

# 1 Pattern break detection

The chainladder method ranks among the most frequently applied loss reserving techniques in insurance. Originally conceived as a purely computational algorithm, there have since been various attempts to cast it in a proper stochastic framework. No matter which of these models chooses to employ, there is one particular assumption the reserving actuary cannot escape: that the development pattern observed in earlier cohorts is somehow applicable to later ones. This seems very reasonable, of course: if our models are to use the past as a guide to the future, they will be bound to postulate the existence of *some* element of constancy in the underlying data generating process.

Consider, for example, a cumulative claims process  $(C_{ij})_{0 \leq i \leq I, 0 \leq j \leq J}$  (index  $i$  denoting the cohort and  $j$  the development period) satisfying the model assumptions of Mack's method:

$$\mathbb{E}[C_{ij} \mid C_{i,j-1}, \dots, C_{i1}] = \mathbb{E}[C_{ij} \mid C_{i,j-1}] = f_{j-1}C_{i,j-1} \quad (1)$$

$$\text{Var}[C_{ij} \mid C_{i,j-1}, \dots, C_{i1}] = \text{Var}[C_{ij} \mid C_{i,j-1}] = \sigma_{j-1}^2 C_{i,j-1} \quad (2)$$

, for  $i \in \{0, \dots, I\}$  and

$$\{C_{i,0}, \dots, C_{i,I-i}\}, \{C_{i',0}, \dots, C_{i',I-i'}\} \text{ independent for } i \neq i'. \quad (3)$$

Using the rules of conditional probability, we can rewrite the first equation as

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{C_{ij}}{C_{i,j-1}} \mid C_{i,j-1}\right] = \mathbb{E}[F_{i,j-1} \mid C_{i,j-1}] = f_{j-1} \quad (4)$$

from which it becomes clear that this is in fact a first-order stationarity assumption over the cohorts on the time series  $(F_{i,j-1})_{0 \leq i \leq I}$ . If we further assume that the claims process is conditionally Gaussian,

$$\begin{aligned} C_{ij} \mid C_{i,j-1} &= (f_{j-1}C_{i,j-1} + \sigma_{j-1}\sqrt{C_{i,j-1}}\epsilon_{i,j-1}) \mid C_{i,j-1} \\ &\sim \mathcal{N}(f_{j-1}C_{i,j-1}, \sigma_{j-1}^2 C_{i,j-1}), \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

with  $\epsilon_{ij} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$  i.i.d., then  $F_{i,j-1} \mid C_{i,j-1} \sim \mathcal{N}(f_{j-1}, \sigma_{j-1}^2/C_{i,j-1})$  and we can consider the residuals

$$\frac{(F_{i,j-1} - f_{j-1})\sqrt{C_{i,j-1}}}{\sigma_{j-1}} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1),$$

which will be independent for  $i$  ranging over  $\{0, \dots, I\}$ .