It's how you use the items that counts: An intelligent procedure for item selection in Item Response Theory

Ottavia M. Epifiania¹, Pasquale Anselmi³, Egidio Robusto³

 1 Psychology and Cognitive Sciences Department, University of Trento, Italy 2 Psicostat, University of Padova, Italy 3 Department of Philosophy, Sociology, Education, and Applied Pscyhology, University of Padova. Italy

Convegno ASA 2024

Contributed session: Developing, administering and refining measurement instruments in Social Sciences

19 Settembre 2024

1 Aim

- 2 Item Response Theory and Information Functions
 - 2-Parameter Logistic Model
 - Item and Test Information Functions
- 3 Item Selection Procedures
 - Item Locating Algorithm ILA
 - Brute Force Procedure
- 4 Simulation Study
 - Simulation design
 - Comparison
 - Results

 $_{\perp_{\mathrm{Aim}}}^{\mathrm{ILA}}$


New Item Response Theory-based algorithm for the development of informative short test forms

LItem Response Theory and Information Functions

- 1 Aim
- 2 Item Response Theory and Information Functions
 - 2-Parameter Logistic Model
 - Item and Test Information Functions
- 3 Item Selection Procedures
 - Item Locating Algorithm ILA
 - Brute Force Procedure
- 4 Simulation Study
 - Simulation design
 - Comparison
 - Results


- 1 Aim
- 2 Item Response Theory and Information Functions
 - 2-Parameter Logistic Model
 - Item and Test Information Functions
- 3 Item Selection Procedures
 - Item Locating Algorithm ILA
 - Brute Force Procedure
- 4 Simulation Study
 - Simulation design
 - Comparison
 - Results

$$P(x_{pi} = 1 | \theta_p, b_i, a_i) = \frac{\exp[a_i(\theta_p - b_i)]}{1 + \exp[a_i(\theta_p - b_i)]}$$




- 1 Aim
- 2 Item Response Theory and Information Functions
 - 2-Parameter Logistic Model
 - Item and Test Information Functions
- 3 Item Selection Procedures
 - Item Locating Algorithm ILA
 - Brute Force Procedure
- 4 Simulation Study
 - Simulation design
 - Comparison
 - Results

Item Information Function (IIF): $I_i(\theta) = a_i^2 P_i(\theta, b_i, a_i) [1 - P_i(\theta, b_i, a_i)]$



Test Information Function (TIF): $I(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{I} I_i(\theta)$



- 1 Aim
- 2 Item Response Theory and Information Functions
 - 2-Parameter Logistic Model
 - Item and Test Information Functions
- 3 Item Selection Procedures
 - Item Locating Algorithm ILA
 - Brute Force Procedure
- 4 Simulation Study
 - Simulation design
 - Comparison
 - Results

- 1 Aim
- 2 Item Response Theory and Information Functions
 - 2-Parameter Logistic Model
 - Item and Test Information Functions
- 3 Item Selection Procedures
 - Item Locating Algorithm ILA
 - Brute Force Procedure
- 4 Simulation Study
 - Simulation design
 - Comparison
 - Results

Q: vector of the item indexes selected for inclusion in the STF up to that iteration

 TIF^* : TIF target $TIF_{TE} = \frac{\sum_{i \in Q} IIF_i}{||Q||}$, where ||Q|| denotes the cardinality of Q Termination criterion:

The algorithm iterates the following steps until the termination criterion is reached:

$$\theta_{target} = \arg\max \Delta_{TIF}$$

$$\mathbf{3} \operatorname{argmin}_{i \in \{1,\dots,N\} \setminus Q} |\theta_{target} - b_i|$$

$$TIF_{TE} = \frac{\sum_{i \in Q} IIF_i}{||Q||}$$

5 Repeat from Step 1 until the termination criterion is reached

- 1 Aim
- 2 Item Response Theory and Information Functions
 - 2-Parameter Logistic Model
 - Item and Test Information Functions
- 3 Item Selection Procedures
 - Item Locating Algorithm ILA
 - Brute Force Procedure
- 4 Simulation Study
 - Simulation design
 - Comparison
 - Results

N: Number of items in the item bank

L=N-1: Maximum length of the STFs that can developed from the item bank

 $\binom{N}{l}$: number of STFs resulting from the combination of the $l=\{1,\ldots L\}$ items

The algorithm iterates the following steps until the termination criterion is reached:

- \bullet \overline{TIF} for each STF of length l
- $\overline{\Delta}_{TIF}$

The best STF is the one with the lowest value of $\overline{\Delta}_{TIF}$, that is the one that presents the lowest absolute distance from the TIF target.

- 1 Aim
- 2 Item Response Theory and Information Functions
 - 2-Parameter Logistic Model
 - Item and Test Information Functions
- 3 Item Selection Procedures
 - Item Locating Algorithm ILA
 - Brute Force Procedure
- 4 Simulation Study
 - Simulation design
 - Comparison
 - Results

- 1 Aim
- 2 Item Response Theory and Information Functions
 - 2-Parameter Logistic Model
 - Item and Test Information Functions
 - 3 Item Selection Procedures
 - Item Locating Algorithm ILA
 - Brute Force Procedure
- 4 Simulation Study
 - Simulation design
 - Comparison
 - Results

100 iterations:

- ① Generate an item bank of N=6 items:
 - Difficulty parameters: $\mathcal{U}(-3,3)$
 - Discrimination parameters: $\mathcal{U}(.90, 2.0)$
- ② Generate TIF^* by randomly selecting items from the item bank (Mean number of items = 3.34 ± 1.13). The parameters of the selected items are modified according to values drawn from uniform distributions $\mathcal{U}(-0.20, 0.20)$.
- 3 Considering the TIF^* at Step 2 and the item parameters at Step 1:
 - \circ ILA \to Forwardly searches for the best item selection to recover the TIF^*
 - BFP \rightarrow tries every possible item combination to find the STF best able to recover TIF^* N=6 items, L=5 and $\binom{6}{1}+\binom{6}{2}+\binom{6}{3}+\binom{6}{3}+\binom{6}{4}+\binom{6}{5}=62$ STFs are developed and compared.

- 1 Aim
- 2 Item Response Theory and Information Functions
 - 2-Parameter Logistic Model
 - Item and Test Information Functions
- 3 Item Selection Procedures
 - Item Locating Algorithm ILA
 - Brute Force Procedure
- 4 Simulation Study
 - Simulation design
 - Comparison
 - Results

- $||Q_{BFP}|| ||Q_{ILA}||$
- Percentile rank of the distance between the STF selected by BFP and that selected by ILA

- 1 Aim
- (2) Item Response Theory and Information Functions
 - 2-Parameter Logistic Model
 - Item and Test Information Functions
- 3 Item Selection Procedures
 - Item Locating Algorithm ILA
 - Brute Force Procedure
- 4 Simulation Study
 - Simulation design
 - Comparison
 - Results

 $57\% ||Q_{BFP}|| - ||Q_{ILA}|| = 0 \rightarrow 72\%$ same item selection




Figura: 43% $||Q_{\mathrm{BFP}}|| - ||Q_{\mathrm{ILA}}|| \neq 0$






Figura: Equal-Close

Figura: More-Close

Figura: Less-Close

Figura: Less-Far