

Chapt8Fig14b.m

Angular Distribution of Scattering Rate

Thomas-Fermi Screening at $n = 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3}$

Semiconductor Physics Documentation

Abstract

This document analyzes `Chapt8Fig14b.m`, which plots the differential scattering rate as a function of scattering angle using Thomas-Fermi screening. Unlike `Chapt8Fig14a` (which integrates over angles), this code shows the angular distribution, revealing the forward-scattering peak characteristic of screened Coulomb scattering.

Contents

1	Theoretical Foundation	2
1.1	Differential Scattering Rate	2
1.2	Forward Scattering Peak	2
1.3	Transformation to η Variable	2
2	Line-by-Line Code Analysis	2
2.1	Initialization and Constants	2
2.2	Fermi Wavevector	3
2.3	Energy Loop	3
2.4	Thomas-Fermi Wavevector	3
2.5	Angular Grid	3
2.6	Momentum Transfer and Variables	3
2.7	Thomas-Fermi Dielectric Function	3
2.8	Differential Scattering Rate	4
2.9	Plotting	4
3	Physical Interpretation	4
3.1	Forward Scattering Peak	4
3.2	Effect of Screening	4
3.3	Energy Dependence	4
4	Comparison with Other Codes	5
5	Summary	5

1 Theoretical Foundation

1.1 Differential Scattering Rate

Definition 1 (Angular Differential Rate). *The differential scattering rate per unit angle is:*

$$\frac{d(1/\tau)}{d\theta} = \frac{2\pi m^*}{\hbar^3 k^3} n_i \left(\frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0\epsilon_r} \right)^2 \frac{\sin\theta}{(q^2 + q_{TF}^2)^2} \quad (1)$$

where $q = 2k \sin(\theta/2)$.

1.2 Forward Scattering Peak

Theorem 1 (Small-Angle Dominance). *At small angles ($\theta \rightarrow 0$):*

$$q = 2k \sin(\theta/2) \approx k\theta \rightarrow 0 \quad (2)$$

Without screening, the rate diverges as $1/q^4 \propto 1/\theta^4$.

With Thomas-Fermi screening:

$$\frac{d(1/\tau)}{d\theta} \propto \frac{\sin\theta}{(k^2\theta^2 + q_{TF}^2)^2} \quad (3)$$

The screening regularizes the divergence, producing a finite peak at small θ .

1.3 Transformation to η Variable

Using $\eta = \sin(\theta/2)$:

$$\frac{d(1/\tau)}{d\theta} = \frac{d(1/\tau)}{d\eta} \cdot \frac{d\eta}{d\theta} = \frac{d(1/\tau)}{d\eta} \cdot \frac{\cos(\theta/2)}{2} \quad (4)$$

2 Line-by-Line Code Analysis

2.1 Initialization and Constants

```
1 clear;clf;
2 n=1e17;
3 n=n*1e6;
```

$$n = 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3} = 10^{23} \text{ m}^{-3} \quad (5)$$

Carrier/impurity density.

```
1 m0=9.109382e-31;
2 echarge=1.6021764e-19;
3 hbar=1.05457159e-34;
4 epsilon0=8.8541878e-12;
5 hbar=1.054592e-34;
6 hbar3=hbar^3;
```

Physical constants (note: \hbar is defined twice, second value used).

```
1 m=0.07*m0;
2 epsilon_r=13.2;
3 epsilon=epsilon0*epsilon_r;
```

$$m^* = 0.07m_0, \quad \epsilon = \epsilon_0\epsilon_r \quad (6)$$

GaAs material parameters.

2.2 Fermi Wavevector

```
1 kF=(3*(pi^2)*n)^(1/3)
```

$$k_F = (3\pi^2 \times 10^{23})^{1/3} \approx 1.43 \times 10^8 \text{ m}^{-1} \quad (7)$$

Fermi wavevector.

2.3 Energy Loop

```
1 E=-0.1;
2 for j=1:1:2
3 E=E+0.2
4 k=sqrt(2*m*E*echarge)/hbar;
5 k3=k^3;
```

$$E \in \{0.1, 0.3\} \text{ eV}, \quad k = \frac{\sqrt{2m^*E}}{\hbar} \quad (8)$$

Two electron energies for comparison.

2.4 Thomas-Fermi Wavevector

```
1 qTF=sqrt(kF*m*echarge^2/(epsilon*(pi^2)*(hbar^2)));
```

$$q_{\text{TF}} = \sqrt{\frac{k_F m^* e^2}{\epsilon \pi^2 \hbar^2}} \quad (9)$$

Thomas-Fermi screening wavevector.

2.5 Angular Grid

```
1 theta=[pi/180:pi/180:pi];
```

$$\theta \in [1, 180] \text{ with } 1^\circ \text{ steps} \quad (10)$$

Coarser grid than Exercise 7 (1° vs 0.1°).

2.6 Momentum Transfer and Variables

```
1 q=2*k*sin(theta/2);
2 eta=sin(theta/2);
3 deta=pi*cos(theta/2)./2/180;
4 eta3=eta.^3;
```

$$q = 2k \sin(\theta/2), \quad \eta = \sin(\theta/2), \quad d\eta = \frac{\pi \cos(\theta/2)}{360} \quad (11)$$

Momentum transfer and angular variables.

2.7 Thomas-Fermi Dielectric Function

```
1 TFEpsilon=epsilon*(1+qTF^2./q.^2);
```

$$\epsilon_{\text{TF}}(q) = \epsilon \left(1 + \frac{q_{\text{TF}}^2}{q^2} \right) \quad (12)$$

Full dielectric function with screening.

2.8 Differential Scattering Rate

```
1 TFrate =2*pi*m/hbar3/k3*n*(echarge^2/4/pi)^2.*deta./TFepsilon.^2./
   eta3;
```

$$\frac{d(1/\tau)}{d\theta} = \frac{2\pi m^*}{\hbar^3 k^3} n \left(\frac{e^2}{4\pi} \right)^2 \frac{d\eta}{\varepsilon_{\text{TF}}^2 \eta^3} \quad (13)$$

Differential scattering rate formula.

2.9 Plotting

```
1 plot(theta*180/pi, TFrate, 'b');
2 axis([0 140 0 0.1e12]);
```

$$\text{Plot range: } \theta \in [0, 140], \quad \frac{1}{\tau} \in [0, 10^{11}] \text{ s}^{-1}/\text{degree} \quad (14)$$

Blue curve shows angular distribution of scattering.

3 Physical Interpretation

3.1 Forward Scattering Peak

The plot shows:

- Peak at small angles (forward scattering dominates)
- Rapid decay with increasing angle
- Higher energy electrons have broader angular distribution

3.2 Effect of Screening

Without screening ($q_{\text{TF}} = 0$):

$$\frac{d(1/\tau)}{d\theta} \propto \frac{1}{\sin^4(\theta/2)} \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } \theta \rightarrow 0 \quad (15)$$

With Thomas-Fermi screening:

$$\frac{d(1/\tau)}{d\theta} \propto \frac{1}{(\sin^2(\theta/2) + q_{\text{TF}}^2/4k^2)^2} \quad (16)$$

The screening cuts off the divergence at $\theta \sim q_{\text{TF}}/k$.

3.3 Energy Dependence

For $E = 0.1 \text{ eV}$ vs $E = 0.3 \text{ eV}$:

- Higher energy \Rightarrow larger k
- Larger $k \Rightarrow$ smaller peak angle (screening less effective)
- Overall rate lower at higher energy ($\propto 1/k^3$)

Code	Density	Integration	Output
Chapt8Fig14a	$10^{17}, 10^{18}$	Over θ	$1/\tau$ vs E
Chapt8Fig14b	10^{17}	None	$d(1/\tau)/d\theta$ vs θ
Chapt8Exercise7a/b	$10^{18}, 10^{14}$	None	$d(1/\tau)/d\theta$ vs θ

Table 1: Comparison of Chapter 8 scattering codes

4 Comparison with Other Codes

5 Summary

This code plots the angular distribution of the ionized impurity scattering rate, showing the characteristic forward-scattering peak that is regularized by Thomas-Fermi screening. The peak width is determined by the ratio q_{TF}/k , and the amplitude scales inversely with k^3 . This complements Chapt8Fig14a, which integrates over angles to give the total scattering rate.