Don't say CAT:
New Item Response Theory approaches for developing short test forms

Ottavia M. Epifania[1,2], Pasquale Anselmi[1], Egidio Robusto[1]

ottavia.epifania@unipd.it

[1]Univerisity of Padova

[2]Catholic University of the Sacred Heart

September 30th 2022, Padova

XXX Annual Conference of the Italian Psychology Association (AIP)

1 **Introduction**

2 Item Response Theory and information functions

3 IRT procedures for shortening tests
Benchmark procedure
Procedures based on θ targets

4 Simulation study

5 Some final remarks

CAT

CAT

Computerized **A**daptive **T**esting

Item Response Theory and short test forms

ADAPTIVE SHORT FORMS: *Ad-hoc* tests for each person $\rightarrow$ The information is maximized for each level of θ (i.e., for each respondent) $\rightarrow$ (**CAT**: Computerized Adaptive Testing)

STATIC SHORT FORMS: Static tests equal for all respondents $\rightarrow$ The information is maximized across θ levels (i.e., across all respondents)

Item Response Theory and short test forms

ADAPTIVE SHORT FORMS: *Ad-hoc* tests for each person $\rightarrow$ The information is maximized for each level of θ (i.e., for each respondent) $\rightarrow$ (**CAT**: Computerized Adaptive Testing)

Issue
Different short test forms for each respondent $\rightarrow$ Potential fairness issues in assessments, e.g. for recruitment

STATIC SHORT FORMS: Static tests equal for all respondents $\rightarrow$ The information is maximized across θ levels (i.e., across all respondents)

Item Response Theory and short test forms

ADAPTIVE SHORT FORMS: *Ad-hoc* tests for each person $\rightarrow$ The information is maximized for each level of θ (i.e., for each respondent) $\rightarrow$ (**CAT**: Computerized Adaptive Testing)

Issue

Different short test forms for each respondent $\rightarrow$ Potential fairness issues in assessments, e.g. for recruitment

STATIC SHORT FORMS: Static tests equal for all respondents $\rightarrow$ The information is maximized across θ levels (i.e., across all respondents)

Issue

Not being tailored to any θ level of interest $\rightarrow$ Potentially more items are needed to cover a wide range of θs

Aim

New IRT-based procedures for shortening tests

Aim

New IRT-based procedures for shortening tests

Equal for all respondents

Aim

New IRT-based procedures for shortening tests

Equal for all respondents

Tailored to specific levels of the latent trait

Item Response Theory
2-PL Model

$$P(x_{pj} = 1 | \theta_p, b_j, a_j) = \frac{exp[a_j(\theta_p - b_j)]}{1 + exp[a_j(\theta_p - b_j)]}$$

where:

$P(x_{pj} = 1)$: Probability of a correct response to item j by respondent p

θ_p: Ability of respondent p

b_j: Difficulty of item j

a_j: Discrimination of item j

Information functions

Item Information Function

$IIF_j = a_j^2[P(\theta)(1 - P(\theta))]$

Information functions

Item Information Function

$$IIF_j = a_j^2[P(\theta)(1 - P(\theta))]$$

Figure 1: $a = 0.20$, $a = 0.70$, $a = 1.90$,
$b = 0$

Information functions

Item Information Function

$$IIF_j = a_j^2[P(\theta)(1 - P(\theta))]$$

Test Information Function

$$TIF = \sum_{j=1}^{J} IIF_j$$

Figure 1: $a = 0.20$, $a = 0.70$, $a = 1.90$, $b = 0$

Information functions

Item Information Function

$$IIF_j = a_j^2[P(\theta)(1 - P(\theta))]$$


Figure 1: $a = 0.20$, $a = 0.70$, $a = 1.90$, $b = 0$

Test Information Function

$$TIF = \sum_{j=1}^{J} IIF_j$$

Figure 2: TIF = IIF_1 + IIF_2 + IIF_3

Don't say CAT
└─ Short form procedures
  └─ Benchmark procedure

Don't say CAT
└─ Short form procedures
   └─ Benchmark procedure

Benchmark procedure

Selected items → items with the highest *IIF*s

e.g.: 3-item short form from 10-item full-length test

item	b	a	IIF
1	−0.67	0.71	0.08
2	0.50	1.19	0.15
3	−2.43	0.25	0.01
4	2.12	1.98	0.24
5	1.72	0.39	0.03
6	−2.28	1.62	0.19
7	0.64	0.50	0.05
8	−2.51	1.68	0.19
9	−0.66	0.44	0.04
10	0.72	0.33	0.02

Don't say CAT
└─ Short form procedures
  └─ Benchmark procedure

Benchmark procedure

Selected items $\rightarrow$ items with the highest *IIF*s

e.g.: 3-item short form from 10-item full-length test

item	b	a	IIF
4	2.12	1.98	0.24
8	−2.51	1.68	0.19
6	−2.28	1.62	0.19
2	0.50	1.19	0.15
1	−0.67	0.71	0.08
7	0.64	0.50	0.05
9	−0.66	0.44	0.04
5	1.72	0.39	0.03
10	0.72	0.33	0.02
3	−2.43	0.25	0.01

Don't say CAT
└─ Short form procedures
  └─ Procedures based on θ targets

θ-target procedures

Selected items $\rightarrow$ items with highest *IIF*s in respect to θ targets (θ')
  e.g.: 3-item short form from 10-item full-length test

item	θ'_1 -2.67	θ'_2 0.01	θ'_3 2.67
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			

Don't say CAT
└─ Short form procedures
  └─ Procedures based on θ targets

θ-target procedures

Selected items $\rightarrow$ items with highest *IIF*s in respect to θ targets (θ')
e.g.: 3-item short form from 10-item full-length test

item	θ'_1 -2.67	θ'_2 0.01	θ'_3 2.67
1	0.04	0.12	0.08
2	0.09	0.33	0.03
3	0.01	0.01	0.02
4	0.73	0.06	0.01
5	0.04	0.03	0.02
6	0.01	0.06	0.59
7	0.05	0.06	0.03
8	0.01	0.04	0.69
9	0.03	0.05	0.04
10	0.02	0.03	0.02

Don't say CAT
└─ Short form procedures
  └─ Procedures based on θ targets

θ-**target procedures**

Selected items $\rightarrow$ items with highest *IIF*s in respect to θ targets (θ')

e.g.: 3-item short form from 10-item full-length test

item	θ'_1 -2.67	θ'_2 0.01	θ'_3 2.67
1	0.04	0.12	0.08
2	0.09	0.33	0.03
3	0.01	0.01	0.02
4	0.73	0.06	0.01
5	0.04	0.03	0.02
6	0.01	0.06	0.59
7	0.05	0.06	0.03
8	0.01	0.04	0.69
9	0.03	0.05	0.04
10	0.02	0.03	0.02

Don't say CAT
└─Short form procedures
  └─Procedures based on θ targets

θ-**target procedures**

Selected items $\rightarrow$ items with highest *IIF*s in respect to θ targets (θ')

e.g.: 3-item short form from 10-item full-length test

item	θ'_1 -2.67	θ'_2 0.01	θ'_3 2.67
1	0.04	0.12	0.08
2	0.09	0.33	0.03
3	0.01	0.01	0.02
4	0.73	0.06	0.01
5	0.04	0.03	0.02
6	0.01	0.06	0.59
7	0.05	0.06	0.03
8	0.01	0.04	0.69
9	0.03	0.05	0.04
10	0.02	0.03	0.02

Don't say CAT
└─ Short form procedures
  └─ Procedures based on θ targets

θ-target procedures

Selected items $\rightarrow$ items with highest *IIF*s in respect to θ targets (θ')

e.g.: 3-item short form from 10-item full-length test

item	θ'_1 -2.67	θ'_2 0.01	θ'_3 2.67
1	0.04	0.12	0.08
2	0.09	0.33	0.03
3	0.01	0.01	0.02
4	0.73	0.06	0.01
5	0.04	0.03	0.02
6	0.01	0.06	0.59
7	0.05	0.06	0.03
8	0.01	0.04	0.69
9	0.03	0.05	0.04
10	0.02	0.03	0.02

Don't say CAT
└─ Short form procedures
  └─ Procedures based on θ targets

θ-target procedures

Selected items $\rightarrow$ items with highest *IIF*s in respect to θ targets (θ')

e.g.: 3-item short form from 10-item full-length test

item	θ'_1 -2.67	θ'_2 0.01	θ'_3 2.67
1	0.04	**0.12**	0.08
2	0.09	**0.33**	0.03
3	0.01	**0.01**	0.02
4	**0.73**	0.06	0.01
5	0.04	**0.03**	0.02
6	0.01	**0.06**	0.59
7	0.05	**0.06**	0.03
8	0.01	0.04	**0.69**
9	0.03	**0.05**	0.04
10	0.02	**0.03**	0.02

Don't say CAT
└─ Short form procedures
  └─ Procedures based on θ targets

θ-**target procedures**

Selected items $\rightarrow$ items with highest *IIF*s in respect to θ targets (θ')

e.g.: 3-item short form from 10-item full-length test

item	θ'_1 -2.67	θ'_2 0.01	θ'_3 2.67
1	0.04	**0.12**	0.08
2	0.09	**0.33**	0.03
3	0.01	**0.01**	0.02
4	**0.73**	0.06	0.01
5	0.04	**0.03**	0.02
6	0.01	**0.06**	0.59
7	0.05	**0.06**	0.03
8	0.01	0.04	**0.69**
9	0.03	**0.05**	0.04
10	0.02	**0.03**	0.02

Don't say CAT
└─ Short form procedures
  └─ Procedures based on θ targets

θ-**target procedures**

Selected items $\rightarrow$ items with highest *IIF*s in respect to θ targets (θ')
e.g.: 3-item short form from 10-item full-length test

item	θ'_1 -2.67	θ'_2 0.01	θ'_3 2.67
1	0.04	0.12	0.08
2	0.09	0.33	0.03
3	0.01	0.01	0.02
4	0.73	0.06	0.01
5	0.04	0.03	0.02
6	0.01	0.06	0.59
7	0.05	0.06	0.03
8	0.01	0.04	0.69
9	0.03	0.05	0.04
10	0.02	0.03	0.02

Segmenting the latent trait

Don't say CAT
└─ Short form procedures
    └─ Procedures based on θ targets

Segmenting the latent trait

Equal Intervals Procedure
Equal segmentation

Don't say CAT
└─ Short form procedures
  └─ Procedures based on θ targets

Segmenting the latent trait

Equal Intervals Procedure
Equal segmentation

Unequal Intervals Procedure
Clustering

Comparison between the item selection procedures:

- **Benchmark procedure (BP)**: The N items with the highest *IIF*s are selected from the full-length test

- **Equal Intervals Procedure (EIP)**: The N items that maximize the information for each θ' obtained by dividing the latent trait into equal intervals are selected

- **Unequal Intervals Procedure (UIP)**: The N items that maximize the information for each θ' obtained by clustering the latent trait are selected

- **Random Procedure (RP)**: N items are randomly selected from the full-length tests

10, 30, 50, 70, 90-item short test forms from a 100-item full-length test

1000 respondents p

1. Normal distribution
   $p \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$
2. Positive skewed distribution
   $p \sim Beta(1, 100)$ (linearly transformed to obtain negative values)
3. Uniform distribution
   $p \sim \mathcal{U}(-3, 3)$

100 items j:

- $b \sim \mathcal{U}(-3, 3)$
- $a \sim \mathcal{U}(0.40, 2)$

An overall look

Figure 3: Overall Information of the short test forms

A closer look

Figure 4: TIF of the 10-item short test form

An even closer look

Figure 5: *bias* $= \theta - \hat{\theta}$ of the 10-item short test form

Good!
There's no "one-fits-all" solution

The θ distribution is a key element

Good!

There's no "one-fits-all" solution

The θ distribution is a key element

..but work is still needed

Real life applications are missing

The CAT is missing

Thank you!

`ottavia.epifania@unipd.it`