Theorem 7. Let a and b two nodes, and assume that we have a mapping nodesToPNPS which maps a node to the highest nested PNPS it is part of. Therefore, we have: nodesToPNPS[a] = None or $b \in nodesToPNPS[a] \Leftrightarrow if$ Node a is intermediate node of a PNPS p then b is inside p $Proof. \Rightarrow$ if nodesToPNPS[a] = None therefore a is not part of any PNPS therefore the second part of the equivalence is True.

if $b \in nodesToPNPS[a]$ therefore b is part of the most nested PNPS that contains a. This implies that b is part of any PNPS that contains a because all

 \Leftarrow) if Node a is intermediate node of a PNPS p then b is inside p. It means that b is part of any PNPS a is intermediate node of. Therefore b is part of

Proof. What we want to prove is that if before the update of nodesToPNPS,

Theorem 8. The update of nodesToPNPS in algorithm 1 ensured that nodesToPNPS

other PNPSs containing a contain also nodesToPNPS[a].

nodesToPNPS[a] since it is a PNPS a is part of,

adding the new branch.

maps a node to the highest nested PNPS it is part of

nodesToPNPS mapped a node to the highest nested PNPS it is part of, then after the update it will still be the case.

When adding a new branch from A to B either the subnetwork from A to B was already a PNPS therefore we should set the value for new nodes only(and that's what the update will do) or the subnetwork from A to B is a new PNPS. if the subnetwork from A to B is a new PNPS, we can distinguish two cases:

1. there is no PNPS between A and B: We are adding a new PNPS and the intermediate nodes of this new PNPS are intermediate nodes in the branch

we want to add and intermediate nodes in the path from A to B before

2. there are PNPS between A and B: same as previously. The only difference is that some intermediate nodes in the path from A to B before adding the new branch will be part of a more nested PNPS. However, for these nodes, nodesToPNPS will not be updated because they are already in the more nested PNPS. What is important is that nodes which is not part of a more nested PNPS are updated. This will be the case because all paths from A to B (before adding the new branch) contains those nodes since they are not

intermediate nodes of any PNPS between A and B.