

# Chapt8Exercise7a.m

## Thomas-Fermi vs RPA Screening Ionized Impurity Scattering in Semiconductors

Semiconductor Physics Documentation

### Abstract

This document provides a complete theoretical framework and code analysis for Chapt8Exercise7a.m, which compares ionized impurity scattering rates calculated using Thomas-Fermi (TF) screening with the more accurate Random Phase Approximation (RPA). The carrier density is  $n = 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ , representing a heavily doped semiconductor.

## Contents

<b>1 Theoretical Foundation</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1 Fundamental Axioms of Scattering Theory . . . . .	2
1.2 Coulomb Scattering by Ionized Impurities . . . . .	2
1.3 Screening in an Electron Gas . . . . .	2
1.4 Thomas-Fermi Screening . . . . .	2
1.5 Random Phase Approximation (RPA) . . . . .	3
1.6 Scattering Rate Formula . . . . .	3
<b>2 Line-by-Line Code Analysis</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1 Physical Constants . . . . .	3
2.2 Fermi Wavevector Calculation . . . . .	4
2.3 Energy Loop . . . . .	4
2.4 RPA Model Constants . . . . .	5
2.5 Thomas-Fermi Screening Wavevector . . . . .	5
2.6 Angular Grid . . . . .	5
2.7 RPA Dielectric Function . . . . .	5
2.8 Thomas-Fermi Dielectric Function . . . . .	6
2.9 Scattering Rates . . . . .	6
2.10 Plotting . . . . .	6
<b>3 Numerical Method Details</b>	<b>6</b>
3.1 Discretization Scheme . . . . .	6
3.2 Lindhard Function Evaluation . . . . .	6
<b>4 Physical Interpretation</b>	<b>7</b>
4.1 TF vs RPA Comparison . . . . .	7
4.2 Angular Dependence . . . . .	7
<b>5 Summary</b>	<b>7</b>

# 1 Theoretical Foundation

## 1.1 Fundamental Axioms of Scattering Theory

**Axiom 1** (Fermi's Golden Rule). *The transition rate from initial state  $|i\rangle$  to final state  $|f\rangle$  due to a perturbation  $V$  is:*

$$W_{i \rightarrow f} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |\langle f | V | i \rangle|^2 \delta(E_f - E_i) \quad (1)$$

**Axiom 2** (Born Approximation). *For weak scattering potentials, the scattering amplitude is proportional to the Fourier transform of the potential:*

$$f(\theta) \propto \int V(\mathbf{r}) e^{i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}} d^3 r \quad (2)$$

where  $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{k}$  is the momentum transfer.

**Axiom 3** (Linear Response Theory). *The response of an electron gas to an external perturbation is characterized by the dielectric function  $\varepsilon(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ .*

## 1.2 Coulomb Scattering by Ionized Impurities

**Definition 1** (Bare Coulomb Potential). *An ionized impurity of charge  $Ze$  creates a potential:*

$$V_{bare}(r) = \frac{Ze^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0\varepsilon_r r} \quad (3)$$

**Theorem 1** (Fourier Transform of Coulomb Potential).

$$V_{bare}(q) = \frac{Ze^2}{\varepsilon_0\varepsilon_r q^2} \quad (4)$$

## 1.3 Screening in an Electron Gas

**Definition 2** (Screened Potential). *In the presence of mobile carriers, the effective potential is:*

$$V_{scr}(q) = \frac{V_{bare}(q)}{\varepsilon(q)} \quad (5)$$

where  $\varepsilon(q)$  is the static dielectric function.

## 1.4 Thomas-Fermi Screening

**Theorem 2** (Thomas-Fermi Dielectric Function). *In the Thomas-Fermi approximation:*

$$\varepsilon_{TF}(q) = \varepsilon_r \left( 1 + \frac{q_{TF}^2}{q^2} \right) \quad (6)$$

where the Thomas-Fermi screening wavevector is:

$$q_{TF} = \sqrt{\frac{k_F m^* e^2}{\pi^2 \hbar^2 \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r}} \quad (7)$$

**Definition 3** (Fermi Wavevector). *For a 3D electron gas with density  $n$ :*

$$k_F = (3\pi^2 n)^{1/3} \quad (8)$$

## 1.5 Random Phase Approximation (RPA)

**Theorem 3** (Lindhard Dielectric Function). *The RPA (Lindhard) dielectric function is:*

$$\varepsilon_{RPA}(q) = \varepsilon_r + \frac{r_s}{\xi} \left[ \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1-x^2/4}{2x^2} \ln \left| \frac{x+2}{x-2} \right| \right] \quad (9)$$

where  $x = q/k_F$  is the dimensionless wavevector.

**Definition 4** (Wigner-Seitz Radius). *The dimensionless density parameter is:*

$$r_s = \left( \frac{3}{4\pi n} \right)^{1/3} \frac{m^* e^2}{4\pi \varepsilon_0 \hbar^2} \quad (10)$$

## 1.6 Scattering Rate Formula

**Theorem 4** (Differential Scattering Rate). *The angular-dependent scattering rate is:*

$$\frac{1}{\tau(\theta)} = \frac{2\pi m^*}{\hbar^3 k^3} n_i \left( \frac{e^2}{4\pi \varepsilon_0} \right)^2 \frac{1}{\varepsilon(q)^2} \cdot \frac{d\eta}{\eta^3} \quad (11)$$

where:

- $\eta = \sin(\theta/2)$
- $q = 2k \sin(\theta/2)$  is the momentum transfer
- $n_i$  is the impurity density

## 2 Line-by-Line Code Analysis

### 2.1 Physical Constants

```
1 clear;clf;
2 n=1e18;
```

$$n = 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3} \quad (12)$$

Carrier density (heavily doped regime).

```
1 n=n*1e6;
```

$$n = 10^{24} \text{ m}^{-3} \quad (13)$$

Convert to SI units.

```
1 m0=9.109382e-31;
```

$$m_0 = 9.109382 \times 10^{-31} \text{ kg} \quad (14)$$

Bare electron mass.

```
1 echarge=1.6021764e-19;
```

$$e = 1.6021764 \times 10^{-19} \text{ C} \quad (15)$$

Elementary charge.

```
1 epsilon0=8.85419e-12;
```

$$\varepsilon_0 = 8.85419 \times 10^{-12} \text{ F/m} \quad (16)$$

*Permittivity of free space.*

```
1 hbar=1.05457159e-34;
2 hbar3=hbar^3;
```

$$\hbar = 1.05457159 \times 10^{-34} \text{ J}\cdot\text{s}, \quad \hbar^3 = 1.174 \times 10^{-102} \text{ J}^3\text{s}^3 \quad (17)$$

*Reduced Planck constant and its cube.*

```
1 m=0.07*m0;
```

$$m^* = 0.07 m_0 = 6.377 \times 10^{-32} \text{ kg} \quad (18)$$

*Effective electron mass for GaAs.*

```
1 epsilonr0=13.2;
2 epsilon=epsilon0*epsilon0;
```

$$\varepsilon_r = 13.2, \quad \varepsilon = \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r = 1.169 \times 10^{-10} \text{ F/m} \quad (19)$$

*GaAs low-frequency dielectric constant.*

## 2.2 Fermi Wavevector Calculation

```
1 kF=(3*(pi^2)*n)^(1/3)
```

$$k_F = (3\pi^2 n)^{1/3} = (3\pi^2 \times 10^{24})^{1/3} \approx 3.09 \times 10^8 \text{ m}^{-1} \quad (20)$$

*Fermi wavevector for the degenerate electron gas.*

## 2.3 Energy Loop

```
1 E=-0.1*echarge;
2 for j=1:1:2
3 E=E+0.2*echarge;
```

$$E \in \{0.1, 0.3\} \text{ eV} \quad (21)$$

*Loop over two electron energies: 0.1 eV and 0.3 eV.*

```
1 k=sqrt(2*m*E)/hbar;
```

$$k = \frac{\sqrt{2m^*E}}{\hbar} \quad (22)$$

*Electron wavevector from the dispersion relation  $E = \hbar^2 k^2 / (2m^*)$ .*

```
1 k3=k^3;
```

$$k^3 = k^3 [\text{m}^{-3}] \quad (23)$$

*Cube of wavevector for rate formula.*

## 2.4 RPA Model Constants

```
1 rs0=((3/(4*pi*n))^(1/3))*(m*(echarge^2)/(4*pi*epsilon0*(hbar^2)));
```

$$r_s = \left( \frac{3}{4\pi n} \right)^{1/3} \cdot \frac{m^* e^2}{4\pi \epsilon_0 \hbar^2} \quad (24)$$

*Wigner-Seitz radius (dimensionless density parameter).*

```
1 xi=((32*(pi^2)/9)^(1/3))/(pi^2);
```

$$\xi = \frac{(32\pi^2/9)^{1/3}}{\pi^2} \quad (25)$$

*Numerical constant in Lindhard function.*

## 2.5 Thomas-Fermi Screening Wavevector

```
1 qTF=sqrt(kF*m*echarge^2/(epsilon*(pi^2)*(hbar^2)));
```

$$q_{TF} = \sqrt{\frac{k_F m^* e^2}{\varepsilon \pi^2 \hbar^2}} \quad (26)$$

*Thomas-Fermi screening wavevector.*

## 2.6 Angular Grid

```
1 theta=[pi/1800:pi/1800:pi];
```

$$\theta \in [0.1^\circ, 180^\circ] \text{ with step } 0.1^\circ \quad (27)$$

*Scattering angle array (in radians).*

```
1 q=2*k*sin(theta/2);
```

$$q = 2k \sin(\theta/2) \quad (28)$$

*Momentum transfer magnitude for elastic scattering.*

```
1 eta=sin(theta/2);
2 deta=pi*cos(theta/2)./2/180;
3 eta3=eta.^3;
```

$$\eta = \sin(\theta/2), \quad d\eta = \frac{\pi}{360} \cos(\theta/2), \quad \eta^3 \quad (29)$$

*Angular transformation variable and its differential.*

## 2.7 RPA Dielectric Function

```
1 x=q/kF;
2 absx=abs(x+2)./abs(x-2);
3 RPAepsilonR=epsilonR+((rs0./x.^3)*xi.* (x+((1-(x.^2)/4).*log(absx))
)));;
```

$$\varepsilon_{RPA}(x) = \varepsilon_r + \frac{r_s \xi}{x^3} \left[ x + \left( 1 - \frac{x^2}{4} \right) \ln \left| \frac{x+2}{x-2} \right| \right] \quad (30)$$

*Lindhard (RPA) dielectric function where  $x = q/k_F$ .*

## 2.8 Thomas-Fermi Dielectric Function

```
1 TFepsilon=epsilon*(1+qTF^2./q.^2);
```

$$\varepsilon_{\text{TF}}(q) = \varepsilon \left( 1 + \frac{q_{\text{TF}}^2}{q^2} \right) \quad (31)$$

*Thomas-Fermi dielectric function.*

## 2.9 Scattering Rates

```
1 RPArate=2*pi*m/hbar3/k3*n*(echarge^2/4/pi/epsilon0)^2.*deta./
RPAepsilonr.^2./eta3;
```

$$\frac{1}{\tau_{\text{RPA}}} = \frac{2\pi m^*}{\hbar^3 k^3} n \left( \frac{e^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \right)^2 \frac{d\eta}{\varepsilon_{\text{RPA}}^2 \eta^3} \quad (32)$$

*RPA scattering rate.*

```
1 TFrater=2*pi*m/hbar3/k3*n*(echarge^2/4/pi)^2.*deta./TFepsilon.^2./
eta3;
```

$$\frac{1}{\tau_{\text{TF}}} = \frac{2\pi m^*}{\hbar^3 k^3} n \left( \frac{e^2}{4\pi} \right)^2 \frac{d\eta}{\varepsilon_{\text{TF}}^2 \eta^3} \quad (33)$$

*Thomas-Fermi scattering rate.*

## 2.10 Plotting

```
1 plot(theta*180/pi, TFrater, 'r');
2 ...
3 plot(theta*180/pi, RPArate, 'b');
```

*Plot both rates vs angle: TF in red, RPA in blue.*

## 3 Numerical Method Details

### 3.1 Discretization Scheme

The angular integration is discretized uniformly:

$$\theta_j = \frac{\pi j}{1800}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, 1800 \quad (34)$$

This gives 0.1° resolution, sufficient to capture the sharp forward-scattering peak.

### 3.2 Lindhard Function Evaluation

The logarithmic term in the Lindhard function requires care:

$$\ln \left| \frac{x+2}{x-2} \right| \quad (35)$$

- For  $x < 2$  (small-angle scattering): Regular evaluation
- At  $x = 2$  (backscattering at  $\theta = 180$ ): Singularity (pole)
- For  $x > 2$ : Argument becomes negative inside, hence absolute value

## 4 Physical Interpretation

### 4.1 TF vs RPA Comparison

- **Thomas-Fermi:** Local approximation, accurate for  $q \ll k_F$
- **RPA:** Includes non-local response, accurate for all  $q$

At high carrier density ( $n = 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ), both models should agree reasonably well because:

$$q_{\text{TF}} \sim k_F \quad (\text{strong screening}) \quad (36)$$

### 4.2 Angular Dependence

The scattering rate diverges at small angles:

$$\frac{1}{\tau} \propto \frac{1}{\sin^3(\theta/2)} \quad (37)$$

This is regularized by screening (the  $q_{\text{TF}}^2$  or RPA terms in the denominator).

## 5 Summary

This code compares two models for ionized impurity scattering:

1. Thomas-Fermi: Simple analytical model assuming local screening
2. RPA (Lindhard): More accurate model including the full wavevector-dependent response

At  $n = 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ , the system is degenerate and strongly screened, so both models give similar results with the characteristic forward-scattering peak regularized by screening.