- 1. Consider the following problem called BOXDEPTH: Given a set of n axis parallel rectangles (this means that the length and breadth of the rectangle are parallel to the x and y-axes), how big is the largest subset of these rectangles that contain a common point.
  - (a) Give a polynomial time reduction from BoxDepth to the problem of computing the largest clique in a graph.
  - (b) Describe a polynomial-time algorithm to solve BoxDepth. Any polynomial-time algorithm would suffice. Don't try to optimize the running time.
  - (c) Explain why this does not prove P = NP.
- 2. A formula is in disjunctive normal form (DNF) if it consists a disjunction of several terms, where each term is a conjunction of literals. For example  $\phi = (x \wedge \bar{y} \wedge z) \vee (\bar{x} \wedge y \wedge \bar{z})$  is a DNF formula.
  - (a) Give a polynomial-time algorithm to check if a DNF formula  $\phi$  is satisfiable.
  - (b) Consider the following "proof" of P = NP: Given a 3-CNF formula  $\phi$ , convert it to a DNF formula  $\psi$  using the distributive law of  $\wedge$  and  $\vee$  as follows.

$$(x \lor y \lor \bar{z}) \land (\bar{x} \lor \bar{y}) = (x \land \bar{y}) \lor (y \land \bar{x}) \lor (\bar{z} \land \bar{x}) \lor (\bar{z} \land \bar{y})$$

Now use the algorithm in Part (a) to check for satisfiability. What is wrong in this "proof"?

- 3. Given a graph G(V, E), a k-coloring of the graph is an assignment of colors  $c: V \to \{1, 2, ..., k\}$  such that if  $(u, v) \in E$ , then  $c(u) \neq c(v)$ . The 3-Colorability problem is to test if a given graph has a 3-coloring. This problem is known to be NP-complete.
  - Suppose that you are given a subroutine, that takes as input a graph G, and decides whether G is 3-colorable or not. Using this subroutine, and assuming that a call to the subroutine takes constant time, design a polynomial time algorithm to compute a 3-coloring  $c: V \to \{1, 2, 3\}$ , if one exists.
- 4. A Hamiltonian path in an undirected graph G(V, E) is a simple path in G that passes through each vertex in G exactly once. Similarly, a Hamiltonian cycle is a cycle that starts from a vertex  $v \in V$ , passes through every other vertex exactly once and returns to v. The Hampath and HamCycle problem is to decide if a given input graph G has a Hamiltonian path and Hamiltonian cycle respectively.
  - (a) Show that  $HAMPATH \leq HAMCYCLE$ .
  - (b) Show that HAMCYCLE  $\leq$  HAMPATH.
- 5. In this question, we will look at some variants of SAT and their complexity.

(a) Let  $\phi$  be a CNF formula such that each clause contains exactly 3 literals, and each variable occurs in at most 3 clauses. Show that  $\phi$  is satisfiable.

To do this, the following statement (known as Hall's theorem) may be useful.

A bipartite graph  $G(L \cup R, E)$  such that |L| < |R| has a matching M that matches every vertex in L if and only if for every  $L' \subseteq L$ ,  $|L'| \le |N(L')|$ , where the N(L') is set of neighbors of L'.

(b) Let  $\phi$  be a CNF formula where each clause has at most 3 literals, and each variable appears in at most 3 clauses. Show that this variant of SAT is NP-complete.

**Hint:** Replace each occurrence of a variable with a new copy. Add constraints to say that all the copies must take the same truth value.

- (c) Suppose that  $\phi$  is a CNF formula where each clause has exactly 3 literals, and each variable occurs in at most 4 clauses. Show that this variant of SAT is NP-complete.
  - **Hint:** For each clause  $C_i$  with two literals, add a new variable  $x'_i$  into it. Put additional clauses (with different variables) to force  $x'_i$  to take the truth value o.
- (d) Given a CNF formula  $\phi$  such that each clause contains an arbitrary number of literals, but each variable occurs in at most 2 clauses, give a polynomial-time algorithm to check if  $\phi$  is satisfiable.

**Hint:** Sometimes greed is good.