{P}^{j+1}]^T}; \\ \textbf{\tiny{$5$}} \ \ \ \overline{[\mathcal{P}^j]^T} \leftarrow \Omega^j \cdot \overline{\mathcal{B}^j}; \\ \textbf{\tiny{$6$}} \ \textbf{end} \end{array}
```

Algorithm 2: Backpropagation



Notes

Mnacho Echenim (Grenoble INP-Ensimag)

Introduction to Deep Learning

2022-2023 < 8 / 27 >

### Mini-batch gradient computation

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Input: } \underline{A} \text{ network with } \underline{L} \text{ layers} \\ \textbf{Input: } \overline{\alpha^0} = (\alpha^0_{(1)}, \dots, \alpha^0_{(M)}) \text{ that has been forward propagated} \\ \textbf{Input: } (\overline{\mathcal{B}^1}, \dots, \overline{\mathcal{B}^L}) \text{ that have been updated by backpropagation} \\ {}_1 \text{ for } j \in \{1, \dots, L\} \text{ do} \\ {}_2 \qquad \Big| \quad \mathrm{Gradient}(\Omega^j) \leftarrow \frac{1}{M} \cdot \Big(\overline{\alpha^{j-1}} \cdot \Big[\overline{\mathcal{B}^j}\Big]^\mathrm{T}\Big); \\ {}_3 \qquad \mathrm{Gradient}(\beta^j) \leftarrow \frac{1}{M} \cdot \Big(\overline{\mathcal{B}^j} \cdot \mathbf{1}_M\Big); \\ {}_4 \text{ end} \end{array}
```

Algorithm 3: Mini-batch gradient computation

#### Note

By storing the necessary information, backpropagation and mini-batch gradient computations can be carried out in the same loop



Notes

## Computed quantities in backpropagation

- At layer  $j \in [1, L]$ , two central quantities are computed
  - $ightarrow \mathcal{P}^j \in \mathbb{R}^{1 imes n_{j-1}}$  and  $\mathcal{B}^j \in \mathbb{R}^{n_j}$
- ullet  $\mathcal{P}^j$  represents the information that is transmitted to layer j-1
- $\bullet$   $\mathcal{B}^{j}$  represents the information necessary to compute gradients at layer j
- What does  $\mathcal{P}^j$  represent in the backpropagation rules?

### Proposition

We have  $\mathcal{P}^j = \frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial \mathbf{a}^{j-1}}$ 

**Proof:** by induction on j



Notes

Mnacho Echenim (Grenoble INP-Ensimag)

Introduction to Deep Learning

2022-2023 < 10 / 27 >

## What does $\mathcal{B}^j$ represent in the backpropagation rules?

 $\bullet \ \ \mathsf{Recall that} \ \nabla_{\mathcal{W}^j} \mathcal{C} = \alpha^{j-1} \cdot \left[\mathcal{B}^j\right]^\mathrm{T} \ \mathsf{and} \ \nabla_{b^j} \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{B}^j \ \mathsf{for} \ j = 1, \dots, L$ 

#### **Theorem**

If  $z^j$  is a formal parameter representing the net input of layer j, then  $\mathcal{B}^j = \nabla_{z^j} \mathcal{C}$ 

#### Proof (backward induction)

$$\bullet \ \mathcal{B}^L = \Phi'(\zeta^L) \odot \nabla_{\mathsf{a}^L} \mathcal{C} \text{, where } \zeta^L = (\zeta_1^L, \dots, \zeta_{n_L}^L)^\mathrm{T} \text{ and } \alpha^L = \Phi(\zeta^L) \text{, hence}$$

$$\mathcal{B}^{L} = \left[\frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial a^{L}}\right]^{T} \cdot \operatorname{diag}\left(\Phi'(\zeta_{1}^{L}), \dots, \Phi'(\zeta_{n_{L}}^{L})\right)$$

$$= \left[\frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial a^{L}} \cdot \frac{\partial a^{L}}{\partial z^{L}}\right]^{T}$$

$$= \left[\frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial z^{L}}\right]^{T}$$

$$= \nabla_{zL}\mathcal{C}$$



Notes				
Notes				

# What does $\mathcal{B}^j$ represent? (2)

• Assume  $\mathcal{B}^{j+1} = \nabla_{\mathbf{z}^{j+1}}\mathcal{C}$ ; then we have

$$\zeta^{j+1} = \left[\Omega^{j+1}\right]^{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \alpha^{j} + \beta^{j+1} \\
= \left[\Omega^{j+1}\right]^{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \Phi(\zeta^{j}) + \beta^{j+1} \\
\frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial z^{j}} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial z^{j+1}} \cdot \frac{\partial z^{j+1}}{\partial z^{j}} \\
= \left[\mathcal{B}^{j+1}\right]^{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \frac{\partial z^{j+1}}{\partial z^{j}} \\
\frac{\partial z^{j+1}}{\partial z^{j}} = \frac{\partial \left(\left[\Omega^{j+1}\right]^{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \alpha^{j} + \beta^{j+1}\right)}{\partial z^{j}} \\
= \frac{\partial \left(\left[\Omega^{j+1}\right]^{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \alpha^{j} + \beta^{j+1}\right)}{\partial z^{j}} \cdot \frac{\partial \Phi(\zeta^{j})}{\partial z^{j}} \\
= \left[\Omega^{j+1}\right]^{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \operatorname{diag}\left(\Phi'(\zeta_{1}^{j}), \dots, \Phi'(\zeta_{n_{j}}^{j})\right)$$

Ensimag

Mnacho Echenim (Grenoble INP-Ensimag)

Introduction to Deep Learning

2022-2023 < 12 / 27 >

### Bringing it all together

We deduce that:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial z^{j}} = \left[\mathcal{B}^{j+1}\right]^{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \frac{\partial z^{j+1}}{\partial z^{j}} \\
= \left[\mathcal{B}^{j+1}\right]^{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \left[\left[\Omega^{j+1}\right]^{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \operatorname{diag}\left(\Phi'(\zeta_{1}^{j}), \dots, \Phi'(\zeta_{n_{j}}^{j})\right)\right] \\
= \left[\Omega^{j+1} \cdot \mathcal{B}^{j+1}\right]^{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \operatorname{diag}\left(\Phi'(\zeta_{1}^{j}), \dots, \Phi'(\zeta_{n_{j}}^{j})\right)$$

Therefore:

$$\nabla_{z^{j}} \mathcal{C} = \operatorname{diag} \left( \Phi'(\zeta_{1}^{j}), \dots, \Phi'(\zeta_{n_{j}}^{j}) \right) \cdot \left( \Omega^{j+1} \cdot \mathcal{B}^{j+1} \right) \\
= \Phi'(\zeta^{j}) \odot \left( \Omega^{j+1} \cdot \mathcal{B}^{j+1} \right) \\
= \mathcal{B}^{j}$$



Notes			
	-		
Notes			

#### Foreword

- What follows is a list of techniques that are often used to improve gradient descent
- There are (currently) no formal proofs that these methods improve anything on neural networks
  - ► They may be viewed by some as nothing more than recipes

#### But

- Several originate from research on improving gradient descent on convex optimization problems
- ► E.g., the Nesterov momentum method permits to obtain optimal convergence rates for convex optimization problems
- ▶ It is not far-fetched to try them on non-convex optimization problems



Mnacho Echenim (Grenoble INP-Ensimag)

Introduction to Deep Learning

2022-2023

< 15 / 27 >

Notes

### Reducing noise for mini-batch gradient descent

Issues with mini-batch gradient descent

 As we get closer to an optimum, the noise in the gradient estimate can become a problem

How can this issue be handled?

- First idea: "denoise" the estimate by increasing the batch sizes
- ullet Another idea: decrease the learning rate over time with decrease factor  $\delta$ 
  - Inverse decay:  $\eta_k = \frac{\eta_0}{1+k\delta}$
  - Exponential decay:  $\eta_k = \eta_0 \exp(-k\delta)$
  - •

#### Note

It is still difficult to choose the initial rate, decrease factor, decrease schedule. . .



Notes	

### Is it guaranteed gradient descent will work?

- Problem: are we sure gradient descent will lead to a global minimum of the function?
- In general no: most of the time, the function to optimize is not convex
- Gradient descent could get stuck on
  - ▶ Local minima
  - Stationary points
- Can the algorithm be adapted to produce better results?



Mnacho Echenim (Grenoble INP-Ensimag)

Introduction to Deep Learning

2022-2023 < 17 / 27 >

### Adaptive learning rates

- Problem: fixing the right learning rate is difficult, even using decay strategies
- It is not clear whether the same learning rate should be used on every component
- Principle: use information about computed gradients to set learning rates individually for each component
- First approach: delta-bar-delta (Jacobs, 88)
  - ▶ Principle: check the sign of each partial derivative
    - \* If it stays the same, then the optimization direction is correct: increase the learning rate
    - **★** Otherwise decrease the learning rate
  - ▶ **Nb**: This approach can only be applied to full gradient descent
    - ★ The noise in SGD can produce wrong signals
- Upcoming approaches: all operations on vectors and matrices are componentwise



Notes			
-			
-			
-			
Notes			
Notes			

## AdaGrad (Duchi et al, 2011)

- Principle: keep track of aggregated squared magnitude of gradients; use this to scale the individual learning rates
  - Large partial derivatives lead to a fast decrease, and vice-versa
- This technique has good properties in a convex setting
  - ▶ But the fact that all gradients are accumulated may lead to an excessive decrease of the learning rate

```
Input: Step size \eta, numerical stabilizer \delta
   Input: Initial parameters \theta
1 set gradient accumulation variable r to 0;
<sup>2</sup> for i \leftarrow 1 to number of training steps do
          g \leftarrow \text{computation of } \nabla_{\theta} \mathcal{C}(\theta) \text{ //full gradient, mini-batch...};
          r \leftarrow r + (g \odot g);
          v \leftarrow -\frac{\eta}{\delta + \sqrt{r}} \odot g;
          \theta \leftarrow \theta + v:
7 end
```



Mnacho Echenim (Grenoble INP-Ensimag)

7 end

Introduction to Deep Learning

2022-2023 < 19 / 27 >

## RMSProp (Hinton, 2012)

- Principle: accumulate squared magnitude of gradients, with an exponentially weighted moving average
  - Ancient history is discarded, as old gradients decay exponentially with time
- Example: on a slope with a locally convex bowl:
  - AdaGrad will likely get stuck
  - ▶ RMSProp will forget about the past and get out of the bowl

```
Input: Step size \eta, numerical stabilizer \delta
  Input: Exponential decay rate \rho \in [0,1[
   Input: Initial parameters \theta
1 set gradient accumulation variable r to 0;
<sup>2</sup> for i \leftarrow 1 to number of training steps do
         g \leftarrow \text{computation of } \nabla_{\theta} \mathcal{C}(\theta) \text{ //full gradient, mini-batch...};
         r \leftarrow \rho \cdot r + (1 - \rho) \cdot (g \odot g);
         v \leftarrow -\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{\delta+r}} \odot g;
         \theta \leftarrow \theta + v:
```



2022-2023 Mnacho Echenim (Grenoble INP-Ensimag) Introduction to Deep Learning < 20 / 27 >

Notes				

## AdaDelta (Zeiler, 2012)

- Principle: moving average, similarly to RMSProp
  - ► Main difference: no input step size
  - ▶ Step size is updated depending on previous changes

```
Input: Numerical stabilizer \delta
   Input: Exponential decay rate \rho \in [0, 1]
  Input: Initial parameters \theta
1 set gradient accumulation variable r to 0;
<sup>2</sup> set parameter update accumulation variable s to 0;
_3 for i ← 1 to number of training steps do
         g \leftarrow \text{computation of } \nabla_{\theta} \mathcal{C}(\theta) \text{ //full gradient, mini-batch...};
        r \leftarrow \rho \cdot r + (1 - \rho) \cdot (g \odot g);
        s \leftarrow \rho \cdot s + (1 - \rho) \cdot (v \odot v);
        \theta \leftarrow \theta + v:
```



Mnacho Echenim (Grenoble INP-Ensimag)

9 end

Introduction to Deep Learning

2022-2023 < 21 / 27 >

## Adam (Kingma & Ba, 2014)

- Can be viewed as a combination of RMSProp and Momentum (coming up later)
  - Momentum is added by considering an exponentially weighted moving average of gradients
- Main difference: a bias correction term is applied to the first and second moment variables

```
Input: Step size \eta, numerical stabilizer \delta
Input: Exponential decay rates \rho_1, \rho_2 \in [0, 1]
Input: Initial parameters \theta
```

1 set first, second moment variable s, r to 0;

 $_2$  for  $i \leftarrow 1$  to number of training steps do

```
g \leftarrow \text{computation of } \nabla_{\theta} \mathcal{C}(\theta) \text{ //full gradient, mini-batch...};
           s \leftarrow \rho_1 \cdot s + (1 - \rho_1) \cdot g;
           r \leftarrow \rho_2 \cdot r + (1 - \rho_2) \cdot (g \odot g);
5
```



Votes			
Notes			

10 end

### Momentum

- Principle: consider a velocity variable v
  - **Intuition:** v provides the direction and speed at which  $\theta$  should move toward the optimum
  - ▶ How: by using information from previous gradient computations
  - ▶ For  $\delta \in [0,1[$ , at each epoch, v is updated by  $v \leftarrow \delta \cdot v \eta \nabla_{\theta} C(\theta)$
- Algorithm:

```
Input: Learning rate \eta, momentum parameter \delta
  Input: Initial parameters \theta, initial velocity v=0
1 for i \leftarrow 1 to number of training steps do
         g \leftarrow \text{computation of } \nabla_{\theta} \mathcal{C}(\theta_k) \text{ //full gradient, mini-batch...};
         v \leftarrow \delta \cdot v - \eta \cdot g;
         \theta \leftarrow \theta + v
5 end
```



Mnacho Echenim (Grenoble INP-Ensimag)

Introduction to Deep Learning

2022-2023 < 23 / 27 >

Notes

### Nesterov momentum

- Principle: use a modified velocity variable v
  - ▶ Intuition: look ahead with current velocity before computing gradient
  - ▶ For  $\delta \in [0,1[$ , at each epoch, v is updated by  $v \leftarrow \delta \cdot v \eta \nabla_{\theta} C(\theta + \delta \cdot v)$
- Algorithm:

```
Input: Learning rate \eta, momentum parameter \delta
   Input: Initial parameters \theta, initial velocity v=0
1 for i \leftarrow 1 to number of training steps do
          \tilde{\theta} \leftarrow \theta + \delta \cdot v;
          g \leftarrow \text{computation of } \nabla_{\theta} \mathcal{C}(\tilde{\theta}) \text{ //full gradient, mini-batch...};
          v \leftarrow \delta \cdot v - \eta \cdot g;
          \theta \leftarrow \theta + v:
6 end
```



Notes

### Implementing Nesterov Accelerated Gradient

• Update rules:

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\mathbf{v} & \leftarrow & \delta \cdot \mathbf{v} - \eta \nabla_{\theta} \mathcal{C}(\theta + \delta \cdot \mathbf{v}) \\
\theta & \leftarrow & \theta + \mathbf{v}
\end{array}$$

- The gradient computation is forward looking
- This does not fit well in a generic implementation of forward and backpropagation algorithms
- A solution: "simplified" Nesterov momentum (Bengio et al.: Advances in optimizing recurrent networks. 2012)



Mnacho Echenim (Grenoble INP-Ensimag)

Introduction to Deep Learning

2022-2023 < 25 / 27 >

### Simplified Nesterov momentum

ullet Perform updates on the lookahead variable  $\Theta \stackrel{\mbox{\tiny def}}{=} \theta + \delta \cdot v$ 

• Algorithm:

Input: Learning rate  $\eta$ , momentum parameter  $\delta$ **Input:** Initial parameters  $\theta$ , initial velocity v = 0 $1 \Theta \leftarrow \theta$ ;  $_{2}$  for  $i \leftarrow 1$  to number of training steps do  $g \leftarrow \text{computation of } \nabla_{\theta} \mathcal{C}(\Theta) \text{ //full gradient, mini-batch...};$  $\Theta \leftarrow \Theta + \delta^2 \cdot v - \eta \cdot (1 + \delta) \cdot g$ ;  $v \leftarrow \delta \cdot v - \eta \cdot g$ ; 6 end

• If the optimum is reached at step n ( $v_n = 0$ ) then  $\Theta_n = \theta_n$ 



Notes		
Notes		
Notes		

# Which optimization to choose?

- No clear answer
- Momentum and Adam are quite popular
- Recommendation: choose an optimizer and practice tuning its hyperparameters
- Some reading material
  - ► Momentum: https://distill.pub/2017/momentum/
  - Adam: https://arxiv.org/abs/1412.6980



Mnacho Echenim (Grenoble INP-Ensimag)

Introduction to Deep Learning

2022-2023 < 27 / 27 >

lotes			
Jotes			