

Figure 1: Comparison of the Earliness Accuracy tradeoff between single objective and multi objective optimization.

method	MO	SO
size	6	5
delta	0.8234	0.8573
M3	0.6382	0.8195
hmean	0.1921	0.2155
hypervolume	0.8157	0.7894

Table 1: Comparison of Pareto front metrics for run "ECG200-3600".



Figure 2: Comparison of the Earliness Accuracy tradeoff between single objective and multi objective optimization.

method	MO	SO
size	3	4
delta	0.9134	0.9269
M3	0.3046	0.6308
hmean	0.1899	0.1896
hypervolume	0.7136	0.7026

Table 2: Comparison of Pareto front metrics for run "TwoPatterns-3602".



Figure 3: Comparison of the Earliness Accuracy tradeoff between single objective and multi objective optimization.

method	MO	SO
size	6	6
delta	0.9222	0.8972
M3	0.6889	0.6807
hmean	0.2158	0.1663
hypervolume	0.8040	0.7519

Table 3: Comparison of Pareto front metrics for run “Wafer-3601”.